TITLE:

Fabrication of LiCoO₂/helical nanocarbon composites and their effect on lithium cell performance

AUTHOR(S):

Hirai, Toshiro; Yoshida, Toshihiro; Uno, Yusuke;
Tsujikawa, Tomonobu

CITATION:

Hirai, Toshiro ...[et al]. Fabrication of LiCoO₂/helical nanocarbon composites and their effect on lithium cell performance. Journal of Power Sources 2011, 196(16): 6964-6968

ISSUE DATE:

2011-08

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/142515>

RIGHT:

© 2010 Elsevier B.V.; この論文は出版社版ではありません。引用の際には出版社版をご確認ご利用ください。; This is not the published version. Please cite only the published version.

Fabrication of LiCoO₂/Helical Nanocarbon Composites and Their Effect on Lithium Cell

Performance

Toshiro Hirai^{a, c, *}, Toshihiro Yoshida^a, Yusuke Uno^{a, d}, and Tomonobu Tsujikawa^b

^a Department of Mechanical Systems Engineering, Toyama Prefectural University, Imizu-shi,
Toyama-ken 939-0398, Japan

^b NTT Facilities, Inc., Toshima-ku, Tokyo 170-0004, Japan

^c Present address; SACI, Kyoto University, Nishikyo-ku, Kyoto 615-8520, Japan

^d Present address; Chuo Branch, NTT Facilities, Inc., Minato-ku, Tokyo 108-0023, Japan

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: t-hirai@saci.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Fax: +81-75-383-3048

Phone: +81-75-383-3052

Abstract

We fabricate LiCoO_2 /helical nanocarbon (HCN) composites by forming HCNs on LiCoO_2 on which iron oxides (Fe_2O_3 or Fe_3O_4) are dispersed ($\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)$ or $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4)$) as catalysts for HCN formation, and estimate their electrochemical properties. Granular nanocarbons form on $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)$ and $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4)$ at 350°C although HCNs of about 100 nm in diameter form on $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)$ at 450°C . Transmission electron microscopy and energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy measurements show that HCNs consist of stacked graphene layers for $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)$ /HCN composites fabricated at 450°C . On the other hand, several-nm-thick tetragonal layer exists on the LiCoO_2 substrate and amorphous nanocarbons form on the tetragonal layer for $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)$ /HCN and $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4)$ /HCN composites fabricated at 350°C . X-ray diffraction measurements suggest that Fe_2O_3 and Fe_3O_4 do not completely inhibit LiCoO_2 decomposition. Cathodes containing $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)$ /HCN or $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4)$ /HCN fabricated at 350°C improve rate capability of lithium cells. However, this rate capability is not better than that of cathodes containing a mixture of LiCoO_2 and acetylene black.

Introduction

High-rate and long-life lithium-ion batteries have long been expected as automotive and next-generation industrial batteries. Cathode active material/carbon composites have been studied as means of prolonging cycle life of such batteries (1, 2) as well as cathode active materials have been studied to improve lithium-ion cell capacity and rate capability (3-7).

On the other hand, some researchers have fabricate nanocarbons using chemical vapor deposition (CVD) (8-11). Nanocarbons produced using CVD has a helical shape and are reported to be semimetals with the potential to be superconductive materials (12). This suggests that they have high potential for use as conductive materials for the cathodes of lithium-ion cells. We have fabricated LiCoO_2 /helical nanocarbon (HCN) composites and evaluated their electrochemical properties (13). We successfully obtained composites from HCNs formed on a LiCoO_2 substrate. However, LiCoO_2 severely decomposed and a cell containing LiCoO_2 /HCN composite exhibited a low specific capacity.

We used Fe_2O_3 and Fe_3O_4 as catalysts to fabricate LiCoO_2 /HCNs effectively without LiCoO_2 decomposition at lower temperature to show better performance as cathode active material. We prepared a LiCoO_2 substrate on which iron oxides (Fe_2O_3 or Fe_3O_4) were dispersed ($\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)$ or $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4)$) as catalysts for HCN formation. We then formed HCNs on $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)$ or $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4)$ at 450°C or lower and estimated effect of the composites on lithium cell performance.

Experimental

We used LiCoO_2 (Nippon Chemical Industrial Co., Diameter: $10\mu\text{m}$) as a substrate and Fe_2O_3 and Fe_3O_4 (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.) as catalysts for helical nanocarbon (HCN) formation using chemical vapor deposition (CVD).

