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SUMMARY

Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) remains an intractable genetic disease. Although there are several animal models of DMD, there is no human cell model that carries patient-specific DYSTROPHIN mutations. Here, we present a human DMD model using human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs). Our model reveals concordant disease-related phenotypes with patient-dependent variation, which are partially reversed by genetic and pharmacological approaches. Our “chemical-compound-based" strategy successfully directs hiPSCs into expandable myoblasts, which exhibit a myogenic transcriptional program, forming striated contractile myofibers and participating in muscle regeneration in vivo. DMD-hiPSC-derived myoblasts show disease-related phenotypes with patient-to-patient variability, including aberrant expression of inflammation or immune-response genes and collagens, increased BMP/TGFβ signaling, and reduced fusion competence. Furthermore, by genetic correction and pharmacological “dual-SMAD” inhibition, the DMD-hiPSC-derived myoblasts and genetically corrected isogenic myoblasts form “rescued” multi-nucleated myotubes. In conclusion, our findings demonstrate the feasibility of establishing a human “DMD-in-a-dish" model using hiPSC-based disease modeling.

INTRODUCTION

Embryonic development has been successfully modeled in vitro by differentiating human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs), including human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) and human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs). This occurs by modulating relevant signaling pathways via chemical compounds, as demonstrated in the neuroectodermal, cardiac, and endodermal lineages (Borowiak et al., 2009; Burridge et al., 2014; Chambers et al., 2009; Di Giorgio et al., 2008). Combined with a strategy to isolate homogenous populations, disease-specific hiPSC-derived cells have facilitated our understanding of the pathogenesis of human genetic disorders by providing symptom-relevant cell types in a patient-specific manner (Chen et al., 2014; Kiskinis et al., 2014; Wainger et al., 2014). This has lead researchers to validate potential therapeutic small molecules that can rescue in vitro phenotypes (Brennand et al., 2011; de Boer et al., 2014).

However, efforts such as those mentioned above have not yet been fully applied to the hiPSCs of muscular dystrophy, mainly due to the absence of a successful strategy for isolating...
expandable functional myoblasts. Previous efforts to derive myogenic cells from hESCs and hiPSCs were based on the ectopic expression of myogenic transcription factors, such as PAX3, PAX7, and MYOD1, by viral gene delivery (Darabi et al., 2012; Tedesco et al., 2012). Although this approach can produce certain myogenic cells, the random integration of viral DNA can jeopardize disease modeling and mask any unknown potential disease phenotype. In addition, other previous methods rely on animal-derived factors and require arduous long-term culture (4 months or more) (Barberi et al., 2007). More importantly, high-purity, disease-specific myoblasts must be isolated and expanded to study their transcriptional profiles or functional deficits. Considering the high disease prevalence and severity, as well as a lack of meaningful therapies for most skeletal muscle disorders, it is critical to develop a human cellular model system.

One of the most common muscular dystrophies is Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD), which affects approximately 1 in 5,000 live male births. DMD is caused by mutations in DYSTROPHIN (Hoffman et al., 1987), and more than 1,000 different sequence variations in the culprit gene (http://www.dmd.nl) have been discovered. Patients with DMD have heterogeneous disease severity due to specific DYSTROPHIN mutations as well as modifier genes, as demonstrated by a wide range of loss of ambulation and end of life (Vo and McNally, 2015). Although zebrafish, mouse, and dog models have provided DMD-related data on pathogenesis, it is generally recognized that each of these models have limitations (Kornegay et al., 2012; Partridge, 2013). A DMD study using mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs) from a DMD mouse model was published recently (Chal et al., 2015). However, it is still questionable whether any of the mESC-based studies can sufficiently model such varied severity in a mutation-dependent or patient-specific manner.

Humanized DMD models carrying patient-specific DYSTROPHIN mutations will be complementary to current animal models of DMD. One such potential humanized DMD cell model is DMD-specific hiPSCs. Here, we planned to establish a defined, robust, and efficient system to direct hiPSCs into myogenic specification, demonstrating the feasibility of myoblast derivation from DMD-specific hiPSCs. Recapitulation of the heterogeneous severity of disease among patients could be a step toward comprehensive mechanistic studies on DMD pathogenesis. In addition, harnessing the potential of hiPSC technology may lead to a more personalized approach for DMD treatment.

