# STUDIES ON CARBON DIOXIDE EVOLUTION FROM THE SOIL

1990

**TAKAHIKO NAGANAWA** 

## CONTENTS

	CHAPTER	1.	Intr	oduc	ction
--	---------	----	------	------	-------

- CHAPTER 2. Measurement of Soil Respiration in the Field: Influence of Temperature, Moisture Level, and Application of Sewage Sludge Compost and Agrochemicals 5
- CHAPTER 3. Automatic Measurement of CO<sub>2</sub> Evolution from Multiple Samples in Small Chambers. 21
- CHAPTER 4. Changes of Soil Respiration after Partial Sterilization with Autoclaving or Addition of Agrochemicals 28
- CHAPTER 5. Concentration Dependence of CO<sub>2</sub> Evolution from Soil in a Chamber with Low CO<sub>2</sub> Concentration (<2000ppm): CO<sub>2</sub> Diffusion/Sorption Model in soil 38
- CHAPTER 6. Semi-Reversible CO<sub>2</sub>-Sorption in the Soil 49
- CHAPTER 7. General Discussion and Conclusions 58

References

Acknowledgements

1

62

68

## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

Soil respiration is a useful index for biological activities in soil through the measurement of  $O_2$ -uptake and/or  $CO_2$ -evolution, which enables us to evaluate the rate of decomposition of soil organic carbon. Soil respiration is also discussed in relation to the global carbon cycle (Bolin, 1983). Various methods have been devised for the measurement of  $CO_2$ -evolution from soil and a number of researchers have conducted the measurement.

Alkaline absorbent methods of measuring CO<sub>2</sub> evolution from soil have been used for a long time. Kirita (1972 a, b, c, d, and Kirita and Hozumi, 1966) made a detailed reexamination of this method, and proposed an improved absorption method using a disc of plastic sponge as absorbent holder. He and some other researchers investigated carbon cycles under various forests using this method.

On the other hand, Jong and Schappert (1972) reported a method of calculation of soil respiration from  $CO_2$  profiles in the soil, based on the theory and application of gas chromatography in a soil aeration research, which had been reported by Tackett (1968).

The infrared gas analyzer (IRGA) has sometimes been used for the measurement of CO<sub>2</sub> evolution from soil after about 1970. Various methods using IRGA have been devised for the measurement of CO<sub>2</sub> evolution (<u>e.g.</u> Edwards and Sollins, 1973, Mathes and Schriefer, 1985, Parkinson, 1981, Sakamoto and Yoshida, 1988), and they were compared with alkaline absorbent methods. But these methods were not so readily applicable to the measurement of soil respiration from multiple plots under various field conditions because of the complexity of their analytical system.

Under laboratory conditions, various complicated methods are available (<u>e.g.</u> Cleve <u>et al.</u>, 1979, Nordgren, 1988). The IRGA methods for  $CO_2$  measurement have greatly facilitated the assessment of soil biological activity, and they are superior to the methods using an alkaline absorbent, in terms of both sensitivity and speed.

Application of respiration data taken from experiments under laboratory conditions to soil biomass estimation was first reported by Jenkinson <u>et</u> <u>al</u>. (1976 a b c d, Powlson and Jenkinson, 1976), using the effect of partial sterilization. They also derived biomass estimation from intact soil samples (Jenkinson and Powlson, 1980). Anderson and Domsch (1978) also proposed another method to evaluate soil biomass using the respiration data of glucose-amended soil. Sparling <u>et al.</u> (1981 a, b, c) measured heat output data in place of respiration. Various applications of these methods were reported by many researchers (<u>e. g.</u> Anderson and Domsch, 1985, Shan-Min <u>et al.</u>, 1987, West <u>et al</u>., 1986, Werf and Verstraete, 1987 a, b, c).

The rate of soil respiration is affected by soil temperature, soil moisture, supply of oxygen and organic matter. It is relatively easy to confirm the effect of each of these factors under laboratory conditions (e.g. Orchard and Cook, 1983, Terry <u>et</u> <u>al</u>., 1979). In the field, however, the effects of these factors on soil respiration have not been fully understood and more data under various conditions are required.

As described above, many researchers have measured  $CO_2$  evolution from the soil, and different methods have been devised for its measurement. But improvement and discussion of the methods are still going on because the data of  $CO_2$  evolution are liable to contain various types of "errors", and because simplicity of measurement is very important for its use under various conditions. Also the behavior of  $CO_2$  in the soil has not been well studied in spite of its importance in the soil biotic environment.

Kirita (1971) reported that  $CO_2$  concentration in a chamber, containing  $CO_2$  absorbent, placed on the ground, exerted an influence on  $CO_2$  evolution but this influence was small enough to be applicable to the measurement of the mean rate of soil respiration

- 2 -

- 3 -

under forest. Many of our field measurements were consistent with his, but some were not.

Martens (1987) reported a large difference between two methods of measurement of  $CO_2$  evolution from high pH soils, and attributed the difference to bicarbonate content of the soil and partial pressure of  $CO_2$  of the soil air.

Such a concentration dependence of  $CO_2$  evolution can be caused by both reversible  $CO_2$  sorption in the soil and the suppression of microbial activity under low partial pressure of  $O_2$ . But when partial pressure of  $CO_2$  is low (about <2000ppm), because partial pressure of  $O_2$  is not so variable,  $CO_2$  sorption can be the most important cause of concentration dependence.

Sorption, an important property of soil particle surfaces, has been well investigated. But  $CO_2$  sorption in the soil in relation to the measurement of soil respiration has not been well investigated because  $CO_2$  behaves complicatedly in soil.

In this thesis, the factors influencing the variation and error of  $CO_2$  evolution were quantitatively studied, using an improved method for the measurement of  $CO_2$  evolution, and an automatic setup specifically devised for the investigation.

#### CHAPTER 2

MEASUREMENT OF SOIL RESPIRATION IN THE FIELD: INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE MOISTURE,

# AND APPLICATION OF SEWAGE SLUDGE COMPOST AND AGROCHEMICALS

The soil respiration data discussed in this chapter were obtained under field conditions using a portable IRGA to measure the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in a small and simple chamber placed on the ground. This method is different from the "air flow method" ( in which the use of IRGA is almost standardized (<u>e.g.</u> Edwards and Sollins, 1973, Mathes and Schriefer, 1985)) in that it does not require many valves and long tubes for the measurement of a large number of sample plots. Accordingly, it allows for a relatively easy <u>in situ</u> measurement, although more manual work is required.

We also evaluated the influence of temperature, moisture level, and application of sewage sludge compost and agrochemicals on soil respiration under field conditions.

# Materials and Methods

Many of the chambers used for the measurements may be separated into three parts (Fig.2-1 left): the plate, the upper pipe and the lower pipe. The diameter of the pipes was approximately 15 cm and the length was approximately 10 cm for the lower part and 16 cm for the upper part. The other chambers consisted of two parts (Fig.2-1 right): the plate and the pipe, the latter having a diameter of 20 cm and a length of 17-45 cm. The latter chambers were used for the measurement in the experimental field applied with sewage sludge compost, as described below. A small hole was made in the plate, which was stoppered when the internal air was not sampled. An infrared gas analyzer (ZFP5YA31 Fuji Electric), weighing about 6kg with a plastic tube connected to the chamber was used for the measurement of CO<sub>2</sub>-concentration.



Fig.2-1 Outline of Measurement of CO<sub>2</sub> Evolution from Soil.

A: plastic plate, B C: plastic pipes, D: IRGA (infrared  $CO_2$  gas analyzer)

To measure soil respiration, the lower part of the chamber was forced into the soil at a depth of about 5 cm. The procedure was as follows:

- 1. Connecting the lower chamber with the upper one, and sealing the top of the chamber with the plate using plastic tape ( $\underline{t}=0$ ).
- 2. Inserting the connection tube of IRGA into the chamber through the small hole of the plate, and measuring the  $CO_2$ -concentration in the chamber.
- 3. Repeating the above measurement of  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  concentration.

The air volume sampled in one measurement was approximately 150 ml, and the concentration measured was corrected by Equation 2-1, where  $\underline{c}'$  is the concentration measured,  $\underline{c}$  is the corrected value,  $\underline{c}_{in}$  is the concentration in the air flowing into the chamber (350ppm or 0ppm with a lime tube for  $CO_2$  absorption),  $\underline{r}$  is the ratio of the volume sampled to that of the chamber, and the subscript  $\underline{i}$  or  $\underline{j}$  indicates the  $\underline{i}$ th or jth measurement.

$$\underline{c}_{i} = \underline{c'}_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{\underline{i}-1} \underline{r} (\underline{c'}_{j} - \underline{c}_{in}) \qquad Eq.2-1$$

The extent of the difference caused by air sampling was relatively small in comparison with the variation of the data obtained at different times. The  $CO_2$  concentration <u>c</u>  $(m^3m^{-3})$  at time <u>t</u> (h) may be expressed by Equation 2-2, where <u>p</u> is the basal area of the chamber  $(m^2)$ , <u>q</u> is the volume of the chamber  $(m^3)$ , <u>y</u> is the rate of soil respiration  $(m^3m^{-2}h^{-1})$ 

and  $\underline{a}$  is the expected value of the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration at t=0.