We prepared slurries by dispersing 10 g of LiCoO_2 and 0.5 g of Fe_2O_3 or Fe_3O_4 into 50 ml of distilled water to uniformly and effectively disperse Fe_2O_3 or Fe_3O_4 on the surface of LiCoO_2 particles and stirred a solution containing the mixture in a beaker overnight on a hot plate at about 80°C to evaporate water. The mixture was then dried overnight in a vacuum at 80°C .

We fabricated the composites by forming HCNs on the surface of $\text{LiCoO}_2/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ or $\text{LiCoO}_2/\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ powder mounted on a ceramic boat using CVD in a quartz tube and supplied 60 ml min^{-1} of C_2H_2 gas as a carbon source and 50 ml min^{-1} of Ar as a carrier at 450°C or 350°C for 10 min.

We estimated the electrochemical properties of the composites using a lithium cell. We fabricated cathode disks (area, 1.33 cm^2) by mixing the obtained composites, acetylene black (AB, Denki Kagaku Kogyo Co.) and PTFE powder with a ratio of 70 wt% of LiCoO_2 , 25 wt% of AB and HCNs formed using CVD, and 5 wt% of PTFE, and rolling the mixture into a flat sheet. We fabricated CR2032 coin-type cells for evaluating electrochemical properties. Each coin-type cell consisted of a cathode, a lithium anode (Honjo Chemical Co.: area, 1.13 cm^2) and a 1 M LiPF_6 -ethylene carbonate/dimethyl carbonate (volume ratio: 1/1) electrolyte (Tomiyama Pure

Chemicals Co.). Test cells were charged at 0.75 mA cm^{-2} to 4.3 V and then discharged at constant current to 3.0 V at 21°C after a 10-min rest.

Results and Discussion

Figure 1 shows a scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of the $\text{LiCoO}_2/\text{HCN}$ composite. HCNs are formed on the LiCoO_2 surface. However, the HCNs are not distributed uniformly and the bare LiCoO_2 surface can be seen. HCNs have a helical shape with a diameter of 200 nm or smaller. We generally observed HCNs with an irregular helical shape. We have not found an optimum fabrication condition of HCNs with a regular helical shape.

Figure 2 shows transmission electron microscope (TEM) photos of the composites fabricated from HCNs formed on LiCoO_2 substrate without iron oxides at 450°C. HCNs contain many crystalline boundaries of graphene layers and serious turbulence was also observed, indicated with the circle in Fig. 2, which may result in an irregular helical shape of HCNs. We measured contents of the composites by energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS). Figure 3 shows areas for EDS measurements as squares. The results are listed in Table 1. Carbon mainly existed in dark areas 1, 2, 3, and 4 around the white area. On the other hand, white area 5 contained more than 60% Co, which is larger than the Co percentage of LiCoO_2 . This suggests that area 5 contained Co and CoO formed from decomposed LiCoO_2 .

Furthermore, we used X-ray diffraction (XRD) to estimate the stability of LiCoO_2 under our experimental conditions. Figure 4 shows XRD patterns of $\text{LiCoO}_2/\text{HCN}$ composites fabricated at

450°C. The XRD contains peaks of Li_2CO_3 , Co, and CoO and no peaks of LiCoO_2 , which shows that LiCoO_2 decomposed without iron oxides. The results coincide with those of EDS measurements.

We then fabricated a composite from HCNs formed on LiCoO_2 substrate with Fe_2O_3 or Fe_3O_4 and estimate its effect on inhibition of LiCoO_2 decomposition. Figure 5 shows SEM photo of the composite fabricated with Fe_2O_3 at 450°C. HCNs were successfully formed on LiCoO_2 particles and there was no significant difference in the HCN formation from the composites fabricated without iron oxides. We obtained the same results for the composite fabricated with Fe_3O_4 . On the other hand, we observed nanocarbons were not helical shaped for the composites fabricated at 350°C (Fig. 6). “Immature” and particulate HCNs formed on the substrate at 350°C.

Figure 7 shows TEM photos of the composite fabricated with Fe_2O_3 at 350°C. Figure 7(a) shows TEM image of the area where there was Fe_2O_3 , and Fig. 7(b) shows TEM image of the area where there was no Fe_2O_3 .