RESULTS
A Defined Myogenic Specification Platform Generates a Pure and Functional Myoblast Population
To harness the potential of hiPSCs, we developed a protocol to direct hiPSCs into the skeletal muscle lineage. As the somite is an intermediate stage between hiPSCs and myogenic progenitor cells (Bentzinger et al., 2012; Dequèant and Pourquié, 2008) (Figure S1A), we generated a MESOGENIN1::eGFP reporter hESC line with the CRISPR/Cas9 system (Mali et al., 2013) (Figures S1B–S1D). MESOGENIN1 is a genetic marker for the pre-somite mesoderm fate (Fior et al., 2012). Brief treatment (4 days after day 0 of differentiation) with CHIR99021, a GSK-3β inhibitor (Bennett et al., 2002), significantly increased expression of MESOGENIN1::eGFP (80.8% ± 11.3% cells out of total cells in a dish), TBX6 (67.4% ± 10.4%), and PAX3 in a dose-dependent manner (Figures S1E–S1G) at day 4 and gave rise to myogenic cells expressing MyHC (MF20), MYOG, and MYOD at day 40 (30.4% ± 13.7%, 37.7% ± 5.78%, and 30.4% ± 13.70%, respectively) (Figure S1H). CHIR99021 appeared to activate the canonical WNT signaling pathway, confirmed by β-catenin translocation into the nucleus (Figure S1I). Further data analysis suggests that WNT activation and inhibition of the PI3K pathway (Figures S1J–S1L) are sufficient for induction of MESOGENIN1::eGFP from hiPSCs. These data are partially explained by fostering myogenic specification from somite cells as well as enhanced WNT activation (Figures S1M–S1P), and they are in agreement with results of studies from other groups (Borchin et al., 2013; Chal et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2013). To increase the speed and efficacy of myogenic specification, we found that treatment from day 4 to day 12 with DAPT, a γ-secretase inhibitor that blocks Notch signaling (Dovey et al., 2001) (Figure 1A), promoted a robust and fast myogenic differentiation. At day 30, 63.6% ± 9.68% of cells were MF20+, and 61.5% ± 11.0% were MYOGENIN+ (Figures 1B and S1Q–S1U), which is consistent with data from recent rodent studies (Mayeuf-Louchart et al., 2014; Mourikis et al., 2012).

The resulting “CHIR99021-DAPT culture” in defined N2 media (Figure 1A) was tested on multiple hiPSC lines (>10 different clones) and consistently resulted in differentiation of myoblasts into multinucleated and spontaneously contractile myotubes (Movies S1, S2, and S3; skeletal muscle cells derived from hESC [H9] and normal hiPSCs [GM01582, GM02036]). The hESC- and hiPSC-derived myotubes in CHIR99021-DAPT culture were further characterized by transmission electron microscopy. The spontaneously contracting myotubes showed a highly organized structure, including intact sarcomeres with distinct Z-lines, M-lines, and I-bands (Figures 1C and S1V).

To determine the in vivo engraftment potential, we transplanted the dissociated CHIR99021-DAPT culture cells into the injured tibialis anterior (TA) muscle of NOD-Rag1−/−L2rγ−/− (NRG) mice. 6 weeks after transplantation, immunohistochemistry performed with two human specific antibodies (human-specific Lamin A/C and human-specific Laminin) confirmed that the transplanted human myoblasts formed extensive myofibers without tumor formation (n = 33 mice) (Figure 1D, left). Importantly, a small proportion of human nuclei (human Lamin A/C +) were also observed to express PAX7 underneath a human Laminin basal lamina, indicating that some of the transplanted cells occupied the niche of adult muscle stem cells, known as satellite cells (Figure 1D, right). In contrast, no expression of human antigens was detected in sham-transplanted control mice.

To determine the presence of fusion-competent myoblasts, we re-plated the dissociated cells from the CHIR99021-DAPT culture (days 25–30). Most of the attached and surviving cells were mono-nucleated at day 2 after re-plating, and they could form multi-nucleated myotubes at day 10 after re-plating with typical striations and expression of myotube marker proteins, including DYSTROPHIN (35.55 ± 6.4% cells were positive), TITIN (37.5% ± 5.25%), and α-ACTININ (40.8% ± 9.7%, sarcomeric organization) (Figures 1E, 1F, and S1W).
Isolation of fusion-competent myoblasts could pave the way for disease modeling. As shown in Figures 1E, 1F, and S1T, our CHIR99021-DAPT culture contains fusion-competent myoblasts as well as differentiated myotubes with well-organized sarcomeres. To isolate myoblasts, we tested multiple cell surface markers to facilitate fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) purification of myoblasts in CHIR99021-DAPT culture. Positive selection with the NCAM (5.1H11) antibody (Webster et al., 1988) combined with negative selection using the HNK1 antibody (Figures 2A and S2A) enriched skeletal muscle progenitor cells. This determination was based upon significantly increased expression levels of MYOD1, MYOG, and MyHC (Figures 2B, 2C, S2B, and S2C) in the NCAM+/HNK1– fraction over the NCAM– or NCAM+/HNK1+ fractions. Furthermore, single-cell qRT-PCR showed that 98% (95 out of 96) of single NCAM+/HNK1– cells had higher expression of MYOG and PAX7 than a sample of human fetal skeletal muscle and undifferentiated hESCs (Figures S2D and S2E).

