1 The: The presence of neterospectric males causes an Affee effect	llee effect.
---	--------------

 $\mathbf{2}$

3	Authors: Daisuke Kyogoku* and Takayoshi Nishida
4	*Author for correspondence
5	Laboratory of Insect Ecology, Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University,
6	Kyoto, Japan.
7	E-mail: kyogoku@kais.kyoto-u.ac.jp
8	Address: Kitashirakawa-oiwakecho, Sakyo, Kyoto, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan.
9	Takayoshi Nishida
10	Laboratory of Insect Ecology, Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University,
11	Kyoto, Japan.
12	Present affiliation: Department of Ecosystem Studies, School of Environmental
13	Science, University of Shiga Prefecture, Hikone, Japan.
14	E-mail: nishida.t@ses.usp.ac.jp
15	
16	Number of text pages; 12

Number of figures and tables: One figure and no table.

19 Abstract

20

21The Allee effect is a positive causal relationship between any component of fitness and population density or size. Allee effects strongly affect the persistence of small or sparse 2223populations. Predicting Allee effects remains a challenge, possibly because not all causal mechanisms are known. We hypothesized that reproductive interference (an 24interspecific reproductive interaction that reduces the fitness of the species involved) 25can generate an Allee effect. If the density of the interfering species is constant, an 2627increase in the population of the species receiving interference may dilute the per capita 28effect of reproductive interference and may generate an Allee effect. To test this hypothesis, we examined the effect of heterospecific males on the relationship between 29per capita fecundity and conspecific density in Callosobruchus chinensis and C. 30 maculatus. Of the two species, only C. maculatus females suffer reproductive 31interference from heterospecific males. Only C. maculatus, the species susceptible to 32reproductive interference, demonstrated an Allee effect, and only when heterospecific 33 males were present. In contrast, C. chinensis, the species not susceptible to reproductive 34interference, demonstrated no Allee effect regardless of the presence of heterospecific 35

36	males. Our results show that reproductive interference in fact generated an Allee effect,
37	suggesting the potential importance of interspecific sexual interactions especially in
38	small or sparse populations, even in the absence of a shared resource. It may be possible
39	to predict Allee effects produced by this mechanism a priori by testing reproductive
40	interference between closely related species.
41	
42	Key words
43	
44	Callosobruchus • Depensation • Dilution effect • Frequency dependence • Interspecific
45	interaction • Reproductive interference
46	
47	Introduction
48	
49	The Allee effect is a positive causal relationship between any component of fitness and
50	population density or size (Stephens et al. 1999). Allee effects have been broadly
51	recognized as an important ecological phenomenon in conservation biology
52	(Courchamp et al. 1999; Stephens and Sutherland 1999), biological invasion (Taylor and
53	Hastings 2005; Liebhold and Tobin 2008), and biological control introductions (Hopper

54and Roush 1993), because they can lead to the extinction of small or sparse populations. Various mechanisms have been suggested to generate Allee effects, including 55mate-finding difficulty, lack of predator satiation, and reduced foraging efficiency 5657(Courchamp et al. 2008). However, though some species are unlikely to suffer from an Allee effect, other species with similar life history traits are subject to Allee effects (e.g., 58Myers et al. 1995; Drake 2005; Fauvergue et al. 2007). Thus, predicting which species 5960 will be subject to an Allee effect remains a challenge. It is possibly because some of Allee effects are caused by an as yet unknown mechanism. 61

62 We propose the novel hypothesis that reproductive interference can generate an 63 Allee effect, owning to its positive frequency dependence. Reproductive interference is 64 a negative interspecific sexual interaction that reduces the fitness of at least one of the species involved (Gröning and Hochkirch 2008; Burdfield-Steel and Shuker 2011). For 65example, when a male of species A mistakenly recognizes a female of species B as a 66 67 conspecific and attempts to mate with her, the female might suffer from an energy or 68 time loss, reduced opportunities to mate with conspecifics, gamete wastage, genital damage, or hybrid formation (Gröning and Hochkirch 2008). Notably, in terms of its 69 effect on population dynamics, reproductive interference seems to show frequency 70 dependence (Kuno 1992; Gröning and Hochkirch 2008; Takakura et al. 2009): the 71