By fabricating at 350°C, amorphous nanocarbons (C in Fig. 7), formed on the LiCoO_2 substrate (A in Fig. 7), and there was no significant difference in shape due to the existence of Fe_2O_3 . We also observed a tetragonal thin layer (B in Fig. 7) on the substrate A. Figure 8 shows TEM photos of the composite fabricated with Fe_3O_4 at 350°C. We observed the same condition for the composite fabricated with Fe_3O_4 at 350°C shown in both of Fig. 8 (a) and (b) as that for the composite fabricated with Fe_2O_3 at 350°C.

We observed a tetragonal layer on as-received LiCoO_2 particles. We therefore do not conclude that this layer formed using CVD. Kobayashi et al. reported a layer on $\text{Li}(\text{NiCo})\text{O}_2$ (14), which corresponds to what we observed.

Figure 9 shows XRD patterns of LiCoO_2 /nanocarbon composites prepared with and without Fe_2O_3 ; $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)/\text{HCN}$ (350°C), $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)/\text{HCN}$ (450°C), and $\text{LiCoO}_2/\text{HCN}$ (450°C). The XRD patterns indicate that LiCoO_2 retained its original structure in part but it also suggests that addition of Fe_2O_3 did not prevent LiCoO_2 from decomposing.

We also measured XRD for $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4)/\text{HCN}$ composite fabricated at 350°C and confirmed addition of Fe_3O_4 inhibited LiCoO_2 decomposition through HCN fabrication.

We evaluated LiCoO_2 decomposition in relation to the addition of Fe_2O_3 and HCN fabrication temperature. Table 2 shows intensity ratios of main peaks of Li_2CO_3 (peak B in Fig. 9), CoO (peak C in Fig. 9), and Co (peak D in Fig. 9) based on LiCoO_2 peak (peak A in Fig. 9). XRD peak intensity ratios indicate that LiCoO_2 decomposition was significantly inhibited by coating with Fe_2O_3 and that HCN fabrication temperature decreased.

We estimated the electrochemical properties of LiCoO_2 /nanocarbon composites using a lithium cell. Figure 10 shows rate capability of lithium cells containing $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)/\text{HCN}$ composites, $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)/\text{HCN}$ (350°C) and $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)/\text{HCN}$ (450°C), compared with that of a lithium cell containing as-received LiCoO_2 . The cell containing $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)/\text{HCN}$ (350°C) showed better rate capability than cell containing $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)/\text{HCN}$ (450°C). However, the rate

capability of our composites is currently inferior to that of as-received LiCoO_2 . We also estimated the rate capability of a lithium cell containing $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4)/\text{HCN}$ composite (350°C). The results are shown in Fig. 11. The $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4)/\text{HCN}$ (350°C) cell showed the same rate capability as the $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)/\text{HCN}$ (350°C) cell. By using iron oxide catalysts and fabricating the composites at lower temperature, LiCoO_2 decomposed less and the composites showed better cell performance than that of composites fabricated without the catalysts at higher temperature. The challenge is to fabricate composites with more crystallized HCN for better rate capability of lithium cells than that of the cathode mixed with LiCoO_2 and AB.

Conclusion

We fabricated LiCoO_2 /helical nanocarbon (HCN) composites by forming HCNs on LiCoO_2 on which iron oxides (Fe_2O_3 or Fe_3O_4) were dispersed ($\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)$ or $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4)$) as catalysts for HCN formation, and estimated their electrochemical properties.

Cathode active material/nanocarbon composites were fabricated by forming HCNs on LiCoO_2 coated with iron oxides (Fe_2O_3 or Fe_3O_4) to inhibit LiCoO_2 degradation. The following results were obtained:

- (1) Granular nanocarbons were generated on LiCoO_2 with Fe_2O_3 and LiCoO_2 with Fe_3O_4 at 350°C although HCNs with about 100 nm diameter were generated on LiCoO_2 with Fe_2O_3 at 450°C .
- (2) TEM measurements showed that HCNs consisted of stacked graphene layers for LiCoO_2 /HCN fabricated at 450°C . On the other hand, several-nm-thick tetragonal layer existed on the LiCoO_2 and amorphous nanocarbons formed on the tetragonal layer for $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)$ /HCN and $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4)$ /HCN composites fabricated at 350°C .
- (3) XRD measurements suggested that Fe_2O_3 and Fe_3O_4 did not completely inhibit LiCoO_2 decomposition.
- (4) Cathodes containing $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)$ /HCN or $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4)$ /HCN composites fabricated at 350°C improved rate capability, but this capability is not as good as that of cathodes containing a mixture of LiCoO_2 and AB.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Nippon Chemical Industrial, Co. Ltd. for providing cathode active materials.