To identify the global mRNA profiles, we performed unbiased gene expression analysis, which showed a hierarchical clustering between the hPSC-derived NCAM+/HNK1– population and fetal skeletal muscle (18 to 19 weeks of gestation) over undifferentiated hESCs (Figure 2D). Transcripts highly enriched in the NCAM+/HNK1– fraction included key markers of skeletal muscle structure development (Millay et al., 2013; Wang et al., 1979; Wohlgemuth et al., 2007) and key transcription factors (L’Honoré et al., 2007; Martin et al., 1993) (Figure 2E). Gene
ontology (GO) analysis revealed statistically significant over-representation of GO terms among the upregulated genes in the NCAM+/HNK1/C0 fraction, including those of "embryonic skeletal muscle development" (p = 4.52 \times 10^{-22}), "muscle structure system" (p = 2.10 \times 10^{-29}), and "muscle contraction" (p = 2.61 \times 10^{-24}) (Figure 2F). The gene expression array results were confirmed by qRT-PCR with primer sets for selected genes (Figure S2F).

The isolated NCAM+/HNK1/C0 population was markedly proliferative during exposure to expansion-permissive conditions for 6 weeks and could be easily expanded up to hundreds of millions of cells (a population doubling time for hESC and hiPSCs of 50.8 \pm 18.5 hr and 47.9 \pm 17.0 hr, respectively) (Figure S2G). The hiPSC-derived myoblasts could be successfully cryopreserved and maintained their myotube-forming potential upon thawing (Figure S2H).

**Generation of Myoblasts from hiPSCs of Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy**

To test whether our myoblast specification and isolation protocol could be applied to hiPSCs derived from DMD patients, we generated patient-specific hiPSCs from five different genotypes (Table 1 and Figures S3A–S3E). In order to find transcriptional changes between NCAM+/HNK1− population (Figure S3F) of DMD-hiPSC (GM05169, exon 4–43 deletion) and the control (healthy)-hiPSC population, we applied unbiased global transcription analysis and subsequent GO analysis (Figure 3A). The
differentially upregulated genes in NCAM+/HNK1– cells of the DMD-hiPSC population (hereafter referred to as DMD-myoblasts) were largely classified into wound healing, inflammation, and signaling pathways. To validate these findings in different genotypes and mutations in DMD patient iPSCs (Table 1), we chose a set of significantly upregulated genes in DMD-myoblasts (fold change > 2-fold; corrected p value of 0.05), which included 17 over-represented genes in the five categories mentioned above, to perform qRT-PCR analysis. Using additional DMD-hiPSC lines with different mutations (two exon 3–17 deletion, one exon 5–7 duplication, and one nonsense mutation; at least three iPSC clones per genotype), we generated myoblasts from each clone of DMD-hiPSC lines using previously mentioned protocols (Figure S1) of myogenic specification and FACS purification. No DYSTROPHIN protein was detectable in the DMD-hiPSC-derived myoblasts using western blot and immunohistochemistry (Figures S3G and S3H), whereas control hiPSC-derived myoblasts showed detectable levels of DYSTROPHIN expression (Figure S3I). Furthermore, DMD-hiPSC-derived myoblasts showed distinctively different myogenic marker gene expression patterns than fibroblasts (Figure S3J). In vivo transplantation experiments with two different mouse strains, NOD-Rag1nullIL2Rgnull-mdx1–1-scid mice (immuno-deficient healthy recipients) and NOD-SCID-IL2rnull-mdx4Cv mice (immuno-deficient mdx mice lacking dystrophin) (Arpke et al., 2013), demonstrated that myogenic culture of DMD-hiPSCs could participate in muscle regeneration processes after cardiotoxin injury (Figure S3K).