72	higher the frequency of species A, the greater the intensity of the reproductive
73	interference on species B. If for a pair of species, reproductive interference is
74	asymmetric (unidirectional) and the density of interfering species A is constant, the per
75	capita level of interference with the interfered species B should become diluted as the
76	density of species B increases. This dilution of reproductive interference should
77	generate an Allee effect when it is accompanied by increased fitness of species B. Thus,
78	reproductive interference may generate an Allee effect via a dilution effect, just as
79	predation does (Gascoigne and Lipcius 2004).
80	To test this hypothesis, we examined the relationship between per capita
81	fecundity and conspecific density when heterospecific males were present in a pair of
82	bean weevil species, Callosobruchus chinensis (Linnaeus) and C. maculatus (Fabricius).
83	Nishigaki (1963) measured copulation frequency in C. chinensis and reported that males
84	had difficulty in finding mates. In typical laboratory conditions, however, both C .
85	chinensis and C. maculatus show no positive relationship between population density
86	and lifetime reproductive success in isolation from the other (e.g., Yoshida 1966). Kishi
87	et al. (2009) showed that the fecundity of C. maculatus, but not that of C. chinensis, is
88	asymmetrically reduced when heterospecific males are present; thus, they show

89 asymmetric reproductive interference. We predict specifically that C. maculatus, the

90	species more susceptible to reproductive interference, will suffer an Allee effect only
91	when heterospecific males are present, and that C. chinensis, the non-susceptible species,
92	suffers no Allee effect.
93	
94	Materials and methods
95	
96	We used the jC-F strain of C. chinensis (see Harano and Miyatake 2005) and the hQ
97	strain of C. maculatus (see Miyatake and Matsumura 2004), both of which were derived
98	from the stock cultures of Okayama University. We chose these strains, because Kishi et
99	al. (2009) used these same strains to confirm unilateral reproductive interference by C .
100	chinensis on C. maculatus. The stock cultures were maintained under laboratory
101	conditions (25°C, 50-60% relative humidity, and 16L8D) and fed on adzuki beans,
102	Vigna angularis (Willd.) cv. Dainagon. Mated females of C. chinensis and C. maculatus
103	lay about 60 eggs on bean surfaces without feeding during their adult lifespan of around
104	10 days. Males of both species try to copulate with either heterospecific or conspecific
105	females indiscriminately even when conspecific females are present (Kishi et al. 2009).
106	Interspecific copulation is sometimes observed, but no hybrid has been reported
107	(Yamane and Miyatake 2010).

108	Virgin males and females of C. chinensis and C. maculatus were prepared for
109	our experiments as follows. About 40 g of adzuki beans were placed in each of two
110	plastic Petri dishes (ϕ 90 mm \times 20 mm). Then, about 10 females of each species were
111	randomly chosen from the stock cultures (200-400 individuals in each generation), and
112	allowed to lay eggs on the beans in one of the dishes. Beans with one, two, or three eggs
113	on them were selected and placed individually in wells of a 24-well plastic plate (each
114	well, $\phi15.5~\text{mm}\times$ 17.3 mm). Beans with four or more eggs were discarded to avoid
115	potential overcrowding effects. Each well was checked every day for newly emerged
116	virgin individuals (i.e., individuals that emerged singly or in a unisexual group).
117	The effect of C. chinensis males on per capita fecundity (i.e., average number
118	of hatched eggs per female) of C. maculatus was investigated under different C.
119	maculatus density regimes as follows. From one to five C. maculatus pairs, each
120	consisting of one male and one female individual, were housed with three C. chinensis
121	males throughout their lives in a plastic Petri dish (ϕ 70 mm × 15 mm) containing 9.0 g
122	of adzuki beans. Before the two species were put together, we allowed each C.
123	maculatus female to copulate with a conspecific male to exclude the possibility that the
124	presence of heterospecific males would lead to a potential mate-finding difficulty. We
125	introduced only male heterospecifics, rather than heterospecific pairs, because we