 $\underline{c} = \underline{vpt}/\underline{q} + \underline{a}$  $= \underline{vt}/\underline{h} + \underline{a}$ Eq.2-2

When the chamber is column-shaped,  $\underline{p}/\underline{q}=1/\underline{h}$ , where  $\underline{h}$  is the height of the chamber.

The field measurements were conducted in the Experimental Farm of Shimane University (Nishi-Kawatsu, Matsue) where the soil has a sandy loam texture, a low organic matter content (almost 2%), a pH (water) value around neutrality (approximately 7) and an undeveloped soil profile due to severe soil disturbance. No crops were planted in the plots for the measurements described in this paper, but the plots were weed-infested.

The following treatments were given: In one set, 500 ml of pesticide consisting of fenitrothion (500ppm), 10 l of fungicide consisting of chlorothalonil (750ppm), 500 ml of herbicide consisting of paraguat dichloride (480ppm), and, in another set, the same chemicals with 5 fold concentration were directly applied to the soil in the experimental plots, each 2m<sup>2</sup>, on Oct.16 in 1985, Apr.26 in 1986, Oct.8 in 1986, Apr.27 in 1987 and Nov.9 in 1987. The measurements of soil respiration were conducted during the period from March, 1987, to January, 1988.

Sewage sludge composts were applied to 4 plots, each about 4  $m^2$  at rates of 0.5t/10a for 2 plots, and 2.5t/10a for another 2 plots, on April 30th and

October 13th in 1986. Measurements of soil respiration were conducted during the period between April, 1986, and January, 1987.

Soil temperature was measured in each chamber at a depth of 5cm before and after the measurement of the soil respiration. Soil moisture was also measured with samples taken from the plots.

The time course of soil respiration after the application of the above chemicals was also followed in the laboratory in an automatic experimental setup, details of which will be given in a later chapter. The soil used in the laboratory experiment was taken from the same field as that for <u>in situ</u> measurements, and the rate of the chemicals applied was the same as that in the field, assuming an affected depth of 10 cm and a bulk density of 1.

# Results and Discussion

The chambers used for the measurements were found to be practically impermeable to  $CO_2$ . Since the  $CO_2$  concentration in the chamber increased almost linearly with time, and the difference of the temperature before and after a measurement was very small, it was concluded that the closure of the chamber did not affect soil respiration at least for the duration of the period of the measurement.

The results of the measurements indicated that the rate of soil respiration exhibited an arithmetic mean of 15.3, a geometric mean of 11.4, a minimum value of almost zero, and a maximum value of about 80, (m mol hour<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>) (103 plots, 1081 data), the two extreme values being not exceptional in the frequency distribution.

Figure 2-2 shows the annual variation of the means of the rate of soil respiration and soil temperature in the experimental field applied with agrochemicals. Both curves assumed a similar shape with a peak in summer, indicating that soil respiration is affected by temperature. Figure 2-3 shows the relationship between the rate of soil respiration and soil temperature in the field for the test on agricultural chemicals, where the rate scale was made logarithmic. The relationship was linear, with a correlation coefficient of 0.87  $(R^2=0.76)$ , indicating that 3/4 of the total variation of soil respiration were caused by soil temperature. The regression line had an intercept of 0.311 and a slope of 0.0337, suggesting that the rate of respiration approximately doubled for each  $10^{\circ}C$  rise in temperature.



Fig.2-2 Annual Variation of Rate of Soil Respiration and Soil Temperature.



Fig.2-3 Relationship between Rate of Soil Respiration and Soil Temperature. The symbols in this figure indicate the number of data in the same location, as 1: +, 2: o, 3: e, 4: m, 5: s.

Some examples of the mean of soil respiration are about 3 (m mol hour<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>) in a desert rangeland (U.S.A.) (Parker <u>et al.</u>,1983), 2 during a secondary succession (Germany) (Mathes and Schriefer, 1985), 16 in a shifting cultivation area (Thailand) (Tulaphitak <u>et al</u>., 1985) and 10 in a warm-temperate evergreen broadleaf forest (Japan) (Kirita, 1971). It was also shown in these reports that soil respiration was markedly affected by temperature. The study we report in this paper shows similar results.

The correlation coefficient between the soil moisture and soil respiration was -0.40, that between the soil moisture and soil temperature was -0.71, and that between the soil moisture and the residual of regression analysis of soil respiration on soil temperature (partial correlation coefficient) was 0.38, which indicates that the soil respiration was stimulated weakly by the soil moisture itself (excluding the influence of the soil temperature). Details of the relationships are shown in Figure 2-4 for the test on agricultural chemicals. Although data fluctuated widely, the figure shows that when soil temperature was maintained within a certain range (15-25 or 25-35°C), soil respiration tended to be enhanced when the soil moisture stayed around 18%. Thus, it appears that soil moisture level certainly affected soil respiration, but its effect was not as conspicuous as that of temperature, presumably because moisture varied in the relatively narrow

range of about 5% to 23%. For that range it did not seem to be conclusively rate-limiting. A strong suppression of soil respiration was observed only at a very low rate (<3%) of soil moisture in one plot in the same farm.



Fig.2-4 Relationship between Soil Respiration, Soil Moisture and Soil Temperature. legend: - < 15°C

x  $15^{\circ}C = \langle , \langle 25^{\circ}C \\ 0 25^{\circ}C = \langle , \langle 35^{\circ}C \\ + 35^{\circ}C = \langle \rangle$ 

Table 2-1 gives the results of the analysis of variance and the comparison of the means of the rate of soil respiration in the experimental field treated with sewage sludge compost, where a significant difference was observed between the mean rate of respiration in the plot amended with 2.5t/10a of the compost and those in the others. There was, however, no significant difference between the plot amended with 0.5t/10a and the control plot.

Table 2-1 Results of Analysis of Variance and Comparison of the Means of the Rate of Soil Respiration in the Experimental Field Treated with Sewage-Sludge-Compost.

		A
		log®
Number of data	214	214
R <sup>2</sup>	0.091	0.089
F-value	10.6	10.2
Significant Prob.	0.001	0.001
		log@
plots	means	means
2.5t <sup>#</sup>	13.8 a*	11.7 a <sup>*&amp;</sup>
0.5t	9.6 b	7.9 b
0.0t	9.3 b	7.5 b

@ Analysis after logarithmic transformation. # weight of application per 10a. \* m mol hour<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>, The means in each column followed by the same letter did not differ significantly (P>0.05). & geometrical means. The rate of soil respiration is considered to be proportional to the amount of organic matter applied. However in the field, as shown in Table 2-1, it was very difficult to validate this assumption because the variability of the data as affected by the temperature, moisture level and plant activity tended to be greater than the accuracy required for the confirmation.

The relationship between weed growth (top weight) and soil respiration was also evaluated in some fields, and a low but positive correlation was observed. Weeding of the experimental plot often, but not always, decreased soil respiration rate. Although plants contributed to most of the organic carbon in the field, the influence of plant growth, or of weeding, on soil respiration was relatively limited because the top weight may not be an adequate index of root activity. In the plots used in this study, the top weight did not give indication of the distribution of the root. Root weight, which may be an adequate index for organic carbon supply, cannot be easily measured, especially in the field.

Table 2-2 shows the results of the analysis of variance and the comparison of the means of the rate of soil respiration in the experimental plots to which pesticide, fungicide and herbicide had been applied. The probability of significance in the table indicates that there was no difference between the plots treated or not treated with agricultural chemicals.

Table 2-2 Results of Analysis of Variance and Comparison of the Means of the Rate of Soil Respiration in the Experimental Field applied with Pesticide, Fungicide or Herbicide.

		lo	g <sup>@</sup>
Number of data	385	3	85
R <sup>2</sup>	0.009	0.0	11
F-value	0.55	Ο.	71
Significant Prob.	0.770	0.6	41
			log@
plots		means	means
fenitrothion pesticide 1 <sup>#</sup> fenitrothion pesticide 5 chlorothalonil fungicide 1		16.2* 15.9 13.0 15.8	12.1 <sup>*&amp;</sup> 11.1 9.0 11.8
paraquat dichloride herbici paraquat dichloride herbici non	de 1 de 5	15.0 15.1 15.4 15.8	11.5 11.2 11.6

@ Analysis after logarithmic transformation. # 1;application at standard concentration, 5:five fold concentration. These agricultural chemicals were applied several times for about 3 years. \* m mol hour  $^{-1}$  m<sup>-2</sup>. & geometrical means.



