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#### Abstract

The (non)triviality of Samelson products of the inclusions of the spheres into $p$-regular exceptional Lie groups is completely determined, where a connected Lie group is called $p$-regular if it has the $p$-local homotopy type of a product of spheres.
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## 1. Introduction and statement of the result

For a homotopy associative H -space with inverse $X$, the correspondence $X \wedge X \rightarrow X,(x, y) \mapsto x y x^{-1} y^{-1}$ induces a binary operation

$$
\langle-,-\rangle: \pi_{i}(X) \otimes \pi_{j}(X) \rightarrow \pi_{i+j}(X)
$$

called the Samelson product in $X$. We consider the basic Samelson products in $p$-regular Lie groups. Let $G$ be a compact simply connected Lie group. By the Hopf theorem, $G$ has the rational homotopy type of the product $S^{2 n_{1}-1} \times \cdots \times S^{2 n_{\ell}-1}$, where $n_{1} \leq \cdots \leq n_{\ell}$. The sequence $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{\ell}$ is called the type of $G$ and is denoted by $\mathrm{t}(G)$. We here list the types of exceptional Lie groups.

[^0]| $G$ | $\mathrm{t}(G)$ | $G$ | $\mathrm{t}(G)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ | 2,6 | $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | $2,5,6,8,9,12$ |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{4}$ | $2,6,8,12$ | $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | $2,6,8,10,12,14,18$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ | $2,8,12,14,18,20,24,30$ |

We say that $G$ is $p$-regular if it has the $p$-local homotopy type of a product of spheres. By the classical result of Serre, it is known that $G$ is $p$-regular if and only if $p \geq n_{\ell}$, in which case

$$
G_{(p)} \simeq S_{(p)}^{2 n_{1}-1} \times \cdots \times S_{(p)}^{2 n_{\ell}-1}
$$

Suppose that $G$ is $p$-regular, and let $\epsilon_{2 n_{i}-1}$ be the composite

$$
S^{2 n_{i}-1} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{incl}} S_{(p)}^{2 n_{1}-1} \times \cdots \times S_{(p)}^{2 n_{\ell}-1} \simeq G_{(p)}
$$

where if there are more than one $i$ in $\mathrm{t}(G)$, we distinguish the corresponding $\epsilon_{2 i-1}$ but not write it explicitly. The Samelson products $\left\langle\epsilon_{2 i-1}, \epsilon_{2 j-1}\right\rangle$ are fundamental in studying the homotopy (non)commutativity of $G_{(p)}$ as in $[\mathrm{KK}]$ and its applications (See [KKTh, KKTs, Th], for example). So we would like to determine their (non)triviality. In [B], Bott computes the Samelson products in the classical groups $\mathrm{U}(n)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$. Then by combining with the information of the $p$-primary component of the homotopy groups of spheres $[\mathrm{To}]$, the (non)triviality of the Samelson products $\left\langle\epsilon_{2 i-1}, \epsilon_{2 j-1}\right\rangle$ is completely determined when $G=\operatorname{SU}(n), \operatorname{Sp}(n), \operatorname{Spin}(2 n+1)$, where $\operatorname{Sp}(n)_{(p)} \simeq \operatorname{Spin}(2 n+1)_{(p)}$ as loop spaces by $[\mathrm{F}]$ since $p$ is odd. For example, when $G=\operatorname{SU}(n)$ and $p \geq n$, the type of $G$ is given by $2, \ldots, n$ and

$$
\left\langle\epsilon_{2 i-1}, \epsilon_{2 j-1}\right\rangle \neq 0 \quad \text { if and only if } \quad i+j>p
$$

So apart from $\operatorname{Spin}(2 n)$, all we have to consider is the exceptional Lie groups. The (non)triviality of the Samelson products $\left\langle\epsilon_{2 i-1}, \epsilon_{2 j-1}\right\rangle$ is known only in a few cases, and the most general result so far is:

Theorem 1.1 (Hamanaka and Kono [HK]). Let $G$ be a p-regular exceptional Lie group. If $i, j \in \mathrm{t}(G)$ satisfy $i+j=p+1$, then $\left\langle\epsilon_{2 i-1}, \epsilon_{2 j-1}\right\rangle$ is nontrivial.

Remark 1.2. The Samelson products in $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ are first computed in [O], and some more Samelson products in $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ are computed in [KK].

Based on this result, Kono posed the following conjecture (in a private communication).

Conjecture 1.3. Let $G$ be a $p$-regular exceptional Lie group. For $i, j \in \mathrm{t}(G)$, there exists $k \in \mathrm{t}(G)$ satisfying $i+j=k+p-1$ if and only if $\left\langle\epsilon_{2 i-1}, \epsilon_{2 j-1}\right\rangle$ is nontrivial.

Notice that the only if part of the conjecture follows immediately from the information of the $p$-primary component of the homotopy groups of spheres [To] (cf. [KK]). We will prove the if part and obtain:

Theorem 1.4. Conjecture 1.3 is true.
The paper is structured as follows. In $\S 2$, we reduce the nontriviality of the Samelson products $\left\langle\epsilon_{2 i-1}, \epsilon_{2 j-1}\right\rangle$ in the $p$-regular Lie group $G$ to a certain condition of the Steenrod operation $\mathcal{P}^{1}$ on the $\bmod p$ cohomology of the classifying space $B G$. Then for a $p$-regular exceptional Lie group $G$, we compute the $\bmod p$ cohomology of $B G$ as the ring of invariants of the Weyl group of $G$. With this description of the $\bmod p$ cohomology of $B G$, we compute the action of $\mathcal{P}^{1}$ on it. In $\S 3$, we prove that the above condition on $\mathcal{P}^{1}$ is satisfied to complete the proof of Theorem 1.4.

## 2. Mod $p$ cohomology of $B G$

### 2.1. Reduction

Let $G$ be a compact simply connected Lie group. We first reduce Theorem 1.4 to the action of the Steenrod operation $\mathcal{P}^{1}$ on the $\bmod p$ cohomology of the classifying space $B G$ as in [HK, KK]. Recall that if the integral homology of $G$ has no $p$-torsion, the $\bmod p$ cohomology of the classifying space $B G$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{*}(B G ; \mathbb{Z} / p)=\mathbb{Z} / p\left[x_{2 i} \mid i \in \mathrm{t}(G)\right], \quad\left|x_{j}\right|=j \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

When there are more than one $i$ in $\mathrm{t}(G)$, we distinguish corresponding $x_{2 i}$ but do not write it explicitly as in the case of $\epsilon_{2 i-1}$ in the preceding section.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $G$ is p-regular. For $i, j \in \mathrm{t}(G)$, if there is $k \in \mathrm{t}(G)$ such that $\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{2 k}$ involves $\lambda x_{2 i} x_{2 j}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$, then $\left\langle\epsilon_{2 i-1}, \epsilon_{2 j-1}\right\rangle$ is nontrivial.

Proof. Let $\bar{\epsilon}_{2 i}: S^{2 i} \rightarrow B G_{(p)}$ be the adjoint of $\epsilon_{2 i-1}$ for $i \in \mathrm{t}(G)$, and so we may assume that $\bar{\epsilon}_{2 i}^{*}\left(x_{2 i}\right)=u_{2 i}$ for a generator $u_{2 i}$ of $H^{2 i}\left(S^{2 i} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$. Assume that the Samelson product $\left\langle\epsilon_{2 i-1}, \epsilon_{2 j-1}\right\rangle$ is trivial, which is equivalent to the triviality of the Whitehead product $\left[\bar{\epsilon}_{2 i}, \bar{\epsilon}_{2 j}\right]$ by the adjointness of Samelson products and Whitehead products. Then the map $\bar{\epsilon}_{2 i} \vee \bar{\epsilon}_{2 j}: S^{2 i} \vee S^{2 j} \rightarrow B G_{(p)}$ extends to a map $\mu: S^{2 i} \times S^{2 j} \rightarrow B G_{(p)}$, up to homotopy. Hence since $\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{2 k}$ involves $\lambda x_{2 i} x_{2 j}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$, we have

$$
\mu^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{2 k}\right)=\mu^{*}\left(\lambda x_{2 i} x_{2 j}\right)=\lambda u_{2 i} \times u_{2 j} \neq 0 .
$$

On the other hand, by the naturality of $\mathcal{P}^{1}$, we also have

$$
\mu^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{2 k}\right)=\mathcal{P}^{1} \mu^{*}\left(x_{2 k}\right)=0
$$

since $\mathcal{P}^{1}$ is trivial on $H^{*}\left(S^{2 i} \times S^{2 j} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore the proof is completed.

By Lemma 2.1, we obtain the if part of Theorem 1.4 by the following.
Theorem 2.2. Let $G$ be a p-regular exceptional Lie group. If $i, j, k \in \mathrm{t}(G)$ satisfy $i+j=k+p-1, \mathcal{P}^{1} x_{2 k}$ involves $\lambda x_{2 i} x_{2 j}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$.

The rest of this paper is devoted to prove Theorem 2.2.

### 2.2. Generators

In this subsection, we choose generators of the $\bmod p$ cohomology of $B G$. We set notation. Hereafter, let $p$ be a prime greater than 5. Recall that the integral homology of $G$ is $p$-torsion free for $p>5$, and so the $\bmod p$ cohomology of $B G$ is given as (1). For a homomorphism $\rho: H \rightarrow K$ between Lie groups, we denote the induced map $B H \rightarrow B K$ ambiguously by $\rho$.

