

# Cover times for sequences of reversible Markov chains on random graphs

Yoshihiro Abe

## Abstract

We provide conditions that classify sequences of random graphs into two types in terms of cover times: One type (Type 1) is the class of random graphs on which the cover times are of the order of the maximal hitting times scaled by the logarithm of the size of vertex sets. The other type (Type 2) is the class of random graphs on which the cover times are of the order of the maximal hitting times. The conditions are described by some parameters determined by random graphs: the volumes, the diameters with respect to the resistance metric, the coverings or packings by balls in the resistance metric. We apply the conditions to and classify a number of examples, such as supercritical Galton-Watson trees, the incipient infinite cluster of a critical Galton-Watson tree and the Sierpinski gasket graph.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 60J10, Secondary 05C80.

*Running head:* **Cover times for reversible Markov chains on random graphs**

## 1 Introduction and main results

### 1.1 Introduction

Let  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  be a finite, connected graph and  $\tau_{\text{cov}}(G)$  be the first time at which the simple random walk on  $G$  visits every vertex. The cover time for the simple random walk is defined by

$$t_{\text{cov}}(G) := \max_{x \in V(G)} E^x(\tau_{\text{cov}}(G)).$$

Cover times depend deeply on structural properties of the underlying graphs. Erdős-Rényi random graphs in several regimes are good examples. It is well known that as the percolation probability changes from the supercritical regime to the critical regime, the structure of the Erdős-Rényi random graph (such as the volume, the diameter) evolves. Cooper and Frieze [10] and Barlow, Ding, Nachmias and Peres [5] estimated the cover time for the simple random walk on the Erdős-Rényi random graph in the supercritical and critical cases, respectively and showed that the order of the cover time also evolves. We will

investigate the relationship between cover times and structures of the underlying graphs in a more general setting.

In order to introduce our general framework, we consider the maximal hitting time defined by

$$t_{\text{hit}}(G) := \max_{x,y \in V(G)} E^x(\tau_y(G)),$$

where  $\tau_x(G)$  is the hitting time of  $x$  by the simple random walk on  $G$ .

In general, the following inequality holds for any finite, connected graphs:

$$t_{\text{hit}}(G) \leq t_{\text{cov}}(G) \leq 2t_{\text{hit}}(G) \cdot \log |V(G)|. \quad (1.1)$$

The inequality on the right-hand side is often called Matthews bound (see Lemma 2.4). In view of (1.1), it is useful to classify graphs in terms of cover times into the following two extreme types (see Definition 1.1 for the precise definition):

- (i) graphs on which the cover times are of the order of  $t_{\text{hit}}(G) \cdot \log |V(G)|$  (we will call them Type 1),
- (ii) graphs on which the cover times are of the order of  $t_{\text{hit}}(G)$  (we will call them Type 2).

Note that the maximal hitting time can be estimated via the volume and the diameter with respect to the resistance metric of the underlying graph (see Lemma 2.2 for the precise statement).

In this paper, we will provide sufficient conditions that classify sequences of random graphs with respect to the cover times into Type 1 and Type 2; the conditions are described by the volume, the resistance diameter and the covering or packing number of the graphs (see section 1.2 for precise definitions of these parameters). We apply the conditions to many examples (see Table 1 below). Although details of some specific cover times are already known, the novelty of this paper is that we first unify separate methods of estimating cover times into one and add some new examples such as supercritical Galton-Watson trees and critical Galton-Watson trees conditioned to survive.

We provide intuitions for the sufficient conditions. Roughly speaking, if one can find a packing consisting of a large number of big disjoint balls with respect to the effective resistance metric, then the random graphs will be of Type 1 (Theorem 1.3). Many supercritical random graphs admit such packings. For example, we can take a family of large number of big trees as a packing for supercritical Galton-Watson family trees and supercritical Erdős-Rényi random graphs (see section 3.1, [10], [1]).

On the other hand, it can be shown that random graphs will be of Type 2 if the number of balls required to cover the graphs increases no more than (double) exponentially, as the radii of balls with respect to the resistance metric decrease exponentially (Theorem 1.4). A wide variety of critical random graphs and fractal graphs satisfy this property (see section 3.2, 3.4, [5], [1]).

General bounds on cover times have been studied previously (see [19], [5], [14]). The Matthews bound (see Lemma 2.4) and the lower bound in terms of

Gaussian free fields [14] together with the Sudakov minoration (see Lemma 2.5) give very useful ingredients for obtaining the condition for Type 1. The upper bound via Gaussian free fields [14] and the Dudley's entropy bound (see Lemma 2.7) are essential to the conditions for Type 2.

In the next subsection, we give our main results. For a set  $S$ , we will write  $|S|$  to denote the cardinality of  $S$ . Throughout this paper, we use  $c, c', c_1, c_2, \dots$  to denote constants that does not depend on the size of  $G$ .

## 1.2 Main results

To state our main results, we first prepare some definitions.

Let  $G^N = (V(G^N), E(G^N), \mu^N)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  be a sequence of random weighted graphs, where  $V(G^N)$  is the vertex set,  $E(G^N)$  is the edge set and  $\mu^N$  is a non-negative symmetric weight function on  $V(G^N) \times V(G^N)$  which satisfies  $\mu_{xy}^N > 0$  if and only if  $\{x, y\} \in E(G^N)$ . We assume that these weighted graphs are defined on a common probability space with a probability measure  $\mathbf{P}$  and that  $G^N$  is a finite, connected graph,  $\mathbf{P}$ -a.s. In this paper, the following four parameters (volume, resistance diameter, packing number, covering number) play important roles in estimating cover times.

The volume of  $G^N$  is defined by

$$\mu^N(G^N) := \sum_{x, y \in V(G^N)} \mu_{xy}^N.$$

The effective resistance is a powerful tool for studying random walks on weighted graphs (see Lemma 2.2). For  $x, y \in V(G^N), x \neq y$ , we define the effective resistance between  $x$  and  $y$  by

$$R_{\text{eff}}^N(x, y)^{-1} := \inf\{\mathcal{E}^N(f, f) : f \in \mathbb{R}^{V(G^N)}, f(x) = 1, f(y) = 0\},$$

$$\text{where } \mathcal{E}^N(f, g) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{u, v \in V(G^N) \\ \{u, v\} \in E(G^N)}} \mu_{uv}^N (f(u) - f(v))(g(u) - g(v)), f, g \in \mathbb{R}^{V(G^N)}.$$

If we define  $R_{\text{eff}}^N(x, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in V(G^N)$ , it is known that  $R_{\text{eff}}^N(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a metric on  $V(G^N)$ . The resistance diameter is defined by

$$\text{diam}_R(G^N) := \max_{x, y \in V(G^N)} R_{\text{eff}}^N(x, y).$$

We define the resistance ball with radius  $r$  centered at  $x \in V(G^N)$  by

$$B_{\text{eff}}^N(x, r) := \{y \in V(G^N) : R_{\text{eff}}^N(x, y) \leq r\}.$$

We call a family of resistance balls  $\{B_{\text{eff}}^N(x_1, r_1), \dots, B_{\text{eff}}^N(x_m, r_m)\}$  a packing for  $G^N$  if these resistance balls are disjoint with each other.

The packing number for  $(G^N, r)$  is defined by

$$n_{\text{pac}}(G^N, r) := \max \left\{ m \geq 1 : \text{there exist } x_1, \dots, x_m \in V(G^N) \text{ such that} \right. \\ \left. \{B_{\text{eff}}^N(x_1, r), \dots, B_{\text{eff}}^N(x_m, r)\} \text{ is a packing for } G^N \right\}.$$

We call a family of resistance balls  $\{B_{\text{eff}}^N(x_1, r_1), \dots, B_{\text{eff}}^N(x_m, r_m)\}$  a covering for  $G^N$  if

$$V(G^N) \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^m B_{\text{eff}}^N(x_k, r_k).$$

The covering number for  $(G^N, r)$  is defined by

$$n_{\text{cov}}(G^N, r) := \min \left\{ m \geq 1 : \text{there exist } x_1, \dots, x_m \in V(G^N) \text{ such that } \{B_{\text{eff}}^N(x_1, r), \dots, B_{\text{eff}}^N(x_m, r)\} \text{ is a covering for } G^N \right\}.$$

The discrete time random walk on  $G^N$  is the Markov chain  $((X_n)_{n \geq 0}, P^x, x \in V(G^N))$  with transition probabilities  $(p(x, y))_{x, y \in V(G^N)}$  defined by  $p(x, y) := \mu_{xy}^N / \mu_x^N$ , where  $\mu_x^N := \sum_{y \in V(G^N)} \mu_{xy}^N$ . Let  $\tau_{\text{cov}}(G^N)$  be the first time at which the random walk visits every vertex of  $V(G^N)$ . We define the cover time for the random walk on  $G^N$  as follows:

$$t_{\text{cov}}(G^N) := \max_{x \in V(G^N)} E^x(\tau_{\text{cov}}(G^N)).$$

We also define the maximal hitting time for the random walk on  $G^N$  by

$$t_{\text{hit}}(G^N) := \max_{x, y \in V(G^N)} E^x(\tau_y(G^N)),$$

where  $\tau_x(G^N)$  is the hitting time of  $x \in V(G^N)$  by the random walk on  $G^N$ . We give the precise definitions of types for a sequence of random graphs via cover times.