126	wished to investigate how reproductive interference by heterospecific males affected the
127	fecundity of the C. maculatus females. As the control, we also examined the per capita
128	fecundity of one to five pairs of C. maculatus housed without any C. chinensis males.
129	After 20 days, by which time all females had died, we counted the number of hatched
130	eggs in each experimental replication and control treatment (we performed 16 or 17
131	replications of each) in each density regime to determine the per capita fecundity of C .
132	maculatus and the effect of reproductive interference by C. chinensis males. We
133	similarly examined the effect of C. maculatus males on the relationship between
134	fecundity and density in C. chinensis. All experiments were conducted at 25°C, 50-60%
135	relative humidity, and 16L8D. We used analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) to examine
136	the effects of the presence of heterospecific males, conspecific density, and their
137	interactions on per capita fecundity. All statistical analyses were conducted with R
138	software version 2.11.1 (R Development Core Team 2010). The significance level for
139	the statistical tests was set at $P = 0.05$.

Results

143 The presence of *C. chinensis* males significantly reduced the per capita fecundity of *C*.

144	maculatus, and the impact was greater when the density of conspecifics was lower
145	(ANCOVA: C. chinensis males, $F_{1,165} = 130.05$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $P < 0.001$; density, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$, $F_{1,165} = 18.71$
146	0.001; interaction, $F_{1,165} = 16.59$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 1a). In contrast, in the absence of C.
147	chinensis males, density and fecundity of C. maculatus were not significantly related
148	(linear regression analysis: $F_{1,83} = 0.028$, $P = 0.87$; Fig. 1a). The presence of C.
149	maculatus males had no significant effect on per capita fecundity of C. chinensis, but C.
150	chinensis density had a significant negative effect on the fecundity of that species
151	(ANCOVA: C. maculatus males, $F_{1,164} = 0.56$, $P = 0.45$; density, $F_{1,164} = 8.81$, $P =$
152	0.003; interaction, $F_{1,164} = 2.79$, $P = 0.10$; Fig. 1b).

154 **Discussion**

155

We suggest that reproductive interference by *C. chinensis* generated an Allee effect in *C. maculatus*. The presence of *C. chinensis* males reduced the per capita fecundity of *C. maculatus* (Fig. 1a), a result in accordance with the findings of a previous study that documented asymmetric reproductive interference by *C. chinensis* males on *C. maculatus* females (Kishi et al. 2009). A higher density of *C. maculatus*, however, mitigated the reduction in per capita fecundity, generating an Allee effect (Fig. 1a).

162	Although the presence of C. chinensis males reduced the per capita fecundity of C.
163	maculatus, in the absence of C. chinensis, the fecundity of C. maculatus did not depend
164	on density (Fig. 1a). Thus, we can attribute the observed fecundity reduction in C .
165	maculatus exclusively to the presence of C. chinensis males. Callosobruchus chinensis
166	males attempt to mate with females of either species indiscriminately (Kishi et al. 2009)
167	In this study, the relative abundance of C. chinensis males to C. maculatus decreased as
168	C. maculatus density increased. A single C. maculatus female was, therefore, expected
169	to experience fewer mating attempts by C. chinensis males as the density of C.
170	maculatus increased, as a result of the dilution effect. If this held true, the hypothesized
171	reduction in the number of mating attempts by C. chinensis males can explain the
172	enhanced per capita fecundity of C. maculatus with increasing C. maculatus density
173	(Fig. 1a). We also observed no Allee effect in C. chinensis, which shows little
174	susceptibility to reproductive interference by C. maculatus, a result consistent with our
175	hypothesis (Fig. 1b).

The effects of the presence of heterospecific males on per capita fecundity were
asymmetric; although *C. chinensis* males reduced the fecundity of *C. maculatus* (Fig.1a), *C. maculatus* males had no significant effect on the fecundity of *C. chinensis* (Fig. 1b).
Interspecific copulation sometimes occurs between *C. chinensis* males and *C. maculatus*