Fig.2-5 Influence of Fungicide Application on Soil Respiration under the Laboratory Condition. A: inoculation of 0.1g of fresh soil into 100g of autoclaved soil, A0: application of 5ml of water, A1: application of 5ml of chlorothalonil fungicide at 750ppm, A5: 5 fold concentration, A20: 20 fold concentration, F: 100g of fresh soil, F1: application of 5ml of the same fungicide to 100g of fresh soil. F5: 5 fold concentration. The rate of soil respiration for a period of 4-7 days is only gradually reduced.

Figure 2-5 shows the influence of fungicide on soil respiration under laboratory conditions. The influence on unsterilized soil was negligible as reflected in the shape of the curve of time course, and the curve of fresh soil was lower than the soil treated with fungicide. However, the data were often too variable to allow a comparison among different samples without a statistical analysis. The influence on sterilized soil was observed as shown in the figure, where the higher the concentration of the fungicide, the longer the time lag before a steep rise. Similar results were also obtained with other soil samples, but the effects of herbicide and pesticide were not observed. All the laboratory experiments were conducted at 25°C and the soil moisture level was kept at about 20%.

Because soil respiration is an index of the total biological activity in the soil, it can remain relatively constant even when some organisms are inactive. ( if the others remain active at the same time. ) Therefore the soil respiration is markedly affected by a factor relevant to all the organisms, such as temperature, but only weakly by a factor that is relevant to only particular organisms, such as pesticides.

A steep rise of soil microbial activity after biocidal treatment of soil was closely studied by some workers, <u>e.g.</u> Jenkinson <u>et al</u>. (1976 a b c d, Powlson and Jenkinson, 1976). But in our study, a steep rise or even any change of soil respiration was not observed in the field applied with a standard or a 5-fold dose of agrochemicals. Sato (1981) mentioned that agrochemicals affected soil respiration minimally because soil respiration was concerned with all microbes, while nitrification was conducted only by a specific group of microbes that were affected strongly by agrochemicals.

## Summary

Soil respiration data were obtained using a portable Infrared Gas Analyzer to measure increments of the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in a small chamber placed on the ground. The chamber is made of plastic plate and pipe(s), several liters in volume, columnar in shape, and open at its bottom to be forced into the soil by about 5cm. The IRGA weighs about 6kg and has a plastic tube connecting it to the chamber and a suction pump for air sampling.

The rate of respiration showed a geometrical mean of 11.4 (m mol hour<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>) and ranged from almost zero to about 80 (m mol hour<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>) in the experimental field in the farm of Shimane University (103 plots, 1081 data). The rate was stimulated strongly by the temperature ( $R^2$ =0.76,  $Q_{10}$ =2.2, 4°C<, <40°C), and weakly by the moisture level (partial correlation coefficient: 0.4) and input of organic matter (sewage sludge compost). But the influence of agrochemicals (standard and 5 fold dose of fenitro-thion pesticide, chlorothalonil fungicide and paraquat dichloride herbicide) was too small to be de-

CHAPTER 3

tected under both the field and laboratory conditions.

> AUTOMATIC MEASUREMENT OF CO<sub>2</sub> EVOLUTION FROM MULTIPLE SAMPLES IN SMALL CHAMBERS.

As  $CO_2$  is a universal product of living organisms, the measurement of  $CO_2$  evolution is important for analyzing biological activity. Recently, the use of an infrared gas analyzer (IRGA) for  $CO_2$  measurement has facilitated the assessment of soil biological activity, especially under laboratory conditions. The IRGA method for  $CO_2$  measurement is more convenient than the methods using an alkaline absorbent, in terms of sensitivity and speed. In this chapter, the automatic setup using IRGA and the computer and program used for the continuous measurement of  $CO_2$ evolution from multiple samples are described.

# Materials and Methods

Figure 3-1 schematically illustrates the automatic setup for the measurement of  $CO_2$  evolution. A soil sample is weighed into a chamber with an air outlet tube connected to the IRGA (ZFP5YA31, Fuji Electric). The values of  $CO_2$  concentration measured with IRGA are conveyed to a personal computer (PC-9801, NEC), through an analog-digital converter (AB98-05, Adtec System Science). The chamber where the  $CO_2$  concentration is measured is selected by solenoid valves, which, together with the suction pump of the analyzer, are controlled by the computer through relays.



Fig.3-1. Outline of the automatic setup for the measurement of  $CO_2$  evolution. FM: flow meter with Sp and Sn, Sp: apparatus by which a soapsuds-film adheres to the entrance end of the glass tube, Sn: sensor for optical reflection, Li: lime tube for  $CO_2$  absorption, GB: gas bomb with known concentration B of  $CO_2$ , Ctl: pressure controller, V: solenoid valve, Pm: pump for suction, R: relay, An: infrared  $CO_2$  gas analyzer, T: thermometer, ADC: analog-digital-converter, PC: personal computer, FD: floppy disk.

Before and after the air of a certain chamber is sucked,  $CO_2$ -free air is introduced to clean up the tube and the analyzer cell in order to enhance the sensitivity and accuracy of measurement. An air flow meter is installed at the entrance end of a lime column. The lime column is used for absorption of  $CO_2$ in the air. The air-flow meter consists of a glass tube, an apparatus by which a soapsuds-film is adhered to the entrance end of the glass tube, and a sensor for optical reflection of the film. The reading of the meter is monitored by the computer.

For the measurement of negative  $CO_2$  evolution ( $CO_2$  sorption), gas with a known concentration of  $CO_2$  is supplied from a gas bomb.

The data measured are stored in a floppy disk to be retrieved for various calculations and graphics.

Carbon dioxide evolution was calculated by Equation 3-1, where C' is the  $CO_2$  concentration in a chamber,  $\Delta C'$  is the difference of C' between consecutive measurements, M and  $\overline{C}$  are, respectively, the volume of the air sucked and the mean  $CO_2$  concentration in the air in the last suction, and V is the volume of the chamber. Based on the equation,  $CO_2$ evolution of a sample (X) is represented as the sum of the increment of  $CO_2$  in the chamber ( $\Delta C' V$ ) and the  $CO_2$  output at the last measurement ( $\overline{C} M$ ). If the  $CO_2$  concentration of the input air is not zero but B (case(conc.=B) in Figure 3-1), B M must be subtracted from Equation 3-1 (Equation 3-2). Although Equation 3-1 requires at least two measurements of concentration C', the time interval between the two measurements can be set arbitrarily, provided that the concentration remains in the measurable range of the IRGA.

$$X = \overline{C} M + AC' V \qquad Eq.3-1$$

$$X = \overline{C} M + AC' V - B M$$
 Eq.3-2



Fig.3-2. Evaluation of  $CO_2$  concentration C' and total output of  $CO_2 \ \overline{C}$  M from the sequential data of  $CO_2$  concentration measured for a chamber. C:  $CO_2$  concentration, t: time,  $t_{ch}$ : duration of suction from a chamber,  $t_{sum} - t_{ch}$ : duration of direct suction of  $CO_2$ -free gas.

The method of evaluation of C' and CM based on

the sequential data of the concentration measured is illustrated in Figure 3-2, where the broken line indicates the ideal case when C' is constant during the measurement, and the solid line indicates the data in the actual measurement, in which C' decreases by the introduction of CO2-free air. These data were obtained using the input air with a known CO2 concentration (e.g. 1960 ppm). The concentration C' in the chambers with solenoid valves (AB21022, CKD) in the apparatus shown in Figure 3-1 was found to be about 1.04 times that of the output air 11 to 12 seconds after the start of suction of the air in a chamber, although this value varied depending on the design of the apparatus (e.g. type of valve used ). Accordingly these parameters must be determined for each setup before use. The total output of  $CO_2$  (  $\overline{C}$  M ) is as follows:

$$\overline{C} M = \frac{M}{t_{ch}} \int_{0}^{t_{sum}} C dt \qquad Eq.3-3$$

where  $t_{ch}$  is the duration of suction from a chamber, and  $t_{sum}$  is the sum of  $t_{ch}$  and the duration of direct suction of CO<sub>2</sub>-free gas.

The parameters used in our experiments were as follows: the range of C was 0-2000 (ppm), V $\pm$ 1.1 (1),  $t_{sum}=40$  (sec.),  $t_{ch}=30$  (sec.), M $\pm$ 260 (m1), and the interval between two consecutive measurements of C' was set from several minutes to several hours and controlled by the computer.

