# Continuum limits of discrete Schrödinger operators on square lattices

Yukihide Tadano

ABSTRACT. We consider two different approaches of continuum limit problems of Schrödinger operators  $H = -\Delta + V$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The first part of this proceedings deals with asymptotic behavors of discrete Schrödinger operators  $H_h = -\Delta_h + V|_{h\mathbb{Z}^d}$  on square lattice  $h\mathbb{Z}^d$  with mesh size h, and we study conditions of the potential V and the projection from  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  onto  $\ell^2(h\mathbb{Z}^d)$  where  $H_h$  converges to the corresponding continuum operator H the generalized resolvent sense. The sencond one involves Schrödinger operators defined on the edges of  $h\mathbb{Z}^d$ , then we prove that a similar continuum limit problem holds under weaker assumption of V.

## 1. Introduction

The aim of this report is to develop continuum limit problems of Schrödinger operators

$$H = H_0 + V(x), \quad H_0 = -\Delta, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

on  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , where  $d \ge 1$ , with considering the two corresponding discretizations described below.

The first one is onto the vertices of square lattices: Let h > 0 be the mesh size, then we set

$$\mathcal{H}_h = \ell^2(h\mathbb{Z}^d), \quad h\mathbb{Z}^d = \{(hz_1, \dots, hz_d) \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}^d\},\$$

equipped with the norm

$$||v||_h = \left(h^d \sum_{z \in h\mathbb{Z}^d} |v(z)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for  $v \in \mathcal{H}_h$ . We denote the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by  $e_j = (\delta_{ik})_{k=1}^d \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, d$ . The corresponding discrete Schrödinger operator is defined by

$$H_h = H_{0,h} + V(z), \quad z \in h\mathbb{Z}^d,$$

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Science, Kazurazaka 1-3, Shinjuku, Tokyo 162-8601, Japan, e-mail: y.tadano@rs.tus.ac.jp

Supported by JSPS Research Fellowship for Young Scientists 20J00247 and the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, an International Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University.

where

$$H_{0,h}v(z) = h^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^{d} (2v(z) - v(z + he_j) - v(z - he_j)), \quad v \in \mathcal{H}_h$$

The second one is onto the edges of square lattices: Let

$$\mathcal{L} = \{\mathcal{L}_{jn} = [j,n] \mid j,n \in h\mathbb{Z}^d, |j-n| = h\},\$$

where [j, n] is the line segment connecting j and n, and

$$\mathcal{H}'_h = L^2(\mathcal{L}) = \bigoplus_{|j-n|=h} L^2(\mathcal{L}_{jn})$$

with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|'_{h} = \left(\frac{h^{d-1}}{d} \sum_{|j-n|=h} \int_{[j,n]} |\varphi_{jn}(t)|^{2} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for  $\varphi = (\varphi_{jn}) \in \mathcal{H}'_h$ . We also set

$$H^{1}(\mathcal{L}) = \{(\varphi_{jn}) \in \mathcal{H}'_{h} \mid \varphi_{jn} \in H^{1}([j,n]), \varphi_{jn}(j) = \varphi_{jm}(j) \text{ for} \\ j \in h\mathbb{Z}^{d} \text{ and } n, m : \text{neiborhood of } j\}$$

Suppose that  $V : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is bounded from below, and denote  $V_j = V(j)$  for  $j \in h\mathbb{Z}^d$ . For  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}'_h$ , we define the quadratic form by

$$q(\varphi, \psi) = \langle \varphi', \psi' \rangle + \sum_{j \in h\mathbb{Z}^d} hV_j \varphi_j \overline{\psi_j},$$

where  $(\varphi')_{jn}(t) = \frac{d}{dt}\varphi_{jn}(t)$ ,  $\varphi_j = \varphi_{jn}(j)$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the innner product of  $\mathcal{H}'_h$ . Let  $H'_h$  be the selfadjoint operator associated to  $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ , and we call  $H'_h$  the Schrödinger operator on the quantum graph  $\mathcal{L}$ . Note that the boundedness of V from below implies

$$\mathcal{D}(H'_h) \subset \left\{ \psi = (\psi_{jn}) \in \oplus H^2(\mathcal{L}_{jn}) \mid \sum_{|j-n|=h} \psi'_{jn}(j) = hV_j\psi_j \right\},$$
$$(H'_h\psi)_{jn}(t) = -\psi''_{jn}(t), \quad |j-n| = h.$$