We first choose generators of the $\bmod p$ cohomology of $B \mathrm{E}_{8}$. Let $T$ be a maximal torus of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$. Then as in $[\mathrm{MT}]$, since $p>5$, the inclusion $T \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{8}$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{*}\left(B \mathrm{E}_{8} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right) \stackrel{\cong}{\rightarrow} H^{*}(B T ; \mathbb{Z} / p)^{W\left(\mathrm{E}_{8}\right)}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the right hand side is the ring of invariants of the Weyl group $W\left(\mathrm{E}_{8}\right)$. We calculate invariants of $W\left(\mathrm{E}_{8}\right)$ through a maximal rank subgroup of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$. Let $\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{8}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{8}$ which is regarded as the Lie algebra of $T$. As in [MT], we choose simple roots of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ as
$\alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{8}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}+\epsilon_{4}+\epsilon_{5}+\epsilon_{6}+\epsilon_{7}\right), \quad \alpha_{2}=\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}, \quad \alpha_{i}=\epsilon_{i-1}-\epsilon_{i-2} \quad(3 \leq i \leq 8)$,
by which the extended Dynkin diagram of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ is described as

where $\tilde{\alpha}$ is the dominant root. Removing $\alpha_{1}$ from the diagram, we get the maximal rank subgroup of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ which is of type $\mathrm{D}_{8}$. Then there is a homomorphism $\rho_{1}: \operatorname{Spin}(16) \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{8}$ which induces a monomorphism

$$
\rho_{1}^{*}: H^{*}\left(B \mathrm{E}_{8} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right) \rightarrow H^{*}(B \operatorname{Spin}(16) ; \mathbb{Z} / p)
$$

By putting $t_{1}=-\epsilon_{1}, t_{8}=-\epsilon_{8}$ and $t_{i}=\epsilon_{i}(2 \leq i \leq 7), H^{*}(B T ; \mathbb{Z} / p)$ is identified with the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z} / p\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{8}\right]$. Let $c_{i}$ and $p_{i}$ be the $i$-th elementary symmetric functions in $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{8}$ and in $t_{1}^{2}, \ldots, t_{8}^{2}$, respectively. As in (2), we have an isomorphism

$$
H^{*}(B \operatorname{Spin}(16) ; \mathbb{Z} / p) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{8}\right]^{W\left(\mathrm{D}_{8}\right)}=\mathbb{Z} / p\left[p_{1}, \ldots, p_{7}, c_{8}\right],
$$

and then since $W\left(\mathrm{E}_{8}\right)$ is generated by $W\left(\mathrm{D}_{8}\right)$ and the reflection $\varphi$ corresponding to the simple root $\alpha_{1}$, it follows from (2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{*}\left(B \mathrm{E}_{8} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / p\left[p_{1}, \ldots, p_{7}, c_{8}\right] \cap \mathbb{Z} / p\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{8}\right]^{\varphi} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence generators of $H^{*}\left(B \mathrm{E}_{8} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$ are chosen as elements of $\mathbb{Z} / p\left[p_{1}, \ldots, p_{7}, c_{8}\right]$ which are invariant under $\varphi$. In [HK], the action of $\varphi$ on $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{8}, c_{8} \in$ $\mathbb{Z} / p\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{8}\right]$ is described as

$$
\varphi\left(p_{1}\right)=p_{1}, \quad \varphi\left(p_{i}\right) \equiv p_{i}+h_{i} c_{1}, \quad \varphi\left(c_{8}\right) \equiv c_{8}-\frac{1}{4} c_{7} c_{1} \quad \bmod \left(c_{1}^{2}\right)
$$

for $2 \leq i \leq 8$, where
$h_{2}=\frac{3}{2} c_{3}, \quad h_{3}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(5 c_{5}+c_{3} c_{2}\right), \quad h_{4}=\frac{1}{2}\left(7 c_{7}+3 c_{5} c_{2}-c_{4} c_{3}\right)$,
$h_{5}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(5 c_{7} c_{2}-3 c_{6} c_{3}+c_{5} c_{4}\right), \quad h_{6}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(5 c_{8} c_{3}-3 c_{7} c_{4}+c_{6} c_{5}\right), \quad h_{7}=\frac{1}{2}\left(3 c_{8} c_{5}-c_{7} c_{6}\right)$.

We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{x}_{4}= & p_{1}, \\
\hat{x}_{16}= & 12 p_{4}-\frac{18}{5} p_{3} p_{1}+p_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{10} p_{2} p_{1}^{2}+168 c_{8}, \\
\hat{x}_{24}= & 60 p_{6}-5 p_{5} p_{1}-5 p_{4} p_{2}+3 p_{3}^{2}-p_{3} p_{2} p_{1}+\frac{5}{36} p_{2}^{3}+110 c_{8} p_{2}, \\
\hat{x}_{28}= & 480 p_{7}+40 p_{5} p_{2}-12 p_{4} p_{3}-p_{3} p_{2}^{2}-3 p_{4} p_{2} p_{1}+\frac{24}{5} p_{3}^{2} p_{1}+\frac{11}{36} p_{2}^{3} p_{1}+312 c_{8} p_{3}-82 c_{8} p_{2} p_{1}, \\
\hat{x}_{36}= & 480 p_{7} p_{2}+72 p_{6} p_{3}-30 p_{5} p_{4}-\frac{25}{2} p_{5} p_{2}^{2}+9 p_{4} p_{3} p_{2}-\frac{18}{5} p_{3}^{3}-\frac{1}{4} p_{3} p_{2}^{3}+1020 c_{8} p_{5}+102 c_{8} p_{3} p_{2} \\
& -42 p_{6} p_{2} p_{1}+9 p_{5} p_{3} p_{1}-\frac{3}{2} p_{4} p_{2}^{2} p_{1}+\frac{9}{5} p_{3}^{2} p_{2} p_{1}+\frac{1}{24} p_{2}^{4} p_{1}-330 c_{8} p_{4} p_{1}-\frac{89}{2} c_{8} p_{2}^{2} p_{1}-300 c_{8}^{2} p_{1} \\
& +\frac{89}{4} p_{5} p_{2} p_{1}^{2}-\frac{15}{2} p_{4} p_{3} p_{1}^{2}-\frac{11}{20} p_{3} p_{2}^{2} p_{1}^{2}+156 c_{8} p_{3} p_{1}^{2}+\frac{5}{16} p_{4} p_{2} p_{1}^{3}+\frac{9}{8} p_{3}^{2} p_{1}^{3}+\frac{27}{320} p_{2}^{3} p_{1}^{3} \\
& -\frac{323}{8} c_{8} p_{2} p_{1}^{3}-\frac{195}{32} p_{5} p_{1}^{4}-\frac{13}{64} p_{3} p_{2} p_{1}^{4}-\frac{7}{192} p_{2}^{2} p_{1}^{5}+\frac{195}{32} c_{8} p_{1}^{5}+\frac{3}{32} p_{3} p_{1}^{6}-\frac{1}{1024} p_{2} p_{1}^{7}, \\
\hat{x}_{40}= & 480 p_{7} p_{3}+50 p_{6} p_{2}^{2}+50 p_{5}^{2}-10 p_{5} p_{3} p_{2}-\frac{25}{2} p_{4}^{2} p_{2}+9 p_{4} p_{3}^{2}-\frac{25}{36} p_{4} p_{2}^{3}+\frac{3}{4} p_{3}^{2} p_{2}^{2}+\frac{25}{864} p_{2}^{5} \\
& +2400 c_{8} p_{6}+250 c_{8} p_{4} p_{2}+3550 c_{8}^{2} p_{2}+6 c_{8} p_{3}^{2}-\frac{175}{18} c_{8} p_{2}^{3}, \\
\hat{x}_{48}= & -200 p_{7} p_{5}-60 p_{7} p_{3} p_{2}+3 p_{6} p_{3}^{2}+\frac{25}{9} p_{6} p_{2}^{3}+\frac{25}{3} p_{5}^{2} p_{2}-\frac{5}{2} p_{5} p_{4} p_{3}-\frac{25}{24} p_{5} p_{3} p_{2}^{2}-\frac{25}{48} p_{4}^{2} p_{2}^{2} \\
& +p_{4} p_{3}^{2} p_{2}+\frac{25}{864} p_{4} p_{2}^{4}-\frac{3}{10} p_{3}^{4}-\frac{1}{36} p_{3}^{2} p_{2}^{3}-\frac{25}{62208} p_{2}^{6}-400 c_{8} p_{6} p_{2}-115 c_{8} p_{5} p_{3}-\frac{25}{12} c_{8} p_{4} p_{2}^{2} \\
& +3 c_{8} p_{3}^{2} p_{2}+\frac{25}{27} c_{8} p_{2}^{4}+75 c_{8} p_{4}^{2}-300 c_{8}^{2} p_{4}-\frac{1525}{12} c_{8}^{2} p_{2}^{2}+300 c_{8}^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall prove that the elements $\hat{x}_{i}$ are invariant under $\varphi$ and algebraically independent, implying that they are generators of $H^{*}\left(B \mathrm{E}_{8} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$ through the isomorphism (3). Hamanaka and Kono [HK] calculate $\varphi$-invariants in dimension 4,16 and 24 as follows.

Proposition 2.3 (Hamanaka and Kono [HK]). Let $\bar{x}_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} / p\left[p_{1}, \ldots, p_{7}, c_{8}\right]$ with $\left|\bar{x}_{i}\right|=i$.

1. If $\varphi\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right) \equiv \bar{x}_{i} \bmod \left(c_{1}^{2}\right)$ in $\mathbb{Z} / p\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{8}\right]$ for $i=4,16$, then

$$
\bar{x}_{4}=\alpha \hat{x}_{4} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{x}_{16}=\beta \hat{x}_{16}+\gamma \hat{x}_{4}^{4} \quad(\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z} / p) .
$$

2. If $\varphi\left(\bar{x}_{24}\right) \equiv \bar{x}_{24} \bmod \left(c_{1}^{2}, c_{2}^{2}\right)$ in $\mathbb{Z} / p\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{8}\right]$, then

$$
\bar{x}_{24} \equiv \alpha \hat{x}_{24} \quad(\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} / p)
$$

We further calculate $\varphi$-invariants in dimension $28,36,40,48$, where a partial calculation in dimension 28 is given in [KK].

