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#### Abstract

We introduce some criteria for two links，which are related by a coherent band surgery， using the determinant，and the Jones，HOMFLYPT，and Q polynomials．We give a table of coherent band－Gordian distances between two knots with up to seven crossings．


## 1 Introduction

There are several criterion for two links，which are related by a band surgery or crossing change．In this paper，we introduce further criteria using the determinant，and the Jones， HOMFLYPT，and Q polynomials．A band surgery and a crossing change are local changes in a link diagram as shown in Figure．1．If we consider oriented links，there are two types for a band surgery according to an orientation；a coherent band surgery（Fig 2）and an incoherent one．In particular，an incoherent band surgery between two knots is called an $H(2)$－move［14］（Figure．3）．Recently，these local moves are studied in connection with an application to the study of DNA site－specific recombination；see $[5,6,9]$ ．


Figure 1：A band surgery and a crossing change．


Figure 2：A coherent band surgery．
Given two links $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ ，we want to decide whether they are related by a band surgery or a crossing change．The signature and Arf invariant are most useful tools for this problem（Propositions 2.2 and 2．3）．There are also several other methods to deal
with this problem: for a coherent band surgery, see [19, 21]; for a crossing change, see [30, 32, 35, 40, 41, 42]; for an $H(2)$-move, see [20, 23, 26]; see also [1].


Figure 3: An $H(2)$-move.
Our main results are two criteria: The first one is a condition on the determinant of a link or knot which is obtained from a 2-bridge knot by a coherent band surgery or $H(2)$ move (Theorem 3.2), which is easily obtained by using a condition on the determinant of a knot obtained from a 2-bridge knot by a crossing change due to Hitoshi Murakami [32] (Proposition 3.1).

The second one uses some special values of the polynomial invariants. For the Jones polynomial, we have a criterion on two links which are related by a coherent band surgery [19, Theorem 2.2] (Theorem 4.2). Developing this, we obtain Theorem 4.6. In a similar way, for the HOMFLYPT polynomial we obtain Theorem 5.4 developing Proposition 5.1, and for the Q polynomial Theorem 6.2 developing Proposition 6.1. We give some examples for each of these criteria, which display the efficiency of them. In a forthcoming paper [24] we will make a detailed report on these criteria.

Notation. For knots and links with up to 9 crossings we use Rolfsen notations [38, Appendix C]. For a knot or link $L$, we denote by $L!$ its mirror image. For an oriented 2component link with $c$ crossings we use the notations $c_{n}^{2}$ and $c_{n}^{2 \prime}$, where we usually suppose that linking number of $c_{n}^{2}$ is negative and that of $c_{n}^{2 \prime}$ is positive as in Table 2 in [21]; more precisely, $c_{n}^{2}$ denotes an oriented link with negative linking number with diagram as in the table of [38] and $c_{n}^{2 \prime}$ denotes one of the oriented links obtained from $c_{n}^{2}$ by reversing the orientation of one component.

## 2 Some invariants

The Conway polynomial $\nabla(L ; z) \in Z[z][4]$, the Jones polynomial $V(L ; t) \in \boldsymbol{Z}\left[t^{ \pm 1 / 2}\right][17]$, and the HOMFLYPT polynomial $P(L ; v, z) \in \boldsymbol{Z}\left[v^{ \pm 1}, z^{ \pm 1}\right][10,17,36]$ are invariants of the isotopy type of an oriented link $L$, which are defined by the following formulas:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla(U ; z)=1 ;  \tag{1}\\
\nabla\left(L_{+} ; z\right)-\nabla\left(L_{-} ; z\right)=z \nabla\left(L_{0} ; z\right) ;  \tag{2}\\
V(U ; t)=1 ;  \tag{3}\\
t^{-1} V\left(L_{+} ; t\right)-t V\left(L_{-} ; t\right)=\left(t^{1 / 2}-t^{-1 / 2}\right) V\left(L_{0} ; t\right)  \tag{4}\\
P(U ; v, z)=1 ;  \tag{5}\\
v^{-1} P\left(L_{+} ; v, z\right)-v P\left(L_{-} ; v, z\right)=z P\left(L_{0} ; v, z\right), \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$
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Figure 4: A skein triple.
where $U$ is the unknot and $\left(L_{+}, L_{-}, L_{0}\right)$ is a skein triple.
For a skein triple ( $L_{+}, L_{-}, L_{0}$ ), the link $L_{+}$is obtained from $L_{-}$by changing a crossing, and vice versa, and the link $L_{0}$ is obtained from $L_{+}$or $L_{-}$by a coherent band surgery, and vice versa. Conversely, it is easy to see the following:
Lemma 2.1. If a c-component link $L$ and a $(c+1)$-component link $M$ are related by a coherent band surgery, then there exist c-component links $L_{+}, L_{-}$and $(c+1)$-component links $M_{+}, M_{-}$such that each of the following is a skein triple: $\left(L_{+}, L, M\right),\left(L, L_{-}, M\right)$, $\left(M_{+}, M, L\right),\left(M, M_{-}, L\right)$.