In this report we develop continuum limit problems of Schrödinger operators H in the above two different settings. It is clear that the first discretized operator  $H_h$  formally converges to H, e.g. for any  $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

$$\sup_{z \in h\mathbb{Z}^d} |H_h(u|_{h\mathbb{Z}^d})(z) - Hu(z)| \to 0, \quad h \to 0.$$

In order to treat the problems strictly in the terminology of operator theory, we easily find the following obstructions:

- Since H,  $H'_h$ , and possibly  $H_h$ , are not bounded, it is not allowed to formally write  $H_h \to H$  or  $H'_h \to H$ .
- Continuum and discretized operators are defined on different functional spaces with each other.

The first obstruction is easy to avoid, since we only have to consider their resolvents  $(H-\mu)^{-1}$ ,  $(H_h-\mu)^{-1}$  and  $(H'_h-\mu)^{-1}$ . In order to get over the second one, we need to give appropriate identifications between continuum and discretized functional spaces.

The first half of this report, Sections 2 and 3, conserns a continuum limit from  $H_h$  to H, and we consider generalized strong/norm resolvent convergences. More precisely, we determine conditions of the potential V and identification operator

$$P_h:\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{H}_h$$

to satisfy the convergence

(1) 
$$P_h^*(H_h - \mu)^{-1}P_h \to (h - \mu)^{-1}, \quad h \to 0$$

in the strong/operator norm sense.

The second half is devoted to comparison between asymptotic behavors of  $H_h$ and  $H'_h$ . In this case we set concrete identifications between  $\mathcal{H}_h$  and  $\mathcal{H}'_h$ , and then we prove the generalized norm resolvent convergence for the pair of  $H_h$  and  $H'_h$ .

Similar convergence problems in the norm resolvent sense are studied by, e.g., [3], [7] and [11]. Note that [13] considers a continuum limit of scattering states of discrete Schrödinger operators, and that [7] is a generalization to fractional Laplacians. For studies of continuum limits of NLS equations, see [2], [12] and references therein.

## 2. Continuum limit of $H_h$ in generalized strong resolvent sense

In this section, we first consider what the identification  $P_h : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}_h$  should satisfy, and we introduce the definition of  $P_h$  in our case. Then we study the characterization of the condition that the generalized strong resolvent convergence (1) holds when V is absent.

If we assume the translation and scaling invariance,  $P_h$  should be of the form

(2) 
$$P_h u(z) = h^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{\varphi_{h,z}(x)} u(x) dx, \quad h > 0, \ z \in h\mathbb{Z}^d,$$

where  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$  and

$$\varphi_{h,z}(x) = \varphi(h^{-1}(x-z)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Note that, if  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  then (2) is bounded uniformly in h, and that

$$P_h^*v(x) = \sum_{z \in h\mathbb{Z}^d} \varphi_{h,z}(x)v(z), \quad h > 0, \ v \in \mathcal{H}_h.$$

In addition, it is natural to expect that  $\mathcal{H}_h$  is regarded as a subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  via  $P_h^*$ , that is,  $P_h^*$  is an isometry. It is easy to observe that  $P_h^*$  is an isometry and hence  $P_h$  is a partial isometry, if and only if  $\{\varphi_{1,z} | z \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$  is an orthonormal system. This condition is also equivalent to the condition:

(3) 
$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left| \hat{\varphi}(\xi + n) \right|^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where  $\hat{\varphi}$  is the Fourier transform

$$\hat{\varphi}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}\varphi(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} \varphi(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