Proposition 2.4 (cf. [KK]). Let $\bar{x}_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} / p\left[p_{1}, \ldots, p_{7}, c_{8}\right]$ with $\left|\bar{x}_{i}\right|=i$.

1. If $\varphi\left(\bar{x}_{28}\right) \equiv \bar{x}_{28} \bmod \left(c_{1}^{2}, c_{2}^{2}\right)$ in $\mathbb{Z} / p\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{8}\right]$, then

$$
\bar{x}_{28} \equiv \alpha \hat{x}_{28}+\beta \hat{x}_{4} \hat{x}_{24} \quad \bmod \left(p_{1}^{2}\right) \quad(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z} / p) .
$$

2. If $\varphi\left(\bar{x}_{36}\right) \equiv \bar{x}_{36} \bmod \left(c_{1}^{2}\right)$ in $\mathbb{Z} / p\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{8}\right]$, then

$$
\bar{x}_{36}=\alpha_{1} \hat{x}_{36}+\alpha_{2} \hat{x}_{4} \hat{x}_{16}^{2}+\alpha_{3} \hat{x}_{4}^{2} \hat{x}_{28}+\alpha_{4} \hat{x}_{4}^{3} \hat{x}_{24}+\alpha_{5} \hat{x}_{4}^{5} \hat{x}_{16}+\alpha_{6} \hat{x}_{4}^{9} \quad\left(\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} / p\right) .
$$

3. If $\varphi\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right) \equiv \bar{x}_{i} \bmod \left(c_{1}^{2}, c_{2}\right)$ in $\mathbb{Z} / p\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{8}\right]$ for $i=40,48$, then

$$
\bar{x}_{40} \equiv \alpha_{1} \hat{x}_{40}+\alpha_{2} \hat{x}_{24} \hat{x}_{16}, \quad \bar{x}_{48} \equiv \beta_{1} \hat{x}_{48}+\beta_{2} \hat{x}_{24}^{2}+\beta_{3} \hat{x}_{16}^{3} \quad \bmod \left(p_{1}\right) \quad\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} / p\right) .
$$

Proof. The proof is the same as Proposition 2.3 given in [HK], and we only consider $\bar{x}_{28}$ since other cases are analogous. Excluding the indeterminacy $\hat{x}_{4} \hat{x}_{24}$, we may suppose that $\bar{x}_{28}$ is a linear combination
$\lambda_{1} p_{7}+\lambda_{2} p_{5} p_{2}+\lambda_{3} p_{4} p_{3}+\lambda_{4} p_{4} p_{2} p_{1}+\lambda_{5} p_{3}^{2} p_{1}+\lambda_{6} p_{3} p_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{7} p_{2}^{3} p_{1}+\lambda_{8} c_{8} p_{3}+\lambda_{9} c_{8} p_{2} p_{1}$
for $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} / p$. By the congruence $\varphi\left(\bar{x}_{28}\right) \equiv \bar{x}_{28} \bmod \left(c_{1}^{2}, c_{2}^{2}\right)$ and the equality $p_{i}=\sum_{j+k=2 i}(-1)^{i+j} c_{j} c_{k}$, we get linear equations in $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{9}$. Solving these equations, we see that $\bar{x}_{28} \equiv \alpha \hat{x}_{28} \bmod \left(c_{1}^{2}, c_{2}^{2}\right)$, thus the proof is completed since the intersection of the ideal $\left(c_{1}^{2}, c_{2}^{2}\right)$ and the subring $\mathbb{Z} / p\left[p_{1}, \ldots, p_{7}, c_{8}\right]$ of $\mathbb{Z} / p\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{8}\right]$ is the ideal $\left(p_{1}^{2}\right)$ in $\mathbb{Z} / p\left[p_{1}, \ldots, p_{7}, c_{8}\right]$.

As an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.3 and 2.4, we obtain:
Corollary 2.5. We can choose a generator $x_{i}$ of $H^{*}\left(B \mathrm{E}_{8} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$ for $i \neq 60$ in such a way that
$\rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)=\hat{x}_{i} \quad(i=4,16,36), \quad \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{i}\right) \equiv \hat{x}_{i} \quad \bmod \left(p_{1}^{2}\right) \quad(i=24,28)$
$\rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{i}\right) \equiv \hat{x}_{i} \quad \bmod \left(p_{1}\right) \quad(i=40,48)$.

Hereafter, we choose generators of $H^{*}\left(B \mathrm{E}_{8}, \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$ as in Corollary 2.5. From these generators, we next choose generators of $H^{*}(B G ; \mathbb{Z} / p)$ for $G=$ $\mathrm{F}_{4}, \mathrm{E}_{6}, \mathrm{E}_{7}$. Recall that there is a commutative diagram of canonical homomorphisms


Let us consider the induced map of arrows in the mod $p$ cohomology of the classifying spaces. Obviously, we have

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\theta_{1}^{*}\left(p_{i}\right)=p_{i}(i=1,2,3,4,5), & \theta_{1}^{*}\left(p_{6}\right)=c_{6}^{2}, & \theta_{1}^{*}\left(p_{7}\right)=0, \quad \theta_{1}^{*}\left(c_{8}\right)=0, \\
\theta_{2}^{*}\left(p_{i}\right)=p_{i}(i=1,2,3,4), & \theta_{2}^{*}\left(p_{5}\right)=c_{5}^{2}, & \theta_{2}^{*}\left(c_{6}\right)=0, \\
\theta_{3}^{*}\left(p_{i}\right)=p_{i}(i=1,2,3,4), & \theta_{3}^{*}\left(c_{5}\right)=0 . & \tag{7}
\end{array}
$$

To determine the induced map of $\alpha_{i}$, we recall the results of $[\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{TW}, \mathrm{W}]$.
Proposition 2.6. 1. $H^{*}\left(\mathrm{E}_{6} / \operatorname{Spin}(10) ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)=\mathbb{Z} / p\left[y_{8}\right] /\left(y_{8}^{3}\right) \otimes \Lambda\left(y_{17}\right),\left|y_{i}\right|=$ $i$.
2. $H^{*}\left(\mathrm{E}_{6} / \mathrm{F}_{4} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)=\Lambda\left(z_{9}, z_{17}\right),\left|z_{i}\right|=i$.
3. $\widetilde{H}^{*}\left(\mathrm{E}_{7} / \mathrm{E}_{6} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)=\mathbb{Z} / p\left\langle z_{10}, z_{18}\right\rangle,\left|z_{i}\right|=i$ for $*<37$.
4. $H^{*}\left(\mathrm{E}_{8} / \mathrm{E}_{7} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)=\mathbb{Z} / p\left[z_{12}, z_{20}\right],\left|z_{i}\right|=i$ for $*<40$,

We next choose generators of $H^{*}(B G ; \mathbb{Z} / p)$ for $G \neq \mathrm{E}_{8}$. Let

$$
\hat{x}_{10}=c_{5}, \quad \hat{x}_{12}=-6 p_{3}+p_{2} p_{1}-60 c_{6}, \quad \hat{x}_{18}=p_{2} c_{5} \quad \text { and } \quad \hat{x}_{20}=p_{5}+p_{2} c_{6} .
$$

We abbreviate $\theta_{i}\left(\hat{x}_{j}\right)$ by $\hat{x}_{j}$.
Corollary 2.7. We can choose a generator $x_{i}$ of $H^{*}\left(B \mathrm{E}_{7} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$ so that
$\rho_{2}^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)=\hat{x}_{i} \quad(i=4,12,16,36) \quad$ and $\quad \rho_{2}^{*}\left(x_{i}\right) \equiv \hat{x}_{i} \quad \bmod \left(p_{1}^{2}\right) \quad(i=20,24,28)$.
Proof. Consider the Serre spectral sequence of the homotopy fiber sequence $\mathrm{E}_{8} / \mathrm{E}_{7} \rightarrow B \mathrm{E}_{7} \rightarrow B \mathrm{E}_{8}$. Then by Proposition 2.6, we get $\alpha_{1}^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i}$ for $i=4,16,24,28,36$, hence the desired result for $\rho_{2}^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)$ by Corollary 2.5. As in $[\mathrm{BH}]$, we can choose a generator $x_{12}$ of $H^{*}\left(B \mathrm{~F}_{4} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$ so that $\rho_{4}^{*}\left(x_{12}\right)=-6 p_{3}+$ $p_{2} p_{1}$. On the other hand, it is calculated in $[\mathrm{N}]$ that $\rho_{2}^{*}\left(x_{12}\right) \equiv-6 p_{3}-60 c_{6}$
modulo decomposables. Then we get $\rho_{2}^{*}\left(x_{12}\right)=\hat{x}_{12}$ by (6) and (7). By the Serre spectral sequence of the homotopy fiber sequence $\mathrm{E}_{6} / \operatorname{Spin}(10) \rightarrow$ $B \operatorname{Spin}(10) \rightarrow B \mathrm{E}_{6}$ and Proposition 2.6, we have $\rho_{3}^{*}\left(x_{10}\right) \neq 0$. Then for a degree reason, we may choose $x_{10} \in H^{*}\left(B \mathrm{E}_{6} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$ so that $\rho_{3}^{*}\left(x_{10}\right)=c_{5}$. Consider next the Serre spectral sequence of the homotopy fiber sequence $\mathrm{E}_{7} / \mathrm{E}_{6} \rightarrow B \mathrm{E}_{6} \rightarrow B \mathrm{E}_{7}$. Then it follows from Proposition 2.6 that we may choose $x_{20} \in H^{*}\left(B \mathrm{E}_{7} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$ so that $\alpha_{2}^{*}\left(x_{20}\right)=x_{10}^{2}$, hence $\rho_{2}^{*}\left(x_{20}\right) \equiv p_{5}+\alpha p_{2} c_{6}$ $\bmod \left(p_{1}^{2}\right)$ by $(6)$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} / p$. For a degree reason, we have $\alpha_{1}^{*}\left(x_{40}\right) \equiv \lambda x_{20}^{2}$ $\bmod \left(x_{4}, x_{12}, x_{16}\right)$, hence

$$
\theta_{2}^{*}\left(\hat{x}_{40}\right)=\lambda\left(p_{5}+\alpha p_{2} c_{6}\right)^{2} \quad \bmod \left(\hat{x}_{4}, \hat{x}_{12}, \hat{x}_{16}\right)
$$