180	females, but it seldom occurs between C. maculatus males and C. chinensis females
181	(Yamane and Miyatake 2010). Thus, it is likely that the interspecific copulation between
182	C. chinensis males and C. maculatus females plays an important role in the asymmetric
183	reduction in fecundity. Yamane and Miyatake (2010), however, suggested that neither
184	sperm nor seminal fluid was transferred during interspecific copulation between C.
185	chinensis males and C. maculatus females. In Callosobruchus, male genitalia have
186	spines, which injure the genital tracts of the females during intraspecific copulation (e.g.,
187	Crudgington and Siva-Jothy 2000; Rönn et al. 2007). The genital spines of C. chinensis
188	males may thus injure the genital tracts of C. maculatus females, and the putative injury
189	might cause the fecundity reduction in C. maculatus. Another possibility is interspecific
190	sexual harassment. Though males of both species attempt to mate with heterospecific
191	females (Kishi et al. 2009), some behavioral differences between these species may
192	cause asymmetric fecundity reduction. Further experiments are necessary to find out
193	whether structural damage or behavioral interference is actually responsible for the
194	asymmetric reproductive interference between C. chinensis and C. maculatus.
195	In our experiments, difficulty in finding mates was eliminated by allowing
196	females to copulate with a conspecific male prior to experiments, and reproductive

197 interference generated an Allee effect in C. maculatus even with the presence of

conspecific sperm (Fig. 1a). However, reproductive interference may cause lack of conspecific sperm. For example, heterospecific matting attempts may interfere with conspecific copulations (Liu et al. 2007). Thus, without copulation with a conspecific male prior to interaction with heterospecifics, the Allee effect might be enhanced. The interaction between reproductive interference and conventional mechanisms of Allee effects is an important topic for further research.

204 It is not clear to what extent reproductive interference causes Allee effects in nature. The importance of reproductive interference as a mechanism generating an Allee 205effect depends on the generality of reproductive interference itself. The role of 206 207 reproductive interference in population dynamics, however, has not been appreciated 208 until recently (Gröning and Hochkirch 2008). Reproductive interference is especially 209likely when a biological invasion occurs (Burdfield-Steel and Shuker 2011), that is, when originally allopatric species begin to interact with each other. Biological invasions, 210211therefore, may be one of the most promising settings in nature for finding an Allee effect generated by reproductive interference. On the other hand, several studies have 212documented reproductive interference between originally sympatric species (e.g., 213McLain and Pratt 1999; Hettyey and Pearman 2003; Van Gossum et al. 2007), 214suggesting that it has the potential to produce Allee effects in sympatric species as well. 215

216	Allee effects are increasingly appreciated for their importance in applied
217	ecology problems, such as conservation and biological invasion (Taylor and Hastings
218	2005; Courchamp et al. 2008; Tobin et al. 2011). Here we showed that reproductive
219	interference can indeed generate an Allee effect. If reproductive interference can
220	generate an Allee effect in nature, then programs dealing with small or sparse
221	populations need to examine interactions between closely related species, even those
222	that do not share a limited resource.
223	
224	Acknowledgements
225	
226	We are grateful to T. Miyatake for providing the bean weevils. We thank N. Osawa,
227	S. Noriyuki and S. Seiter for useful comments on our manuscript, and S. Oku for
228	statistical advice. This study was partly supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific
229	Research (Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan;
230	No. 60208189) to TN.
231	
232	References
233	

234	Burdfield-Steel ER, Shuker DM (2011) Reproductive interference. Curr Biol
235	21:R450-R451
236	
237	Courchamp F, Clutton-Brock T, Grenfell B (1999) Inverse density dependence and the
238	Allee effect. Trends Ecol Evol 14:405-410
239	
240	Courchamp F, Berec L, Gascoigne J (2008) Allee effects in ecology and conservation.
241	Oxford University Press, Oxford
242	
243	Crudgington HS, Siva-Jothy MT (2000) Genital damage, kicking and early death - the
244	battle of the sexes takes a sinister turn in the bean weevil. Nature 407:855-856
245	
246	Drake J (2005) Risk analysis for species introductions: Forecasting population growth
247	of Eurasian ruffe (Gymnocephalus cernuus). Can J Fish Aquat Sci
248	62:1053-1059
249	
250	Fauvergue X, Malausa J, Giuge L, Courchamp F (2007) Invading parasitoids suffer no
251	Allee effect: A manipulative field experiment. Ecology 88:2392–2403