# Results

Carbon dioxide evolution in an empty chamber was confirmed to be almost zero. The results of measurement of  $CO_2$  absorption with a KOH solution placed in this apparatus were similar to those obtained by a titration method.

The results of the measurement of soil respiration using this apparatus were reported previously (Chapter 2) and some other data, e.g. the effect of partial sterilization and nutrient amendment on soil respiration, and  $CO_2$  sorption by soil, will appear in the chapters to follow.

## Summary

An automatic setup using an infrared gas analyzer, a computer and a program for continuous measurement of  $CO_2$  evolution from multiple samples was devised.

A soil sample is weighed into a chamber with an air outlet tube connected to IRGA. The values of  $CO_2$  concentration measured with IRGA are conveyed to a personal computer, through an analog-digital converter. The chamber where the  $CO_2$  concentration is measured is selected by solenoid valves, which, together with the suction pump of the analyzer, are controlled by the computer through relays.

 $CO_2$  evolution was calculated by the following equation, where C' is the  $CO_2$  concentration in a chamber,  $\Delta C'$  is the difference of C' between con-

secutive measurements, M and  $\overline{C}$  are, respectively, the volume of the air sucked and the mean  $CO_2$  concentration in the air in the last suction, and V is the volume of the chamber.

$$X = \overline{C}M + \Lambda C'V$$

# CHAPTER 4

CHANGES OF SOIL RESPIRATION AFTER PARTIAL STERILIZATION WITH AUTOCLAVING OR ADDITION OF AGROCHEMICALS

Partial sterilization, which is the result of biocidal treatment, is known to cause a steep rise in microbial activity in the soil. Specifically, an increase in available nitrogen after drying, burning and freezing of soil has found practical application in agriculture and has been well investigated. On the other hand, the relationship between soil respiration and partial sterilization has not been so well understood. Although the effect of soil fumigation was closely studied by Jenkinson and Powlson (1976) and some other researchers, generally speaking, the influence of agrochemicals on soil respiration has not been well investigated. In one of our previous studies, a steep rise or even any change of soil respiration was not observed in the field applied with a standard or a 5-fold dose of fungicide, pesticide and herbicide (cf. Chapter 2).

Consequently, the extent and property of biocidal treatment are thought to be important for the understanding of the effect of partial sterilization. In this paper we studied quantitatively the influence of sterilization of soil samples on soil respiration under laboratory conditions.

# Materials and Methods

The soils examined were the following:

- Sample 1 was a sandy loam soil from upland in the Experimental Farm of Shimane University. It had a low organic carbon content (1.5%) and a pH  $(H_2O)$  value of 6.3, and was sampled from the control plot in the previous experiment (cf. Chapter 2).
- Sample 2 was a light clay soil from a paddy field in the Experimental Farm of Shimane University. It had a low organic carbon content (1.3%) and a pH (H<sub>2</sub>O) value of 5.9.
- Sample 3 was an andosol from a forest on the foot slope of a volcano Mt. Daisen, Tottori Pref., which had a high organic carbon content (7.2%) and a weakly acidic pH (H<sub>2</sub>O) (5.8).

Soil moisture contents used for the experiments were set approximately at field capacity, i.e. 20% for the light clay, 15% for the sandy loam, and 38% for the andosol (on wet soil basis).

The soils used in the experiment to compare the mean rate of soil respiration were homogenized by passing them through a 2mm sieve.

The setup used in the experiment was described in a previous chapter (Chapter 3). Unless otherwise stated, all the measurements were conducted at 25°C in an air-conditioned room.

Chloropicrin and metam ammonium were added to

100g of soil in glass chambers, using a microsyringe. The soil was fumigated for 1 to 3 days after closing the chamber. And then these fumigants were removed by evacuation.

In this paper partial sterilization was simulated by mixing autoclaved (120 $^{\circ}$ C, 30min.) soil with fresh soil.

# Results and Discussion

Figure 4-1 shows the change of soil respiration after mixing autoclaved and fresh soil. The soil used for this figure is the light clay soil. Similar results were obtained with the other soil samples. When the weight ratio of autoclaved soil to total soil was more than 50%, a steep rise in the rate of soil respiration was observed after a certain time lag. When the ratio was 10% to 30%, the rate of soil respiration was relatively constant and showed a pattern similar to that of the fresh soil itself, while the mean rate of soil respiration of the mixed soil was larger than that of the fresh soil, as shown in Table 4-1.

The rates of soil respiration after application of a standard, 5-fold or 20-fold dose of chlorothalonil, a fungicide, were also relatively constant, and a little higher than that of the control soil under laboratory conditions. The data were, however, often too variable to allow a comparison among the mean rates of these treatments without a statistical analysis of many data (cf. Chapter 2). The influence of a weak biocide treatment, as in a contamination with ordinary fungicide, on soil respiration was similar to that of <10% sterilized soil and too small to be detected unless carefully statistically treated.



Fig.4-1 Change of soil respiration (CO<sub>2</sub> evolution) after mixing autoclaved and fresh soil, for simulation of partial sterilization. \* the weight ratio of autoclaved soil to total soil. The soil used for this figure is the light clay soil.

Table 4-1. Mean rate of soil respiration after mixing autoclaved and fresh soil for the simulation of partial sterilization.

	weight	ratio	of	autoclaved	soil	to	total	soil
			05	Ś	10%			30%
sandy l light d andosol	- loam clay L		2.0	)8* 36 36	2.40 1.41 3.18		3 2 5	.42 .24 .35

\* CO<sub>2</sub> evolution during first 4 day (ml/day)

The influence of soil fumigation on soil respiration is shown in Figure 4-2 and Table 4-2. The curves in Figure 4-2 have a conspicuous peak and they are similar to the curve for the soil which was sterilized 95% in the simulation study shown in Figure 4-1. The soil used for this figure is the light clay soil. Similar results were observed with the other two soils. In contrast, the application of metam ammonium, a mild fumigant, gave curves similar to those with 10% to 30% sterilized soil (see Table 4-1).



Fig.4-2 Change of soil respiration (CO<sub>2</sub> evolution) after soil fumigation with chloropicrin. \* dose (  $\mu$ l / 100g-soil ). The soil used for this figure is the light clay soil. Fumigation period was 3 days.

The results shown in Table 4-2 were supported by our plate count data of bacteria using the light clay soil under laboratory conditions, i.e. the ratio of the colony-forming units in soil after fumigation to those in untreated soil (about  $10^8$  cfu/g-soil) was only about 7 % with chloropicrin, but about 50% with metam ammonium (Yamamoto <u>et al.</u>, currently unpublished).

Table 4-2 gives the mean rate of soil respiration for the metam ammonium treatment. In this table a clear difference is seen between untreated and treated only for the sandy loam soil. There is no difference for the andosol. This condition may be explained by the fact that soil microbes in the sandy loam soil may be inhabiting in large soil pores into which the fumigant is more readily introduced.

In this manner, the conditions for an effective fumigation may be searched for by a measurement of soil respiration.

Table 4-2. Influence of soil fumigation with metam ammonium on soil respiration

fumigation period	1 0	day	3	days	
dose (µ1/100g-soil)	5	10	5	10	untreated
sandy loam	2.08*	2.29	2.12	2.30	1.63
light clay	1.06	1.16	1.15	1.23	1.03
andosol	2.03	2.05	2.01	**	2.00

\* CO2 evolution during first 4 day (ml/day); \*\* not measured

When a steep rise of soil respiration was observed, the length of time before the rise was dependent on the intensity of the sterilization treatment. It was shown that when more fumigant was applied (Table 4-3), or when more autoclaved soil was mixed (Table 4-4), the longer was the length of time before a steep rise of soil respiration. Table 4-5 gives a similar result for varied sizes of fresh soil or inoculum mixed with sterilized soil, where the smaller the inoculum size, the longer the time lag before a steep rise of soil respiration. This result may not be due to the special nature of soil microbes, for Shida et al. (1975) reported a reduction of the lag time with a larger inoculum size with pure cultures of Escherichia coli, Bacillus subtilis and others.

Table 4-3. The time lag up to the peak of soil respiration after the application of fumigant chloropicrin

fumigation period	L.	1 day		3	day	5
<pre>dose (µ1/100g-soil)_</pre>	4.6	9.3	18.5	4.6	9.3	18.5
sandy loam	0.3*	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.7
light clay	0.5	0.7	1.2	0.5	0.9	1.6
andosol	1.2	1.7	2.9	1.5	2.1	>3

\* days

Table 4-4. The time lag up to the peak of soil respiration after mixing autoclaved and fresh soil

weight	ratio of	autoclaved	soil to to	otal soil
	50%	70%	90%	95%
sandy loam	0.4*	0.6	0.7	0.7
light clay	0.7	0.9	1.4	1.7
andosol	1.2	1.2	1.5	2.0

\* days

The incubation temperature clearly affected the time lag, for the time lag at 15°C was 1.8 times longer than that at 25°C, as given in Table 4-5. The cause of difference among soils is not well known, but the distance between proliferating microbes and nutrient (dead microbes), which is in turn affected by soil texture and soil micro structure, may be a primary factor of the difference.