Since $\theta_{2}^{*}\left(\hat{x}_{40}\right) \equiv 50 p_{5}^{2}-10 p_{5} p_{3} p_{2}+\frac{1}{2} p_{3}^{2} p_{2}^{2}$ and $\hat{x}_{20}^{2} \equiv p_{5}^{2}-\frac{\alpha}{5} p_{5} p_{3} p_{2}+\frac{\alpha^{2}}{100} p_{3}^{2} p_{2}^{2}$ $\bmod \left(\hat{x}_{4}, \hat{x}_{12}, \hat{x}_{16}\right)$, we get $\alpha=1$ and $\lambda=50$.
Corollary 2.8. We can choose a generator $x_{i}$ of $H^{*}\left(B \mathrm{E}_{6} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$ so that

$$
\rho_{3}^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)=\hat{x}_{i} \quad(i=4,10,12,16,18) \quad \text { and } \quad \rho_{3}^{*}\left(x_{24}\right)=\hat{x}_{24} \quad \bmod \left(p_{1}^{2}\right) .
$$

Proof. By the Serre spectral sequence of the homotopy fiber sequence $\mathrm{E}_{7} / \mathrm{E}_{6} \rightarrow$ $B \mathrm{E}_{6} \rightarrow B \mathrm{E}_{7}$ together with Proposition 2.6 and Corollary 2.7, we get $\alpha_{2}^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)=$ $x_{i}$ for $i=4,12,16,24$. Then we obtain the desired result for $x_{i}(i=$ $4,12,16,24)$ by Corollary 2.7. By Proposition 2.6 , we have $\rho_{3}^{*}\left(x_{10}\right) \neq 0$, so we may put $\rho_{3}^{*}\left(x_{10}\right)=c_{5}$ for a degree reason. By Proposition 2.4, Corollary 2.7 and $\alpha_{2} \circ \rho_{3}=\rho_{2} \circ \theta_{2}$, we see that $\rho_{3}^{*} \circ \alpha_{2}^{*}\left(x_{28}\right)$ includes the term $p_{2} c_{5}^{2}$ which does not belong to $\rho_{3}^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z} / p\left[x_{4}, \ldots, \widehat{x_{18}}, \ldots, x_{24}\right]\right)$. Then we get $\rho_{3}^{*}\left(x_{18}\right) \neq 0$, implying that we may put $\rho_{3}^{*}\left(x_{18}\right)=p_{2} c_{5}$ for a degree reason.
Corollary 2.9. We can choose a generator $x_{i}$ of $H^{*}\left(B F_{4} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$ so that

$$
\rho_{4}^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)=\hat{x}_{i} \quad(i=4,12,16) \quad \text { and } \quad \rho_{4}^{*}\left(x_{24}\right) \equiv \hat{x}_{24} \quad \bmod \left(p_{1}^{2}\right) .
$$

Proof. The result follows from the Serre spectral sequence of the homotopy fiber sequence $\mathrm{E}_{6} / \mathrm{F}_{4} \rightarrow B \mathrm{~F}_{4} \rightarrow B \mathrm{E}_{6}$ together with Proposition 2.6 and Corollary 2.8.

Recall that $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$. We denote the inclusion $\mathrm{G}_{2} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$ by $\rho$.

Proposition 2.10. The induced map of $\rho: B \mathrm{G}_{2} \rightarrow B \operatorname{Spin}(7)$ in $\bmod p$ cohomology satisfies

$$
\rho^{*}\left(p_{1}\right)=x_{4}, \quad \rho^{*}\left(p_{2}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \rho^{*}\left(p_{3}\right)=x_{12} .
$$

Proof. It is well known that $\operatorname{Spin}(7) / \mathrm{G}_{2}=S^{7}$. Then by considering the Serre spectral sequence of the homotopy fiber sequence $\operatorname{Spin}(7) / \mathrm{G}_{2} \rightarrow B \mathrm{G}_{2} \rightarrow$ $B \operatorname{Spin}(7)$, we obtain the desired result.

For the rest of this paper, we choose generators of $H^{*}(B G ; \mathbb{Z} / p)$ as in Corollary 2.7, 2.8, 2.9, 2.10.

### 2.3. Calculation of $\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{i}^{*}\left(x_{j}\right)$

We first calculate the action of $\mathcal{P}^{1}$ on $H^{*}(B \operatorname{Spin}(2 m) ; \mathbb{Z} / p)$. Recall that $H^{*}(B \operatorname{Spin}(2 m) ; \mathbb{Z} / p)=\mathbb{Z} / p\left[p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m-1}, c_{m}\right]$ as above.

Lemma 2.11. In $H^{*}(B \operatorname{Spin}(2 m) ; \mathbb{Z} / p)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}^{1} p_{i}=\sum_{i_{1}+2 i_{2}+\cdots+m i_{m}=i+\frac{p-1}{2}} & (-1)^{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{m}+\frac{p+1}{2}} \frac{\left(i_{1}+\cdots+i_{m}-1\right)!}{i_{1}!\cdots i_{m}!} \\
& \times\left(2 i-1-\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(2 i+p-1-2 j) i_{j}}{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{m}-1}\right) p_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots p_{m}^{i_{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\mathcal{P}^{1} c_{m}=s_{p-1} c_{m}$, where $p_{m}=c_{m}^{2}$ and $s_{k}=t_{1}^{k}+\cdots+t_{m}^{k}$.
Proof. By [S], we have the mod $p \mathrm{Wu}$ formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}^{1} c_{i}=\sum_{i_{1}+2 i_{2}+\cdots+2 m i_{2 m}=i+p-1} & (-1)^{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{2 m}-1} \frac{\left(i_{1}+\cdots+i_{2 m}-1\right)!}{i_{1}!\cdots i_{2 m}!} \\
& \times\left(i-1-\frac{\sum_{j=2}^{i-1}(i+p-1-j) i_{j}}{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{2 m}-1}\right) c_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots c_{2 m}^{i_{2 m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

in $H^{*}(B \mathrm{U}(2 m) ; \mathbb{Z} / p)$. Since the natural map $\mathbf{c}: B \operatorname{Spin}(2 m) \rightarrow B \mathrm{U}(2 m)$ satisfies $\mathbf{c}^{*}\left(c_{2 i}\right)=(-1)^{i} p_{i}$ and $\mathbf{c}^{*}\left(c_{2 i+1}\right)=0$, we obtain the first equation. The second equation is obvious.

We now calculate $\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{i}^{*}\left(x_{j}\right)$.
Proposition 2.12. Define ideals $I_{j}$ of $\mathbb{Z} / p\left[p_{1}, \ldots, p_{7}, c_{8}\right]$ for $j=0, \ldots, 8$ as
$I_{0}=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}^{2}, p_{3}^{3}, p_{4}^{2}, p_{6}^{2}, c_{8}\right), \quad I_{1}=I_{0}+\left(p_{3}, p_{6}\right), \quad I_{2}=I_{0}+\left(p_{2}, p_{3}^{2}, p_{4}, p_{7}^{2}\right)$,
$I_{3}=I_{0}+\left(p_{2}, p_{3}^{2}, p_{6}\right), \quad I_{4}=I_{0}+\left(p_{2}, p_{3}^{2}, p_{4}\right), \quad I_{5}=I_{0}+\left(p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}, p_{6}, p_{7}\right)$,
$I_{6}=I_{0}+\left(p_{2}, p_{3}^{2}, p_{4}, p_{6}\right), \quad I_{7}=I_{0}+\left(p_{2}, p_{3}^{2}, p_{4}, p_{6}, p_{7}^{2}\right), \quad I_{8}=I_{0}+\left(p_{2}, p_{4}, p_{7}^{4}, \hat{x}_{24}\right)$.

Then for a generator $x_{k} \in H^{*}\left(B \mathrm{E}_{8} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$, we have the following table.