**Definition 1.1** (1) A sequence of random graphs  $(G^N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  is of Type 1 if

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left( \lambda^{-1} \leq \frac{t_{\text{cov}}(G^N)}{t_{\text{hit}}(G^N) \cdot \log |V(G^N)|} \leq 2 \right) = 1. \quad (1.2)$$

(2) A sequence of random graphs  $(G^N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  is of Type 2 if

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P} \left( 1 \leq \frac{t_{\text{cov}}(G^N)}{t_{\text{hit}}(G^N)} \leq \lambda \right) = 1. \quad (1.3)$$

**Remark 1.2** By (1.1), the upper bound of the event in (1.2) and the lower bound of the event in (1.3) always hold.

We are now ready to state our main theorems. We first state the sufficient condition for random graphs to be of Type 1. We will say that a sequence of events  $(B_N)_{N \geq 0}$  holds with high probability (abbreviated to w.h.p.) if  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(B_N) = 1$ .

**Theorem 1.3** (1) Suppose there exist  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  and functions  $v, r : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} v(N) = \infty$  such that w.h.p., the following holds:

$$\log |V(G^N)| \leq c_1 \log v(N), \quad \text{diam}_R(G^N) \leq c_2 r(N). \quad (1.4)$$

Then there exists  $c_3 > 0$  such that w.h.p.,

$$t_{cov}(G^N)/\mu^N(G^N) \leq c_3 r(N) \log v(N).$$

(2) Suppose that there exist  $c_4, c_5 > 0$  and functions  $v, r : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} v(N) = \infty$  such that w.h.p.,

$$\log \{n_{pac}(G^N, c_4 r(N))\} \geq c_5 \log v(N). \quad (1.5)$$

Then there exists  $c_6 > 0$  such that w.h.p.,

$$t_{cov}(G^N)/\mu^N(G^N) \geq c_6 r(N) \log v(N).$$

(3) Under conditions (1.4) and (1.5),  $(G^N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  is of Type 1.

We next state sufficient conditions for random graphs to be of Type 2.

**Theorem 1.4** (1) Suppose that there exist functions  $v, r : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} v(N) = \infty$  and a function  $p : [1, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} p(\lambda) = 0$  satisfying the following for all  $\lambda \geq 1$  and sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\mathbf{P}(\mu^N(G^N) \leq \lambda v(N)) \geq 1 - p(\lambda), \quad (1.6)$$

and there exists a random non-increasing sequence  $(\ell_k^N)_{k \geq 0}$  satisfying  $\ell_0^N = \text{diam}_R(G^N)$ ,  $\ell_{k_0^N-1}^N > 0$  and  $\ell_{k_0^N}^N = 0$  for some  $k_0^N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{k_0^N} \sqrt{\ell_{k-1}^N \log \{n_{cov}(G^N, \ell_k^N)\}} \leq \lambda \sqrt{r(N)}\right) \geq 1 - p(\lambda). \quad (1.7)$$

Then there exists  $c > 0$  such that for all  $\lambda \geq c$  and sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}(t_{cov}(G^N) > \lambda v(N) r(N)) \leq \inf_{0 < \theta < 1} \left\{ p((\lambda/c)^\theta) + p\left((\lambda/c)^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}}\right) \right\}. \quad (1.8)$$

(2) Suppose that there exist functions  $v, r : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} v(N) = \infty$  and a function  $p : [1, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} p(\lambda) = 0$  satisfying the following for all  $\lambda \geq 1$  and sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\mathbf{P}(\mu^N(G^N) < \lambda^{-1} v(N)) \leq p(\lambda), \quad \mathbf{P}(\text{diam}_R(G^N) < \lambda^{-1} r(N)) \leq p(\lambda). \quad (1.9)$$

Then there exists  $c > 0$  such that for all  $\lambda \geq c$  and sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}(t_{cov}(G^N) < \lambda^{-1} v(N) r(N)) \leq \inf_{0 < \theta < 1} \left\{ p\left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{c}\right)^\theta\right) + p\left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{c}\right)^{1-\theta}\right) \right\}.$$

(3) Under the conditions (1.6), (1.7) and (1.9),  $(G^N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  is of Type 2.

**Remark 1.5** (1) In general, we cannot replace (1.8) by the statement that  $t_{\text{cov}}(G^N) \leq cv(N)r(N)$  w.h.p., for some  $c > 0$  (see Proposition 3.7). We thus state Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 in a slightly different way.

(2) If the conditions (1.4) and (1.5) in Theorem 1.3 hold  $\mathbf{P}$ -almost surely for sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , the results of Theorem 1.3 also hold  $\mathbf{P}$ -almost surely for sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(3) If the events of (1.6), (1.7) and (1.9) in Theorem 1.4 hold  $\mathbf{P}$ -almost surely for sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , the results of Theorem 1.4 also hold  $\mathbf{P}$ -almost surely for sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $\lambda$  will be replaced by some constants).

(4) On some class of planar graphs, the condition (1.5) always holds; Let  $(G^N)_{N \geq 0}$  be a sequence of  $\mathbf{P}$ -a.s. finite, planar connected random graphs with maximum degree  $c > 0$  and  $\mu_{xy}^N = 1$  for all  $\{x, y\} \in E(G^N)$ . Suppose that there exists  $c_7 > 0$  and a function  $v : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} v(N) = \infty$  such that w.h.p.,  $\log |V(G^N)| \geq c_7 \log v(N)$ . Then by Lemma 3.1 of [16], (1.5) holds with the function  $v$  and  $r(N) = \log v(N)$ .

(5) Typically, we take an exponentially decreasing sequence as  $(\ell_k^N)_{k \geq 0}$  in (1.7) (for example,  $\ell_k^N = \frac{\text{diam}_R(G^N)}{2^k}$ ).

Applying these theorems, we will classify several specific random graphs and estimate the cover times. We summarize the results in Table 1. We give a list of known estimates of cover times for Erdős-Rényi random graphs [10, 15, 5] in Table 2 for comparison (one can find overviews of these (weaker) estimates in the extended version of the paper on arXiv [1]). We explain the notation in Table 1, 2. The notation  $m$  is the mean of the offspring distribution of the corresponding branching process. ‘IIC’ is the abbreviation of ‘incipient infinite cluster’ and  $p_N$  is the survival probability up to  $N$  level (see subsection 3.2). Supercritical Erdős-Rényi random graphs I, II have the percolation probability  $c/N$ ,  $f(N)/N$  respectively, where  $c > 1$  is a constant and  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \log N/f(N) = 0$ .

Table 1: A summary of types of random graphs and orders of the cover times in section 3.1 - 3.4

Random graph	Volume	Cover time	Type
Supercritical Galton-Watson family trees	$m^N$	$N^2 m^N$	1
The IIC for critical Galton-Watson family tree	$N p_N^{-1}$	$N^2 p_N^{-1}$	2
The range of random walk in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , $d \geq 5$	$N$	$N^2$	2
Sierpinski gasket graphs	$3^N$	$5^N$	2

Table 2: Known types of random graphs and orders of the cover times in [10], [15] and [5]

Random graph	Volume	Cover time	Type
Supercritical Erdős-Rényi random graphs I	$N$	$N(\log N)^2$	1
Supercritical Erdős-Rényi random graphs II	$N f(N)$	$N \log N$	1
Critical Erdős-Rényi random graphs	$N^{2/3}$	$N$	2

Concerning the IIC for critical Galton-Watson family trees, Aldous [2] and Barlow, Ding, Nachmias and Peres [5] have estimated the cover times for critical Galton-Watson family trees for finite variance offspring distributions. Our result extends these results to the case where the offspring distribution is in the domain of attraction of a stable law with index  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ . Our result clarifies that the cover time for the IIC depends on the survival probability of the branching process up to some level.