Table 4-5. The time lag up to the peak of soil respiration after mixing autoclaved and fresh soil (inoculation of fresh soil to autoclaved soil)

weight	ratio of	fresh	soil f	to	autoclaved	soil
at 25 <sup>0</sup> C at 15 <sup>0</sup> C	2.9* 5.2		3.6		4.6	

\* days

#### Summary

Changes of soil respiration after partial sterilization with autoclaving or addition of chemicals were measured under laboratory conditions at 25°C.

Partial sterilization was simulated by mixing autoclaved and fresh soil. When the weight ratio of autoclaved soil to total soil was about 10 to 30%, the rate of soil respiration was relatively constant and the curves were similar to that of the fresh soil itself.

When fumigant, chloropicrin was applied, or when the weight ratio in the above simulation was above 50%, soil respiration was suppressed temporarily, and then stimulated after 0.3-3 days. The higher the concentration of the chemicals, or the more the ratio of autoclaved soil, the longer the time lag before a steep rise of soil respiration.

When a small amount of fresh soil was inoculated to the autoclaved soil, the size of the inoculum decreased the time lag before the steep rise of soil respiration.

## CHAPTER 5

CONCENTRATION DEPENDENCE OF CO<sub>2</sub> EVOLUTION FROM SOIL IN A CHAMBER WITH LOW CO<sub>2</sub> CONCENTRATION (<2000ppm): CO<sub>2</sub>-DIFFUSION/SORPTION MODEL IN SOIL

Under field conditions, when  $CO_2$  concentration is measured with an infrared gas analyzer (IRGA) in a small chamber placed on the ground, the relationship among  $CO_2$  concentration <u>c</u> (m<sup>3</sup>m<sup>-3</sup>), time <u>t</u> (hours), basal area of the chamber <u>p</u> (m<sup>2</sup>), volume of the chamber <u>q</u> (m<sup>3</sup>) and the constant rate of soil respiration <u>v</u> (m<sup>3</sup>m<sup>-2</sup>hours<sup>-1</sup>), may be expressed by Eq.5-1 or Eq.5-2 (Figure 5-1, Curve A).

dc/dt = vp/q	Eq.5~1
--------------	--------

Eq.5-2

As the chamber is column-shaped, p/q=1/h, where <u>h</u> is the height of the chamber (Chapter 2). But in some fields, dc/dt is not constant but decreases during the measuring period (1 to 2 hours), even at a low  $CO_2$  concentration in the chamber (<2000ppm) (Figure 5-1, curve B).

Carbon dioxide evolution was also measured under laboratory conditions, using the method reported previously (Chapter 3). In this experiment, soil respiration was found to depend on  $CO_2$  concentration in the chamber, in such a way that  $CO_2$  evolution was stimulated by a decrease of  $CO_2$  concentration.



Fig.5-1. Relationship between  $CO_2$  concentration C and time t in a small chamber placed on the ground.

In this chapter, concentration dependence of  $CO_2$  evolution was studied to remove a source of "error" in the measurement of  $CO_2$  evolution and to study the behavior of  $CO_2$  in the soil.  $CO_2$  diffusion and sorption in the soil was discussed briefly in this chapter as a cause of a concentration dependence of  $CO_2$  evolution.

### Materials and Methods

The method for measuring  $CO_2$  evolution using IRGA under the field condition was reported in Chapter 2.

The phenomenon of concentration-dependence of  $CO_2$  evolution from a field soil may be expressed by Eq.5-3 (Figure 5-1, Curve B).

 $\frac{dc}{dt} = \frac{v/h}{k} (c-a)$ Eq.5-3 where <u>a</u> is the CO<sub>2</sub>-concentration at <u>t</u>=0 and <u>k</u> is an appropriate constant related to the diffusion coefficient. This model means that CO<sub>2</sub> evolution, (which is constant without the chamber for the measurement), is suppressed by an increase of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the chamber, <u>c-a</u>.

In order to fit the measured data to the above model (Eq.5-3), first, the differential equation was solved using a formula (Ono and Kitajima, 1981), as shown in Eq.5-4, and then a non-linear least square method was applied using a library program (SSLII, Fujitsu).

c=(v/kh)(1-exp(-kt))+a Eq.5-4

Errors included in the estimated values of  $\underline{v}$  and  $\underline{k}$  were evaluated by a computer simulation technique and the Monte Carlo method. We also assumed the normal distribution of the error of  $CO_2$  concentration measurement, the technique being similar to the one reported previously (Naganawa and Hattori 1984).

Figure 5-2 shows a schematic pathway of CO2

diffusion and sorption, where M is the rate of respiration of soil organisms, Ps and Pa are the partial pressures (concentrations) of  $CO_2$  in soil and atmosphere, respectively. Ss is the volume of  $CO_2$  sorption (stock) in the soil which is a function of Ps, D is a sort of  $CO_2$  diffusion coefficient through soil, and x is the rate of  $CO_2$  evolution from soil.



Fig.5-2. Schematic pathway of  $CO_2$  diffusion and sorption from soil organisms to atmosphere. M: rate of respiration of soil organisms, Ps or Pa: the partial pressures (concentrations) of  $CO_2$  in soil or atmosphere, respectively, Ss: volume of  $CO_2$  sorption (stock) in the soil which is a function of Ps, D: a sort of diffusion coefficient of soil, and x: rate of  $CO_2$  evolution from soil.

- 40 -

As regards the values of Ss and D in Figure 5-2, the following 4 cases may be assumed:

Case 1. D=0 (low diffusion rate).

Case 2. Ss=0 (small sorption volume).

Case 3. D is very high and Ss is considerably high.

Case 4. D is medium and Ss is considerably high.

In Case 1, Because Ps>>Pa for measurable x, Ps-Pa is almost constant for variable Pa. Consequently, x is also almost constant. (no concentration dependence). In Case 2, x is almost equal to M ( no concentration dependence). In Case 3, Ss#Function(Pa) because  $Ps \Rightarrow Pa$ , and  $x = Ss_1 - Ss_0 + M$ , where subscripts 1 and 0 mean the present and the last status, respectively. Accordingly, x = Function(Pa<sub>1</sub>) -Function  $(Pa_0) + M$ . When the function can be approximated to be linear with respect to Pa, x = Function( Pa1 - Pa0 ) (dependence on the difference between Pa). In Case 4, Ps can be considered to be a constant, because it is buffered by Ss. Accordingly x=D(Ps-Pa) can be transformed to x=b-D(Pa-a), assuming appropriate constants a and b, which has the same form as Eq.5-3 (concentration dependence).

Because this explanation is not quantified and ignores the heterogeneity of the soil, its application to the practical data is difficult. But to show the validity of the reasoning qualitatively,  $CO_2$  evolution from a light clay soil ( C 1.3%; pH(H<sub>2</sub>O) 5.9 ) to the air in the chamber, as shown in Figure 5-3, was measured using an automatic  $CO_2$  measuring

setup reported in Chapter 3. In this measurement, to observe the concentration dependence of  $CO_2$  evolution,  $CO_2$  concentration in the chambers was regulated by changing the volume of  $CO_2$  free gas flown into the chambers. The  $CO_2$  evolution and the  $CO_2$  concentration were also measured using either a loosely or compactly packed andosol derived from volcanic ashes of Mt. Daisen, a volcano in Tottori Pref., which had a high organic carbon content (7.2%) and a weakly acidic pH (H<sub>2</sub>O) (5.8).