| $p$ | $k$ | $\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{k}\right) \bmod I$ | $I$ | $p$ | $k$ | $\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{k}\right) \bmod I$ | $I$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31 | 16 | $9 p_{7}^{2} p_{5}+24 p_{7} p_{5}^{2} p_{2}+22 p_{5}^{3} p_{4}$ | $I_{1}$ | 37 | 4 | $p_{7}^{2} p_{5}+34 p_{7} p_{5}^{2} p_{2}+36 p_{5}^{3} p_{4}$ | $I_{1}$ |
|  | 24 | $28 p_{7} p_{6} p_{5} p_{3}+16 p_{6} p_{5}^{3}$ | $I_{2}$ |  | 16 | $8 p_{7}^{2} p_{5} p_{3}+27 p_{7} p_{5}^{3}+2 p_{5}^{3} p_{4} p_{3}$ | $I_{3}$ |
|  | 28 | $27 p_{7}^{2} p_{5} p_{3}+30 p_{7} p_{5}^{3}+30 p_{5}^{3} p_{4} p_{3}$ | $I_{3}$ |  | 24 | $5 p_{7}^{3} p_{3}+27 p_{7}^{2} p_{5}^{2}+36 p_{6} p_{5}^{3} p_{3}$ | $I_{4}$ |
|  | 36 | $p_{7}^{3} p_{3}+10 p_{7}^{2} p_{5}^{2}+6 p_{6} p_{5}^{3} p_{3}$ | $I_{4}$ |  | 28 | $7 p_{5}^{5}$ | $I_{5}$ |
|  | 40 | $8 p_{5}^{5}$ | $I_{5}$ |  | 36 | $20 p_{7}^{2} p_{5}^{2} p_{3}+35 p_{7} p_{5}^{4}$ | $I_{6}$ |
|  | 48 | $4 p_{7}^{2} p_{5}^{2} p_{3}+5 p_{7} p_{5}^{4}$ | $I_{6}$ |  | 48 | $36 p_{7} p_{5}^{4} p_{3}+3 p_{5}^{6}$ | $I_{7}$ |
| 41 | 4 | $35 p_{7} p_{6} p_{5} p_{3}+40 p_{6} p_{5}^{3}$ | $I_{2}$ | 43 | 4 | $3 p_{7}^{2} p_{5} p_{3}+p_{7} p_{5}^{3}+39 p_{5}^{3} p_{4} p_{3}$ | $I_{3}$ |
|  | 16 | $9 p_{7}^{3} p_{3}+38 p_{7}^{2} p_{5}^{2}+16 p_{6} p_{5}^{3} p_{3}$ | $I_{4}$ |  | 16 | $9 p_{5}^{5}$ | $I_{5}$ |
|  | 28 | $7 p_{7}^{2} p_{5}^{2} p_{3}+6 p_{7} p_{5}^{4}$ | $I_{6}$ |  | 24 | $11 p_{7}^{2} p_{5}^{2} p_{3}+40 p_{7} p_{5}^{4}$ | $I_{6}$ |
|  | 40 | $34 p_{7} p_{5}^{4} p_{3}+16 p_{5}^{6}$ | $I_{7}$ |  | 36 | $35 p_{7} p_{5}^{4} p_{3}+42 p_{5}^{6}$ | $I_{7}$ |
| 47 | 4 | $p_{7}^{3} p_{3}+25 p_{7}^{2} p_{5}^{2}+43 p_{6} p_{5}^{3} p_{3}$ | $I_{4}$ | 53 | 4 | $6 p_{7}^{2} p_{5}^{2} p_{3}+p_{7} p_{5}^{4}$ | $I_{6}$ |
|  | 16 | $35 p_{7}^{2} p_{5}^{2} p_{3}+10 p_{7} p_{5}^{4}$ | $I_{6}$ |  | 16 | $23 p_{7} p_{5}^{4} p_{3}+39 p_{5}^{6}$ | $I_{7}$ |
|  | 28 | $17 p_{7} p_{5}^{4} p_{3}+23 p_{5}^{6}$ | $I_{7}$ | 59 | 4 | $5 p_{7} p_{5}^{4} p_{3}+10 p_{5}^{6}$ | $I_{7}$ |

For $p=31$, we also have
$\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{48}\right) \equiv 17 p_{7}^{3} p_{3}^{2}+4 p_{7}^{2} p_{5}^{2} p_{3}+5 p_{7} p_{5}^{4}, \quad \mathcal{P}^{2} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{48}\right) \equiv 26 p_{7}^{3} p_{5}^{3} p_{3}^{2}+5 p_{7}^{2} p_{5}^{5} p_{3}+8 p_{7} p_{5}^{7} \quad \bmod I_{8}$.
Proof. For $i=4,16,24,28,36$, we have $\rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{i}\right) \equiv \hat{x}_{i} \bmod \left(p_{1}^{2}\right)$. Since $\mathcal{P}^{1}\left(p_{1}^{2}\right) \subset$ $\left(p_{1}\right)$ by the Cartan formula, we have $\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{i}\right) \equiv \mathcal{P}^{1} \hat{x}_{i} \bmod \left(p_{1}\right)$. For $i=$ 40, 48, we analogously have $\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)=\mathcal{P}^{1} \hat{x}_{i}+\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} p_{1}\right) q$ for some polynomial $q$ in $p_{2}, \ldots, p_{7}, c_{8}$. For a degree reason, we have $q \equiv 0 \bmod \left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}^{2}, p_{4}, p_{6}, c_{8}\right)$, implying that $\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{i}\right) \equiv \mathcal{P}^{1} \hat{x}_{i} \bmod I$ for the prescribed ideal $I$. Thus in order to fill the table, we only need to calculate $\mathcal{P}^{1} \hat{x}_{i}$ by Lemma 2.11.

For $p=31$, we have $\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{48}\right) \equiv \mathcal{P}^{1} \hat{x}_{48}+\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} p_{1}\right) q \bmod \left(p_{1}\right)$ for some polynomial $q$ in $p_{2}, \ldots, p_{7}, c_{8}$ as above. Since $\hat{x}_{i} \in I_{8}$ for $i=4,16,24,36$, we have $\mathcal{P}^{1} p_{1} \equiv 0 \bmod I_{8}$ for a degree reason, hence $\mathcal{P}_{1} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{48}\right) \equiv \mathcal{P}^{1} \hat{x}_{48}$ $\bmod I_{8}$. Then we can calculate $\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{48}\right) \bmod I_{8}$ by Lemma 2.11. Since $\mathcal{P}^{2} p_{1}=p_{1}^{p}$ and $\rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{48}\right) \equiv \hat{x}_{48} \bmod \left(p_{1}\right)$, we have $\mathcal{P}^{2} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{48}\right) \equiv \mathcal{P}^{2} \hat{x}_{48} \bmod \left(p_{1}\right)$. Now $\mathcal{P}^{2} \rho_{1}\left(x_{48}\right)$ for $p=31$ can be calculated from Lemma 2.11 and the Adem relation $\mathcal{P}^{1} \mathcal{P}^{1}=2 \mathcal{P}^{2}$.

Quite similarly to Proposition 2.12 , we can calculate $\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{i}^{*}\left(x_{j}\right)$ for $G=$ $\mathrm{E}_{7}, \mathrm{E}_{6}$.

Proposition 2.13. For a generator $x_{k} \in H^{*}\left(B \mathrm{E}_{7} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$, we have the following table.

| $p$ | $k$ | $\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{2}^{*}\left(x_{k}\right) \bmod I$ | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 16 \\ & 20 \\ & 24 \\ & 28 \\ & 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 p_{5}^{2} p_{2}+3 p_{5} p_{4} p_{3}+15 p_{5} p_{3} p_{2}^{2}+10 p_{4}^{3}+17 p_{4}^{2} p_{2}^{2}+6 p_{4} p_{2}^{4}+15 p_{2}^{6} \\ & 11 p_{5} p_{4}^{2}+16 p_{5} p_{4} p_{2}^{2}+15 p_{5} p_{2}^{4} \\ & p_{5}^{2} p_{4}+18 p_{5}^{2} p_{2}^{2}+17 p_{5} p_{4} p_{3} p_{2}+p_{5} p_{3} p_{2}^{3}+4 c_{6} p_{5} p_{4} p_{2}+12 c_{6} p_{5} p_{2}^{3} \\ & +16 c_{6} p_{4}^{2} p_{3}+8 p_{4} c_{6} p_{3} p_{2}^{2}+7 c_{6} p_{3} p_{2}^{4} \\ & 13 p_{5} p_{4}^{2} p_{2}+7 p_{5} p_{4} p_{2}^{3}+8 p_{5} p_{2}^{5} \\ & 14 p_{5}^{2} p_{4} p_{2}+p_{5}^{2} p_{2}^{3}+8 p_{5} p_{4}^{2} p_{3}+10 p_{5} p_{4} p_{3} p_{2}^{2}+17 p_{5} p_{3} p_{2}^{4}+p_{4}^{4}+9 p_{4}^{3} p_{2}^{2} \\ & +6 p_{4}^{2} p_{2}^{4}+p_{4} p_{2}^{6}+3 p_{2}^{8} \\ & 9 p_{5}^{2} p_{4}^{2}+4 p_{5}^{2} p_{4} p_{2}^{2}+6 p_{5}^{2} p_{2}^{4}+17 p_{5} p_{4}^{2} p_{3} p_{2}+15 p_{5} p_{3} p_{2}^{5}+4 p_{4}^{4} p_{2}+5 p_{4}^{3} p_{2}^{3} \\ & +2 p_{4}^{2} p_{2}^{5}+11 p_{4} p_{2}^{7}+3 p_{2}^{9} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(p_{1}, p_{3}^{2}, c_{6}\right) \\ & \left(p_{1}, p_{3}, c_{6}\right) \\ & \left(p_{1}, p_{3}^{2}, c_{6}^{2}\right) \\ & \left(p_{1}, p_{3}, p_{5}^{2}, c_{6}\right) \\ & \left(p_{1}, p_{3}^{2}, c_{6}^{2}\right) \\ & \left(p_{1}, p_{3}^{2}, c_{6}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 12 \\ & 16 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 p_{5}^{2} p_{2}+21 p_{5} p_{4} p_{3}+3 p_{5} p_{3} p_{2}^{2}+15 p_{4}^{3}+13 p_{4}^{2} p_{2}^{2}+22 p_{4} p_{2}^{4}+4 p_{2}^{6} \\ & 7 p_{5}^{2} p_{4}+6 p_{5}^{2} p_{2}^{2}+14 p_{5} p_{4} p_{3} p_{2}+13 p_{5} p_{3} p_{2}^{3}+10 p_{4}^{3} p_{2}+18 p_{4}^{2} p_{2}^{3}+21 p_{4} p_{2}^{5} \\ & +4 p_{2}^{7}+14 c_{6} p_{5} p_{4} p_{2}+16 c_{6} p_{5} p_{2}^{3}+7 c_{6} p_{4}^{2} p_{3}+2 p_{4} c_{6} p_{3} p_{2}^{2}+7 c_{6} p_{3} p_{2}^{4} \\ & 3 p_{5} p_{4}^{2} p_{2}+20 p_{5} p_{4} p_{2}^{3}+19 p_{5} p_{2}^{5} \\ & 9 p_{5}^{2} p_{4}^{2}+3 p_{5}^{2} p_{4} p_{2}^{2}+2 p_{5}^{2} p_{2}^{4}+10 p_{5} p_{4}^{2} p_{3} p_{2}+10 p_{5} p_{4} p_{3} p_{2}^{3}+8 p_{5} p_{3} p_{2}^{5} \\ & +14 p_{4}^{4} p_{2}+15 p_{4}^{3} p_{2}^{3}+14 p_{2}^{9}+9 p_{4}^{2} p_{2}^{5}+15 p_{4} p_{2}^{7} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(p_{1}, p_{3}^{2}, c_{6}\right) \\ & \left(p_{1}, p_{3}^{2}, c_{6}^{2}\right) \\ & \left(p_{1}, p_{3}, p_{5}^{2}, c_{6}\right) \\ & \left(p_{1}, p_{3}^{2}, c_{6}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 p_{5} p_{4}^{2} p_{2}+4 p_{5} p_{4} p_{2}^{3}+28 p_{5} p_{2}^{5} \\ & 19 p_{5}^{2} p_{4}^{2}+p_{5}^{2} p_{4} p_{2}^{2}+19 p_{5}^{2} p_{2}^{4}+10 p_{5} p_{4}^{2} p_{3} p_{2}+6 p_{5} p_{4} p_{3} p_{2}^{3}+13 p_{5} p_{3} p_{2}^{5} \\ & +p_{4}^{4} p_{2}+7 p_{4}^{3} p_{2}^{3}+2 p_{4}^{2} p_{2}^{5}+16 p_{4} p_{2}^{7}+21 p_{2}^{9} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left(p_{1}, p_{3}, p_{5}^{2}, c_{6}\right) \\ & \left(p_{1}, p_{3}^{2}, c_{6}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 31 | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & p_{5}^{3} p_{3}+17 p_{5}^{2} p_{4}^{2}+10 p_{5}^{2} p_{4} p_{2}^{2}+28 p_{5}^{2} p_{2}^{4}+4 p_{5} p_{4}^{2} p_{3} p_{2}+18 p_{5} p_{4} p_{3} p_{2}^{3} \\ & +21 p_{2} p_{4}^{4}+3 p_{4}^{3} p_{2}^{3}+6 p_{4} p_{2}^{7}+4 p_{5}^{3} p_{2}^{9}+10 c_{6} p_{5}^{3}+3 c_{6} p_{5}^{2} p_{3} p_{2}+3 c_{6} p_{5} p_{4}^{2} p_{2} \\ & +27 c_{6} p_{5} p_{4} p_{2}^{3}+c_{6} p_{5} p_{2}^{5}+c_{6} p_{4}^{3} p_{3}+25 c_{6} p_{4}^{2} p_{3} p_{2}^{2}+5 c_{6} p_{4} p_{3} p_{2}^{4}+30 c_{6} p_{3} p_{2}^{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\left(p_{1}, p_{3}^{2}, c_{6}^{2}\right)$ |