In Section 3.5, we will estimate the cover time for the largest supercritical percolation cluster inside a box in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ . However, we are not able to obtain the correct order (see Remark 3.16).

Note that some graphs cannot be classified as either Type 1 or Type 2. For example, let  $G^N$  be a deterministic graph with unit weights consisting of a complete graph with  $N$  vertices and  $a_N$  other vertices, each attached by a single edge to a distinct vertex of the complete graph, where  $a_N$  is a positive number satisfying  $2 \leq a_N \leq N$ . One can show that  $\text{diam}_R(G^N) = 2 + 2/N$ ,  $n_{\text{pac}}(G^N, \ell) \geq a_N$  for all  $0 \leq \ell \leq 1$  and  $n_{\text{cov}}(G^N, \text{diam}_R(G^N)/2^k) \leq a_N + 1$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \log_2 N \rfloor$ . By Theorem 1.3 (2), Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.6 below, we have for some  $c, c' > 0$ ,

$$c \cdot t_{\text{hit}}(G^N) \cdot \log a_N \leq t_{\text{cov}}(G^N) \leq c' \cdot t_{\text{hit}}(G^N) \cdot \log a_N.$$

This implies that if  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} a_N = \infty$  and  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log a_N}{\log N} = 0$ , then the sequence of graphs  $(G^N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  is neither of Type 1 nor of Type 2.

We give the outline of this paper. In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4. In Section 3, using Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4, we classify random graphs in Table 1 and estimate the cover times.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4.

### 2.1 Known results

We state some known results on cover times and Gaussian free fields that we will use in this paper.

Throughout the following lemmas,  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  will be a finite, connected graph and  $\mu$  will be the weight function with  $\mu(G) := \sum_{x, y \in V(G)} \mu_{xy}$ . Let  $R_{\text{eff}}(\cdot, \cdot)$  be the effective resistance for  $G$ . The Gaussian free field on  $G$  is a centered Gaussian process  $\{\eta_x\}_{x \in V(G)}$  satisfying the following:  $\eta_{x_0} = 0$  for some  $x_0 \in V(G)$  and  $\mathbb{E}(\eta_x \eta_y) = \frac{1}{2}(R_{\text{eff}}(x, x_0) + R_{\text{eff}}(y, x_0) - R_{\text{eff}}(x, y))$  for all  $x, y \in V(G)$ . We refer to [25] for an overview of the Gaussian free field. Recently, Ding, Lee and Peres [14] proved the following surprising result, which says that cover times have a close relationship with Gaussian free fields.

**Lemma 2.1** ([14], Theorem 1.9 and Theorem (MM)) *There exist  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that*

$$c_1 \cdot \mu(G) \cdot \left( \mathbb{E} \max_{x \in V(G)} \eta_x \right)^2 \leq t_{cov}(G) \leq c_2 \cdot \mu(G) \cdot \left( \mathbb{E} \max_{x \in V(G)} \eta_x \right)^2.$$

The following commute time identity is well-known and useful for estimating the maximal hitting time. See, for instance, Theorem 2.1 of [8] or Proposition 10.6 of [19].

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $\tau_x$  be the hitting time of  $x \in V(G)$  by the random walk on  $G$ . For all  $x, y \in V(G)$ ,*

$$E^x(\tau_y) + E^y(\tau_x) = \mu(G) R_{eff}(x, y).$$

*In particular,*

$$\frac{1}{2} \mu(G) \text{diam}_R(G) \leq t_{hit}(G) \leq \mu(G) \text{diam}_R(G).$$

Fix  $x, y \in V(G)$ .  $\Pi$  is an edge-cutset between  $x$  and  $y$  if  $\Pi$  is a subset of  $E(G)$  such that every path from  $x$  to  $y$  has an edge belonging to  $\Pi$ . The following Nash-Williams inequality is useful for obtaining lower bounds on effective resistances. See, for example, Proposition 9.15 of [19].

**Lemma 2.3** *Fix  $x, y \in V(G)$ . Let  $(\Pi_k)_{k \geq 1}$  be a sequence of edge-cutsets between  $x$  and  $y$  with  $\Pi_k \cap \Pi_\ell = \emptyset$  for all  $k \neq \ell$ . Then,*

$$R_{eff}(x, y) \geq \sum_{k \geq 1} \left( \sum_{\{u, v\} \in \Pi_k} \mu_{uv} \right)^{-1}.$$

## 2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We provide the proof of Theorem 1.3. The following lemma is known as the Matthews bound. See, for example, Theorem 11.2 of [19] (see also the original work of Matthews [20]).

**Lemma 2.4** *Let  $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be an irreducible Markov chain on a finite state space  $V$  and  $t_{cov}, t_{hit}$  be its cover time and maximal hitting time, respectively. Then,*

$$t_{cov} \leq t_{hit} \cdot (\log |V| + 1).$$

We also use the next fact, called Sudakov minoration. See, for instance, Lemma 2.1.2 of [28].

**Lemma 2.5** *Let  $\{\eta_x\}_{x \in V(G)}$  be a Gaussian free field on a weighted graph  $G$ . There exists  $c > 0$  such that for all  $V' \subset V(G)$ ,*

$$\mathbb{E} \max_{x \in V'} \eta_x \geq c \left( \min_{\substack{y, z \in V' \\ y \neq z}} \sqrt{R_{eff}(y, z)} \right) \sqrt{\log |V'|}.$$



*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* We first prove (1). By Lemma 2.2 and (1.4), we get w.h.p.,

$$t_{\text{hit}}(G^N) \leq \mu^N(G^N) \cdot \text{diam}_R(G^N) \leq c_2 \mu^N(G^N) r(N). \quad (2.1)$$

So, using Lemma 2.4, (1.4) and (2.1), we have that w.h.p.,

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\text{cov}}(G^N) &\leq t_{\text{hit}}(G^N) \cdot (\log |V(G^N)| + 1) \\ &\leq 2c_1 c_2 \mu^N(G^N) r(N) \log v(N). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove (2). Let  $x_1, \dots, x_{n_{\text{pac}}(G^N, c_4 r(N))}$  be vertices satisfying that the set of resistance balls  $\{B_{\text{eff}}^N(x_k, c_4 r(N)) : 1 \leq k \leq n_{\text{pac}}(G^N, c_4 r(N))\}$  is a packing for  $G^N$ . Set  $V' := \{x_1, \dots, x_{n_{\text{pac}}(G^N, c_4 r(N))}\}$ . Using (1.5), Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.5, we have that there exist  $c_7, c_8 > 0$  such that w.h.p.,

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\text{cov}}(G^N) &\geq c_7 \mu^N(G^N) \left( c_8 \sqrt{c_4 r(N)} \sqrt{\log \{n_{\text{pac}}(G^N, c_4 r(N))\}} \right)^2 \\ &\geq c_4 c_5 c_7 c_8^2 \mu^N(G^N) r(N) \log v(N). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

The inequalities (1.4), (2.1) and (2.2) imply the conclusion of (3).  $\square$

### 2.3 Proof of Theorem 1.4

We prove Theorem 1.4. The following fact is a minor extension of Theorem 1.1 of [5] and provides useful general upper bounds on cover times.

**Lemma 2.6** *Let  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  be a graph and  $\mu$  be the weight function with  $\mu(G) := \sum_{x, y \in V(G)} \mu_{xy}$ . Let  $(\ell_k)_{k \geq 0}$  be a non-increasing sequence with  $\ell_0 = \text{diam}_R(G)$ ,  $\ell_{k_0-1} > 0$  and  $\ell_{k_0} = 0$  for some  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, there exists  $c > 0$  such that*

$$t_{\text{cov}}(G) \leq c \left( \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \sqrt{\ell_{k-1} \log \{n_{\text{cov}}(G, \ell_k)\}} \right)^2 \cdot \mu(G).$$

Lemma 2.6 follows from the following result. See, for example, Theorem 11.17 of [18].