For a $c$-component link $L, i^{c-1} V(L ;-1)$ is an integer and the determinant $\operatorname{det} L$ is given by $\operatorname{det} L=|V(L ;-1)|$. Putting $t=-1$ in Eq. (4), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-V\left(L_{+} ;-1\right)+V\left(L_{-} ;-1\right)=2 i V\left(L_{0} ;-1\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left(L_{+}, L_{-}, L_{0}\right)$ be a skein triple. Then Murasugi [34, Lemma 7.1] has shown:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sigma\left(L_{ \pm}\right)-\sigma\left(L_{0}\right)\right| \leq 1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we may consider the link $L_{+}$or $L_{-}$as obtained from $L_{0}$ by a coherent band surgery, and vice versa, we have the following.
Proposition 2.2. (i) If two oriented links $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are related by a coherent band surgery, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sigma(L)-\sigma\left(L^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq 1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If two oriented links $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are related by a crossing change, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sigma(L)-\sigma\left(L^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq 2 . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Arf invariant (or Robertello invariant) [37] of a $\operatorname{knot} K, \operatorname{Arf}(K)$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Arf}(K)=a_{2}(K) \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{2}(K)$ is the coefficient of $z^{2}$ of the Conway polynomial of $K$. Whenever an equality in this paper contains an Arf invariant it is to be understood in the sense of mod 2. We say that an oriented link $L$ is related (in the sense of Robertello [37]) to a knot $K$ if there exists a smooth embedding of a planar surface $F$ in $S^{3} \times I$ such that $F$ meets $S^{3} \times\{0,1\}$ transversely in $K$ and $L$, respectively. Let $L$ be a proper link, that is, the sum of the linking numbers of any component of $L$ with all the other components is even. We may define its Arf invariant to be the Arf invariant of any knot $K$ related to it. In particular, we have:

Proposition 2.3. If a knot $K$ is obtained from a proper 2 -component link $L$ by a coherent band surgery, then $\operatorname{Arf}(K)=\operatorname{Arf}(L)$.

## 3 Determinant of a link obtained from a 2-bridge knot by a band surgery

For relatively prime integers $p, q$ with $p>q>0$ and $p$ odd, we let $S_{p, q}$ denote the 2bridge knot for which the lens space of type $(p, q)$ is the 2 -fold branched cover of $S^{3}$. More explicitly, let $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{n}$ be positive integers obtained from the continued fraction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p}{q}=a_{1}+\frac{1}{a_{2}+\frac{1}{a_{3}+\frac{1}{\ddots++\frac{1}{a_{n}}}}} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $S_{p, q}$ is isotopic to a 2-bridge knot in Conway's normal form $C\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_{n}\right)$ as shown in Figure. 5, where the box containing an integer $a$ or $-a, a>0$, denotes a 2-braid as shown in Figure. 6. Also, $S_{p,-q}$ presents the mirror image of $S_{p, q}$; cf. [25, Sec. 2.1].
(i) $n$ is odd.
(ii) $n$ is even.


Figure 5: The 2-bridge $\operatorname{knot} C\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_{n}\right)$.


Figure 6: 2-braids.
The following criteria is due to H. Murakami [32, Corollary 2.8].
Proposition 3.1. Suppose that a knot $K$ is obtained from a 2-bridge knot $S_{p, q}$ by a crossing change. Then there exists an integer s such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\operatorname{det} K-p| / 2 \equiv \pm q s^{2} \quad(\bmod p) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this, we may deduce the following.
Theorem 3.2. Suppose that a link $L$ is obtained from a 2 -bridge knot $S_{p, q}$ by a coherent or incoherent band surgery. Then there exists an integer s such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} L \equiv \pm q s^{2} \quad(\bmod p) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $L$ and $S_{p, q}$ are related by a coherent band surgery. Then by Lemma 2.1 there exists a knot $K$ such that $\left(K, S_{p, q}, L\right)$ is a skein triple. From Eq. (7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-V(K ;-1)+V\left(S_{p, q} ;-1\right)=2 i V(L ;-1) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \operatorname{det} L=|2 i V(L ;-1)|=\left|-V(K ;-1)+V\left(S_{p, q} ;-1\right)\right| \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $K$ and $S_{p, q}$ are related by a crossing change, by Proposition 3.1 there exists an integer $s$ such that Eq. (13) holds, which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} K+p \equiv \operatorname{det} K-p \equiv \pm 2 q s^{2} \quad(\bmod 2 p) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{det} K=|V(K ;-1)|$ and $p=\left|V\left(S_{p, q} ;-1\right)\right|$, combining Eqs. (16) and (17), we obtain Eq. (14).