252	
253	Gascoigne JC, Lipcius RN (2004) Allee effects driven by predation. J Appl Ecol
254	41:801–810
255	
256	Gröning J, Hochkirch A (2008) Reproductive interference between animal species. Q
257	Rev Biol 83:257–282
258	
259	Harano T, Miyatake T (2005) Heritable variation in polyandry in Callosobruchus
260	chinensis. Anim Behav 70:299–304
261	
262	Hettyey A, Pearman PB (2003) Social environment and reproductive interference affect
263	reproductive success in the frog Rana latastei. Behav Ecol 14:294–300
264	
265	Hopper KR, Roush RT (1993) Mate finding, dispersal, number released, and the success
266	of biological-control introductions. Ecol Entomol 18:321-331
267	
268	Kishi S, Nishida T, Tsubaki Y (2009) Reproductive interference determines persistence
269	and exclusion in species interactions. J Anim Ecol 78:1043-1049

270	
271	Kuno E (1992) Competitive exclusion through reproductive interference. Res Popul
272	Ecol 34:275–284
273	
274	Liebhold AM, Tobin PC (2008) Population ecology of insect invasions and their
275	management. Annu Rev Entomol 53:387-408
276	
277	Liu S, De Barro PJ, Xu J, Luan J, Zang L, Ruan Y, Wan F (2007) Asymmetric mating
278	interactions drive widespread invasion and displacement in a whitefly. Science
279	318:1769–1772
280	
281	McLain D, Pratt A (1999) The cost of sexual coercion and heterospecific sexual
282	harassment on the fecundity of a host-specific, seed-eating insect
283	(Neacoryphus bicrucis). Behav Ecol Sociobiol 46:164-170
284	
285	Miyatake T, Matsumura F (2004) Intra-specific variation in female remating in
286	Callosobruchus chinensis and C. maculatus. J Insect Physiol 50:403-408
287	

288	Myers RA, Barrowman NJ, Hutchings JA, Rosenberg AA (1995) Population-dynamics
289	of exploited fish stocks at low population-levels. Science 269:1106-1108
290	
291	Nishigaki J (1963) The effect of low population density on the mating chance and the
292	fecundity of the azuki bean weevil, Callosobruchus chinensis L. Jpn J Ecol
293	13:178–184 (in Japanese)
294	
295	R Development Core Team (2010) R: a language and environment for statistical
296	computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL:
297	http://www.R-project.org
298	
299	Rönn J, Katvala M, Arnqvist G (2007) Coevolution between harmful male genitalia and
300	female resistance in seed beetles. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104:10921-10925
301	
302	Stephens PA, Sutherland WJ (1999) Consequences of the Allee effect for behaviour,
303	ecology and conservation. Trends Ecol Evol 14:401-405
304	
305	Stephens PA, Sutherland WJ, Freckleton RP (1999) What is the Allee effect? Oikos

306	87:185–190
307	
308	Takakura K, Nishida T, Matsumoto T, Nishida S (2009) Alien dandelion reduces the
309	seed-set of a native congener through frequency-dependent and one-sided
310	effects. Biol Invasions 11:973–981
311	
312	Taylor CM, Hastings A (2005) Allee effects in biological invasions. Ecol Lett 8:895-908
313	
314	Tobin PC, Berec L, Liebhold AM (2011) Exploiting Allee effects for managing
315	biological invasions. Ecol Lett 14:615-624
316	
317	Van Gossum H, Beirinckx K, Forbes MR, Sherratt TN (2007) Reproductive interference
318	between Nehalennia damselfly species. Ecoscience 14:1-7
319	
320	Yamane T, Miyatake T (2010) Inhibition of female mating receptivity by male-derived
321	extracts in two Callosobruchus species: Consequences for interspecific mating.
322	J Insect Physiol 56:1565–1571
323	

- 324 Yoshida, T (1966) Studies on the interspecific competition between bean weevils.
- 325 Memoirs of the Faculty of Liberal Arts and Education, Miyazaki University,
- 326 Miyazaki 20:59–98
- 327

329 **Figure legend** 330 331Fig. 1. Per capita fecundity of C. maculatus (a) and C. chinensis (b) in the absence 332(open circles) or presence (closed circles) of heterospecific males, plotted against 333 population density. In (a), the broken line and the solid line are regression lines for 334the data in the absence or presence of C. chinensis males, respectively. In (b), the 335regression was calculated using all data, because the effect of C. maculatus males 336 on C. chinensis fecundity was not significant. 337

Fig. 1.