**Lemma 2.7** *Let  $I$  be a finite set and  $\{\eta_x\}_{x \in I}$  be a Gaussian process. Set  $d(x, y) := \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(\eta_x - \eta_y)^2}$  and*

$$\begin{aligned} n(I, d, \ell) &:= \min\{m \geq 1 : \text{there exist } x_1, \dots, x_m \in I \\ &\quad \text{such that } I \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^m \{y \in I : d(x_k, y) \leq \ell\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Then there exists  $c > 0$  such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \max_{x \in I} \eta_x \leq c \int_0^\infty \sqrt{\log \{n(I, d, \ell)\}} d\ell.$$

*Proof of Lemma 2.6.* Let  $\{\eta_x\}_{x \in V(G)}$  be a Gaussian free field on  $G$ . Note that  $d(x, y) = \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(\eta_x - \eta_y)^2} = \sqrt{R_{\text{eff}}(x, y)}$ . In particular,  $n(V(G), d, \ell) = n_{\text{cov}}(G, \ell^2)$ . Since  $n_{\text{cov}}(G, \ell)$  is non-increasing with respect to  $\ell$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\infty \sqrt{\log\{n(V(G), d, \ell)\}} d\ell \\
& \leq \int_0^\infty \sqrt{\log\{n_{\text{cov}}(G, \ell^2)\}} d\ell \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \int_{\sqrt{\ell_k}}^{\sqrt{\ell_{k-1}}} \sqrt{\log\{n_{\text{cov}}(G, \ell^2)\}} d\ell \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \sqrt{\ell_{k-1} \log\{n_{\text{cov}}(G, \ell_k)\}}. \tag{2.3}
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.7 and (2.3) imply the conclusion.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* First, we prove (1). Fix  $\lambda \geq 1$ , sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Set

$$B := \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{k_0^N} \sqrt{\ell_{k-1}^N \log\{n_{\text{cov}}(G^N, \ell_k^N)\}} \leq \lambda^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}} \sqrt{r(N)} \right\}.$$

By (1.6), (1.7) and Lemma 2.6, we have for some  $c_1 > 0$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{P}(t_{\text{cov}}(G^N) > c_1 \lambda v(N) r(N)) \\
& \leq \mathbf{P}(\mu^N(G^N) > \lambda^\theta v(N)) + \mathbf{P}(B^c) \\
& \leq p(\lambda^\theta) + p(\lambda^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}}),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies the conclusion of (1).

Next, we prove (2). Fix  $\lambda \geq 1$ , sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . By (1.9), Lemma 2.2 and the fact that  $t_{\text{cov}}(G^N) \geq t_{\text{hit}}(G^N)$   $\mathbf{P}$ -a.s., we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{P}\left(t_{\text{cov}}(G^N) < \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{2} v(N) r(N)\right) \\
& \leq \mathbf{P}(\mu^N(G^N) < \lambda^{-\theta} v(N)) + \mathbf{P}(\text{diam}_R(G^N) < \lambda^{-(1-\theta)} r(N)) \\
& \leq p(\lambda^\theta) + p(\lambda^{1-\theta}),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies the conclusion of (2).

Using Lemma 2.2 and the results of (1) and (2), we can easily obtain the conclusion (3). We omit the detail.  $\square$

### 3 Examples

In this section, we classify a number of specific random graphs and estimate the cover times by using Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4. Given a graph  $G$ , we

will write  $d_G(x, y)$  to denote the graph distance between  $x$  and  $y$  in the graph  $G$ . In Subsection 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.5, we assume that  $\mu_{xy}^N = 1$  for all  $\{x, y\} \in E(G^N)$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  **P**-a.s.

### 3.1 Supercritical Galton-Watson family trees

Let  $(Z_N)_{N \geq 0}$  be a Galton-Watson process defined on a probability space with probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  be its family tree. We assume that  $m := \mathbb{E}(Z_1) \in (1, \infty)$ .  $\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_N$  are the first  $N$  generations and the set of  $N$ -th generation of  $\mathcal{T}$  respectively. In particular,  $Z_N = |\mathcal{T}_N|$ .  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_N$  is a set of vertices among  $N$ -th generation that have infinite line of descent. We consider the conditional measure  $\mathbf{P} := \mathbb{P}(\cdot \mid Z_n \neq 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N})$ . We prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1** *There exist  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that **P**-a.s., for sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$c_1 N^2 \leq t_{cov}(\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}) / |E(\mathcal{T}_{\leq N})| \leq c_2 N^2,$$

and  $(\mathcal{T}_{\leq N})_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  is of Type 1.

In the proof, we use the following well-known fact. See, for example, Theorem 1 (page 49), Theorem 3 (page 30) and Lemma 4 (page 31) of [3].

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $(Z_N)_{N \geq 0}$  be a Galton-Watson process with mean  $m \in (1, \infty)$ .*

(1) *Set  $\tilde{Z}_N := |\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_N|$ . Under the probability measure  $\mathbb{P}(\cdot \mid Z_n \neq 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N})$ ,  $(\tilde{Z}_N)_{N \geq 0}$  is a Galton-Watson process whose offspring distribution has generating function*

$$\tilde{f}(s) = \frac{f((1-q)s + q) - q}{1-q},$$

where  $f$  is the generating function of  $Z_1$  and  $q := \mathbb{P}(Z_n = 0 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N})$ .

(2) *There exist a sequence of constants  $(C_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} C_N = \infty$  and  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C_{N+1}}{C_N} = m$  and a random variable  $W$  such that*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Z_N}{C_N} = W \text{ **P**-a.s., } \mathbb{P}(W < \infty) = 1 \text{ and } \mathbb{P}(W = 0) = q.$$

*Proof of Proposition 3.1.* We check almost-sure versions of (1.4) and (1.5) in Theorem 1.3 with  $\log v(N) = r(N) = N$ .

By the Chebyshev inequality, we have for all  $\alpha > m$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}(|\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}| > \alpha^N) \leq \frac{\mathbf{E}(|\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}|)}{\alpha^N} \leq \frac{1}{1-q} \cdot \frac{m}{m-1} \cdot \left(\frac{m}{\alpha}\right)^N.$$

So, by the Borel-Cantelli lemma,  $|\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}| \leq \alpha^N$  for sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , **P**-a.s. Since  $R_{\text{eff}}^N(x, y) = d_{\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}}(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{T}_{\leq N}$ , we get  $\text{diam}_R(\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}) \leq 2N$ , **P**-a.s. We set  $V' := \{g_N(v) : v \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor}\}$ , where  $g_N(v) \in \mathcal{T}_N$  is a fixed descendant

of  $v \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor}$ . We also set  $\tilde{Z}_N := |\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_N|$ . By Lemma 3.2 (1),  $(\tilde{Z}_N)_{N \geq 0}$  is a Galton-Watson process with mean  $m$  and zero extinction probability. By applying Lemma 3.2 (2) to  $(\tilde{Z}_N)_{N \geq 0}$ , we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{Z}_{N+1}}{\tilde{Z}_N} = m, \mathbf{P}\text{-a.s.}, \text{ and so } \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (\tilde{Z}_N)^{1/N} = m, \mathbf{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

In particular, we have  $|V'| = \tilde{Z}_{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} \geq \alpha^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor}$  for sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbf{P}$ -a.s., for all  $1 < \alpha < m$ . We also know that  $R_{\text{eff}}^N(x, y) > 2 \lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor$  for all  $x, y \in V', x \neq y$ ,  $\mathbf{P}$ -a.s. Therefore,  $\{B_{\text{eff}}^N(x, \lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor) : x \in V'\}$  is a packing for  $\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}$  and  $\log\{n_{\text{pac}}(\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}, \lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor)\} \geq \lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor \log \alpha$ , for sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbf{P}$ -a.s., for all  $1 < \alpha < m$ . By Remark 1.5 (2), the conclusion holds.  $\square$

### 3.2 The incipient infinite cluster for critical Galton-Watson family trees

Let  $(Z_N)_{N \geq 0}$  be a critical Galton-Watson process with offspring distribution  $Z$  in the domain of attraction of a stable law with index  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ . That is, there exists a sequence  $(a_N)_{N \geq 0}$  such that  $\frac{Z[N]-N}{a_N} \xrightarrow{d} X$ , where  $\mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda X} = e^{-\lambda^\alpha}$  and  $Z[N]$  is the sum of  $N$  i.i.d copies of  $Z$ . We write  $\mathcal{T}$  to denote its family tree. We use the notation  $\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}, \mathcal{T}_N$  as in Subsection 3.1. We set  $p_N := \mathbb{P}(Z_N > 0)$ . In [17], Kesten considered the Galton-Watson tree conditioned to survive:

**Lemma 3.3** ([17], Lemma 1.14) *For any family tree  $T$  of  $k$  generations,*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_{\leq k} = T | Z_N > 0) = |T_k| \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_{\leq k} = T).$$

We set  $P_0(T) = |T_k| \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_{\leq k} = T)$ .  $P_0$  has a unique extension to a probability measure  $\mathbf{P}$  on the set of infinite family trees.

By this lemma, we can take a family tree with the distribution  $\mathbf{P}$ . We write this by  $\mathcal{T}^*$  and call it incipient infinite cluster. We set  $Z_N^* := |\mathcal{T}_N^*|$ .