Fig.5-3. Outline of simulation experiment of sorption /diffusion model. I: air exit into infrared  $CO_2$  gas analyzer with suction pump, 2: entrance of  $CO_2$  free air, Pa partial pressure (concentration) of  $CO_2$  in the air in the chamber which was regulated by changing the volume of  $CO_2$  free air flown into the chamber, x: rate of  $CO_2$  evolution from soil to the air in the chamber, B: 50ml glass beaker, S: 50g of soil sample amended with 0.3g of glucose for stimulating  $CO_2$  evolution, F: filter paper, A:activated alumina as an adsorbent of  $CO_2$ , E: polyethylene film (0.03mm) for controlling  $CO_2$  diffusion. right: simulation experiment of Case 4, middle: that of Case 3.

nodel	(meas-	(Eq.3	
spendence I	siduals of	near model	
ation de	the res	non-lir	• ()
concentr	test of	sing the	.1 and 2
the	i.e.	u (ət	L (Eg
est of	ition,	ed valu	r mode]
ness t	d cond	stimat	linea
. Fit	fiel	е Г Ө	d the
e 5-1	r the	valu	4) an
Tabl(	unde	ured	pue

(12) (68) 454	rious- Pref.
-50	&: the d prev rm of zuoka
-6 (24) 38 (52) 415	(ppm). ( reported ental Fa: and Shis
3 (14) 53 (69) 454	the residuals, agro-chemicals ted in Experim in Kyoto Pref.
-1 (5) -39 (48) 454	viation) of 1 pplied with 3 1989a) locat
Kyo.Shi.# non-linear ('83,'84) linear Number of data	*: mean (standard dev experimental plots ap 1y (Naganawa <u>et al</u> ., Shimane University.#

26) 33

(17) (25) 433

(9 ī

> <u>\_\_\_</u> 5

4 

(11) 39) 33

4 5

(6)\* (31) 433

NA

non-linear

t<sub>max</sub> P.S&

-

 $\mathbf{D}$ 

Exp.P. ('87)

linear of data

Number

57

5/6 --4

5/6

3/6-

1/6-3/6

0-1/6

# Results and Discussion

Table 5-1 shows the fitness test of the model of concentration dependence (non-linear model, Eq.5-3 and 4) for the field data, i.e. residual test (the value measured minus the value estimated by the above model). In this table, most of the data of measured CO2 evolution fits this non-linear equation. But, as a result of a computer simulation, the estimated value of v has a larger error than that estimated by a linear regression analysis. This indicates that the applicability of this equation is limited to the data for which the linear equation may not be appropriated. Linear regression analysis is a better method for the data from the experimental plots reported in Chapter 2, and which are shown in the upper part of Table 5-1. On the other hand, non-linear equation appears to be better applicable for a comparison of the data described in the lower part of the same table.

Figure 5-4 shows the changes of the rate of  $CO_2$ evolution and CO2 concentration. Figure 5-4E (Case 4) shows the result of the simulation experiment as shown in Figure 5-3 (right), where a medium rate of diffusion was simulated by covering the soil-containing beaker with a thin plastic film permeable to CO2. A considerable value of CO2 sorption by making the contents of the air phase high under the plastic film in the beaker. Similar curves are shown in Figure 5-4T (Case 4), where the soil used was the



Fig.5-4. Changes of the mean rate of  $CO_2$  evolution x and the concentration (partial pressure Pa). The each curve of concentration is below that of  $CO_2$  evolution, respectively. T: 2/3kg of andosol packed tightly, L: 1/4kg of andosol packed loosely, E or A: light clay soil amended with glucose and packed in a beaker as shown in Figure 5-3, and covered with a polyethylene film (E) or supplied with activated alumina (A).  $CO_2$  concentration was regulated by changing the volume of  $CO_2$  free air flown into the chamber so as to vary the concentration widely, and the mean rate of  $CO_2$  evolution was resultant parameter.

andosol packed in the chamber as tightly as in the field to suppress  $CO_2$  diffusion. These are typical cases of concentration dependence (i.e.  $CO_2$  evolution was suppressed by  $CO_2$  concentration).

The soil used in the experiment shown in Figure 5-4L (Case 3) was the same andosol, but the soil was packed loosely. In this case, a high  $CO_2$  concentration did not suppress  $CO_2$  evolution. But, when  $CO_2$  concentration in the chamber was decreased,  $CO_2$  evolution was stimulated because  $CO_2$  sorbed by soil under a high  $CO_2$  concentration was liberated under a low  $CO_2$  concentration. A similar result is shown in Figure 5-4A (Case 3). In this experiment, because activated alumina was used as a  $CO_2$  adsorbent, stimulation of  $CO_2$  evolution at a decreased  $CO_2$  concentration was more than that in Figure 5-4L. Under laboratory conditions, a stimulation as observed in Figure 5-4L was more or less observed in most of the measurements.

As discussed above, concentration dependence is caused by  $CO_2$ -sorption by the soil and modified by  $CO_2$  diffusion in the soil, though details of the phenomenon under various conditions are not known. A study of  $CO_2$  sorption by the soil will be reported in a chapter to follow.

#### Summary

Concentration dependence of CO2 evolution from

soil was studied under field and laboratory conditions.

Under field conditions, when  $CO_2$  concentration is measured with an infrared gas analyzer (IRGA) in a small and column-shaped chamber placed on the ground, the relationship among  $CO_2$  concentration  $\underline{c} (m^3m^{-3})$ , time  $\underline{t}$  (hours), height of the chamber  $\underline{h}$ , a constant rate of soil respiration  $\underline{v} (m^3m^{-2}hours^{-1})$  and an appropriate constant  $\underline{k}$ , may be expressed by the following equation.

dc/dt = v/h - k(c-a) (c=a at t=0)

Most of the data of measured  $CO_2$  evolution fitted this equation. But the applicability of this equation is limited to the data to which a linear equation is not appropriate because the estimated value of  $\underline{v}$  has a larger error than that estimated by a linear regression analysis, as found by a computer simulation.

The concentration dependence as shown above and some other variations may be elucidated by a sorption/diffusion model, i.e. it may be caused by  $\rm CO_2$ -sorption by the soil and modified by the condition of  $\rm CO_2$  diffusion in the soil.

SEMI-REVERSIBLE CO2-SORPTION IN THE SOIL

In Chapter 5, a  $CO_2$  sorption/diffusion model in the soil was briefly described. In this chapter,  $CO_2$ sorption in soil will be discussed.

 $\rm CO_2$  sorption in the soil is widely variable and is caused by many processes, e.g. absorption by alkaline substances, dissolution by soil water, stock in soil pores resulting in high partial pressure, and adsorption by surfaces of soil particle. Furthermore, the rate of these processes is also an important factor in determining the volume of  $\rm CO_2$  sorbed in the soil. The objective of this study is not to elucidate the mechanism of the sorption, but to determine the reversibility (using the time scale of 'hours') and concentration dependence of  $\rm CO_2$  sorption in the soil.

# Materials and Methods

The  $CO_2$  input/output of the soil was measured using the automatic setup described previously (Chapter 3). The soil, in which biological activity was strongly suppressed, was placed in a chamber of the setup.  $CO_2$  concentration in the chamber was controlled by the introduction of air without  $CO_2$  or that with a known concentration of  $CO_2$ .

Unless otherwise stated, the measurement was

made at 20<sup>o</sup>C in water baths in an air-conditioned room, the flow rate of the air was approximately 1 liter / hour, and the soils examined were air-dried and passed through a 65 mesh sieve.

To dry or moisten soil samples, the samples were put in evacuated and sealed chambers with anhydrous CaCl<sub>2</sub> or distilled water.

The influence of alkali on the  $CO_2$  sorption was determined by blending the soil and 1N-KOH solution in the ratio of 1:5 in a reciprocating blender for 2 hours. The soil sample was then taken out from the mixture by decantation or centrifugation, dried at  $80^{\circ}C$ , pulverized and sieved once again with a 0.2 mm sieve.

To remove organic matter, the soil sample was boiled with  $\rm H_2O_2$  solution.

# Results and Discussion

Figure 6-1 shows the sum of  $CO_2$  evolution from an autoclaved (120°C 30 min.) soil, which was the same andosol described in Chapter 5 and which contained moisture almost corresponding to the field capacity (38%). Curves 1 and 3 show  $CO_2$  evolution from the soil during a decreasing process of  $CO_2$ concentration, and curves 2 and 4 show  $CO_2$  sorption by the soil during a process of  $CO_2$  increase. As shown in the figure,  $CO_2$  sorption by the soil is reversible.



Fig.6-1 Changes of sum of  $CO_2$  evolution from an autoclaved soil as regulated by  $CO_2$  concentration in the chamber.  $CO_2$  concentration in the chamber was controlled by introduction of air with a known  $CO_2$ concentration. The measurement was made at  $25^{\circ}C$ 

The relationship between  $CO_2$  concentration and a volume of  $CO_2$  sorption (the sum of negative  $CO_2$  evolution) by the soil is shown in Fig.6-2, where curves 1, 2, 3 and 4 correspond to those in Fig.6-1.

The data used in Fig.6-2 are the same data as in Fig.6-1.



Fig.6-2 Relationship between volume of  $CO_2$  sorption (sum of negative  $CO_2$  evolution) and  $CO_2$  concentration in the chamber. The same data as in Fig.6-1 were used.

Figure 6-3 shows similar curves for the air dried soil taken from the C horizon of an andosol. Reproducibility was good with air-dried and sieved (<0.2 mm) soil samples, but not with autoclaved wet soils. Similar curves were observed not only in the soil samples but also in some powdery materials (e.g. activated alumina, activated carbon and aluminum hydroxide).



