

Impact of hospital volume on risk-adjusted mortality following oesophagectomy in Japan

T. Nishigori^{1,6}, H. Miyata², H. Okabe^{1,6}, Y. Toh³, H. Matsubara¹, H. Konno⁴, Y. Seto⁵ and Y. Sakai^{1,6}

¹Japan Esophageal Society, ²National Clinical Database (NCD), ³NCD Committee, Japan Esophageal Society, ⁴Database Committee, Japanese Society of Gastroenterological Surgery, and ⁵Japanese Society of Gastroenterological Surgery, Tokyo, and ⁶Department of Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

Correspondence to: Dr H. Okabe, Department of Surgery, Otsu Municipal Hospital, 2-9-9, Motomiya, Otsu City, Shiga Prefecture 520-0804, Japan (e-mail: hokabe@kuhp.kyoto-u.ac.jp)

Background: Previous studies have reported that patients undergoing oesophagectomy in high-volume hospitals experience lower mortality rates. However, there has been ongoing discussion regarding the validity of evidence for this association. The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationship between hospital volume and risk-adjusted mortality following oesophagectomy in Japan, using a nationwide web-based database.

Methods: The study included patients registered in the database as having undergone oesophagectomy with reconstruction between 2011 and 2013. Outcome measures were

30-day and operative mortality rates. Logistic regression analysis was used to adjust for hospital volume, surgeon volume and risk factors for mortality after oesophagectomy.

Results: A total of 16 556 oesophagectomies at 988 hospitals were included; the overall unadjusted 30-day and operative mortality rates were 1.1 and 3.0 per cent respectively. The unadjusted operative mortality rate in hospitals performing fewer than ten procedures per year (5.1 per cent) was more than three times higher than that in hospitals conducting 30 or more procedures annually (1.5 per cent). Multivariable models indicated that hospital volume had a significant effect on 30-day (odds ratio 0.88 per 10-patient increase; $P = 0.012$) and operative (odds ratio 0.86 per 10-patient increase; $P < 0.001$) mortality.

Conclusion: In Japan, high-volume hospitals had lower risk-adjusted 30-day and operative mortality rates following oesophagectomy compared with low-volume hospitals.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all data managers and hospitals for participating in the NCD project and for their great effort in entering the data. They also thank the working members of the NCD Committee of the Japan Esophageal Society and the Database Committee of the Japanese Society of Gastroenterological Surgery.

This study was supported by the Japan Esophageal Society.

References

- 1 Gotoh M, Miyata H, Hashimoto H, Wakabayashi G, Konno H, Miyakawa S *et al.* National Clinical Database feedback implementation for quality improvement of

- cancer treatment in Japan: from good to great through transparency. *Surg Today* 2016; **46**: 38–47.
- 2 Takeuchi H, Miyata H, Gotoh M, Kitagawa Y, Baba H, Kimura W *et al.* A risk model for esophagectomy using data of 5354 patients included in a Japanese nationwide web-based database. *Ann Surg* 2014; **260**: 259–266.
 - 3 Kitagawa Y, Idani H, Inoue H, Udagawa H, Uyama I, Osugi H *et al.* Gastroenterological surgery: esophagus. *Asian J Endosc Surg* 2015; **8**: 114–124.
 - 4 Markar SR, Karthikesalingam A, Thrumurthy S, Low DE. Volume–outcome relationship in surgery for esophageal malignancy: systematic review and meta-analysis 2000–2011. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2012; **16**: 1055–1063.
 - 5 Pieper D, Mathes T, Neugebauer E, Eikermann M. State of evidence on the relationship between high-volume hospitals and outcomes in surgery: a systematic review of systematic reviews. *J Am Coll Surg* 2013; **216**: 1015–1025.e1018.
 - 6 Wouters MW, Gooiker GA, van Sandick JW, Tollenaar RA. The volume–outcome relation in the surgical treatment of esophageal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer* 2012; **118**: 1754–1763.
 - 7 Halm EA, Lee C, Chassin MR. Is volume related to outcome in health care? A systematic review and methodologic critique of the literature. *Ann Intern Med* 2002; **137**: 511–520.
 - 8 Mayer E, Darzi L, Athanasiou T. The role of volume–outcome relationship in surgery. In *Key Topics in Surgical Research and Methodology*, Athanasiou T, Debas H, Darzi A (eds). Springer: Berlin, 2010; 195–206.
 - 9 Derogar M, Sadr-Azodi O, Johar A, Lagergren P, Lagergren J. Hospital and surgeon volume in relation to survival after esophageal cancer surgery in a population-based

study. *J Clin Oncol* 2013; **31**: 551–557.

- 10 Wright CD, Kucharczuk JC, O'Brien SM, Grab JD, Allen MS. Predictors of major morbidity and mortality after esophagectomy for esophageal cancer: a Society of Thoracic Surgeons General Thoracic Surgery Database risk adjustment model. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2009; **137**: 587–595.
- 11 Suzuki H, Gotoh M, Sugihara K, Kitagawa Y, Kimura W, Kondo S *et al.* Nationwide survey and establishment of a clinical database for gastrointestinal surgery in Japan: targeting integration of a cancer registration system and improving the outcome of cancer treatment. *Cancer Sci* 2011; **102**: 226–230.
- 12 Fujita H, Ozawa S, Kuwano H, Ueda Y, Hattori S, Yanagawa T. Esophagectomy for cancer: clinical concerns support centralizing operations within the larger hospitals. *Dis Esophagus* 2010; **23**: 145–152.
- 13 Kazui T, Osada H, Fujita H. An attempt to analyze the relation between hospital surgical volume and clinical outcome. *Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2007; **55**: 483–492.
- 14 Kozower BD, Ailawadi G, Jones DR, Pates RD, Lau CL, Kron IL *et al.* Predicted risk of mortality models: surgeons need to understand limitations of the University HealthSystem Consortium models. *J Am Coll Surg* 2009; **209**: 551–556.
- 15 Rodgers M, Jobe BA, O'Rourke RW, Sheppard B, Diggs B, Hunter JG. Case volume as a predictor of inpatient mortality after esophagectomy. *Arch Surg* 2007; **142**: 829–839.
- 16 Stavrou EP, Smith GS, Baker DF. Surgical outcomes associated with oesophagectomy in New South Wales: an investigation of hospital volume. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2010; **14**: 951–957.

- 17 Stitzenberg KB, Sigurdson ER, Egleston BL, Starkey RB, Meropol NJ. Centralization of cancer surgery: implications for patient access to optimal care. *J Clin Oncol* 2009; **27**: 4671–4678.
- 18 Lee TY, Lin JT, Ho HJ, Wu MS, Wu CY. Evaluation of the effect of cumulative operator experience on hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence after primary treatment with radiofrequency ablation. *Radiology* 2015; **276**: 294–301.