**Proposition 3.4** *There exist  $c_1, c_2, c > 0$  such that for all  $\lambda, N \geq c$ ,*

$$\mathbf{P}(t_{\text{cov}}(\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}^*) \geq \lambda N^{\frac{2\alpha-1}{\alpha-1}} \ell(N)^{-1}) \leq c_1 \lambda^{-c_2},$$

$$\mathbf{P}(t_{\text{cov}}(\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}^*) \leq \lambda^{-1} N^{\frac{2\alpha-1}{\alpha-1}} \ell(N)^{-1}) \leq c_1 \lambda^{-c_2},$$

where  $\ell(N)$  is a slowly varying function at infinity satisfying  $p_N = N^{-\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} \ell(N)$ . Furthermore,  $(\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}^*)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  is of Type 2.

**Remark 3.5** *Barlow, Ding, Nachmias and Peres [5] proved that in the case  $\alpha = 2$ , conditioned on the event  $\{|\mathcal{T}| \in [N, 2N]\}$ ,  $t_{\text{cov}}(\mathcal{T})/N^{\frac{3}{2}}$  is tight.*

In the proof, we use the following facts.

**Lemma 3.6** (Proposition 2.2, 2.5, 2.7 and Lemma 2.3 of [13])

(1) There exists a slowly varying function at infinity  $\ell(N)$  which satisfies that  $p_N = N^{-\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}\ell(N)$  and that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $c_3, c_4 > 0$  such that

$$c_3 \left(\frac{N}{N'}\right)^{-\epsilon} \leq \frac{\ell(N)}{\ell(N')} \leq c_4 \left(\frac{N}{N'}\right)^\epsilon, \text{ for all } 1 \leq N' \leq N.$$

(2) Set  $J(\lambda) := \{N \in \mathbb{N} : Z_N^* \leq \lambda p_N^{-1}, |E(\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}^*)| \geq \lambda^{-1} N p_N^{-1}, |\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}^*| \leq \lambda N p_N^{-1}\}$ . Then there exist  $c_5, c_6 > 0$  such that for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}(N \in J(\lambda)) \geq 1 - c_5 \lambda^{-c_6}.$$

*Proof of Proposition 3.4.*

By Lemma 3.6 (2) and the fact that  $N \leq \text{diam}_R(\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}^*) \leq 2N$   $\mathbf{P}$ -a.s., the conditions (1.6) and (1.9) in Theorem 1.4 hold for  $v(N) = N p_N^{-1}$  and  $r(N) = N$ . So, we only need to check (1.7) with  $r(N) = N$ .

The idea of the following argument came from the proof of Theorem 3.1 of [5]. We write  $\mathcal{T}^{*,x}$  to denote the subtree rooted at  $x \in \mathcal{T}^*$ . Set  $r_{k,j}^N := \lfloor \frac{j}{2^{k+2}} N \rfloor$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq j \leq 2^{k+2}$ .

Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \leq j \leq 2^{k+2} - 1$ . We say that  $x \in \mathcal{T}_{r_{k,j}^N}^*$  is  $k$ -good if  $\mathcal{T}_{(r_{k,j+1}^N - r_{k,j}^N)}^{*,x} \neq \emptyset$ . We assume  $\lambda \geq c_7$ , where  $c_7$  is a sufficiently large positive constant. Set for all  $0 \leq j \leq 2^{k+2} - 1$ ,

$$A_{k,j}^N := \{x \in \mathcal{T}_{r_{k,j}^N}^* : x \text{ is } k\text{-good}\}.$$

We define

$$A_k^N := \begin{cases} \bigcup_{j=0}^{2^{k+2}-1} A_{k,j}^N & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{\log N}{\log 2} \rfloor - 2, \\ \mathcal{T}_{\leq N}^* & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We define  $\ell_k^N := \frac{\text{diam}_R(\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}^*)}{2^k}$  for  $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{\log N}{\log 2} \rfloor - 2$  and  $\ell_k^N = 0$  otherwise.

Since  $\{B_{\text{eff}}^N(x, \ell_k^N) : x \in A_k^N\}$  is a covering for  $\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}^*$  for all  $k \geq 0$ , we get for all  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$n_{\text{cov}}(\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}^*, \ell_k^N) \leq |A_k^N|. \quad (3.1)$$

Fix  $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{\log N}{\log 2} \rfloor - 2$  and  $1 \leq j \leq 2^{k+2} - 1$ . By Lemma 2.2 of [17] (note that in [17], Kesten assumed the variance of offspring distribution is finite, but the same result holds under our situation), for  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}(|A_{k,j}^N| \geq \tilde{\lambda} |\mathcal{T}_{\leq r_{k,j}^N}^* = T, H_{\leq r_{k,j}^N} = (v_i)_{0 \leq i \leq r_{k,j}^N}) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(|A_{k,j}^N \setminus \{v_{r_{k,j}^N}\}| \geq \tilde{\lambda} - 1 | \mathcal{T}_{\leq r_{k,j}^N}^* = T, H_{\leq r_{k,j}^N} = (v_i)_{0 \leq i \leq r_{k,j}^N}) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(\text{Bin}(|\mathcal{T}_{r_{k,j}^N}^*| - 1, p_{(r_{k,j+1}^N - r_{k,j}^N)}) \geq \tilde{\lambda} - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $T$  is a family tree of  $r_{k,j}^N$  generations,  $H_{\leq r_{k,j}^N}$  is a backbone (the unique infinite line of descent of  $\mathcal{T}^*$ ) up to  $r_{k,j}^N$  th level and  $(v_i)_{0 \leq i \leq r_{k,j}^N}$  is a sequence of vertices such that  $v_i \in T_i$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq r_{k,j}^N$ . We also note that for all  $0 \leq m \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{2p_{(r_{k,j+1}^N - r_{k,j}^N)}} \right\rfloor$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}(\text{Bin}(m, p_{(r_{k,j+1}^N - r_{k,j}^N)}) \geq \tilde{\lambda} - 1) \\ & \leq \mathbf{P}(\text{Bin}\left(\left\lfloor \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{2p_{(r_{k,j+1}^N - r_{k,j}^N)}} \right\rfloor, p_{(r_{k,j+1}^N - r_{k,j}^N)}\right) \geq \tilde{\lambda} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for  $\tilde{\lambda} > 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}(|A_{k,j}^N| \geq \tilde{\lambda}) \\ & \leq \mathbf{P}(\text{Bin}\left(\left\lfloor \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{2p_{(r_{k,j+1}^N - r_{k,j}^N)}} \right\rfloor, p_{(r_{k,j+1}^N - r_{k,j}^N)}\right) \geq \tilde{\lambda} - 1) \\ & \quad + \mathbf{P}(Z_{r_{k,j}^N}^* > \left\lfloor \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{2p_{(r_{k,j+1}^N - r_{k,j}^N)}} \right\rfloor). \end{aligned}$$

By the Chebyshev inequality, the first term is bounded by  $\frac{2\tilde{\lambda}}{(\tilde{\lambda}-2)^2}$ . By Lemma 3.6 (1) (2), the second term is bounded by  $c_8 j^{c_9} \tilde{\lambda}^{-c_{10}}$  for some  $c_8, c_9, c_{10} > 0$ . So, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}(|A_k^N| \geq \exp(\lambda 2^{k/2})) \\ & \leq \mathbf{P}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{2^{k+2}-1} \left\{ |A_{k,j}^N| \geq \frac{\exp(\lambda 2^{k/2}) - 1}{2^{k+2}} \right\}\right) \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{2^{k+2}-1} \left\{ \frac{2 \cdot \frac{\exp(\lambda 2^{k/2}) - 1}{2^{k+2}}}{\left(\frac{\exp(\lambda 2^{k/2}) - 1}{2^{k+2}} - 2\right)^2} + c_8 j^{c_9} \left(\frac{\exp(\lambda 2^{k/2}) - 1}{2^{k+2}}\right)^{-c_{10}} \right\} \\ & \leq c_{11} 2^{-k} \lambda^{-c_{12}} \quad \text{for some } c_{11}, c_{12} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

From this fact, we have that

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{\log N}{\log 2} \rfloor - 2} \left\{ |A_k^N| \geq \exp(\lambda 2^{k/2}) \right\}\right) \leq 2c_{11} \lambda^{-c_{12}}. \quad (3.2)$$

If  $|A_k^N| \leq \exp(\lambda 2^{k/2})$  for all  $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{\log N}{\log 2} \rfloor - 2$  and  $|\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}^*| \leq \lambda N p_N^{-1}$ , we have by (3.1),

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{\log N}{\log 2} \rfloor - 1} \sqrt{\ell_{k-1}^N \log\{n_{\text{cov}}(\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}^*, \ell_k^N)\}} \leq c_{13} \sqrt{\lambda N}$$

for some  $c_{13} > 0$ .