Proposition 2.14. For a generator $x_{k} \in H^{*}\left(B \mathrm{E}_{6} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$, we have the following table.

| $p$ | $k$ | $\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{3}^{*}\left(x_{k}\right) \bmod I$ | $I$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | 10 | $6 c_{5} p_{4} p_{2}+11 c_{5} p_{2}^{3}$ | $\left(p_{1}, p_{3}^{2}, c_{5}^{2}\right)$ |
|  | 12 | $10 p_{4} p_{3} p_{2}+12 p_{3} p_{2}^{3}+4 c_{5}^{2} p_{4}+c_{5}^{2} p_{2}^{2}$ | $\left(p_{1}, p_{3}^{2}\right)$ |
|  | 16 | $5 p_{2}^{5}$ | $\left(p_{1}, p_{3}, p_{4}, c_{5}\right)$ |
|  | 18 | $5 c_{5} p_{4}^{2}+9 c_{5} p_{4} p_{2}^{2}+7 c_{5} p_{2}^{4}$ | $\left(p_{1}, p_{3}, c_{5}^{2}\right)$ |
|  | 24 | $p_{4}^{3}+4 p_{4}^{2} p_{2}^{2}+12 p_{4} p_{2}^{4}+7 p_{2}^{6}$ | $\left(p_{1}, p_{3}, c_{5}\right)$ |
| 17 | 4 | $2 p_{4} p_{3} p_{2}+16 p_{3} p_{2}^{3}+16 c_{5}^{2} p_{4}+c_{5}^{2} p_{2}^{2}$ | $\left(p_{1}, p_{3}^{2}\right)$ |
|  | 10 | $4 c_{5} p_{4}^{2}+9 c_{5} p_{4} p_{2}^{2}+2 c_{5} p_{2}^{4}$ | $\left(p_{1}, p_{3}, c_{5}^{2}\right)$ |
|  | 16 | $11 p_{4}^{3}+p_{4}^{2} p_{2}^{2}+8 p_{4} p_{2}^{4}+8 p_{2}^{6}$ | $\left(p_{1}, p_{3}, c_{5}\right)$ |

We finally calculate $\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k}$ for a generator $x_{k} \in H^{*}\left(B \mathrm{G}_{2} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$.
Proposition 2.15. For a generator $x_{k} \in H^{*}\left(B \mathrm{G}_{2} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$, we have

$$
\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k}= \begin{cases}x_{4} x_{12}+2 x_{4}^{4} & (k, p)=(4,7) \\ 6 x_{12}^{2}+2 x_{4}^{3} x_{12} & (k, p)=(12,7) \\ 6 x_{12}^{2}+x_{4}^{3} x_{12}+2 x_{4}^{6} & (k, p)=(4,11)\end{cases}
$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.10 and the naturality of $\mathcal{P}^{1}$, we have $\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{4 k}=$ $\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho^{*}\left(p_{k}\right)=\rho^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} p_{k}\right)$, hence the proof is completed by Lemma 2.11.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2.2

In this section, we prove Theorem 2.2 by using results in the previous section.

### 3.1. The case of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$

Suppose that $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ is $p$-regular, that is, $p>30$. By an easy degree consideration, we see that if $\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k} \bmod \left(x_{2 i} \mid i \in \mathrm{t}\left(\mathrm{E}_{8}\right)\right)^{3}$ is nontrivial for a generator $x_{k}$ of $H^{*}\left(B \mathrm{E}_{8} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$, it is as in the following table.

|  | $\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k} \bmod \left(x_{2 i} \mid i \in \mathrm{t}\left(\mathrm{E}_{8}\right)\right)^{3}$ | $(k, p)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(1)$ | $\lambda_{1} x_{4} x_{60}+\lambda_{2} x_{16} x_{48}+\lambda_{3} x_{24} x_{40}+\lambda_{4} x_{28} x_{36}$ | $(4,31)$ |
| $(2)$ | $\lambda_{1} x_{16} x_{60}+\lambda_{2} x_{28} x_{48}+\lambda_{3} x_{36} x_{40}$ | $(16,31),(4,37)$ |
| $(3)$ | $\lambda_{1} x_{24} x_{60}+\lambda_{2} x_{36} x_{48}$ | $(24,31),(4,41)$ |
| $(4)$ | $\lambda_{1} x_{28} x_{60}+\lambda_{2} x_{40} x_{48}$ | $(28,31),(16,37),(4,43)$ |
| $(5)$ | $\lambda_{1} x_{36} x_{60}+\lambda_{2} x_{48}^{2}$ | $(36,31),(24,37),(16,41),(4,47)$ |
| $(6)$ | $\lambda_{40} x_{60}$ | $(40,31),(28,37),(16,43)$ |
| $(7)$ | $\lambda x_{48} x_{60}$ | $(48,31),(36,37),(28,41),(24,43)$, |
|  |  | $(16,47),(4,53)$ |
| $(8)$ | $\lambda x_{60}^{2}$ | $(60,31),(48,37),(40,41),(36,43)$, |
|  |  | $(28,47),(16,53),(4,59)$ |

Let $I_{k}$ for $k=1, \ldots, 8$ be the ideals of $\mathbb{Z} / p\left[p_{1}, \ldots, p_{7}, c_{8}\right]$ as in Proposition 2.12 .
(1) It is proved in [HK] that $\lambda_{i} \neq 0$ for $i=1,2,3,4$.
(2) Since $\hat{x}_{i} \in I_{1}$ for $i=4,16,24$, for a degree reason, we have
$\rho_{1}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k}\right) \equiv \lambda_{2} \hat{x}_{28} \hat{x}_{48}+\lambda_{3} \hat{x}_{36} \hat{x}_{40} \equiv-4000\left(24 \lambda_{2} p_{7}^{2} p_{5}+\left(\lambda_{2}-6 \lambda_{3}\right) p_{7} p_{5}^{2} p_{2}\right) \bmod I_{1}+\left(p_{4}\right)$.
On the other hand, by the naturality of $\mathcal{P}^{1}$ and Proposition 2.12,

$$
\rho_{1}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k}\right)=\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{1}\left(x_{k}\right) \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
24 p_{7} p_{5}^{2} p_{2}+9 p_{7}^{2} p_{5} & (p=31) \\
34 p_{7} p_{5}^{2} p_{2}+p_{7}^{2} p_{5} & (p=37)
\end{array} \quad \bmod I_{1}+\left(p_{4}\right)\right.
$$

implying that $\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)=(19,2),(5,30)$ according as $p=31,37$. Since $\hat{x}_{4}, \hat{x}_{16}^{2}, \hat{x}_{24}, \hat{x}_{36} \in I_{1}+\left(p_{2}, p_{7}\right)$, we also have
$\rho_{1}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k}\right) \equiv \lambda_{1} \hat{x}_{16} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{60}\right)+\lambda_{3} \hat{x}_{36} \hat{x}_{40} \equiv \lambda_{1} \hat{x}_{16} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{60}\right)-1500 \lambda_{3} p_{5}^{3} p_{4} \quad \bmod I_{1}+\left(p_{2}, p_{7}\right)$,
and by Proposition 2.12,

$$
\rho_{1}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k}\right)=\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{k}\right) \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
22 p_{5}^{3} p_{4} & (p=31) \\
36 p_{5}^{3} p_{4} & (p=37)
\end{array} \quad \bmod I_{1}+\left(p_{2}, p_{7}\right)\right.
$$