## Y. TADANO

In the following, we assume  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and (3). Then, for  $u \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(P_h^*(H_h-\mu)^{-1}P_h-(H-\mu)^{-1})u\|^2 \\ &=\|(P_h^*(H_h-\mu)^{-1}P_h-(P_h^*P_h+(1-P_h^*P_h))(H-\mu)^{-1})u\|^2 \\ &=\|P_h^*((H_h-\mu)^{-1}P_h-P_h(H-\mu)^{-1})u-(1-P_h^*P_h)(H-\mu)^{-1}u\|^2 \\ &=\|P_h^*((H_h-\mu)^{-1}P_h-P_h(H-\mu)^{-1})u\|^2 + \|(1-P_h^*P_h)(H-\mu)^{-1}u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if we set

$$R_1(h) := P_h^* (H_h - \mu)^{-1} P_h - P_h^* P_h (H - \mu)^{-1},$$
  

$$R_2(h) := (1 - P_h^* P_h) (H - \mu)^{-1},$$

we learn

$$\max(\|R_1(h)\|, \|R_2(h)\|) \le \|P_h^*(H_h - \mu)^{-1}P_h - (H - \mu)^{-1}\| \le \left(\|R_1(h)\|^2 + \|R_2(h)\|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence we have

LEMMA 2.1. Assume  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and (3). Then (i) (1) holds in the strong sense if and only if

$$R_1(h), R_2(h) \to 0$$
 strongly as  $h \to 0$ 

(ii) (1) holds in the operator norm sense if and only if

$$|R_1(h)||, ||R_2(h)|| \to 0 \text{ as } h \to 0$$

The aim of this section is to prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.2. Assume  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , (3) and  $V \equiv 0$ . Then (1) holds in the strong sense if and only if

(4) 
$$|\hat{\varphi}(0)| = 1.$$

Before the proof, we introduce the discrete Fourier transform

$$F_h$$
:  $\mathcal{H}_h \to \hat{\mathcal{H}}_h = L^2(h^{-1}\mathbb{T}^d), \quad \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},$ 

by

(5) 
$$F_h v(\zeta) = h^d \sum_{z \in h \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-2\pi i z \cdot \zeta} v(z), \quad \zeta \in h^{-1} \mathbb{T}^d, \ v \in \mathcal{H}_h.$$

 $F_h$  is unitary, and its adjoint is given by

$$F_h^*g(z) = \int_{h^{-1}\mathbb{T}^d} e^{2\pi i z \cdot \zeta} g(\zeta) d\zeta, \quad z \in h\mathbb{Z}^d, \ g \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}_h.$$

If we set

$$H_0(\xi) = |2\pi\xi|^2,$$

it is well-known that  $H_0 = \mathcal{F}^* H_0(\cdot) \mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Similarly, if we set

$$H_{0,h}(\zeta) = 2h^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^{d} (1 - \cos(2\pi h\zeta_j)), \quad \zeta \in h^{-1} \mathbb{T}^d,$$

then  $H_{0,h} = F_h^* H_{0,h}(\cdot) F_h$ . We denote

$$Q_h := F_h P_h \mathcal{F}^* : \hat{\mathcal{H}} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \hat{\mathcal{H}}_h.$$

Then we have

LEMMA 2.3. For  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

(6) 
$$Q_h f(\zeta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \overline{\widehat{\varphi}(h\zeta + n)} f(\zeta + h^{-1}n), \quad \zeta \in h^{-1} \mathbb{T}.$$

For  $g \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}_h$ ,

(7) 
$$Q_h^*g(\xi) = \hat{\varphi}(h\xi)\tilde{g}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where  $\tilde{g}$  is the periodic extension of g on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.2. Let

(8) 
$$\hat{R}_j(h) := \mathcal{F}R_j(h)\mathcal{F}^*, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