So, by (3.2) and Lemma 3.6 (2), (1.7) in Theorem 1.4 holds with  $r(N) = N$ .  $\square$

We can also say that  $t_{\text{cov}}(\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}^*)N^{-\frac{2\alpha-1}{\alpha-1}}\ell(N)$  is not concentrated.

**Proposition 3.7** *For all  $\lambda \geq 1$ ,*

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(t_{\text{cov}}(\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}^*)N^{-\frac{2\alpha-1}{\alpha-1}}\ell(N) \geq \lambda) > 0.$$

To prove this fact, we use the following result.

**Lemma 3.8** ([23], Theorem 4) *The random variable  $Z_N^*p_N$  converges in law to a random variable  $Z^*$  with  $\mathbb{E}(e^{-\theta Z^*}) = (1 + \theta^{\alpha-1})^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}$  for  $\theta \geq 0$ .*

*Proof of Proposition 3.7.*

By the fact that  $t_{\text{cov}}(\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}^*) \geq t_{\text{hit}}(\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}^*) \geq \frac{1}{2}N|E(\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}^*)|$  (we have used Lemma 2.2), for  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}(t_{\text{cov}}(\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}^*)N^{-\frac{2\alpha-1}{\alpha-1}}\ell(N) \geq \lambda) \geq \mathbf{P}(|E(\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}^*)| \geq 2\lambda N p_N^{-1}).$$

Using the proof of Proposition 2.5 of [13] (in page 1429) when  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$  and Lemma 3.8 when  $\alpha = 2$ , we have that for  $\lambda \geq 1$  and some  $c_{14}, c_{15} > 0$ ,

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(|E(\mathcal{T}_{\leq N}^*)| \geq \lambda N p_N^{-1}) \geq c_{14} \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(Z_{N'}^* p_{N'} > c_{15} \lambda) > 0,$$

where  $N' = \lfloor \frac{N}{3} \rfloor$ . This implies the conclusion.  $\square$

### 3.3 The range of random walk in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , $d \geq 5$

Let  $d \geq 5$ . We write  $(S_n)_{n \geq 0}$  to denote the simple random walk in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  started from 0 which is defined on a probability space with probability measure  $\mathbf{P}$ . Let  $G^N$  be a graph with vertex set  $V(G^N) := \{S_n : 0 \leq n \leq N\}$  and edge set  $E(G^N) := \{\{S_{n-1}, S_n\} : 1 \leq n \leq N\}$ . We prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.9** *There exist  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that  $\mathbf{P}$ -a.s., for sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$c_1 N^2 \leq t_{\text{cov}}(G^N) \leq c_2 N^2,$$

and  $(G^N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  is of Type 2.

**Remark 3.10** *For  $d = 1$ ,  $t_{\text{cov}}(G^N)$  is of order  $N \log \log N$  for sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbf{P}$ -a.s. by the law of the iterated logarithm and the fact that  $t_{\text{cov}}([-N, N] \cap \mathbb{Z})$  is of order  $N^2$ . When  $2 \leq d \leq 4$ , it is, to the best of our knowledge, an open problem to determine the exact order of the cover time for  $G^N$ . It is worthwhile to note that in the case  $d = 4$ , the effective resistance for the random walk trace is estimated in [26].*

Let  $(S_{-n})_{n \geq 0}$  be an independent copy of  $(S_n)_{n \geq 0}$  and set  $S = (S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the set of cut-times, that is,  $\mathcal{T} := \{n : S_{(-\infty, n]} \cap S_{[n+1, \infty)} = \emptyset\}$ . We can write  $\mathcal{T} \cap (0, \infty) = \{T_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where  $0 < T_1 < T_2 < \dots$ . Set cut-points  $C_n := S_{T_n}$ . We use the following fact.

**Lemma 3.11** ([11], Lemma 2.2 (see also [12], (5.6)) )

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_n}{n} = \tau(d) := \mathbf{E}(T_1 | 0 \in \mathcal{T}) \in [1, \infty), \quad \mathbf{P} - a.s.$$

*Proof of Proposition 3.9.* We check almost-sure versions of (1.6), (1.7) and (1.9) in Theorem 1.4 with  $v(N) = r(N) = N$ . For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $M = M(N) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $T_M \leq N < T_{M+1}$ . Because  $d_{G^N}(0, C_M) \geq M$ , we have that  $|E(G^N)| \geq M$ ,  $\mathbf{P}$ -a.s. By Lemma 3.11, there exist  $c_3, c_4 > 0$  such that  $c_3 N \leq M \leq c_4 N$ , for sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbf{P}$ -a.s. So,  $\mathbf{P}$ -a.s., for sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|E(G^N)| \geq c_3 N.$$

Every path from 0 to  $C_M$  must pass edges  $\{S_{T_n}, S_{T_{n+1}}\}_{1 \leq n \leq M-1}$ . So, by Lemma 2.3, there exists  $c_5 > 0$  such that  $\mathbf{P}$ -a.s., for sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\text{diam}_R(G^N) \geq R_{\text{eff}}^N(0, C_M) \geq M - 1 \geq c_5 N. \quad (3.3)$$

By definition,

$$|E(G^N)| \leq N, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{diam}_R(G^N) \leq \text{diam}(G^N) \leq N, \quad \mathbf{P} - a.s.$$

Fix  $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \log_2 \log(c_5 N) \rfloor$ . We define  $A_k^N$  as follows:

$$A_k^N := \begin{cases} \{S_{\lfloor \frac{c_5 N}{2^{k+1}} \rfloor} : 0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{2^{k+1}}{c_5} \rfloor\}, & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \log_2 \log(c_5 N) \rfloor - 1, \\ \{S_j : 0 \leq j \leq N\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is not hard to check that  $V(G^N) \subset \bigcup_{u \in A_k^N} B^N(u, \frac{c_5 N}{2^k})$ , where  $B^N(u, r) = \{v \in V(G^N) : d_{G^N}(u, v) \leq r\}$ . Set  $k_0^N = \lfloor \log_2 \log(c_5 N) \rfloor$ . By (3.3), we have that  $\mathbf{P}$ -a.s., for sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$V(G^N) \subset \bigcup_{u \in A_k^N} B_{\text{eff}}^N(u, \ell_k^N),$$

where  $\ell_k^N = \frac{\text{diam}_R(G^N)}{2^k}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq k_0^N - 1$  and  $\ell_k^N = 0$  otherwise. Because  $n_{\text{cov}}(G^N, \ell_k^N) \leq |A_k^N| \leq \lfloor \frac{2^{k+1}}{c_5} \rfloor + 1 \leq c_6 2^k$  for some  $c_6 > 0$  and all  $k < k_0^N$ , we have  $\mathbf{P}$ -a.s., for sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_0^N} \sqrt{\ell_{k-1}^N \log\{n_{\text{cov}}(G^N, \ell_k^N)\}} \leq c_7 \sqrt{N} \text{ for some } c_7 > 0.$$

By Remark 1.5 (3), we complete the proof.  $\square$



### 3.4 Sierpinski gasket graphs

Let  $p_1, p_2, p_3$  be vertices of an equilateral triangle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We define three contraction maps  $\psi_i : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, i = 1, 2, 3$  as follows:

$$\psi_i(x) = p_i + \frac{x - p_i}{2}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

$G^N$  is a graph with the following vertex and edge sets:

$$V(G^N) := \bigcup_{i_1 \dots i_N = 1}^3 \psi_{i_1 \dots i_N}(V_0),$$

$$E(G^N) := \{\{\psi_{i_1 \dots i_N}(x), \psi_{i_1 \dots i_N}(y)\} : x, y \in V_0, x \neq y, i_1, \dots, i_N \in \{1, 2, 3\}\},$$

where  $V_0 := \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$  and  $\psi_{i_1 \dots i_N} := \psi_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ \psi_{i_N}$ .

Random weights  $(\mu_{xy}^N)_{\{x, y\} \in E(G^N)}$  are i.i.d. random variables with a common distribution which is supported on  $[c_1, c_2]$ , where  $0 < c_1 \leq c_2 < \infty$ . We will establish the following estimate of the cover time for  $G^N$ :

**Proposition 3.12** *There exist  $c_3, c_4 > 0$  such that for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbf{P}$ -a.s.,*

$$c_3 5^N \leq t_{cov}(G^N) \leq c_4 5^N,$$

and  $(G^N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  is of Type 2.