By Theorem 3.2 a 2 -bridge knot may have some condition on the values of $\operatorname{det} L$, where $L$ is either a 2 -component link with $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{cb}}\left(S_{p, q}, L\right)=1$ or a knot with $\mathrm{d}_{2}\left(S_{p, q}, L\right)=1$. For 2-bridge knots with up to 8 crossings, Table 1 lists these values; the remaining 2 -bridge knots $3_{1}, 5_{2}, 6_{2}, 7_{1}, 7_{2}, 7_{6}, 8_{4}, 8_{6}, 8_{7}, 8_{14}$ have no such restrictions.

Table 1: Values which det $L$ does not take with $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{cb}}\left(S_{p, q}, L\right)=1$ or $\mathrm{d}_{2}\left(S_{p, q}, L\right)=1$

| $S_{p, q}$ | $\not \equiv \operatorname{det} L$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $4_{1}=S_{5,2}$ | $1,4(\bmod 5)$ |
| $5_{1}=S_{5,1}$ | $2,3(\bmod 5)$ |
| $6_{1}=S_{9,2}$ | $3,6(\bmod 9)$ |
| $6_{3}=S_{13,5}, 8_{1}=S_{13,6}$ | $1,3,4,9,10,12(\bmod 13)$ |
| $7_{3}=S_{13,3}$ | $2,5,6,7,8,11(\bmod 13)$ |
| $7_{4}=S_{15,4}$ | $2,3,7,8,12,13(\bmod 15)$ |
| $7_{5}=S_{17,5}, 8_{2}=S_{17,6}$ | $1,2,4,8,9,13,15,16(\bmod 17)$ |
| $7_{7}=S_{21,8}$ | $1,4,5,16,17,20(\bmod 21)$ |
| $8_{3}=S_{17,4}$ | $3,5,6,7,10,11,12,14(\bmod 17)$ |
| $8_{8}=S_{25,9}$ | $2,3,5,7,8,10,12,13,15,17,18,20,22,23(\bmod 25)$ |
| $8_{9}=S_{25,7}$ | $1,4,5,6,9,10,11,14,15,16,19,20,21,24(\bmod 25)$ |
| $8_{11}=S_{27,10}$ | $3,6,12,15,21,24(\bmod 27)$ |
| $8_{12}=S_{29,12}, 8_{13}=S_{29,11}$ | $1,4,5,6,7,9,13,16,20,22,23,24,25,28(\bmod 29)$ |

Example 3.3. Table 2 shows 2 -component links which are not obtained from the 2 -bridge knots in Table 1 by a coherent band surgery. The symbol $\times$ means that the link in the row is not obtained from the 2 -bridge knot in the column by a coherent band surgery. For example, the knot $6_{1}$ and the link $6_{1}^{2}$ are not related by a coherent band surgery; moreover this implies that $K \in\left\{6_{1}, 6_{1}!\right\}$ and $L \in\left\{6_{1}^{2}, 6_{1}^{2 \prime}, 6_{1}^{2}!, 6_{1}^{2 \prime}!\right\}$ are not related by a coherent band surgery; cf. [15, Table 2], [16, Table II].

Table 2: Links and 2-bridge knots which are not related by a single coherent band surgery.

| $L$ | $\operatorname{det} L$ | $4_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5_{1} \\ & 7_{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6_{1} \\ 8_{11} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6_{3} \\ & 8_{1} \end{aligned}$ | $7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7_{5} \\ & 8_{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 88 | 89 | $\begin{aligned} & 8_{12} \\ & 8_{13} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $U^{2}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2_{1}^{2}=H_{-}$ | 2 |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |
| $4_{1}^{2}, 7_{7}^{2}$ | 4 | $x$ |  |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ | x |
| $3_{1} \# H_{-}, 6_{1}^{2}$ | 6 | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| $5_{1}^{2}, 7_{8}^{2}, 8_{1}^{2}$ | 8 |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |
| $6_{2}^{2}, 4_{1} \# H_{-}, 5_{1} \# H_{-}$ | 10 |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |
| $6{ }_{3}^{2}, 3_{1} \# 4_{1}^{2}$ | 12 |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |
| $7_{1}^{2}, 5_{2} \# H_{-}$ | 14 | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  |
| $7_{3}^{2}, 7_{4}^{2}$ | 16 | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| $7{ }_{2}^{2}$ | 18 |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |
| 75 | 20 |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
|  | 22 |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |  | $x$ |
| $7_{6}^{2}$ | 24 | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |

## 4 Coherent band-Gordian distance

The following is Proposition 2.3 in [22]:
Proposition 4.1. If two knots $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ are related by a sequence of two coherent band surgeries, then they are related by a single $S H(3)$-move, and vice versa. Thus $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{cb}}\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)=2 \mathrm{sd}_{3}\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{cb}}(K)=2 \mathrm{su}_{3}(K)$.

The following is Theorem 2.2 in [19].
Theorem 4.2. If two links $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are related by a coherent band surgery, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{cb}}\left(L, L^{\prime}\right)=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(L ; \omega) / V\left(L^{\prime} ; \omega\right) \in\left\{ \pm i,-\sqrt{3}^{ \pm 1}\right\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have the following, which is given in [22, Theorem 3.1].
Corollary 4.3. If two knots $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ are related by a single $S H(3)$-move, $\operatorname{sd}_{3}\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)=$ 1, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(K ; \omega) / V\left(K^{\prime} ; \omega\right) \in\left\{ \pm 1, \pm i \sqrt{3}^{ \pm 1}, 3^{ \pm 1}\right\} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Figure 7: An $S H(3)$-move is correspond to two coherent band surgeries.
Example 4.4. Let $K=4_{1}$ and $K^{\prime}=3_{1}!\# 3_{1}$. Then $\operatorname{sd}_{3}\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)>1$; see [15, Table 1]. Since $\sigma(K)=\sigma\left(K^{\prime}\right)=0$, the signature cannot show $\operatorname{sd}_{3}\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)>1$. However, since $V(K ; \omega)=-1, V\left(K^{\prime} ; \omega\right)=3$, we can prove by using Corollary 4.3. In Table 3 we list all such pairs of knots with up to 7 crossings.

Table 3: Pairs of knots $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ with $\left|\sigma(K)-\sigma\left(K^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq 2$ and $\operatorname{sd}_{3}\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)>1$.

| $K$ | $K^{\prime}$ | $\sigma(K)$ | $\sigma\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ | $V(K ; \omega)$ | $V\left(K^{\prime} ; \omega\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $4_{1}$ | $3_{1}!\# 3_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | -1 | 3 |
| $5_{2}$ | $3_{1}!\# 3_{1}$ | 2 | 0 | -1 | 3 |
| $7_{6}$ | $3_{1}!\# 3_{1}$ | 2 | 0 | -1 | 3 |
| $6_{2}$ | $3_{1} \# 3_{1}$ | 2 | 4 | 1 | -3 |
| $7_{2}$ | $3_{1} \# 3_{1}$ | 2 | 4 | 1 | -3 |
| $7_{3}!$ | $3_{1} \# 3_{1}$ | 4 | 4 | 1 | -3 |

The following is Theorem 5.2 in [24].
Theorem 4.5. Suppose that a $(c+1)$-component link $L^{\prime}$ is obtained from a $c$-component link $L$ by a coherent band surgery. If $V\left(L^{\prime} ; \omega\right)=\eta i V(L ; \omega)= \pm i^{c}(i \sqrt{3})^{\delta}, \eta= \pm 1$, then $i^{c} V\left(L^{\prime} ;-1\right) \equiv \eta i^{c-1} V(L ;-1)\left(\bmod 3^{\delta+1}\right)$.
Theorem 4.6. Suppose that two links $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are related by a sequence of two coherent band surgeries, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{cb}}\left(L, L^{\prime}\right)=2$. Let $L$ be a c-component link. If $V(L ; \omega)=-V\left(L^{\prime} ; \omega\right)=$ $\pm i^{c-1}(i \sqrt{3})^{\delta}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
i^{c-1} V(L ;-1) \equiv-i^{c-1} V\left(L^{\prime} ;-1\right) \quad\left(\bmod 3^{\delta+1}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 4.1, we have:
Corollary 4.7. If two knots $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ are related by a single $S H(3)$-move, $\operatorname{sd}_{3}\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)=$ 1 , and $V(K ; \omega)=-V\left(K^{\prime} ; \omega\right)= \pm(i \sqrt{3})^{\delta}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(K ;-1) \equiv-V\left(K^{\prime} ;-1\right) \quad\left(\bmod 3^{\delta+1}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 4.8. Let $K=6_{1}$ and $K^{\prime}=3_{1}$. Then $\operatorname{sd}_{3}\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)>1$. Since $\sigma(K)=0$, $\sigma\left(K^{\prime}\right)=2$, the signature cannot show $\operatorname{sd}_{3}\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)>1$. However, since $V(K ; \omega)=i \sqrt{3}$, $V\left(K^{\prime} ; \omega\right)=-i \sqrt{3}, V(K ;-1)=9, V\left(K^{\prime} ;-1\right)=-3$, we can prove by using Corollary 4.7. In Table 4 we list all such pairs of knots with up to 7 crossings.

Table 4: Pairs of knots $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ with $\left|\sigma(K)-\sigma\left(K^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq 2$ and $\operatorname{sd}_{3}\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)>1$.

| $K$ | $K^{\prime}$ | $\sigma(K)$ | $\sigma\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ | $V(K ; \omega)$ | $V\left(K^{\prime} ; \omega\right)$ | $V(K ;-1)$ | $V\left(K^{\prime} ;-1\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $6_{1}$ | $3_{1}$ | 0 | 2 | $i \sqrt{3}$ | $-i \sqrt{3}$ | 9 | -3 |
| $6_{1}$ | $7_{4}$ | 0 | -2 | $i \sqrt{3}$ | $-i \sqrt{3}$ | 9 | -15 |
| $6_{1}$ | $7_{7}$ | 0 | 0 | $i \sqrt{3}$ | $-i \sqrt{3}$ | 9 | 21 |
| $6_{1}$ | $3_{1}!\# 4_{1}$ | 0 | -2 | $i \sqrt{3}$ | $-i \sqrt{3}$ | 9 | -15 |
| $7_{4}!$ | $7_{7}$ | 2 | 0 | $i \sqrt{3}$ | $-i \sqrt{3}$ | -15 | 21 |
| $7_{7}!$ | $7_{7}$ | 0 | 0 | $i \sqrt{3}$ | $-i \sqrt{3}$ | 21 | 21 |
| $3_{1} \# 4_{1}$ | $7_{7}$ | 2 | 0 | $i \sqrt{3}$ | $-i \sqrt{3}$ | -15 | 21 |

Similarly, we have:
Corollary 4.9. If two 2 -component links $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are related by a sequence of two coherent band surgeries, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{cb}}\left(L, L^{\prime}\right)=2$, and $V(L ; \omega)=-V\left(L^{\prime} ; \omega\right)= \pm i(i \sqrt{3})^{\delta}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(L ;-1) / i \equiv-V\left(L^{\prime} ;-1\right) / i \quad\left(\bmod 3^{\delta+1}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Table 4 we list all pairs of 2-component links with up to 6 crossings, which can be shown to have coherent band-Gordian distance $>2$ by Corollary 4.9 but cannot be shown by using the signature. Thus by Table 3 in [15] we can conclude they have coherent band-Gordian distance 4.

Table 5: Pairs of links $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ with $\left|\sigma(L)-\sigma\left(L^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq 2$ and $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{cb}}\left(L, L^{\prime}\right)=4$.

| $L$ | $L^{\prime}$ | $\sigma(L)$ | $\sigma\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ | $V(L ; \omega)$ | $V\left(L^{\prime} ; \omega\right)$ | $V(L ;-1) / i$ | $V\left(L^{\prime} ;-1\right) / i$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $3_{1} \# H_{+}$ | $6_{3}^{2}$ | 1 | 3 | $-\sqrt{3}$ | $\sqrt{3}$ | 6 | -12 |
| $3_{1} \# H_{+}$ | $6_{3}^{2^{\prime}}$ | 1 | -1 | $-\sqrt{3}$ | $\sqrt{3}$ | 6 | -12 |
| $T_{6}{ }^{\prime}!$ | $6_{3}^{2}$ | 1 | 3 | $-\sqrt{3}$ | $\sqrt{3}$ | 6 | -12 |
| $T_{6}{ }^{\prime}!$ | $6_{3}^{{ }^{\prime}}$ | 1 | -1 | $-\sqrt{3}$ | $\sqrt{3}$ | 6 | -12 |

## 5 The HOMFLYPT polynomial

Let $\Sigma_{k}(L)$ be the $k$-fold cyclic covering space of $S^{3}$ branched over a link $L$. Lickorish and Millett [27, Theorem 2] have shown:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(L ; i, i)=(-2)^{\tau / 2} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau=\operatorname{dim} H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{3}(L) ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{2}\right)$. Putting $v=z=i$ in Eq. (6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(L_{+} ; i, i\right)+P\left(L_{-} ; i, i\right)+P\left(L_{0} ; i, i\right)=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(L_{+}, L_{-}, L_{0}\right)$ is a skein triple. Using this, we have a criterion on the HOMFLYPT polynomials of two links which are related by a crossing change [29, Theorem 1.1] or a coherent band surgery [21, Proposition 2.4].
Proposition 5.1. If two links $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are related by either a crossing change or a coherent band surgery, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(L ; i, i) / P\left(L^{\prime} ; i, i\right) \in\left\{1,-2^{ \pm 1}\right\} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Conway polynomial $\nabla(L ; z)$ of a $c$-component link $L$ may be written $\nabla(L ; z)=$ $z^{c-1} \varphi(z)$, where $\varphi(z)$ is an integer polynomial in $z^{2}$. Then we obtain a symmetric integer polynomial $\tilde{\Delta}_{L}(t)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Delta}_{L}(t)=\varphi\left(t^{1 / 2}-t^{-1 / 2}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is called the Hosokawa polynomial [12]; cf. [33, pp. 120]. Then Hosokawa and Kinoshita [13] have shown the following; cf. [28, Corollary 9.8]:
Proposition 5.2. The order of the first homology group of the $k$-fold cyclic covering space of $S^{3}$ branched over a c-component link $L, H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{k}(L) ; \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{c-1} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \tilde{\Delta}_{L}\left(\xi^{j}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi$ is a primitive $k$ th root of unity.
Using Proposition 5.2, we obtain:
Lemma 5.3. Let $L$ be a c-component link. If $P(L ; i, i)=(-2)^{h}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\nabla(L ; z) / z^{c-1}\right]_{z^{2}=-3} \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2^{h}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this lemma, we obtain the following.
Theorem 5.4. Suppose that a $(c+1)$-component link $L^{\prime}$ is obtained from a c-component link $L$ by a coherent band surgery. If $P(L ; i, i)=P\left(L^{\prime} ; i, i\right)=(-2)^{h}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\nabla(L ; z)+z \nabla\left(L^{\prime} ; z\right)}{z^{c-1}}\right]_{z^{2}=-3} \equiv\left[\frac{\nabla(L ; z)-z \nabla\left(L^{\prime} ; z\right)}{z^{c-1}}\right]_{z^{2}=-3} \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2^{h+1}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6 The Q polynomial