A direct computation implies

$$\hat{R}_{2}(h)f(\xi) = (1 - Q_{h}^{*}Q_{h}) \left( (H_{0}(\xi) - \mu)^{-1}f(\xi) \right)$$
$$= (1 - |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)|^{2})g(\xi) - \hat{\varphi}(h\xi)\sum_{n \neq 0} \overline{\hat{\varphi}(h\xi + n)}g(\xi + h^{-1}n),$$

where

$$g(\xi) := (H_0(\xi) - \mu)^{-1} f(\xi).$$

We fix R > 0 and let  $f \in C_c^{\infty}((-R, R)^d)$ . Then we have for h > 0 small enough

$$\begin{split} \|\hat{R}_{2}(h)f(\xi)\|^{2} &= \int_{[-R,R]^{d}} |(1-|\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)|^{2})g(\xi)|^{2}d\xi \\ &+ \sum_{n \neq 0} \int_{[-R,R]^{d}} |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi-n)\overline{\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)}g(\xi)|^{2}d\xi. \end{split}$$

Since the first term tends to

$$|1 - |\hat{\varphi}(0)|^2|^2 \int_{[-R,R]^d} |g(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

as  $h \to 0$ , the condition  $|\hat{\varphi}(0)| = 1$  is necessary. Note that,  $|\hat{\varphi}(0)| = 1$  and (3) imply the convergence of the other terms:

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n\neq 0} \int_{[-R,R]^d} |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi - n)\overline{\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)}g(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \int_{[-R,R]^d} \sum_{n\neq 0} |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi - n)|^2 |\overline{\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)}g(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \int_{[-R,R]^d} (1 - |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)|^2) |\overline{\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)}|^2 |g(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\to (1 - |\hat{\varphi}(0)|^2) |\overline{\hat{\varphi}(0)}|^2 \int_{[-R,R]^d} |g(\xi)|^2 d\xi = 0, \quad h \to 0. \end{split}$$

We omit the proof of sufficiently since we will show that (4) implies  $||\hat{R}_2(h)|| \to 0$  as  $h \to 0$  in Proposition 3.1.

## 3. Continuum limit of $H_h$ in generalized norm resolvent sense

In this section, we consider sufficient conditions where (1) holds in the norm sense. We first show

PROPOSITION 3.1. Assume  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , (3) and  $V \equiv 0$ . Then (1) holds in the operator norm sense if and only if (4) holds.

Combining Propositions 2.2 and 3.1, we see that the generalized strong/norm resolvent convergence  $H_{0,h} \to H_0$  is characterized by  $|\hat{\varphi}(0)| = 1$ .

**PROOF.** A direct computation implies

$$\hat{R}_1(h)f(\xi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{\varphi}(h\xi)\overline{\hat{\varphi}(h\xi+n)}B_h(\xi+h^{-1}n)f(\xi+h^{-1}n),$$

where

$$B_h(\xi) := (H_{0,h}(\xi) - \mu)^{-1} - (H_0(\xi) - \mu)^{-1}.$$

We see that  $|\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)|B_h(\xi) \to 0$  in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , which implies the n = 0 term tends to zero. For the other terms, it follows from (3) that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)|^2 \left| \sum_{n \neq 0} \overline{\hat{\varphi}(h\xi + n)} B_h(\xi + h^{-1}n) f(\xi + h^{-1}n) \right|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)|^2 \sum_{n \neq 0} |\overline{\hat{\varphi}(h\xi + n)}|^2 \sum_{n \neq 0} |B_h(\xi + h^{-1}n)f(\xi + h^{-1}n)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)|^2 (1 - |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)|^2) \sum_{n \neq 0} |B_h(\xi + h^{-1}n)f(\xi + h^{-1}n)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{n \neq 0} (|\hat{\varphi}(h\xi - n)|^2 - |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi - n)|^4) |B_h(\xi)f(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)|^2 - \sum_{n \neq 0} |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi - n)|^4) |B_h(\xi)f(\xi)|^2 d\xi. \end{split}$$