To prove this proposition, we prepare some notations. For  $i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $n \leq N$ , let  $G_{i_1 \dots i_n}^N$  be the induced graphs with vertex set  $V(G_{i_1 \dots i_n}^N)$  which is the intersection of  $V(G^N)$  and an equilateral triangle with vertices  $\psi_{i_1 \dots i_n}(p_i), i = 1, 2, 3$ .

We use the following lemma. The resistance estimate is obtained, for example, from arguments in section 7 of [4] or section 1.3 of [27].

**Lemma 3.13** *There exist  $c_5, c_6 > 0$  such that for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$c_5 3^N \leq |\mu(G^N)| \leq c_6 3^N, \quad c_5 \left(\frac{5}{3}\right)^N \leq \text{diam}_R(G^N) \leq c_6 \left(\frac{5}{3}\right)^N \quad \mathbf{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

*Proof of Proposition 3.12.* By Lemma 3.13, almost-sure versions of (1.6) and (1.9) hold for  $v(N) = 3^N$  and  $r(N) = \left(\frac{5}{3}\right)^N$ . We only need to check an almost-sure version of (1.7) with  $r(N) = \left(\frac{5}{3}\right)^N$ .

Set  $\ell_k^N = c_6 \left(\frac{5}{3}\right)^{N-k}$  for  $0 \leq k < N$  and  $\ell_k^N = 0$  otherwise. Let  $x_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^N$  be a fixed vertex in  $V(G_{i_1 \dots i_k}^N)$ . By Lemma 3.13,  $\{B_{\text{eff}}^N(x_{i_1 \dots i_k}^N, \ell_k^N) : i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, 2, 3\}\}$  is a covering for  $G^N$   $\mathbf{P}$ -a.s. In particular, we get

$$n_{\text{cov}}(G^N, \ell_k^N) \leq 3^k \quad \mathbf{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

Therefore, we have for some  $c_7 > 0$  and all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \sqrt{\ell_{k-1}^N \log\{n_{\text{cov}}(G^N, \ell_k^N)\}} \leq c_7 \sqrt{\left(\frac{5}{3}\right)^N} \quad \mathbf{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

By Remark 1.5 (3), we complete the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.14** *It will be possible to estimate cover times for Sierpinski gasket graphs in higher dimensions and nested fractals by applying arguments similar to the above proof.*

### 3.5 The largest supercritical percolation cluster inside a box in $\mathbb{Z}^d$

We consider Bernoulli bond percolation model on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . In this model, each edge in  $\mathbb{E}^d$  is open with probability  $p$  and closed with probability  $1-p$  independently, where  $\mathbb{E}^d := \{\{x, y\} : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \sum_{i=1}^d |x_i - y_i| = 1\}$  and  $x_i$  is the  $i$ th coordinate of  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ . We write the corresponding probability measure on  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{E}^d}$  by  $\mathbf{P}_p$ . A sequence  $\Gamma = (x^0, \dots, x^n)$  is an open path in  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  connecting  $x$  and  $y$  if  $x^0 = x, x^n = y, x^i \in S$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$  and  $\{x^{i-1}, x^i\}$  is an open edge for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . We define the cluster at  $x$  in  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  by

$$\mathcal{C}^S(x) := \{y \in S : \text{there exists an open path in } S \text{ connecting } x \text{ and } y\}.$$

The critical probability is defined by

$$p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d) := \inf\{p : \mathbf{P}_p(\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}(0) \text{ is infinite}) > 0\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{C}_d(N)$  be the largest cluster in a box  $[-N, N]^d$ . We prove the following results.

**Proposition 3.15** (1) *For  $d = 2, p > p_c(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ , there exist  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}_p(c_1 N^2 (\log N)^2 \leq t_{\text{cov}}(\mathcal{C}_2(N)) \leq c_2 N^2 (\log N)^3) = 1.$$

(2) *For  $d \geq 3, p > p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ , there exist  $c_3, c_4 > 0$  such that*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}_p(c_3 N^d \log N \leq t_{\text{cov}}(\mathcal{C}_d(N)) \leq c_4 N^d (\log N)^{\frac{2d-1}{d-1}}) = 1.$$

**Remark 3.16** *Unfortunately, we are not able to obtain the correct order of the cover time. If  $\text{diam}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{C}_2(N))$  is of order  $\log N$  as stated in Corollary 3.1 of [6], we can obtain the correct order  $(N^2 (\log N)^2)$  of the cover time for  $\mathcal{C}_2(N)$ . However, from the proof of Corollary 3.1 of [6], we can only obtain that  $\text{diam}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{C}_2(N))$  is of order  $(\log N)^2$ . In particular, we can only state that  $t_{\text{cov}}(\mathcal{C}_2(N))$  is of order  $N^2 (\log N)^3$ .*

We use the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.17** ([7], Proposition 1.2) *For  $d \geq 2, p > p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ , there exists  $c > 0$  such that w.h.p.,*

$$|\mathcal{C}_d(N)| \geq cN^d.$$

Let  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  be a finite graph. For  $S \subset V(G)$ , we define the external boundary of  $S$  under the graph  $G$  by  $\partial_e S := \{x \in V(G) \setminus S : \text{there exists } y \in$

$S$  such that  $\{x, y\} \in E(G)$ . Set  $L_x := \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \log_2 |V(G)| \rfloor} \max \left( \frac{|S|}{|\partial_e S|^2} + \frac{1}{|\partial_e S|} \right)$ , where the maximum is taken over all connected subsets  $S$  of  $V(G)$  satisfying  $x \in S$  and  $|V(G)|/2^{k+1} < |S| \leq |V(G)|/2^k$ .

**Lemma 3.18** ([6], Theorem 2.1) *Let  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  be a finite graph. There exists a universal positive constant  $c$  (independent of  $G$ ) such that for all  $x, y \in V(G)$ ,*

$$R_{\text{eff}}(x, y) \leq c(L_x + L_y).$$

**Lemma 3.19** ([24], Corollary 1.4) *Fix  $d \geq 2, p > p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ . There exist  $c, c' > 0$  such that*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}_p \left( |\partial_e S| \geq c|S|^{1-1/d} \text{ for all connected subsets } S \subset \mathcal{C}_d(N) \right. \\ \left. \text{with } c'(\log N)^{\frac{d}{d-1}} \leq |S| \leq \frac{|\mathcal{C}_d(N)|}{2} \right) = 1,$$

where  $\partial_e S$  is the external boundary of  $S$  under the graph  $\mathcal{C}_d(N)$ .

*Proof of Proposition 3.15.* First, we prove the upper bounds by checking (1.4) in Theorem 1.3 with  $\log v(N) = \log N$  and  $r(N) = (\log N)^{\frac{d}{d-1}}$ . It is clear that  $|\mathcal{C}_d(N)| \leq |[-N, N]^d \cap \mathbb{Z}^d| \leq (2N+1)^d$ ,  $\mathbf{P}$ -a.s. If  $|\partial_e S| \geq c|S|^{1-1/d}$  for all connected subset  $S \subset \mathcal{C}_d(N)$  with  $c'(\log N)^{\frac{d}{d-1}} \leq |S| \leq \frac{|\mathcal{C}_d(N)|}{2}$ , then we get for some  $c_5 > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \log_2 |\mathcal{C}_d(N)| \rfloor} \max \left\{ \frac{|S|}{|\partial_e S|^2} + \frac{1}{|\partial_e S|} : S \text{ is a connected subset of } \mathcal{C}_d(N) \right. \\ \left. \text{satisfying } x \in S \text{ and } |\mathcal{C}_d(N)|/2^{k+1} < |S| \leq |\mathcal{C}_d(N)|/2^k \right\} \\ \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \log_2 \{ |\mathcal{C}_d(N)| / c'(\log N)^{\frac{d}{d-1}} \} \rfloor - 1} \left( \frac{1}{c^2} + \frac{1}{c} \right) \\ + \sum_{k=\lfloor \log_2 \{ |\mathcal{C}_d(N)| / c'(\log N)^{\frac{d}{d-1}} \} \rfloor}^{\lfloor \log_2 |\mathcal{C}_d(N)| \rfloor} \left( \frac{|\mathcal{C}_d(N)|}{2^k} + 1 \right) \\ \leq c_5(\log N)^{\frac{d}{d-1}} \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{C}_d(N).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.18 and Lemma 3.19, there exists  $c_6 > 0$  such that w.h.p.,

$$\text{diam}_R(\mathcal{C}_d(N)) \leq c_6(\log N)^{\frac{d}{d-1}}.$$

By Theorem 1.3 (1), we obtain the upper bound.