The $Q$ polynomial $Q(L ; z) \in \boldsymbol{Z}\left[z^{ \pm 1}\right][3,11]$ is an invariant of the isotopy type of an unoriented link $L$, which is defined by the following formulas:

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q(U ; z)=1  \tag{30}\\
Q\left(L_{+} ; z\right)+Q\left(L_{-} ; z\right)=z\left(Q\left(L_{0} ; z\right)+Q\left(L_{\infty} ; z\right)\right) \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $U$ is the unknot and $\left(L_{+}, L_{-}, L_{0}, L_{\infty}\right)$ is an unoriented skein quadruple.





Figure 8: An unoriented skein quadruple.
Let $\rho(L)=Q(L ;(\sqrt{5}-1) / 2))$. Then Jones [18] has shown

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(L)= \pm \sqrt{5}^{r} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=\operatorname{dim} H_{1}\left(\Sigma(L) ; \boldsymbol{Z}_{5}\right)$.
Furthermore, Rong [39] has shown that there are six cases for the ratios among $\rho\left(L_{-}\right)$, $\rho\left(L_{+}\right), \rho\left(L_{0}\right), \rho\left(L_{\infty}\right)$ as in Table 6.

Table 6: The values of the Q polynomials at $z=(\sqrt{5}-1) / 2$.

| Cases | $\rho\left(L_{-}\right) / \rho\left(L_{\infty}\right)$ | $\rho\left(L_{0}\right) / \rho\left(L_{\infty}\right)$ | $\rho\left(L_{+}\right) / \rho\left(L_{\infty}\right)$ | $\rho\left(L_{+}\right) / \rho\left(L_{-}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) | 1 | $\sqrt{5}$ | 1 | 1 |
| (b) | $\sqrt{5}$ | 1 | -1 | $-\sqrt{5}^{-1}$ |
| (c) | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| (d) | -1 | -1 | 1 | -1 |
| (e) | -1 | 1 | $\sqrt{5}$ | $-\sqrt{5}$ |
| (f) | $\sqrt{5}^{-1}$ | $\sqrt{5}^{-1}$ | $\sqrt{5}^{-1}$ | 1 |

Using Table 6, we have criteria on the $Q$ polynomials of two links which are related by a crossing change [40, Theorem 4.1] or a band surgery [19, Theorem 3.1].

Proposition 6.1. (i) If two links $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are related by a crossing change, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(L) / \rho\left(L^{\prime}\right) \in\left\{ \pm 1,-\sqrt{5}^{ \pm 1}\right\} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If two links $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are related by a band surgery, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(L) / \rho\left(L^{\prime}\right) \in\left\{ \pm 1, \sqrt{5}^{ \pm 1}\right\} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, using Table 6, we have the following.
Theorem 6.2. Suppose that two links $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are related by either a crossing change or a band surgery and that $\rho(L)=\rho\left(L^{\prime}\right)= \pm \sqrt{5}^{r}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} L+\operatorname{det} L^{\prime} \equiv 0 \text { or } \operatorname{det} L-\operatorname{det} L^{\prime} \equiv 0\left(\bmod 5^{r+1}\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 6.3. $\mathrm{d}_{\text {cb }}\left(9_{39}!, 6_{2}^{2}\right)>1$. Since $\rho\left(9_{39}!\right)=\rho\left(6_{2}^{2}\right)=-\sqrt{5}$, $\operatorname{det}\left(9_{39}!\right)=55$, and $\operatorname{det}\left(6_{2}^{2}\right)=10$, the result follows by Theorem 6.2. Note that since $\sigma\left(9_{39}!\right)=2, \sigma\left(6_{2}^{2}\right)=3$, we cannot use Proposition 2.2.

## 7 Table of $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{cb}}\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)$

We give a table of coherent band-Gordian distances between two knots (cf: [15, Table 1])
Table 7: Coherent band-Gordian distances between two knots with up to 6 crossings.

|  | $U$ | $3_{1}$ | $3_{1}!$ | $4_{1}$ | $5_{1}$ | $5_{1}!$ | $5_{2}$ | $5_{2}!$ | $6_{1}$ | $6_{1}!$ | $6_{2}$ | $6_{2}!$ | $6_{3}$ | $3_{1} \# 3_{1}$ | $3_{1}!\# 3_{1}!$ | $3_{1}!\# 3_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $U$ | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| $3_{1}$ |  | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | $4^{\dagger}$ | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 |
| $3_{1}!$ |  |  | 0 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | $4^{\dagger}$ | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| $4_{1}$ |  |  |  | 0 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| $5_{1}$ |  |  |  |  | 0 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 4 |
| $5_{1}!$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 4 |
| $5_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| $5_{2}!$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| $6_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| $6_{1}!$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| $6_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 2 |
| $6_{2}!$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| $6_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| $3_{1} \# 3_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 8 | 4 |
| $3_{1}!\# 3_{1}!$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 4 |
| $3_{1}!\# 3_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |

$\dagger$ : corrected

Table 8: Coherent band-Gordian distances between two knots with up to 7 crossings.

|  | $7_{1}$ | $7_{1}!$ | $7_{2}$ | $7_{2}!$ | $7_{3}$ | $7_{3}!$ | $7_{4}$ | $7_{4}!$ | $7_{5}$ | $7_{5}!$ | $7_{6}$ | $7_{6}!$ | $7_{7}$ | $7_{7}!$ | $3_{1} \# 4_{1}$ | $3_{1}!\# 4_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $U$ | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| $3_{1}$ | 4 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| $3_{1}!$ | 8 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| $4_{1}$ | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | $2 / 4$ | $2 / 4$ | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| $5_{1}$ | 2 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| $5_{1}!$ | 10 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 2 |
| $5_{2}$ | 4 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | $2 / 4$ | 2 | 4 |
| $5_{2}!$ | 8 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | $2 / 4$ | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| $6_{1}$ | 6 | 6 | $2 / 4$ | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| $6_{1}!$ | 6 | 6 | 2 | $2 / 4$ | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| $6_{2}$ | 4 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 | $2 / 4$ | $2 / 4$ | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | $2 / 4$ | 4 |
| $6_{2}!$ | 8 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | $2 / 4$ | 4 | 6 | $2 / 4$ | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | $2 / 4$ |
| $6_{3}$ | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | $2 / 4$ | $2 / 4$ | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| $3_{1} \# 3_{1}$ | 2 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| $3_{1}!\# 3_{1}!$ | 10 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 2 |
| $3_{1}!\# 3_{1}$ | 6 | 6 | $2 / 4$ | $2 / 4$ | 4 | 4 | $2 / 4$ | $2 / 4$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| $7_{1}$ | 0 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 8 |
| $7_{1}!$ |  | 0 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 4 |
| $7_{2}$ |  |  | 0 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | $2 / 4$ | $2 / 4$ | 4 |
| $7_{2}!$ |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | $2 / 4$ | 2 | 4 | $2 / 4$ |
| $7_{3}$ |  |  |  |  | 0 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | $2 / 4$ |
| $7_{3}!$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 4 | $2 / 4$ | 6 |
| $7_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | $2 / 4$ | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| $7_{4}!$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 4 | $2 / 4$ | 2 | 4 |
| $7_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| $7_{5}!$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 2 |
| $7_{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| $7_{6}!$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| $7_{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| $7_{7}!$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| $3_{1} \# 4_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 4 |
| $3_{1}!\# 4_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |

The symbol $2 / 4$ means $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{cb}}\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)=2$ or 4 .

## Acknowledgements

The first author was partially supported by KAKENHI, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 21540092), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