Note that using the properties (3) and (4) we have

$$\hat{\varphi}(n) = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\},\$$

which implies the function

$$(1 - |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)|^2 - \sum_{n \neq 0} |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi - n)|^4) |B_h(\xi)|^2$$

converges to zero in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and hence the reminding terms tend to zero. We recall that for  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

$$\hat{R}_2(h)f(\xi) = (1 - |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)|^2)g(\xi) - \hat{\varphi}(h\xi)\sum_{n\neq 0}\overline{\hat{\varphi}(h\xi+n)}g(\xi+h^{-1}n),$$

where  $g(\xi) = (H_0(\xi) - \mu)^{-1} f(\xi)$ . The first term tends to zero in norm since  $(1 - |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)|^2)(H(\xi) - \mu)^{-1}$  converges to zero in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . For the remaining terms,

we learn

$$\begin{split} &\int |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi) \sum_{n \neq 0} \overline{\hat{\varphi}(h\xi + n)} g(\xi + h^{-1}n)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \int |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)|^2 \sum_{n \neq 0} |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi + n)|^2 \sum_{n \neq 0} |g(\xi + h^{-1}n)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \int |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)|^2 (1 - |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)|^2) \sum_{n \neq 0} |g(\xi + h^{-1}n)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \int \sum_{n \neq 0} |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi - n)|^2 (1 - |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi - n)|^2) |g(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \int (1 - |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)|^2 - \sum_{n \neq 0} |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi - n)|^4) |g(\xi)|^2 d\xi. \end{split}$$

The similar computation as in  $\hat{R}_1(h)$  implies that the function

$$(1 - |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi)|^2 - \sum_{n \neq 0} |\hat{\varphi}(h\xi - n)|^4)|H(\xi) - \mu|^{-2}$$

tends to zero with respect to the  $L^{\infty}$  norm. Thus the remaining terms converge to zero as  $h \to 0$ .

The rest of this section is devoted to the case  $V \neq 0$ . We assume

ASSUMPTION A. V is a real-valued continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and bounded from below.  $(V(x) + M)^{-1}$  is uniformly continuous with some M > 0, and there is  $c_1 > 0$  such that

(9) 
$$c_1^{-1}(V(x) + M) \le V(y) + M \le c_1(V(x) + M), \text{ if } |x - y| \le 1.$$

The above assumption implies V is slowly varying in some sense, and uniformly continuous relative to the size of V(x). Note that (9) is essentially equivalent to

$$\left|\partial_x^{\alpha}V(x)\right| \le C_{\alpha}V(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The assumption is satisfied if V is bounded and uniformly continuous.  $V(x) = a \langle x \rangle^{\mu}$  with  $a, \mu > 0$ , also satisfies the assumption.

The following theorem and corollaries are due to [17], while the assumption of  $\varphi$  is relaxed silightly.

THEOREM 3.2. Suppose Assumptions A and  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  satisfies (3), (4) and  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \varphi(\cdot + n) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, for any  $\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$||P_h^*(H_h - \mu)^{-1}P_h - (H - \mu)^{-1}||_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \to 0 \quad as \ h \to 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  denotes the Banach space of the operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ 



Combining this with the argument of Theorem VIII.23 (b) in [21], we obtain

COROLLARY 3.3. Under the same assumption as Theorem 3.2, let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , a < b, be not in  $\sigma(H)$ . Then  $a, b \notin \sigma(H_h)$  for sufficiently small h > 0 and

$$\|P_h^* E_{H_h}((a,b)) P_h - E_H((a,b))\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \to 0 \quad as \ h \to 0,$$

where  $\sigma(A)$  denotes the spectrum of a self-adjoint operator A, and  $E_A(\Omega)$  denotes the spectral projection for  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

COROLLARY 3.4. Suppose Assumptions A. Then for  $M > -\inf \sigma(H)$ ,

$$d_H(\sigma((H_h + M)^{-1}), \sigma((H + M)^{-1})) \to 0 \quad as \ h \to 0,$$

where

$$d_H(X,Y) = \max\left\{\sup_{x\in X} d(x,Y), \sup_{y\in Y} d(y,X)\right\}$$

is the Hausdorff distance between sets  $X, Y \subset \mathbb{C}$ .