Next, we prove the lower bound for  $d = 2$  by checking (1.5) in Theorem 1.3 with  $\log v(N) = \log N$  and  $r(N) = \log N$ .

If  $|\mathcal{C}_2(N)| \geq c_7 N^2$ , there exist  $c_8 > 0, x, y \in \mathcal{C}_2(N)$  such that  $d_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(x, y) > c_8 N$ . We define a square with side length  $2k$  centered at  $u$  and its internal boundary by

$$Q(u, k) := \{v \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : v_i \in [u_i - k, u_i + k], i = 1, 2\},$$

$$\partial_i Q(u, k) := \{v \in Q(u, k) : \exists w \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus Q(u, k) \text{ such that } \{v, w\} \in \mathbb{E}^2\}.$$

Since  $y \notin Q(x, \lfloor \frac{c_8}{2} N \rfloor)$ , there exists  $x^k \in \mathcal{C}_2(N)$  such that  $x^k \in \partial_i Q(x, k \lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor)$  for all  $0 \leq k \leq \frac{\lfloor \frac{c_8}{2} N \rfloor}{\lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor}$ . Fix  $x^k, x^\ell, 0 \leq k < \ell \leq \frac{\lfloor \frac{c_8}{2} N \rfloor}{\lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor}$ . Since  $d_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(x^k, x^\ell) \geq \lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor$ ,

there exists a positive integer  $a(N) \in [\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor}{2} \rfloor, \infty)$  such that  $x^\ell \in \partial_i Q(x^k, a(N))$ . We write  $\Pi_j := \{\{u, v\} \in \mathbb{E}^2 : u \in \partial_i Q(x^k, j-1) \text{ and } v \in \partial_i Q(x^k, j)\}, 1 \leq j \leq a(N)$ . Under the induced graph  $G_{cN}$  with vertex set  $[-cN, cN]^2 \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$  for some sufficiently large constant  $c > 0$ ,  $(\Pi_j)_{1 \leq j \leq a(N)}$  is a sequence of edge-cutsets between  $x^k$  and  $x^\ell$ . So, we have by Lemma 2.3 that for some  $c_9 > 0$ ,

$$R_{\text{eff}}^N(x^k, x^\ell) \geq R_{\text{eff}}^{G_{cN}}(x^k, x^\ell) \geq c_9 \log N, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $R_{\text{eff}}^{G_{cN}}(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the effective resistance in the graph  $G_{cN}$ .

Set  $V' := \{x^0, x^1, \dots, x^{\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{c_8}{2} N \rfloor}{\lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor} \rfloor}\}$ . By (3.4),  $\{B_{\text{eff}}^N(x, \frac{c_9}{4} \log N) : x \in V'\}$  is a packing for  $\mathcal{C}_2(N)$ . So, there exists  $c_{10} > 0$  such that w.h.p.,

$$\log\{n_{\text{pac}}(\mathcal{C}_2(N), \frac{c_9}{4} \log N)\} \geq c_{10} \log N.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 1.3 (2) and Lemma 3.17, we get the lower bound for  $d = 2$ .

We next prove the lower bound for  $d \geq 3$  by checking (1.5) in Theorem 1.3 with  $\log v(N) = \log N$  and  $r(N) = 1$ . Fix  $u, v \in \mathcal{C}_d(N), u \neq v$ . Set  $\Pi := \{\{u, x\} : \{u, x\} \in E(\mathcal{C}_d(N))\}$ .  $\Pi$  is an edge-cutset between  $u$  and  $v$  in the graph  $\mathcal{C}_d(N)$ . So, by Lemma 2.3, we have that  $R_{\text{eff}}^N(u, v) \geq 1/|\Pi| \geq 1/2d$ . In particular,  $\{B_{\text{eff}}^N(x, 1/8d) : x \in \mathcal{C}_d(N)\}$  is a packing for  $\mathcal{C}_d(N)$ . So, by Lemma 3.17, we have for some  $c_{11} > 0$ ,

$$\log\{n_{\text{pac}}(\mathcal{C}_d(N), 1/8d)\} \geq c_{11} \log N \text{ w.h.p.}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 1.3 (2) and Lemma 3.17, we obtain the lower bound for  $d \geq 3$ .  $\square$

### Acknowledgements.

We would like to thank Professor Takashi Kumagai for fruitful discussions and careful reading of an early version of this paper. We would like to thank Dr. Ryoki Fukushima for helpful comments and suggestion that the Seneta-Heyde theorem (Lemma 3.2 (2)) is useful for the proof of Proposition 3.1. We would like to thank the referee for useful comments which led to improvement of the exposition.

## References

- [1] Y. Abe, *Cover times for sequences of reversible Markov chains on random graphs* (extended version), arXiv:1206.0398.
- [2] D. J. Aldous, *Random walk covering of some special trees*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **157** (1991), 271-283.
- [3] K. B. Athreya and P. E. Ney, *Branching Processes*, Dover Publications, 2004.
- [4] M. T. Barlow, *Diffusions on fractals*, Springer, Berlin, 1998. Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1690**, Ecole d'Eté de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XXV-1995.
- [5] M. T. Barlow, J. Ding, A. Nachmias, and Y. Peres, *The evolution of the cover time*, Combin. Probab. Comput. **20** (2011), 331-345.
- [6] I. Benjamini and G. Kozma, *A resistance bound via an isoperimetric inequality*, Combinatorica. **25** (6) (2005), 645-650.
- [7] I. Benjamini and E. Mossel, *On the mixing time of a simple random walk on the super critical percolation cluster*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields. **125** (2003), 408-420.
- [8] A. K. Chandra, P. Raghavan, W. L. Ruzzo, R. Smolensky and P. Tiwari, *The electrical resistance of a graph captures its commute and cover times*, Comput. Complexity. **6** (1996/1997), 312-340.
- [9] F. Chung and L. Lu, *The diameter of sparse random graphs*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **26** (2001), 257-279.
- [10] C. Cooper and A. Frieze, *The cover time of the giant component of a random graph*, Random Struct. Alg. **32** (2008), 401-439.
- [11] D. A. Croydon, *Random walk on the range of random walk*, J. Stat. Phys. **136** (2009), 349-372.
- [12] D. A. Croydon, B. M. Hambly and T. Kumagai, *Convergence of mixing times for sequences of random walks on finite graphs*, Electron. J. Probab. **17** (2012), 1-32.
- [13] D. Croydon and T. Kumagai, *Random walks on Galton-Watson trees with infinite variance offspring distribution conditioned to survive*, Electron. J. Probab. **13** (2008), 1419-1441.
- [14] J. Ding, J. R. Lee, and Y. Peres, *Cover times, blanket times, and majorizing measures*, Ann. of Math. **175** (2012), 1409-1471.
- [15] J. Jonasson, *On the cover time for random walks on random graphs*, Combin. Probab. Comput. **7** (1998), 265-279.

- [16] J. Jonasson and O. Schramm, *On the cover time of planar graphs*, Elect. Comm. in Probab. **5** (2000), 85-90.
- [17] H. Kesten, *Subdiffusive behavior of random walk on a random cluster*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. **22** (1986), 425-487.
- [18] M. Ledoux and M. Talagrand, *Probability in Banach Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [19] D. A. Levin, Y. Peres, and E. L. Wilmer, *Markov chains and mixing times*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009. With a chapter by James G. Propp and David B. Wilson.
- [20] P. Matthews, *Covering problems for Brownian motion on spheres*, Ann. Probab. **16** (1988), 189-199.
- [21] A. Nachmias and Y. Peres, *Critical random graphs: diameter and mixing time*, Ann. Probab. **36** (2008), 1267-1286.
- [22] A. Nachmias and Y. Peres, *The critical random graph, with martingales*, Israel J. Math. **176** (2010), 29-41.
- [23] A. G. Pakes, *Some new limit theorems for the critical branching process allowing immigration*, Stochastic Processes Appl. **3** (1975), 175-185.
- [24] G. Pete, *A note on percolation on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ : Isoperimetric profile via exponential cluster repulsion*, Elect. Comm. in Probab. **13** (2008), 377-392.
- [25] S. Sheffield, *Gaussian free fields for mathematicians*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields. **139** (2007), 521-541.
- [26] D. Shiraishi, *Exact value of the resistance exponent for four dimensional random walk trace*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields. **153** (2012), 191-232.
- [27] R. S. Strichartz, *Differential equations on fractals : a tutorial*, Princeton University Press, 2006.
- [28] M. Talagrand, *The generic chaining*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005. Upper and lower bounds of stochastic processes.

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan; yoshihiro@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp