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Possible involvement of T helper type 2 responses to Toll-like receptor ligands in IgG4-related sclerosing disease

Reiko Akitake, Tomohiro Watanabe, Chikage Zaima, Norimitsu Uza, Hiroshi Ida, Shinsuke Tada, Naoshi Nishida, Tsutomu Chiba

INTRODUCTION
Autoimmune pancreatitis (AIP) is an inflammatory disorder which is characterised by increased serum levels of immunoglobulin G4 (IgG4) or by an IgG4-positive plasmacytic infiltrate into the inflamed tissue.1 Another important feature of AIP is a wide variety of extrapancreatic manifestations such as sialadenitis, cholangitis, retroperitoneal fibrosis and inflammatory pseudotumour of the liver and lung.2 Since these extrapancreatic and pancreatic lesions share common histopathological findings (ie, abundant infiltration by IgG4+ plasmocytes), Kamisawa et al proposed a new clinicopathological entity: ‘IgG4-related sclerosing disease’.2 However, little is understood regarding the role played by this IgG subtype in the inflammatory process. In this regard, IgG4 itself does not seem to be responsible for the development of tissue damage since this IgG subtype does not cause cell-mediated lysis due to poor binding activity to complement.3 In addition, anti-inflammatory activity of IgG4 has been shown.4 Consistent with these biological functions of IgG4, clinical manifestations of immune complex disease such as arthritis and glomerulonephritis are rarely seen in patients with IgG4-related sclerosing disease.5 These facts suggest that abnormal immunological environments leading to enhanced IgG4 responses, rather than IgG4 antibody itself, underlie the pathogenesis of this disease.

CASE REPORT
A 70-year-old asymptomatic man was admitted for further investigation of swelling of the pancreas and submandibular lymph nodes. He had a history of systemic lymphadenopathy of unknown aetiology at the age of 45. Laboratory tests revealed elevation of serum levels of amylase (229 IU/l; normal range <129 IU/l) and IgG (2144 mg/dl; normal range <1840 mg/dl). Abdominal CT using contrast reagent showed focal swelling of the pancreas and the stricture of the lower bile duct. These radiographic findings were consistent with those of AIP.1 A hypochoic tumour was detected in the lateral segment of the liver on abdominal ultrasonography. Since a marked elevation of serum IgG4 level was detected (918 mg/dl; normal range <155 mg/dl), this patient was strongly suspected to have IgG4-related sclerosing disease involving the pancreas, bile duct and liver. Biopsy of the liver tumour revealed massive infiltration of plasmocytes expressing IgG and IgG4 around the bile duct (figure 1). More than 50% of IgG4-expressing cells...
were positive for IgG4 staining, which suggests that this liver tumour was a pseudotumour due to IgG4-associated cholangitis. Similar histological findings were obtained in the immunohistochemical analyses using biopsy specimens from submandibular lymph nodes (figure 1). Based on these results, this patient was finally diagnosed as having IgG4-related sclerosing disease.

Colonoscopy was performed to exclude the involvement of the gastrointestinal tract before starting prednisolone treatment. Although no inflammatory mucosa was seen from the terminal ileum to the rectum on colonoscopic examination, biopsy specimens taken from the intact mucosa of the terminal ileum and colon revealed a marked infiltration of plasmacytes expressing IgG into the submucosa without destruction of crypt architecture or fibrosis (figure 1). Interestingly, >50% of IgG-expressing cells were positive for IgG4 staining. Accumulation of IgG4-expressing plasma cells in the colonic mucosa led us to hypothesise that abnormal immunological responses to gut microbial antigens might underlie the development of enhanced IgG4 responses. PBMCs from this case and healthy controls were stimulated with TLR ligands to see immune responses against antigens derived from intestinal microflora. Ethical permission for this study was granted by the review board of Kyoto University. As shown in figure 2, production of IgG4 as well as interleukin-10 (IL-10) was enhanced upon stimulation with TLR4 (lipopolysaccharide (LPS)) and TLR5 (flagellin) ligands. Production of IgG4 was also enhanced by stimulation of a TLR2 ligand (peptidoglycan (PGN)). In contrast, production of Th1 cytokines (interferon-γ (IFNγ) and tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα)) in response to TLR ligands by the patient’s PBMCs was impaired as compared with that by control PBMCs. No difference was seen in the production of IL-8 or IL-17 upon stimulation with TLR ligands or TNFα. These data suggest that activation of TLRs generates both IgG4 and Th2 responses in PBMCs from this case since IFNγ and IL-10 are prototypical Th1 and Th2 cytokines, respectively. We determined the type of immune cells producing these cytokines by cell depletion study.