For the proof of Theorem 3.2, we need in addition to the argument in Proposition 3.1 the norm convergence of potentials

$$(V - \mu)^{-1} P_h - P_h (V - \mu)^{-1} \to 0, \quad h \to 0$$

and relative boundedness

$$H_0(H-\mu)^{-1}, \ V(H-\mu)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}),$$
  

$$\sup_{h \in (0,1]} \|H_{0,h}(H_h-\mu)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_h)} < \infty,$$
  

$$\sup_{h \in (0,1]} \|V(H_h-\mu)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_h)} < \infty.$$

## 4. Continuum limit of $H'_h$ and comparison to $H_h$

The last section aims to connect discrete Schrödinger operators  $H_h$  on  $h\mathbb{Z}^d$  and quantum graph Hamiltonians  $H'_h$  through the continuum limit. First we introduce a set of operators  $\mathcal{H}_h \to \mathcal{H}'_h$  and  $\mathcal{H}'_h \to \mathcal{H}_h$ . Then we prove the norm resolvent convergence for the pair of  $H_h$  and  $H'_h$ .

Let  $I: \mathcal{H}_h \to \mathcal{H}'_h, \varphi = (\varphi_j) \mapsto I\varphi = (\varphi_{jn})$ , be the linear interpolation, i.e.

$$\varphi_{jn}(x(t)) = (1-t)\varphi_j + t\varphi_n, \quad t \in [0,1],$$

where x(t) = (1-t)j + tn. Since  $3^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_h} \leq \|I\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}'_h} \leq \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_h}$ ,

Ran 
$$I \subset \mathcal{H}'_h$$

is a closed subspace and there is a bounded inverse of I:

$$J = I^{-1} : \operatorname{Ran} I \to \mathcal{H}_h.$$

Let  $P: \mathcal{H}'_h \to \operatorname{Ran} I$  be the orthogonal projection. Then, we use the operators

$$I: \mathcal{H}_h \to \mathcal{H}'_h,$$
$$JP: \mathcal{H}'_h \to \mathcal{H}_h$$

to identify  $\mathcal{H}'_h$  with  $\mathcal{H}_h$ . The following is the main result of this section.

THEOREM 4.1 (Exner-Nakamura-T., in preparation). Assume that

- (1) V is bounded from below.
- (2) V is slowly varying, i.e.

$$\sup_{|x-y|<1}\frac{V(x)-M}{V(y)-M}<\infty,$$

where  $M := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} V(x) - 1$ . Then, for any  $\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \| (\frac{1}{d}H_h - \mu)^{-1} - JP(H'_h - \mu)^{-1}I \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_h)} \to 0, \\ \| (H'_h - \mu)^{-1} - I(\frac{1}{d}H_h - \mu)^{-1}JP \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}'_h)} \to 0. \end{split}$$



- REMARK 4.1. (1) The coefficient  $\frac{1}{d}$  comes from the degree 2d of each vertex, the number of edges incident to the vertex.
- (2) Compared to Theorem 3.2, the continuity condition of  $(V(x) M)^{-1}$  is removed, since we need only  $H_h$ -boundedness of  $H_{0,h}$  with uniform bound in h.
- (3) We also obtain the asymptotics of spectral projection and spectra, i.e.

$$||E_{H_h}((a,b)) - JPE_{H'_h}((a,b))I||_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \to 0, d_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\sigma((H_h - M)^{-1}), \sigma((H'_h - M)^{-1})\right) \to 0,$$

if  $a, b \notin \sigma(H_h) \cup \sigma(H'_h)$  for sufficiently small h.

Combining the above theorem with Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following continuum limit.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}'_h & \xrightarrow{(dH'_h - \mu)^{-1}} & \mathcal{H}'_h \\ I & & & \downarrow JP \\ \mathcal{H}_h & \xrightarrow{(H_h - \mu)^{-1}} & \mathcal{H}_h \\ \mathcal{P}_h & & & \downarrow P_h^* \\ \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{(H - \mu)^{-1}} & \mathcal{H} \end{array}$$

The idea of proof is analogous to [10], which uses the boundiary condition of quantum graph Hamiltonians.