Then we see that $\lambda_{1} \hat{x}_{16} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{60}\right) \equiv\left(1500 \lambda_{3}+\delta\right) p_{5}^{3} p_{4} \not \equiv 0 \bmod I_{1}+\left(p_{2}, p_{7}\right)$ for $\delta=22,36$ according as $p=31,37$, implying $\lambda_{1} \neq 0$.
(3) Since $\hat{x}_{i}, \hat{x}_{j}^{2} \in I_{2}$ for $i=4,16$ and $j=24,28,36$, we have
$\rho_{1}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k}\right) \equiv \lambda_{1} \hat{x}_{24} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{60}\right)+\lambda_{2} \hat{x}_{36} \hat{x}_{48} \equiv \lambda_{1} \hat{x}_{24} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{60}\right)-14400 \lambda_{2} p_{7} p_{6} p_{5} p_{3} \bmod I_{2}$.
By the naturality of $\mathcal{P}^{1}$ and Proposition 2.12, we also have

$$
\rho_{1}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k}\right)=\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{k}\right) \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
28 p_{7} p_{6} p_{5} p_{3}+16 p_{6} p_{5}^{3} & (p=31) \\
35 p_{7} p_{6} p_{5} p_{3}+40 p_{6} p_{5}^{3} & (p=41)
\end{array} \quad \bmod I_{2}\right.
$$

implying that $\lambda_{1} \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{2} \neq 0$ for both $p=31,41$.
(4) Since $\hat{x}_{i}, \hat{x}_{28}^{2} \in I_{3}+\left(p_{3}, p_{4}, p_{7}^{2}, \hat{x}_{40}\right)$ for $i=4,16,24,36,40$, it follows from Proposition 2.12 that

$$
\lambda_{1} \hat{x}_{28} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{60}\right) \equiv \rho_{1}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k}\right) \equiv \mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{k}\right) \not \equiv 0 \quad \bmod I_{3}+\left(p_{3}, p_{4}, p_{7}^{2}, \hat{x}_{40}\right)
$$

so $\lambda_{1} \neq 0$. We can similarly get $\lambda_{2} \neq 0$ by considering $\rho_{1}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k}\right) \bmod I_{3}+$ $\left(p_{7}^{2}, \hat{x}_{28}\right)$ since $\hat{x}_{i} \in I_{3}+\left(p_{7}^{2}, \hat{x}_{28}\right)$ for $i=4,16,24,28$.
(5), (6) and (7) We get $\lambda \neq 0$ similarly to (4) by considering $\rho_{1}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k}\right)$ modulo the ideals $I_{4}+\left(p_{7}\right), I_{5}, I_{6}+\left(\hat{x}_{40}^{2}\right)$ respectively for (5), (6) and (7) since $\hat{x}_{4}, \hat{x}_{16}, \hat{x}_{24}^{2}, \hat{x}_{36}^{2} \in I_{4}+\left(p_{7}\right), \hat{x}_{i} \in I_{5}$ for $i=4,16,24,18,36$ and $\hat{x}_{i} \in I_{6}+\left(\hat{x}_{40}^{2}\right)$ for $i=4,16,24,36,40$.
(8) Suppose $(k, p) \neq(60,31)$. Since $\hat{x}_{i}, \hat{x}_{28}^{2}, \hat{x}_{40}^{3} \in I_{7}+\left(\hat{x}_{40}^{3}\right)$ for $i=4,16,24,36$, we get $\lambda \neq 0$ by considering $\rho_{1}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k}\right) \bmod I_{7}+\left(\hat{x}_{40}^{3}\right)$ as above.

Suppose next that $(k, p)=(60,31)$. By a degree reason, we have

$$
\rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{60}\right) \equiv \alpha p_{5}^{3}+\beta p_{7} p_{5} p_{3} \quad \bmod I_{8}+\left(\hat{x}_{40}^{2}\right)
$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z} / p$. Since $\hat{x}_{i}, \hat{x}_{40}^{2} \in I_{8}+\left(\hat{x}_{40}^{2}\right)$ for $i=4,16,24,36$ and $\rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{48}\right) \equiv$ $-200 p_{7} p_{5} \bmod I_{8}$, we have

$$
\rho_{1}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{48}\right) \equiv \mu \hat{x}_{48} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{60}\right) \equiv-200 \mu\left(\alpha p_{7} p_{5}^{4}+\beta p_{7}^{2} p_{5}^{2} p_{3}\right) \quad \bmod I_{8}+\left(\hat{x}_{40}^{2}\right)
$$

for some $\mu \in \mathbb{Z} / p$. By Proposition 2.12, we also have

$$
\rho_{1}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{48}\right)=\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{48}\right) \equiv 10 p_{7} p_{5}^{4}+11 p_{7}^{2} p_{5}^{2} p_{3} \quad \bmod I_{8}+\left(\hat{x}_{40}^{2}\right)
$$

Then we may put $(\alpha, \beta)=(17,28)$ and $\mu=1$. In the case ( 7 ), we have seen that $\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{48} \equiv \mu x_{48} x_{60} \bmod \left(x_{2 i} \mid i \in \mathrm{t}\left(\mathrm{E}_{8}\right)\right)^{3}$, implying that $\mathcal{P}^{1} \mathcal{P}^{1} x_{48} \equiv(\lambda+$ 1) $x_{48} x_{60}^{2} \bmod \left(x_{2 i} \mid i \in \mathrm{t}\left(\mathrm{E}_{8}\right)\right)^{4}$, where $\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{60} \equiv \lambda x_{60}^{2} \bmod \left(x_{2 i} \mid i \in \mathrm{t}\left(\mathrm{E}_{8}\right)\right)^{3}$. Then for a degree reason, we get

$$
\rho_{1}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} \mathcal{P}^{1} x_{48}\right) \equiv(\lambda+1) \hat{x}_{48} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{60}\right)^{2} \equiv 21(\lambda+1) p_{7}^{3} p_{5}^{3} p_{3}^{2} \quad \bmod I_{8}+\left(\hat{x}_{40}^{2}\right)
$$

On the other hand, by the Adem relation $\mathcal{P}^{1} \mathcal{P}^{1}=2 \mathcal{P}^{2}$ and Proposition 2.12, we have

$$
\rho_{1}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} \mathcal{P}^{1} x_{48}\right)=\rho_{1}^{*}\left(2 \mathcal{P}^{2} x_{48}\right)=2 \mathcal{P}^{2} \rho_{1}^{*}\left(x_{48}\right) \equiv 7 p_{7}^{3} p_{5}^{3} p_{3}^{2} \quad \bmod I_{8}+\left(\hat{x}_{40}^{2}\right),
$$

hence $\lambda \neq 0$.

### 3.2. The case of $\mathrm{E}_{7}$

Suppose that $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ is $p$-regular, that is, $p>18$. Then if $\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k} \bmod \left(x_{2 i} \mid i \in\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{t}\left(\mathrm{E}_{7}\right)\right)^{3}$ is non-trivial, it is as in the following table.

|  | $\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k} \bmod \left(x_{2 i} \mid i \in \mathrm{t}\left(\mathrm{E}_{7}\right)\right)^{3}$ | $(k, p)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(1)$ | $\lambda_{1} x_{4} x_{36}+\lambda_{2} x_{12} x_{28}+\lambda_{3} x_{16} x_{24}+\lambda_{4} x_{20}^{2}$ | $(4,19)$ |
| $(2)$ | $\lambda_{1} x_{12} x_{36}+\lambda_{2} x_{20} x_{28}+\lambda_{3} x_{24}^{2}$ | $(12,19),(4,23)$ |
| $(3)$ | $\lambda_{1} x_{16} x_{36}+\lambda_{2} x_{24} x_{28}$ | $(16,19)$ |
| $(4)$ | $\lambda_{1} x_{20} x_{36}+\lambda_{2} x_{28}^{2}$ | $(20,19),(12,23)$ |
| $(5)$ | $\lambda x_{24} x_{36}$ | $(24,19),(16,23),(4,29)$ |
| $(6)$ | $\lambda x_{28} x_{36}$ | $(28,19),(4,31)$ |
| $(7)$ | $\lambda x_{36}^{2}$ | $(36,19),(28,23),(16,29),(12,31)$ |