Fig.6-3 Relationship between volume of  $CO_2$  sorption (sum of negative  $CO_2$  evolution) and  $CO_2$  concentration in the chamber and definition of a 'volume' of  $CO_2$  sorption **VS**.

The difference between sorption process and desorption process was observed and this is shown in Figs.6-2 and 6-3. The difference may observed when sorption at a certain concentration does not reach an equilibrium and/or the sorption may have a character of hysteresis. The details of the problem involved in this curve is not yet known. But, to determine the nature of  $CO_2$  sorption by soils of various types and characters, we define a 'volume' of  $CO_2$ sorption to facilitate a comparison between samples. The 'volume' is the difference between the volume of  $CO_2$  sorption at the chamber  $CO_2$  concentration of 1500 ppm and at 500 ppm, as shown in Fig.6-3. Reproducibility of the measured 'volume' under the condition described above was fairly good.

Table 6-1 Relationship between 'volume' of  $CO_2$  sorption and soil moisture

soil name dr	ied wi	ith CaCl	2 air	dried	moi	Istened
	V.S.	soil moist.	V.S.	soil moist.	V.S.	soil moist.
Aira Shichihonzakura Imaichi	0.80 1.22 1.92	6.2 9.6 18.9	0.72 1.23 1.71	7.5 11.7 23.6	0.55 1.04 1.45	10.8 14.6 32.0

V.S., 'volume' of CO<sub>2</sub> sorption ( ml / 20g wet soil ); soil moisture, %; Moisture tension of the soil dried with CaCl<sub>2</sub>, dried in air or moistened can be estimated about >300, 30 or 3> MPa, respectively. Aira, Imaichi and Shichihonzakura are subsoils of andosols. Table 6-1 shows the relationship between the  $CO_2$  sorption volume and the soil moisture. A little difference of the sorption volume was observed in one soil. But, among the different soils under the same moisture condition <u>i.e.</u> at the same water tension, the more the moisture, the higher the 'volume' of  $CO_2$  sorption. Consequently, it is possible to conclude that the 'volume' of  $CO_2$  sorption is affected by a water retentivity of the soil, not by a moisture content itself.

Table 6-2 Influence of alkaline and/or  $\rm H_2O_2$  treatment on 'volume' of  $\rm CO_2$  sorption

soil (horiz or powder	on)	control	0.1N-KOH	<sup>H</sup> 2 <sup>O</sup> 2	<sup>Н</sup> 2 <sup>О</sup> 2 0.1N-КОН
mineral soil mineral soil kaolin andosol andosol Al(OH) <sub>3</sub>	(AP) (C) (A) (C)	0.0 (6.4 0.1 (4.7 0.0 (4.0 0.0 (5.2 0.7 (5.6 0.4 (9.4	) 0.9 ) 2.8 ) 2.0 ) 0.7 ) 3.1 ) 2.0	0.0	2.4 1.1 3.3

\* 'volume' of  $CO_2$  sorption (ml / 20g air dried soil ); in (),  $pH(H_20)$ . The mineral soil (AP) is a light clay soil described in Chapter 4 and 5, and has an organic carbon content of 1.3%. The andosol (C) is Aira horizon described in text. When solutions or powders of alkali or alkaline carbonates were used, reversible  $CO_2$  sorption was not observed. With soils, filter paper or kaolin,  $CO_2$ sorption was not observed, but when these samples were mixed with a small amount of KHCO<sub>3</sub> or treated with a KOH solution, they exhibited a reversible  $CO_2$ sorption. For example, Table 6-2 shows a result of the 0.1N-KOH treatment, where the 'volume' of  $CO_2$ sorption of the soil or the mineral was increased by the treatment.

Table 6-2 also shows the influence of the removal of organic matter by boiling in  $H_2O_2$  solution. The 'volume' increase in the surface soils was less than that in subsoil with 0.1N-KOH. But the  $H_2O_2$  treatment for the removal of organic matter increased the 'volume' after KOH treatment. Concentration dependence of  $CO_2$  evolution in the field, which was often observed on the exposed subsoil, may be related to the result of this experiment, i.e. the absence of organic matter.

The total quantity of Lewis base located on the soil-air interface may be related to the capacity of reversible  $CO_2$  sorption of an air-dried soil at low atmospheric partial pressure of  $CO_2$ . The quantity of the Lewis base in aqueous solution can be fairly well correlated with variable charge of the soil, but the nature and the quantity of the functional groups concerned under air-dried conditions are currently unknown.

#### Summary

Semi-reversible  $CO_2$  sorption on the air-dried and 0.2mm sieved soils was studied with the same setup as shown in Chapter 3.

A large amount of  $CO_2$  sorption on andosol subsoil was observed. From the soil samples, it was possible to conclude that the 'volume' of  $CO_2$  sorption is affected by a water retentivity of the soil, not by a moisture content itself. An increase of sorption with pH rise was observed especially on subsoils or on soils where organic matter has been removed by  $H_2O_2$  treatment.

#### CHAPTER 7

GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Measurement of  $CO_2$  evolution with infrared gas analyzer (IRGA) is easy, rapid and accurate, because  $CO_2$  concentration in the object chamber is directly and rapidly measured. But the rate of  $CO_2$  evolution from the soil is still very variable, and it is important to interpret the data of  $CO_2$  evolution rightly.

As shown in Chapter 2, even fundamental factors, such as soil moisture and presence of organic matter, only minimally affect  $CO_2$  evolution from the soil in the field. However, the effect of other factors (unknown or error factors) on  $CO_2$  evolution are greater. This exemplifies the difficulty of interpreting the data on the influence of factors controlled by researchers, <u>e.g.</u> the application of agrochemicals.

The reason for the minimal effect of the factors cited above on soil respiration could be either species-specificity or location-specificity of the treatments. A certain agrochemical may affect only a certain group of organisms while an incorporation of organic matter may enhance the activity of organisms that have ready access to the organic matter.

On the contrary, soil temperature and applica-

tion of chloropicrin fumigant strongly affected  $CO_2$  evolution, because these factors could affect almost the whole biota.

The activity of soil organisms could be directly affected by soil inorganic materials acting as a barrier between an organism and a substance which either inhibits or nourishes it. The rate of  $CO_2$ evolution from soil was not only affected by the activity of soil organisms but also by the physical and chemical characters of soil inorganic materials. The latter may also be a source of error in the measurement of soil biological activity. Accordingly,  $CO_2$  sorption and diffusion in the soil are important problems for the measurement of the rate of  $CO_2$ evolution from the soil.

Quantitative, simulated experiments on the problems of  $CO_2$  sorption/diffusion and a special experiment on  $CO_2$  sorption by air-dried soils were conducted (Chapters 5 and 6). The influence of these problems on various types of measurement of  $CO_2$  evolution has not yet been fully evaluated. The author holds the view that  $CO_2$  sorption is not so serious in experiments with ordinary soils in Japan.

The method of measurement of CO<sub>2</sub> evolution from the soil and the factors affecting it were studied in the present work. However, there still remain important, interesting and unsolved questions.

The alkaline absorbent method is still an impor-

tant method for the measurement of  $CO_2$  evolution from the soil especially in vast experimental sites such as forest, rangeland, and so on. However, a reexamination of the method is desirable for more accurate and convenient evaluation of the rate of  $CO_2$  evolution under field conditions, in comparison with IRGA methods.

The unknown factors which affect the variation of the CO<sub>2</sub> evolution data are also important subjects for further investigations. The spatial variability of the activity of soil organisms is especially relevant to soil sampling methods, and it is also relevant to mathematical and ecological investigations of soil ecosystems.

An investigation of  $CO_2$  sorption and diffusion in the soil is also required. This is not only for a more accurate measurement of  $CO_2$  evolution, but also for the study of soil aeration. Because sorption is concerned with the interaction between soil minerals and C=O bonds, an investigation about this may involve soil mineralogy and humus studies.

 $CO_2$  evolution from the soil is a pathway of  $CO_2$ flux into the above-ground part of ecosystem. Plants could take up  $CO_2$  from the soil along with water. This may be another main pathway of  $CO_2$  flux and an error factor of the measurement of soil microbial activity. In our experiment, changes of  $CO_2$  evolution in the field within a 24-hour period were not affected so strongly by the soil temperature. One of the reasons of this may be the influence of plant activity on  $CO_2$  evolution. An easy method for a measurement of this flux in the field should be developed.

# Conclusions

- The rate of CO<sub>2</sub> evolution from the soil is highly variable and the variation is caused not by the method of measurement but by the variation of the activity of soil organisms themselves.
- The factors affecting almost the whole biota, such as the soil temperature, strongly affects the rate of soil respiration.
- 3. The factors having either species-specificity or location-specificity, such as an application of agrochemicals or incorporation of organic matter, little affects the rate of soil respiration.
- 4. CO<sub>2</sub> sorption and diffusion in the soil are important factors for a more accurate measurement of the rate of soil respiration.