We set the trace operator  $K: H^1(\mathcal{L}) \to \mathcal{H}_h$  by

$$K:\varphi = (\varphi_{jn}) \mapsto (K\varphi)_j = \varphi_{jn}(j)$$

We will show the explicit formula for  $K(H'_h - k^2)^{-1}I$ . For  $\varphi = (\varphi_j) \in \mathcal{H}_h$ , we consider the equation

$$(H'_h - k^2)\psi = I\varphi, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{D}(H'_h).$$

Then for each jn

$$-\psi_{jn}''-k^2\psi_{jn}=\varphi_{jn}$$
 on  $\mathcal{L}_{jn}$ ,

where

$$\varphi_{jn}(x) = (1 - \frac{x}{h})\varphi_j + \frac{x}{h}\varphi_n = \varphi_j + \frac{x}{h}(\varphi_n - \varphi_j), \quad x \in [0, h] \cong \mathcal{L}_{jn}.$$

Adding the boundary condition  $\psi_{jn}(0) = \psi_j$  and  $\psi_{jn}(h) = \psi_n$ , we solve the equation and we have

$$\begin{split} \psi_{jn}(x) = & \frac{\sin(kx)}{\sin(kh)} \psi_n + \frac{\sin(k(h-x))}{\sin(kh)} \psi_j \\ &+ \frac{1}{k^2} \left(\frac{\sin(kx)}{\sin(kh)} - \frac{x}{h}\right) \varphi_n + \frac{1}{k^2} \left(\frac{\sin(k(h-x))}{\sin(kh)} - 1 + \frac{x}{h}\right) \varphi_j. \end{split}$$

In particular,

$$\psi'_{jn}(j) = \psi'_{jn}(0)$$

$$= \frac{k}{\sin(kh)}(\psi_n - \psi_j) + \frac{k(1 - \cos(kh))}{\sin(kh)}\psi_j$$

$$+ \frac{1}{k^2}(\frac{k}{\sin(kh)} - \frac{1}{h})(\varphi_n - \varphi_j) + \frac{1 - \cos(kh)}{k\sin(kh)}\varphi_j$$

Substituting this to the boundary condition

$$\sum_{|j-n|=h} \psi'_{jn}(j) = hV_j\psi_j,$$

we have for any j,

$$-\frac{1}{h^2}\sum_{|n-j|=h}(\psi_n-\psi_j)+\frac{\sin(kh)}{kh}V_j\psi_j-k^2d\frac{1-\cos(kh)}{(kh)^2/2}\psi_j$$
$$=-\frac{\sin(kh)-kh}{(kh)^3}\sum_{|n-j|=h}(\varphi_n-\varphi_j)+d\frac{1-\cos(kh)}{(kh)^2/2}\varphi_j.$$

Let

$$(M_1\psi)_j = d^{-1}\left(\frac{\sin(kh)}{kh} - 1\right)V_j\psi_j - k^2\left(\frac{1 - \cos(kh)}{(kh)^2/2} - 1\right)\psi_j,$$
  
$$(M_2\varphi)_j = -d^{-1}\frac{\sin(kh) - kh}{(kh)^3}\sum_{|n-j|=h}(\varphi_n - \varphi_j) + \left(\frac{1 - \cos(kh)}{(kh)^2/2} - 1\right)\varphi_j.$$

Then we have

$$(H_h - k^2 + M_1)K\psi = (1 + M_2)\varphi.$$

Taking into account the Taylor series expansion of  $\frac{\sin(kh)}{kh}$ ,  $\frac{1-\cos(kh)}{(kh)^2/2}$  and  $\frac{\sin(kh)-kh}{(kh)^3}$  (all of them are  $1 + O(h^2)$ ), we see that

$$(H_h - k^2)^{-1}M_m = O(h^2), \quad m = 1, 2.$$

Thus we have

$$K(H'_h - k^2)^{-1}I = (H_h - k^2 + M_1)^{-1}(1 + M_2),$$

which implies

$$K(H'_h - k^2)^{-1}I - (H_h - k^2)^{-1} = O(h^2).$$

## CONTINUUM LIMITS OF DISCRETE SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS ON SQUARE LATTICES

Using the fact that  $||K - JP||_{\mathcal{B}(H^1(\mathcal{L}),\mathcal{H}_h)} \leq Ch$ , we obtain