The different DMD-myoblasts each had varying levels of gene expression in the DMD qRT-PCR analysis, although all assayed genes were aberrantly expressed in DMD-myoblasts compared to control hiPSCs. In particular, all lines showed upregulated expression of BMP4 and TGFβ genes (Figure 3B). Increased levels of BMP4 and TGFβ signaling were confirmed by a significantly increased nuclear localization of phosphorylated SMAD (pSMAD 1/5 and 2/3) in DMD-myoblasts compared to control myoblasts (Figures 3C, 3D, and S3L). We also found increased protein expression levels of interleukin 6 and 8 and collagen 3 in DMD-myoblasts, whereas the expression level of collagen 1 remained unchanged (Figures 3E–3G and S3M). Interestingly, myoblasts from individual DMD-hiPSC lines showed different patterns. For example, they all had higher levels of nuclear localized phospho-SMAD 1/5 and 2/3, but expression levels of interleukin 6 and 8 and collagen 3 were varied among different DMD-hiPSC lines. Furthermore, analysis of myotube formation as measured by the fusion index (the ratio of number of nuclei inside DESMIN+ myotubes to the number of total nuclei x 100) showed that all the DMD-myoblasts from different DMD-hiPSC lines had decreased myotube formation compared to control myoblasts (p < 0.0001) (Figures 3H and 3I). To test whether this observation was specific to the DMD-myoblasts, we compared the hiPSC lines we developed from facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy (FSHD) and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS; C9ORF72 mutation) (Figures S3A–S3D). Myoblast cultures derived from hiPSC lines of FSHD and ALS could form myotubes with expression of disease biomarkers (Figures S3N–S3P). As shown in Movie S4, we often found spontaneously twitching cells during myogenic specification of ALS-hiPSCs, but we have not found any spontaneously contracting cells during DMD-hiPSC differentiation (n = 71 repeats).

To confirm our findings, we used a previously published protocol (Sherlon et al., 2014) and showed robust myogenic specification (comparable levels to our protocol) in healthy control hPSCs (Figures S3Q and S3R). However, there were differential transcriptional profiles of DMD-hiPSCs (Figure S3S). In addition, the DMD-hiPSC-derived myoblasts showed aberrant myotube formation with many branched arms, reminiscent of another group’s study using mdx mESCs (Chal et al., 2015) (Figure S3T).

Our data suggest that myoblasts of DMD-hiPSCs display transcriptional, translational, and functional in vitro phenotypes. After confirming the transcriptional and translational phenotypes of DMD-myoblasts, we hypothesized that there might be a significant correlation among the list of aberrantly expressed genes (Figure 3B). A correlation heatmap was generated using the gene-to-gene Pearson correlations for the 16 genes initially chosen from global transcriptional analysis (Figure 3J). Among the positively co-correlated genes, SPP1, BMP4, CASPASE1, BMP2, and TGFβ3 formed the clearest cluster. Furthermore, additional correlation analysis (Table 2) confirmed a statistically significant correlation (p value, 0.0211 < 0.0001) between SPP1 and the other 12 genes. Interestingly, SPP1 (Osteopontin) has been shown to be a potent genetic modifier of disease severity in DMD (Pegoraro et al., 2011; Zatz et al., 2014).

**Rescuing Phenotypes of DMD-Myoblasts by Genetic Restoration of DYSTROPHIN**

To restore the genetic deficiency of the exon 4–43 deletion of one of the DMD mutations, we employed the human artificial chromosome (HAC) technique (Kazuki et al., 2010). The HAC technique was used because conventional gene targeting approaches were not feasible due to the size of the DNA to be delivered. We reprogrammed genetically corrected DMD fibroblasts (GM05169 carry HAC with 2.4 Mb entire genomic DYSTROPHIN, renamed DF160) into hiPSCs (Figures S4A and S4B). After confirmation of normal karyotype and presence of the HAC (Figures S4C and S4D), the myogenic culture of corrected DMD-hiPSCs was transplanted into NRG and NSG-mdx4Cv mice. We found comparable levels of human myofiber formation in both in vivo environments (Figures S4E–S4G). These data are supported by recent reports from other groups (Boldrin et al., 2015; Dumont et al., 2015). FACS-purified myoblasts from the corrected DMD-hiPSCs (referred to as “corrected DMD-myoblasts”) showed (Figure 4A) reversed gene expression profiles in our DMD qRT-PCR assay (Figure 4B). Using an

---

**Table 1. Details of Fibroblasts Used for Generation of DMD-hiPSC Lines**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID in Coriell Catalog</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Mutations</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GM05169</td>
<td>DMD (deletion)</td>
<td>EX4-43DEL</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM05127</td>
<td>DMD (non-sense mutation)</td>
<td>c.5533G&gt;T, p.1E1845X</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM04327</td>
<td>DMD (duplication)</td>
<td>EX5-7DUP</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM03781</td>
<td>DMD (deletion)</td>
<td>EX3-17DEL</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM03783</td>
<td>DMD (deletion)</td>
<td>EX3-17DEL</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3. DMD-hiPSC-Derived Myoblasts Show Disease-Related Phenotypes

(A) Unsupervised clustered heatmap of global gene expression values comparing control (CTRL) and DMD-hiPSC-derived myoblasts (DMD-myoblasts) (after NCAM+/HNK1− purification) and gene ontology (DAVID and IPA/Ingenuity) analysis of transcripts that were upregulated in DMD as opposed to downregulated in control (left lower panel) transcripts in control myoblasts.