#### REFERENCES

- Anderson, J. P. E. and Domsch, K. H. 1978: A physiological method for the quantitative measurement of microbial biomass in soils, Soil Biol. Biochem., 10, 215-221.
- Anderson, T. H. and Domsch, K. H. 1985: Maintenance carbon requirements of actively metabolizing microbial populations under <u>in situ</u> conditions, Soil Biol. Biochem., **17**, 197-203.
- Bolin, B. 1983: The carbon cycle, Edited by Bolin and Cook, 1983 SCOPE, The major biochemical cycles and their interactions, Chapter 2 Section 2, 41-45.
- Cleve, K. V., Coyne, P. I., Goodwin, E., Johnson, C. and Kelley M. 1979: A comparison of for methods for measuring respiration in organic material, Soil Biol. Biochem., 11, 237-246.
- Edwards, N.T. and Sollins, P. 1973: Continuous Measurement of Carbon Dioxide Evolution from Partitioned Forest Floor Components, Ecology, 54, 406-412.
- Jenkinson, D. S. and Powlson, D. S. 1976 a: The effects of biocidal treatments on metabolism in soil- I. Fumigation with chloroform, Soil Biol. Biochem., 8, 167-177.
- Jenkinson, D. S., Powlson, D. S. and Wedderburn, R. W. M. 1976 b: The effects of biocidal treatments

on metabolism in soil- III. The relationship between soil biovolume measured by optical microscopy, and the flush of decomposition caused by fumigation, Soil Biol. Biochem., 8, 189-202.

- Jenkinson, D. S. 1976 c: The effects of biocidal treatments on metabolism in soil- IV. The decomposition of fumigated organisms in soil, Soil Biol. Biochem., 8, 203-208.
- Jenkinson, D. S. and Powlson, D. S. 1976 d: The effects of biocidal treatments on metabolism in soil- V. A method for measuring soil biomass, Soil Biol. Biochem., 8, 209-213.
- Jenkinson, D. S. and Powlson, D. S. 1980: Measurement of microbial biomass in intact soil cores and in sieved soil, Soil Biol. Biochem., **12**, 579-581.
- Jong, E. and Schappert, H. J. V. 1972: Calculation of soil respiration and activity from CO<sub>2</sub> profiles in the soil, Soil Science, 113, 328-333.
- Kirita, H. and Hozumi, K. 1966: Reexamination of the absorption method of measuring soil respiration under field conditions: I. Effect of the amount of KOH on observed values, Seiri Seitai, 14, 23-31, (in Japanese with English summary).
- Kirita, H. 1971 a: Reexamination of the absorption method of measuring soil respiration under field conditions: II. Effect of the size of the apparatus on CO<sub>2</sub> absorption rates, Jpn. J. Ecol., 21, 37-42, (in Japanese with English summary). Kirita, H. 1971 b: Reexamination of the absorption

method of measuring soil respiration under field conditions: III. Combined effect of the covered ground area and the surface area of KOH solution on  $CO_2$  absorption rates, Jpn. J. Ecol., 21, 43-47, (in Japanese with English summary).

- Kirita, H. 1971 c: Reexamination of the absorption method of measuring soil respiration under field conditions: IV. An improved absorption method using a disk of plastic sponge as absorbent holder, Jpn. J. Ecol., 21, 119-127, (in Japanese with English summary).
- Kirita, H. 1971 d: Studies of soil respiration in warm-temperate evergreen broadleaf forest of southwestern Japan. Jpn. J. Ecol. 21, 230-244, (in Japanese with English summary).
- Martens, R. 1987: Estimation of Microbial biomass in soil by the respiration method: importance of soil pH and flushing methods for the measurement of respired CO<sub>2</sub>, Soil Biol. Biochem., **19**, 77-81.
- Mathes, K., and Schriefer, Th 1985: Soil respiration during secondary succession: Influence of temperature and moisture, Soil Biol. Biochem., 17, 205-211.
- Naganawa, T. and Hattori, T. 1984: Simulation approaches for the application of the colony forming equation to soil bacteria, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 30, 579-582.
- Nordgren A. 1988: Apparatus for the continuous, long term monitoring of soil respiration rate in large

numbers of samples, Soil Biol. Biochem., 20, 955-957.

- Ono, Y. and Kitajima, N. 1981: Differential equation, Mathematics for chemists, 59-75, Tokyo Kagaku Donin, (in Japanese).
- Orchard, V. A. and Cook, F. J. 1983: Relationship between soil respiration and soil moisture, Soil Biol. Biochem., 15, 447-453.
- Parker, L. W., Miller, J., Steinberger Y. and Whitford, W. G. 1983: Soil respiration in a Chihuahuan Desert Rangeland, Soil Biol. Biochem., 15, 303-309.
- Parkinson, K. J. 1981: An improved method for measuring soil respiration in the field, Journal of Applied Ecology, 18, 221-228.
- Powlson, D. S. and Jenkinson, D. S. 1976: The effects of biocidal treatments on metabolism in soil- II. Gamma irradiation, autoclaving, air-drying and fumigation, Soil Biol. Biochem., 8, 179-188.
- Sakamoto, K. and Yoshida, T. 1988: <u>In situ</u> measurement of soil respiration rate by a dynamic method, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., **34**, 195-202.
- Sato, K. 1981: Agrochemicals and soil microbe, Soil Microbe, 389-419, Hakuyusha, (in Japanese).
- Shan-Min, S., Brookes, P. C. and Jenkinson, D. S. 1987: Soil respiration and the measurement of microbial biomass C by the fumigation technique in fresh and in air dried soil, Soil Biol. Biochem., 19, 153-158.

- Shida, T., Komagata, K. and Mitsugi, K. 1975: Reduction of lag time in bacterial growth: 1. effect of inoculum size and nutrients, J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol., 21, 75-86.
- Sparling, G. P. 1981: Microcalorimetry and other methods to assess biomass and activity in soil, Soil Biol. Biochem., 13, 93-98.
- Sparling, G. P., Ord, B. G. and Vaugham D. 1981: Microbial biomass and activity in soils amended with glucose, Soil Biol. Biochem., 13, 99-104.
- Sparling, G. P. 1981: Heat output of the soil biomass, Soil Biol. Biochem., 13, 373-376.
- Tackett, J. L. 1968: Theory and application of gas chromatography in soil aeration research, Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc., 32 346-35?.
- Terry, R. E., Nelson, D. W. and Sommers L. E. 1979: Carbon cycling during sewage sludge decomposition in soils, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 43 494-499.
- Tulaphitak, T., Pairintra, C. and Kyuma, K. 1985: Changes in soil fertility and tilth under shifting cultivation: 3. Soil respiration and soil tilth, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 31, 251-261.
- Werf, H. V. and Werstraete, W. 1987: Estimation of active soil microbial biomass by mathematical analysis of respiration curve: Development and verification of the model, Soil Biol. Biochem., 19, 253-260.
- Werf, H. V. and Werstraete, W. 1987: Estimation of active soil microbial biomass by mathematical

analysis of respiration curve: Calibration of the test procedure, Soil Biol. Biochem., 19, 261-265.

- Werf, H. V. and Werstraete, W. 1987: Estimation of active soil microbial biomass by mathematical analysis of respiration curve: Relation to conventional estimation of total biomass, Soil Biol. Biochem., 19, 267-271.
- West, A. W., Ross, D. J. and Cowling, J. C. 1986: Changes in microbial C, N, P and ATP contents, numbers and respiration on storage of soil, Soil Biol. Biochem., 18, 141-148.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am especially grateful to Dr. Kazutake Kyuma, Professor of Soil Science, Kyoto University, who, for about 8 years, kindly guided and supervised my work throughout the course of study and sustained in me the keenness and enthusiasm necessary for an investigation of this nature.

I am greatly indebted to Dr. Kadzunori Tatsuyama, Professor of Environmental Biology, Shimane University, and Dr. Hiroki Yamamoto, Associate Professor of the same.

My thanks are due to the staff members of Laboratory of Soil Science, Kyoto University; Dr. Yoshiro Matsuo, Associate Professor, Dr. Hideaki Furukawa, Miss Uta Nakaoku, Mr. Nagao Okagawa, Dr. Shigeru Araki, Dr. Katsutoshi Sakurai and Mr. Hideaki Hirai.

I acknowledge with many thanks the cooperation extended by the students of the Laboratory of Agroenvironmental Betterment, Shimane university; especially Mr. Yutaka Yamamoto and Mr. Yasushi Moriyama, who shared hardship with me in carrying out the experiments.