$$JP(H'_h - k^2)^{-1}I - (H_h - k^2)^{-1} = O(h)$$

### References

- K. Ando, H. Isozaki, H. Morioka: Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators on perturbed lattices. Ann. Henri Poincaré 17 (2016), 2103–2171.
- D. Bambusi, T. Penati: Continuous approximation of breathers in one- and two-dimensional DNLS lattices. Nonlinearity 23 (2010), 143–157.
- [3] S. Bögli: Local convergence of spectra and pseudospectra. J. Spectr. Theory 8 (2018), no. 3, 1051–1098.
- [4] S. Bögli, P. Siegl, C. Tretter: Approximations of spectra of Schrödinger operators with complex potentials on R<sup>d</sup>. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 42 (2017), no. 7, 1001–1041.
- [5] L. Boulton, N. Boussaïd, M. Lewin: Generalised Weyl theorems and spectral pollution in the Galerkin method. J. Spectr. Theory 2 (2012), no. 4, 329–354.
- [6] A. Boutet de Monvel, J. Sahbani: On the spectral properties of discrete Schrödinger operators: (The multi-dimensional case). Rev. Math. Phys. 11 (1999), 1061–1078.
- [7] H. Cornean, H. Garde, A. Jensen: Norm resolvent convergence of discretized Fourier multipliers. arXiv:2010.16215.
- [8] I. Daubechies: Ten Lectures on Wavelets, SIAM 1992.
- [9] P. Exner: A duality between Schrödinger operators on graphs and certain Jacobi matrices. Annales de l' I. H. P., section A, tome 66, no. 4 (1997), 359–371.
- [10] P. Exner, P. Hejčík, P. Šeba: Approximations by graphs and emergence of global structures. Reports on Mathematical Physics, 57, No. 3 (2006), 445–455.
- [11] P. Exner, O. Post: A general approximation of quantum graph vertex couplings by scaled Schrödinger operators on thin branched manifolds. Comm. Math. Phys. **322** (2013), no. 1, 207–227.
- [12] Y. Hong, C. Yang: Strong convergence for discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations in the continuum limit. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 51 (2019), no. 2, 1297–1320.
- [13] H. Isozaki, A. Jensen: Continuum limit for lattice Schrödinger operators. arXiv:2006.00854.
- [14] H. Isozaki, I. Korotyaev: Inverse Problems, Trace formulae for discrete Schorödinger operators. Ann. Henri Poincaré 13 (2012), 751–788.
- [15] M. Lewin, É. Séré: Spectral pollution and how to avoid it (with applications to Dirac and periodic Schrödinger operators). Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 100 (2010), no. 3, 864–900.
- [16] S. Nakamura: Modified wave operators for discrete Schrödinger operators with long-range perturbations. J. Math. Phys. 55 (2014), 112101 (8 pages).
- [17] S. Nakamura, Y. Tadano: On a continuum limit of discrete Schrödinger operators on square lattices. Journal of Spectral Theory, 11(1), 355-367, 2021.
- [18] A. V. Oppenheim, R. W. Schafer, J. R. Buck: Discrete-Time Signal Processing (2nd Edition), Prentice-Hall, 1998.
- [19] D. Parra, S. Richard: Spectral and scattering theory for Schrödinger operators on perturbed topological crystals. Rev. Math. Phys. 30 (2018), 1850009-1 – 1850009-39.
- [20] V. Rabinovich: Wiener algebra of operators on the lattice  $(\mu \mathbb{Z})^n$  depending on the small parameter  $\mu > 0$ . Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations **58** (2013), no. 6, 751–766.
- [21] M. Reed, B. Simon: The Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Volume I, Functional Analysis, Academic Press, 1979.
- [22] M. Reed, B. Simon: The Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Volume II, Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness, Academic Press, 1975.
- [23] M. Strauss: The Galerkin method for perturbed self-adjoint operators and applications. J. Spectr. Theory 4 (2014), no. 1, 113–151.
- [24] M. Strauss: A new approach to spectral approximation. J. Funct. Anal. 267 (2014), no. 8, 3084–3103.