## References

[1] Y. Abe and T. Kanenobu, Unoriented band surgery on knots and links, Kobe J. Math. (to appear).
[2] J. S. Birman and T. Kanenobu, Jones' braid-plat formula and a new surgery triple, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 102 (1988), 687-695.
[3] R. D. Brandt, W. B. R. Lickorish, and K. C. Millett, A polynomial invariant for unoriented knots and links, Invent. Math. 84 (1986), no. 3, 563-573.
[4] J. H. Conway, An enumeration of knots and links, and some of their algebraic properties, Computational Problems in Abstract Algebra (Proc. Conf., Oxford, 1967), Pergamon, Oxford, 1970, pp. 329-358.
[5] Isabel D. Darcy and D. W. Sumners, A strand passage metric for topoisomerase action, KNOTS '96 (Tokyo), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1997, pp. 267-278.
[6] Isabel K. Darcy, Biological distances on DNA knots and links: applications to XER recombination, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 10 (2001), no. 2, 269-294, Knots in Hellas '98, Vol. 2 (Delphi).
[7] Isabel K. Darcy, Kai Ishihara, Ram K. Medikonduri, and Koya Shimokawa, Rational tangle surgery and Xer recombination on catenanes, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 12 (2012), no. 2, 1183-1210.
[8] Isabel K. Darcy and De Witt Sumners, Rational tangle distances on knots and links, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 128 (2000), no. 3, 497-510.
[9] Yuanan Diao, Claus Ernst, and Anthony Montemayor, Nullification of knots and links, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 21 (2012), no. 6, 1250046, 24 pp.
[10] P. Freyd, D. Yetter, J. Hoste, W. B. R. Lickorish, K. Millett, and A. Ocneanu, A new polynomial invariant of knots and links, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 12 (1985), no. 2, 239-246.
[11] C. F. Ho, A polynomial invariant for knots and links-preliminary report, Abstracts Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1985), 300.
[12] Fujitsugu Hosokawa, On $\nabla$-polynomials of links, Osaka Math. J. 10 (1958), 273-282.
[13] Fujitsugu Hosokawa and Shin'ichi Kinoshita, On the homology group of branched cyclic covering spaces of links, Osaka Math. J. 12 (1960), 331-355.
[14] J. Hoste, Y. Nakanishi, and K. Taniyama, Unknotting operations involving trivial tangles, Osaka J. Math. 27 (1990), no. 3, 555-566.
[15] Kai Ishihara and Dorothy Buck, Nullificaiton distance between links with small crossing numbers, Proceedings of "Mathematics of Knots IV", 2011, pp. 182-188.
[16] Kai Ishihara and Koya Shimokawa, Band surgeries between knots and links with small crossing numbers, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 191 (2011), 245-255.
[17] V. F. R. Jones, Hecke algebra representations of braid groups and link polynomials, Ann. of Math. (2) 126 (1987), no. 2, 335-388.
[18] V. F. R. Jones, On a certain value of the Kauffman polynomial, Comm. Math. Phys. 125 (1989), no. 3, 459-467.
[19] T. Kanenobu, Band surgery on knots and links, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 19 (2010), no. 12, 1535-1547.
[20] T. Kanenobu, H(2)-Gordian distance of knots, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 20 (2011), no. 6, 813-835.
[21] T. Kanenobu, Band surgery on knots and links, II, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 21 (2012), no. 9, 1250086, 22 pp.
[22] T. Kanenobu, SH(3)-move and other local moves on knots, preprint.
[23] T. Kanenobu and Y. Miyazawa, $H(2)$-unknotting number of a knot, Commun. Math. Res. 25 (2009), no. 5, 433-460.
[24] T. Kanenobu and H. Moriuchi, Links which are related by a band surgery or crossing change, submitted.
[25] Akio Kawauchi, A survey of knot theory, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1996, Translated and revised from the 1990 Japanese original by the author.
[26] W. B. R. Lickorish, Unknotting by adding a twisted band, Bull. London Math. Soc. 18 (1986), no. 6, 613-615.
[27] W. B. R. Lickorish and K. C. Millett, Some evaluations of link polynomials, Comment. Math. Helv. 61 (1986), no. 3, 349-359.
[28] W. B. Raymond Lickorish, An introduction to knot theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 175, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
[29] Y. Miyazawa, Gordian distance and polynomial invariants, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 20 (2011), 895-907.
[30] Yasuyuki Miyazawa, The Jones polynomial of an unknotting number one knot, Topology Appl. 83 (1998), no. 3, 161-167.
［31］Anthony Montemayor，On nullification of knots and links，Master＇s thesis，Western Kentucky Univ．， 2012.
［32］H．Murakami，Some metrics on classical knots，Math．Ann． 270 （1985），no．1，35－45．
［33］K．Murasugi，Knot theory $\S$ its applications，Modern Birkhäuser Classics，Birkhäuser Boston Inc．，Boston，MA，2008，Translated from the 1993 Japanese original by Bo－ hdan Kurpita，Reprint of the 1996 translation．
［34］Kunio Murasugi，On a certain numerical invariant of link types，Trans．Amer．Math． Soc． 117 （1965），387－422．
［35］Yasutaka Nakanishi，A note on unknotting number，Math．Sem．Notes Kobe Univ． 9 （1981），no．1，99－108．
［36］Józef H．Przytycki and Paweł Traczyk，Invariants of links of Conway type，Kobe J． Math． 4 （1988），no．2，115－139．
［37］R．A．Robertello，An invariant of knot cobordism，Comm．Pure Appl．Math． 18 （1965），543－555．
［38］Dale Rolfsen，Knots and links，AMS Chelsea Press，Providence，RI，2003，Originally published：Berkeley，CA：Publish or Perish Inc． 1976.
［39］Y．W．Rong，The Kauffman polynomial and the two－fold cover of a link，Indiana Univ．Math．J． 40 （1991），no．1，321－331．
［40］A．Stoimenow，Polynomial values，the linking form and unknotting numbers，Math． Res．Lett． 11 （2004），no．5－6，755－769．
［41］Ichiro Torisu，The determination of the pairs of two－bridge knots or links with Gordian distance one，Proc．Amer．Math．Soc． 126 （1998），no．5，1565－1571．
［42］Paweł Traczyk，A criterion for signed unknotting number，Low－dimensional topology （Funchal，1998），Contemp．Math．，vol．233，Amer．Math．Soc．，Providence，RI，1999， pp．215－220．

Department of Mathematics，Osaka City University
Sugimoto，Sumiyoshi－ku Osaka 558－8585
JAPAN
E－mail address：kanenobu＠sci．osaka－cu．ac．jp
大阪市立大学大学院理学研究科 金信 泰造
Osaka City University Advanced Mathematical Institute
Sugimoto，Sumiyoshi－ku Osaka 558－8585
JAPAN
E－mail address：moriuchi＠sci．osaka－cu．ac．jp
大阪市立大学数学研究所 森内 博正