(B) Heatmap of DMD qRT-PCR analysis with selected represented genes. The value is acquired after normalization by GAPDH value and fold change by the mean value of control hiPSCs. Each box represents different clones of the genotypes and biological repeats of the myoblast isolation.

(C–G) Nuclear localization of phosphorylated SMAD (pSMAD) proteins and expression levels of interleukin 6 and 8, and collagen 3 found in myoblasts (after NCAM+/HNK1− purification) of multiple DMD-hiPSC lines.

(H and I) Impaired myotube formation in DMD-myoblasts. (H) Representative images showing DESMIN staining. (I) Decreased level of fusion index (calculated as ratio of number of nuclei inside myotubes to the number of total nuclei after myotube formation).

(J) Pearson correlation heatmap among the genes listed in (B) shows the Pearson correlation coefficients of expression levels of each selected gene among DMD-myoblasts of five different patient hiPSC lines. Color key from brown to purple indicates correlation from low to high, respectively. The normalized expression values of those genes in were used for calculation of correlation matrix.

*p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01, ***p ≤ 0.001, ****p ≤ 0.0001. One-way ANOVA followed by Newman-Keuls test. N.S., not significantly different. n = 3–11. All values represent mean and SD. Scale bar in (H) represents 50 μm.
unsupervised hierarchically clustering approach, gene expression profiles of corrected DMD-myoblasts were closer to that of control (healthy) myoblasts but further from uncorrected DMD-myoblasts. The increased levels of nuclear localization of phosphorylated SMAD (pSMAD) and expression of interleukin 6 and 8 and collagen 3 were reversed in the corrected DMD-myoblasts (NCAM+/HNK1− cells) (Figures 4C–4G), whereas the expression level of collagen 1 remained unchanged (Figure S4H). Additionally, using μ-DYSTROPHIN (Scott et al., 2002) and three different gene correction approaches (−18 bp ExonSkip, knockin by TALEN, and knockin by CRISPR) (Li et al., 2015), we found that the transcriptional and functional aberrations were partially rescued in DMD-myoblasts (NCAM+/HNK1− cells) (Figures S4I–S4L). More importantly, the fusion index of the corrected DMD-myoblasts was significantly higher (p < 0.0001) than that of DMD-myoblasts, but it was significantly lower than that of control myoblasts (p < 0.05) (Figure 4H). Unlike uncorrected DMD-hiPSCs, which had a limited level of DESMIN expression (Figure 3H), the multinucleated myotubes of corrected DMD-hiPSCs expressed DYSTROPHIN, DESMIN, and MYOSIN LIGHT CHAIN-1 (Figure 4I).

Pharmacological Inhibition of SMAD Signaling Facilitates Formation of “Rescued” Myotubes

To find pharmacological rescue of the in vitro phenotypes, we focused on aberrant BMP and TGFβ signaling indicated by increased nuclear localization of pSMAD proteins in DMD-myoblasts (Figures 3C and 3D). We detected increased levels of BMP4 in the conditioned media (CM) of DMD-myoblasts (Figure S5A). Furthermore, we tested the effects of CM from DMD-myoblasts on control myoblasts and found significantly decreased myotube formation and significantly decreased expression levels of MYOG and MYOD1 (Figures S5B and S5C) compared to those of the CM from control-myoblasts. The effects of CM from DMD-myoblasts were reproduced by treatment with BMP4 protein in the culture media, which were reversed by treatment with “dual-SMAD” inhibition compounds (Figure S5D) (LDN+SB: LDN193189 and SB431542). These data suggest that pharmacological rescue can mitigate the effects of inhibitory cytokines in DMD-myoblasts. We tested this hypothesis in DMD-myoblasts and found that treatment with the dual-SMAD inhibition compounds (LDN+SB) reversed the levels of increased nuclear localization of pSMAD protein and expression of interleukin 6 and 8 and collagen 3 (Figures 5A–5E). In addition, the LDN+SB treatment rescued the fusion defects in DMD-myoblasts with four out of five mutations (Figure 5F), but it did not reverse the fusion defects in DMD-myoblasts with the exon 5–7 duplication (GM04327). These data indicated that pharmacological inhibition of SMAD signaling could rescue DMD-related phenotypes in DMD-myoblasts of some patients and restore the functional deficit, albeit with less efficiency.