Figure 1 Immunohistochemical staining of immunoglobulin G4 (IgG4) and IgG. Biopsy specimens obtained from the liver, submandibular lymph nodes, terminal ileum and colon were stained with anti-IgG4 or anti-IgG antibody for visualisation of plasma cells expressing IgG4 or IgG.
Case report

**Figure 2** Enhanced T helper type 2 (Th2) responses to Toll-like receptor (TLR) ligands by peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) isolated from the patient. PBMCs (2×10^6/ml) isolated from the patient and healthy controls were stimulated with peptidoglycan (PGN, 10 μg/ml), lipopolysaccharide (LPS, 1 μg/ml), flagellin (1 μg/ml) or tumour necrosis factor (TNF, 20 ng/ml). PBMCs were cultured for 48 h for interleukin-8 (IL-8) and TNF assay, and for 14 days for IgG4, interferon γ (IFNγ), IL-10 and IL-17 assay. Results shown are means of triplicate wells.

Using control samples. We found that CD3^+ T cells produced IFNγ and IL-10 whereas CD14^+ monocytes produced IL-10 and TNFα (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

An interesting observation in this case with IgG4-related sclerosing disease was a marked infiltration of IgG4-expressing plasmacytes into the colonic mucosa which appeared to be normal on endoscopic examination. It remains unclear whether we can regard this case as IgG4-related sclerosing disease involving the colonic mucosa since no pathological findings were present in colonic biopsy specimens other than marked infiltration of IgG4^+ cells. Thus, unlike our previous case in which infiltration of IgG4-expressing plasmacytes was visualised as colonic polyoidal lesions, we have to be cautious in the interpretation of infiltration of IgG4-expressing plasma cells into endoscopically normal colonic mucosa in the setting of IgG4-related sclerosing disease.

Immune responses leading to accumulation of IgG4-expressing plasmacytes in the gastrointestinal tract are poorly understood. PBMCs isolated from this case exhibited enhanced production of IgG4 and Th2 cytokines upon stimulation with TLR ligands, suggesting that enhanced immune reactions against microbial antigens cause infiltration of lymphocytes as in the case of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). In fact, this idea is supported by clinical evidence that a significant population of patients with AIP have a diagnosis of IBD. Importantly, IgG4 responses induced by TLR activation are associated with enhanced IL-10 production. In this regard, two different groups report involvement of regulatory T cells (Tregs) producing IL-10 in IgG4-related sclerosing disease. Thus, enhanced IL-10 production seen in this case may be partially mediated by circulating Tregs. Given the fact that IL-10 is an important cytokine for IgG4 class switching, we assume that excessive Th2 responses triggered by TLR ligands together with activation of Tregs create abnormal immunological environments leading to enhanced IgG4 responses. This idea partially explains immunological mechanisms of enhanced Th2 responses in patients with IgG4-related sclerosing disease.

Although storiform fibrosis is a characteristic pathological finding of IgG4-related sclerosing disease, molecular mechanisms of fibrosis in this disorder are poorly understood. Th2 cytokines mediated by activation of TLRs on macrophages have been identified as positive regulators of tissue fibrosis in the liver and lung. Thus, enhanced Th2 responses to TLR ligands might be involved in the development of storiform fibrosis in IgG4-related sclerosing disease. However, analysis of expression of both Th2 cytokines and TLRs using fibrotic tissue samples is necessary to address this issue.

What is the mechanism by which enhanced Th2 responses against intestinal microflora cause IgG4-related sclerosing disease without the development of colitis? In this regard, immune reactions causing tissue injury and those causing IgG4 responses should be considered separately as shown by the fact that IgG4 antibody itself has anti-inflammatory properties. Indeed, tissue destruction was not seen in the lower gastrointestinal tract of this case despite a marked infiltration of IgG4-expressing plasmacytes into the submucosa. Several mechanisms for preventing hyper-reactiveness to microbial antigens operate in the gut. For example, the intestine is the preferential site where naïve T cells differentiate into Tregs. Thus, one possible explanation is that pathogenic immune reactions causing tissue injury are suppressed in the gut by regulatory mechanisms, whereas such reactions cause tissue injury in other sterile organs such as the pancreas and bile duct due to the lack of regulatory mechanisms. Based on this, it is tempting to speculate that the gastrointestinal tract is an induction site for systemic IgG4 responses and functions as a reservoir for IgG4-expressing plasmacytes even if disease-associated pathogenic changes are absent. Alternatively, distribution of IgG4-expressing plasmacytes into the colonic mucosa may be an epiphenomenon associated with systemic IgG4 responses.

In conclusion, the results of immunological studies using PBMCs from this case suggest involvement of excessive Th2 responses to intestinal microflora in the development of IgG4-related sclerosing disease. Confirmation of this idea awaits further studies using a large number of patients with IgG4-related sclerosing disease.
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