(1) It is proved in [HK] that $\lambda_{i} \neq 0$ for $i=1,2,3,4$.
(2) Put $I=\left(p_{1}, p_{3}^{2}, c_{6}, \hat{x}_{16}\right)$. Since $\hat{x}_{4}, \hat{x}_{12}^{2}, \hat{x}_{16} \in I$, by Corollary 2.7, we have
$\rho_{2}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k}\right) \equiv \lambda_{1} \hat{x}_{12} \hat{x}_{36}+\lambda_{2} \hat{x}_{20} \hat{x}_{28}+\lambda_{3} \hat{x}_{24}^{2} \equiv 60 \lambda_{1} p_{5} p_{3} p_{2}^{2}+40 \lambda_{2} p_{5}^{2} p_{2}+\frac{25}{81} \lambda_{3} p_{2}^{6} \quad \bmod I$.
On the other hand, it follows from Proposition 2.13 that

$$
\rho_{2}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k}\right)=\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{2}\left(x_{k}\right) \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
18 p_{5}^{2} p_{2}+10 p_{5} p_{3} p_{2}^{2}+p_{2}^{6} & (p=19) \\
22 p_{5}^{2} p_{2}+7 p_{5} p_{3} p_{2}^{2}+7 p_{2}^{6} & (p=23)
\end{array} \quad \bmod I\right.
$$

hence $\lambda_{1} \neq 0, \lambda_{2} \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{3} \neq 0$.
(3) In this case, we have $(k, p)=(16,19)$. Put $I=\left(p_{1}, p_{3}, c_{6}, \hat{x}_{16}^{2}\right)$. Since $\hat{x}_{4}, \hat{x}_{12}, \hat{x}_{16}^{2} \in I$, it follows from Proposition 2.7 that
$\rho_{2}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{16}\right) \equiv \lambda_{1} \hat{x}_{16} \hat{x}_{36}+\lambda_{2} \hat{x}_{24} \hat{x}_{28} \equiv\left(13 \lambda_{1}+9 \lambda_{2}\right) p_{5} p_{4} p_{2}^{2}+\left(9 \lambda_{1}+14 \lambda_{2}\right) p_{5} p_{2}^{4} \bmod I$.
By Proposition 2.13, we also have $\rho_{2}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{16}\right)=\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{2}^{*}\left(x_{16}\right) \equiv 11 p_{5} p_{4} p_{2}^{2}+14 p_{5} p_{2}^{4}$ $\bmod I$, implying $\lambda_{1} \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{2} \neq 0$.
(4) Put $I=\left(p_{1}, p_{3}^{2}, c_{6}^{2}, \hat{x}_{12}, \hat{x}_{16}^{2}, \hat{x}_{24}, \hat{x}_{16} \hat{x}_{20}^{2}\right)$. Since $\hat{x}_{i}, \hat{x}_{16}^{2}, \hat{x}_{16} \hat{x}_{20}^{2} \hat{x}_{24} \in I$ for $i=4,12,24$, we have
$\rho_{2}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k}\right) \equiv \lambda_{1} \hat{x}_{20} \hat{x}_{36}+\lambda_{2}^{2} \hat{x}_{28}^{2} \equiv\left(-10 \lambda_{1}+1600 \lambda_{2}\right) p_{5}^{2} p_{2}^{2}+\left(\frac{2}{3} \lambda_{1}-\frac{320}{3} \lambda_{2}\right) p_{5} p_{3} p_{2}^{3} \bmod I$.
By Proposition 2.13, we also have

$$
\rho_{2}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k}\right)=\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{2}^{*}\left(x_{k}\right) \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
10 p_{5}^{2} p_{2}^{2}+12 p_{5} p_{3} p_{2}^{3} & (p=19) \\
15 p_{5}^{2} p_{2}^{2}+22 p_{5} p_{3} p_{2}^{3} & (p=23)
\end{array} \quad \bmod I\right.
$$

hence $\lambda_{1} \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{2} \neq 0$.
(5) and (7) Put $I=\left(p_{1}, p_{3}, p_{5}^{2}, c_{6}, \hat{x}_{16}\right)$ and $J=\left(p_{1}, p_{3}^{2}, c_{6}^{2}, \hat{x}_{12}, \hat{x}_{16}, \hat{x}_{20}^{3}, \hat{x}_{24}^{2}, \hat{x}_{20} \hat{x}_{24} \hat{x}_{28}\right)$.

Then since $\hat{x}_{i}, \hat{x}_{20}^{2} \in I$ for $i=4,12,16$ and $\hat{x}_{i}, \hat{x}_{20}^{3}, \hat{x}_{24}^{2}, \hat{x}_{20} \hat{x}_{24} \hat{x}_{28} \in J$ for $i=4,12,16$, we have $\lambda \neq 0$ similarly to (4) of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ by considering $\rho_{2}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k}\right)$ modulo $I$ and $J$ respectively for (5) and (7).
(6) The case $p=31$ follows from the above case of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ together with Corollary 2.7. Then we consider the case $p=19$. Put $I=\left(p_{1}, p_{3}^{2}, c_{6}^{2}, \hat{x}_{12}, \hat{x}_{16}, \hat{x}_{20}^{2}, \hat{x}_{24}^{2}\right)$. Since $\hat{x}_{i}, \hat{x}_{j}^{2} \in I$ for $i=4,12,16$ and $j=20,24$, we get $\lambda \neq 0$ as above by considering $\rho_{2}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k}\right) \bmod I$.

### 3.3. The cases of $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{4}$

We first consider the case of $\mathrm{E}_{6}$. Suppose that $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ is p-regular, that is, $p \geq 13$. By an easy dimensional consideration, we see that if $\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k} \not \equiv 0$ $\bmod \left(x_{2 i} \mid i \in \mathrm{t}\left(\mathrm{E}_{6}\right)\right)^{3}$, it is as in the following table.

|  | $\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k} \bmod \left(x_{2 i} \mid i \in \mathrm{t}\left(\mathrm{E}_{6}\right)\right)^{3}$ | $(k, p)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(1)$ | $\lambda_{1} x_{4} x_{24}+\lambda_{2} x_{10} x_{18}+\lambda_{3} x_{12} x_{16}$ | $(4,13)$ |
| $(2)$ | $\lambda_{1} x_{10} x_{24}+\lambda_{2} x_{16} x_{18}$ | $(10,13)$ |
| $(3)$ | $\lambda_{1} x_{12} x_{24}+\lambda_{2} x_{18}^{2}$ | $(12,13),(4,17)$ |
| $(4)$ | $\lambda x_{16} x_{24}$ | $(16,13),(4,19)$ |
| $(5)$ | $\lambda x_{18} x_{24}$ | $(18,13),(10,17)$ |
| $(6)$ | $\lambda x_{24}^{2}$ | $(24,13),(16,17),(12,19),(4,23)$ |

When $p=19,23$, the result follows from the above case of $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ and Corollary 2.8.
(1) It is proved in [HK] that $\lambda_{1} \neq 0, \lambda_{2} \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{3} \neq 0$.
(2) Put $I=\left(p_{1}, p_{3}^{2}, c_{5}^{2}\right)$. Since $\hat{x}_{4}, \hat{x}_{10}^{2}, \hat{x}_{12}^{2} \in I$, we have
$\rho_{3}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{10}\right) \equiv \lambda_{1} \hat{x}_{10} \hat{x}_{24}+\lambda_{2} \hat{x}_{16} \hat{x}_{18} \equiv 5 \lambda_{1}\left(-p_{4} p_{2} c_{5}+\frac{1}{36} p_{2}^{3} c_{5}\right)+\lambda_{2}\left(12 p_{4} p_{2} c_{5}+p_{2}^{3} c_{5}\right) \quad \bmod I$,
where $\hat{x}_{10}=c_{5}$ and $\hat{x}_{18}=p_{2} c_{5}$. On the other hand, by Proposition 2.14, we have $\rho_{3}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{10}\right)=\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{3}^{*}\left(x_{10}\right) \equiv 6 p_{4} p_{2} c_{5}+7 p_{2}^{3} c_{5} \bmod I$ for $p=13$, hence $\lambda_{1} \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{2} \neq 0$.
(3) Put $I=\left(p_{1}, p_{3}^{2}, \hat{x}_{16}\right)$. It is sufficient to consider the case $p=13,17$. Since $\hat{x}_{i}, \hat{x}_{12}^{2} \in I$ for $i=4,16$,

$$
\rho_{3}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k}\right) \equiv \lambda_{1} \hat{x}_{12} \hat{x}_{24}+\lambda_{2} \hat{x}_{18}^{2} \equiv-\frac{10}{3} \lambda_{1} p_{3} p_{2}^{3}+\lambda_{2} p_{2}^{2} c_{5}^{2} \quad \bmod I .
$$

By Proposition 2.14, we have

$$
\rho_{3}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k}\right)=\mathcal{P}^{1} \rho_{3}^{*}\left(x_{k}\right) \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
9 p_{3} p_{2}^{3}+5 c_{5}^{2} p_{2}^{2} & (p=13) \\
13 p_{3} p_{2}^{3}-11 c_{5}^{2} p_{2}^{2} & (p=17)
\end{array} \quad \bmod I\right.
$$

implying $\lambda_{1} \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{2} \neq 0$.
(4), (5) and (6) Put $I=\left(p_{1}, p_{3}, p_{4}, c_{5}\right), J=\left(p_{1}, p_{3}, c_{5}^{2}, \hat{x}_{16}\right)$ and $K=\left(p_{1}, p_{3}, c_{5}, \hat{x}_{16}\right)$.

Then since $\hat{x}_{i} \in I$ for $i=4,10,12, \hat{x}_{i}, \hat{x}_{10}^{2} \in J$ for $i=4,12,16$ and $\hat{x}_{i} \in K$ for $i=4,12,10,16$, we get $\lambda \neq 0$ similarly to (4) of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ by considering $\rho_{3}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k}\right)$ modulo $I, J, K$ respectively for (4), (5) and (6).

We next consider the case of $\mathrm{F}_{4}$. Notice that $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ is $p$-regular if and only if so is $\mathrm{E}_{6}$, and that as in the proof of Corollary 2.9, the map $\alpha_{3}^{*}$ : $H^{*}\left(B \mathrm{E}_{6} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(B \mathrm{~F}_{4} ; \mathbb{Z} / p\right)$ is surjective. Then the result for $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ follows from that for $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ above.

### 3.4. The case of $\mathrm{G}_{2}$

For a degree reason, if $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ is $p$-regular and $\mathcal{P}^{1} x_{k} \not \equiv 0 \bmod \left(x_{2 i} \mid i \in\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{t}\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}\right)\right)^{3}$, then $(k, p)=(4,7),(12,7),(4,11)$. Hence Theorem 2.2 for $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ readily follows from Proposition 2.15.
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