Next, we determined whether the genetically corrected DMD-myoblasts could fuse with DMD-myoblasts in vitro, thus mimicking the microenvironment of a DMD patient receiving a transplant of genetically correct autologous myoblasts. Genetically corrected DMD-myoblasts (DF160) were transfected with nuclear RFP (marking the nuclei as red). The two cell lines were then co-cultured to permit myotube fusion (Figure 5G). Some of the GFP+ myotubes contained RFP+ nuclei, demonstrating the formation of rescued myotubes arising from fusion of corrected DMD-myoblasts and non-corrected DMD-myoblasts. The number of nuclei participating in the formation of rescued myotubes was calculated with a “rescued fusion index” (calculated as the ratio of number of nuclei inside GFP+/RFP+ rescued myotubes to the number of total nuclei × 100 after myotube formation). Importantly, the rescued fusion index between corrected DMD-myoblasts and non-corrected DMD-myoblasts was significantly increased upon treatment with dual-SMAD inhibition compounds (Figure 5H).

Table 2. Correlation between SPP Expression and Other “Potentially Culprit Genes”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>r</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>R squared</th>
<th>p (Two-Tailed)</th>
<th>p Value Summary</th>
<th>Significant? (Alpha = 0.05)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPP1 versus BMP2</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>0.9071 to 0.9929</td>
<td>0.9486</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP1 versus BMP4</td>
<td>0.9851</td>
<td>0.9461 to 0.9959</td>
<td>0.9704</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP1 versus TGFβ1</td>
<td>−0.6537</td>
<td>−0.8927 to −0.1278</td>
<td>0.4274</td>
<td>0.0211</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP1 versus TGFβ2</td>
<td>−0.8336</td>
<td>−0.9520 to −0.4978</td>
<td>0.6948</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP1 versus TGFβ3</td>
<td>0.7903</td>
<td>0.8945 to 0.9919</td>
<td>0.9415</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP1 versus TNFαF21</td>
<td>0.7023</td>
<td>0.2151 to 0.9096</td>
<td>0.4932</td>
<td>0.0109</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP1 versus EGF</td>
<td>0.4151</td>
<td>−0.2085 to 0.7987</td>
<td>0.1723</td>
<td>0.1797</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP1 versus CASPASE1</td>
<td>0.9839</td>
<td>0.9416 to 0.9956</td>
<td>0.968</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP1 versus CASPASE4</td>
<td>0.9397</td>
<td>0.7942 to 0.9833</td>
<td>0.8831</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP1 versus COL1A1</td>
<td>−0.2073</td>
<td>−0.6981 to 0.4161</td>
<td>0.04297</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP1 versus COL3A1</td>
<td>0.849</td>
<td>0.5365 to 0.9567</td>
<td>0.7208</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP1 versus COL4A5</td>
<td>0.7275</td>
<td>0.2637 to 0.9181</td>
<td>0.5293</td>
<td>0.0073</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP1 versus COL4A6</td>
<td>0.8846</td>
<td>0.6312 to 0.9674</td>
<td>0.7826</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP1 versus IL6</td>
<td>0.8993</td>
<td>0.6725 to 0.9717</td>
<td>0.8088</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP1 versus IL8</td>
<td>0.2953</td>
<td>−0.3354 to 0.7433</td>
<td>0.08722</td>
<td>0.3514</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Correlation between SPP Expression and Other “Potentially Culprit Genes”**

Alpha = 0.05

Significant?
DISCUSSION

We have demonstrated that a myogenic specification protocol employing two small molecules (CHIR99021 and DAPT) is sufficient to direct multiple hPSC lines (13 different genotypes, including 3 different disease-specific hiPSC lines) into myogenic lineages in approximately 30 days. The hPSC-derived myoblasts can be isolated by FACS, and their functional and molecular characterization confirmed their myogenic properties, such as authentic myogenic transcriptional program, formation of striated contractile myofibers, highly organized ultra-structure, and in vivo engraftment capability. Furthermore, this system was readily applied to DMD-specific hiPSCs with multiple genetic variations (three different deletion types, one duplication, and one nonsense mutation), successfully generating DMD-myoblasts presenting DMD-related phenotypes including altered transcriptional profiles, aberrant intracellular signaling, and defective myotube formation. These DMD phenotypes were partially reversed by genetic and pharmacological approaches, resulting in the formation of rescued myotubes between DMD-myoblasts and their genetically corrected isogenic ones. Our myoblast purification and defined culture methods have enabled us to set up a humanized DMD model system to study multiple aspects of DMD pathogenesis.