References

1. H. Huang, S-C. Yin, and L. F. Nazar, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.*, 4 (2001) A170.
2. M. Tabuchi, Y. Nabeshima, K. Ado, T. Takeuchi, M. Shikano, H. Kageyama, and K. Tatsumi,
Extended Abstract for the 47th Battery Symposium in Japan, Tokyo, 2D19, 2006, pp. 362–363
(in Japanese).
3. T. Ohzuku and Y. Makimura, *Chem. Lett.*, 30 (2001) 642.
4. A. K. Padhi, K. S. Nanjundaswamy, and J. B. Goodenough, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 144 (1997)
1188.
5. K. Amine, H. Yasuda, and M. Yamachi, *Electrochem. Solid State Lett.*, 3 (2000) 178.
6. A. Yamada, S. C. Chung, and K. Hinokuma, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 148 (2001) A224.
7. A. S. Andersson, J. O. Thomas, B. Kalsa, and L. Haggstroem, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.*, 3
(2000) 66.
8. R. T. K. Baker and J. J. Chludzinski, Jr., *J. Catal.*, 64 (1980) 464.
9. S. Amelinckx, X. B. Zhang, D. Bernaerts, X. F. Zhang, V. Ivanov, and J. B. Nagy, *Science*, 265
(1994) 635.
10. M. Zhang, Y. Nakayama, and L. Pan, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*, 39 (2000) L1242.
11. L. Pan, M. Zhang, and Y. Nakayama, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*, 91 (2002) 10058.
12. K. Akagi, R. Tamura, and M. Tsukada, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 74 (1995) 2307.
13. Y. Uno, T. Tsujikawa, and T. Hirai, *J. Power Sources*, 195 (2010) 354.

14. H. Kobayashi, M. Shikano, S. Koike, H. Sakaebe, and K. Tatsumi, J. Power Sources, 174

(2007) 380.

Table 1 Results of EDS measurements

Area	Amount of atoms (%)			
	C	O	Co	Total
1	99.52	0.41	0.06	100.00
2	99.04	0.74	0.22	100.00
3	89.96	5.94	4.10	100.00
4	99.64	0.28	0.09	100.00
5	25.92	11.27	62.80	100.00

Table 2 XRD peak intensity ratio for LiCoO_2 /nanocarbon composites

Composite	A/B	A/C	A/D
$\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)/\text{HCN}$ (350°C)	10.37	335.8	386.7
$\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)/\text{HCN}$ (450°C)	11.86	4.123	17.34
$\text{LiCoO}_2/\text{HCN}$ (450°C)	1.984	1.051	0.0313

Figure Captions

Fig. 1 SEM image of $\text{LiCoO}_2/\text{HCN}$ composites fabricated at 450°C for 10 min

Fig. 2 TEM image of $\text{LiCoO}_2/\text{HCN}$ composite fabricated at 450°C for 10 min

Fig. 3 TEM image of $\text{LiCoO}_2/\text{HCN}$ composite fabricated at 450°C for 10 min, and areas for EDS measurements

Fig. 4 XRD patterns of $\text{LiCoO}_2/\text{HCN}$ (450°C)

Fig. 5 SEM photo of $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)/\text{HCN}$ fabricated at 450°C

Fig. 6 SEM photo of $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)/\text{nanocarbon}$ fabricated at 350°C

Fig. 7 TEM images of $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)/\text{nanocarbon}$ composites fabricated at 350°C for 10 min.

A: LiCoO_2 substrate, B: tetragonal layer, C: nanocarbon fabricated using CVD, and D: deposited Ti layer for TEM measurements

Fig. 8 TEM images of $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4)/\text{nanocarbon}$ composites fabricated at 350°C for 10 min.

A: LiCoO_2 substrate, B: tetragonal layer, C: nanocarbon fabricated by CVD, and D: deposited Ti layer for TEM measurements

Fig. 9 XRD patterns of $\text{LiCoO}_2/\text{nanocarbon}$ composites fabricated with and without Fe_2O_3

Fig. 10 Rate capability of lithium cells containing $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)/\text{HCN}$ composite and cell containing as-received LiCoO_2

Fig. 11 Rate capability of lithium cells containing $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)/\text{HCN}$ and $\text{LiCoO}_2(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4)/\text{HCN}$ composites fabricated at 350°C

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

Fig. 7

(a)

(b)

Fig. 8

(a)

(b)

Fig. 9

Fig. 10

Fig. 11