The induction of myogenic specification of hESCs and hiPSCs by CHIR99021 treatment has previously been reported by other investigators (Shelton et al., 2014). However, the underlying mechanisms of CHIR99021 activity remain unresolved. In our studies using the MESOGENIN1::eGFP reporter hESC line, which was generated by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene-targeting, we found that activation of the WNT pathway and inhibition of the PI3K pathway are needed for directing hESCs and hiPSCs into the somite stage (MESOGENIN1::eGFP+ population), suggesting possible off-target effects of CHIR99021. Our data also indicate that inhibition of the Notch signaling pathway is critical to increase and accelerate the myogenic program of hESC/hiPSC-derived somite cells, consistent with a recent study...
showing the significance of Notch signaling on the fate decision processes of somite cells. The myotubes generated using our culturing method were often found to spontaneously contract in vitro and possessed a highly systematized ultrastructure resembling that of in vivo skeletal muscle. Indeed, in vivo experiments with the myogenic cells of hESCs and hiPSCs demonstrated that they efficiently participated in the process of murine muscle regeneration. Importantly, a few transplanted human cells expressing PAX7 could be found in the satellite cell niche beneath the basal lamina.

Another important finding in our current study is the establishment of an isolation strategy of expandable myoblasts from a heterogeneous hiPSCs culture. After approximately 30 days of myogenic specification, we observed that the culture dishes contained different types of cells, including spontaneously twitching myofibers and myotubes, myoblasts, neurons, and fibroblasts. Among this diversity of cells, only some of them were mono-nucleated myoblasts, which can be FACS-purified by using NCAM and HNK1 antibodies. This FACS-purification strategy was readily applied to multiple hiPSC lines, and the yields were comparable among different genotypes of hESCs and hiPSCs, including DMD-hiPSCs. Furthermore, the FACS-purified myoblasts can be expanded up to the hundreds of millions, and they are easily cryo-preserved without losing fusion competence. Combined with the xeno-free culture condition (defined media condition and compound based specification), our protocol could be extended to large-scale compound screening efforts and eventually to myoblast transplantation to patients. Additional studies are necessary to reveal the detailed molecular mechanisms governing the fate of each cellular stage during human myogenesis, to comprehensively quantify PAX7+ human transplanted cells, and to monitor the long-term in vivo behaviors of transplanted cells.

The DMD phenotypes in our present study, including increased levels of BMP4 and TGFβ signaling, aberrant expression of interleukins and the collagen genes, and fusion defects, are supported by previous studies of DMD animal models and myoblast cultures derived from DMD patient biopsy samples (Cesana et al., 2011; Hartel et al., 2001; Jasmin et al., 1984; Ng et al., 2012; Porter et al., 2002). Our present study implies that secreted proteins might be responsible for the defective...
in vitro fusion of DMD-myoblasts. This finding was confirmed in other experiments, such as treatment of control-myoblast cultures with CM of DMD-myoblasts and co-cultures between control-myoblasts and DMD-myoblasts, and it was partially reversed by dual-SMAD inhibition. Importantly, upon dual-SMAD inhibition, genetically corrected DMD-myoblasts can form significantly increased numbers of rescued myotubes containing nuclei of DMD-myoblasts. This rescued myotube culture can be useful to study myoblast transplantation efficiency. More than a decade ago, encouraged by successful transplantation studies with mdx rodent models (Karpati et al., 1993; Partridge et al., 1989), researchers attempted clinical trials of autologous myoblast transplantation for the treatment of DMD, but unfortunately the results were disappointing (Karpati et al., 1993; Mendenell et al., 1995; Skuk et al., 2007). Although more recent attempts at human myoblast transplantation have achieved some DYSTROPHIN expression, subsequent biopsies have shown severe substitution by fibrosis and adipose tissue (Gussoni et al., 1992). There is little understanding as to why transplanted healthy myoblasts perform so poorly. Although rapid cell death of transplanted healthy myoblasts (Fan et al., 1996) and immune rejection have been suggested as reasons for low transplant efficiency, an “unfavorable” or “hostile” microenvironment of the DMD host likely plays a significant role (Gussoni et al., 1997). Although the low level of rescued myotube formation must be improved in future studies, our humanized DMD myoblast model will be useful to reconstruct a microenvironment of DMD lesions receiving autologous and genetically corrected myoblasts.

DMD-myoblasts from four patients were rescued upon pharmacological dual-SMAD inhibition, but DMD-myoblasts with exon 5–7 duplication (GM04327) were not able to be rescued. These data suggest that patient-specific disparities in DMD manifestation might be modeled in cell culture. It remains to be determined why DMD-myoblasts originating from different patients display varied phenotypes and responses to pharmacological rescue; however, these data might be relevant to the varied severity and progression of the disease symptoms among patients and potentially the response to different therapeutic reagents (Pegoraro et al., 2011; Zatz et al., 2014). For example, our data show that SPP1/Osteopontin expression levels in DMD-myoblasts of different patients are significantly correlated with most of the elevated expression levels of the “pathogenic candidate genes.” The relationship and potential mechanism between the expression level of SPP1/Osteopontin and the clinical severity and progression will be investigated in future studies with DMD-myoblasts of large numbers of patient groups. In particular, the genetic labeling (e.g., PAX7::GFP reporter DMD-hiPSC lines) system will be very important for reliable isolation of putative skeletal muscle stem cells from DMD-hiPSC lines.

Another interesting question is how DMD-myoblasts show relevant phenotypes even before initiation of DYSTROPHIN protein expression. We have found that DYSTROPHIN transcription occurs in myoblasts (Figure 3I) before myotube formation, but the protein is not detected in the myoblast stage. Future studies will be focused to uncover a possible unknown function of DYSTROPHIN transcript or protein with more precise and sensitive detection methodologies. Moreover, our in vivo experiments demonstrate that myogenic cells of DMD-hiPSCs can form human myofibers in healthy and mdx mouse models. Our in vivo human model of DMD-myoblasts can be used to model the cellular conditions of DMD patient pathology and will be useful for understanding patient-specific disparities.

In conclusion, our data demonstrate the feasibility of generating and isolating expandable myoblasts from disease-hiPSCs in a fast, efficient, and defined manner. We have gained insight into the disease mechanism underlying DMD and present a model for studying the processes for using iPSCs as therapies for DMD.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cell Culture**

hESCs (H9, WiCell) and hiPSCs were cultured using standard protocols. The hESC lines and hiPSC lines were cultured with mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) (GlobalStem, or AppliedStemCell) pre-plated at 12,000–15,000 cells/cm². Medium contained DMEM/F12, 20% knockout serum replacement, 1 mM L-glutamine, 100 μM MEM non-essential amino acids, and 0.1 mM β-mercaptoethanol. 10 ng/ml of FGF-2 was added after sterile filtration, and cells were fed daily, and passed weekly, using 6 U/mL dispase or mechanically.

**Generation of hiPSCs**

The ALS patient fibroblasts (JH078 [C90RF72] and GO013 [SOD1 A4V]) were collected at Johns Hopkins Hospital with patient consent (IRB protocol: “Skin biopsies to generate cell lines for study of Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis.” NA_00021979). Primary FSHD myoblasts (05Bdel) were obtained from the Senator Paul D. Wellstone Muscular Dystrophy Cooperative Research Center for FSHD Research. Other fibroblasts (Table 1) were purchased from Coriell with appropriate Material Transfer Agreement documents. Genetically corrected DMD fibroblasts (DF160) were previously generated by transferring the HAC with the entire DYSTROPHIN gene into DMD fibroblasts (GM05169). Human cells were cultured in DMEM media containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). Fibroblasts were plated onto 24-well plates and then reprogrammed with CytoTune-iPS Sendai Reprogramming Kit (Invitrogen) using our standard protocol. After 9 days, cells were put on MEF feeder medium with Y-27632 and then grown.

**Myogenic Differentiation of hESCs and hiPSCs**

MEF-conditioned N2 media is used for initial stage of myogenic specification. Briefly, MEFs were plated at a density of 50,000 cells/cm² in a T-225 flask. The next day, the cells are washed once with PBS before adding 100 ml of N2 medium. MEF-conditioned N2 media were harvested after 24 hr of incubation. For myogenic specification, hESCs and hiPSCs were rendered to single cells using incubation of Accutase for 20 min, and plated on a gelatin-coated dish for 10 min to remove MEFs. Non-adherent cells (mostly hESCs and hiPSCs) were collected, counted, and plated on a 1% GelTrex-coated dish (1 hr coating), at a density of 1.5 x 10⁵ cells per well of a 24-well plate, in the presence of filtered MEF-conditioned N2 media containing 10 ng/ml of FGF-2 and 10 μM of Y-27632 (Cayman Chemical) (day 0). From the next day (day 1) (60% ~ 70% of confluence), N2 media with CHIR99021 (3 μM) was added and media were changed at every other day. At day 4, N2 media with DAPT (10 μM) treatment were added until day 12. BIO (30–250 nM), Lithium chloride (20–200 mM), Kenpallone (1–10 μM), SB 216763 (1–10 μM), WNT-1 (10–100 ng/ml), WNT-3A (1–100 ng/ml), WNT-7A (1–100 ng/ml), SBE13 hydrochloride (0.1 mM to 10 μM), and OTSSP167 hydrochloride (0.1–10 mM) were tested during day 1 to day 4, as well as during day 4 to day 8. The N2 medium contains DMEM/F12 powder, glucose, sodium bicarbonate, insulin, putrescine, progesterone, sodium selenite, and transferrin.

**Statistical Analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using Graph Pad Prism software (version 6.0). Values are from at least three independent experiments, with...
multiple replicates each, and reported as mean ± SEM. Comparisons among groups were performed either by one-way ANOVA followed by Newman-Keuls test or by a t test. Statistical significance was assigned for p < 0.05.
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