

Hsihsia, Tosu and Lolo-Burmese Languages

Tatsuo NISHIDA

We have so far treated the Hsihsia language as one rather isolated from Lolo-Burmese proper, of which Burmese and the Lolo languages are representative. However, some of its word forms have turned out to be fairly closely paralleled by the Tosu cognate forms. This may be considered due to the fact that Hsihsia and Tosu preserve the earlier linguistic strata well¹.

As one of the most striking features of these languages we may refer to the correspondence of Hsihsia/Tosu -i with the reconstructed TB * -a.

1. Hsihsia -i, -i : Tosu -i : WrB -a : Akha -a : Nyi-Lolo -a : TB -a

	Hsihsia	Tosu	WrB	Akha	Nyi	TB
'flesh'	tʃhi (L10)	ˀshi	a-saa ²	ʃà-dʒi	xa 11	*sha
'child'	rih (L11)	ˀtʃhi.na.	saa ²	zà	za 11	*sra-
'food'	ˀdzifi (R10)	ˀdzi'liu.	a-caa	dzà-fuu	dza 11	*dza- 'to eat'

'salt' tʃhì (R28)-ˀu : ˀtʃhi : chaa² : sà-dy : tsha 11 : *tshwa < tsha-ba

As the Tibetan cognates WrT *sha* 'flesh', *tshwa* < tsha-ba 'salt', *sras* 'child', *za-s* 'food', *za-ba* 'to eat' typically shows, the reflexes of this correspondence set are -a in most TB languages. Thus it is a rather peculiar feature of Hsihsia and Tosu that their reflexes are high vowels -i and/or -i. Even if we leave aside the question of whether the shift -a to -i could be assumed to have taken place in these forms, we may still say that they have at least this characteristic feature in common. Nevertheless, the forms with -i corresponding to -a in other TB languages do not always agree between each other. Hsihsia shows this peculiar correspondence in a wider range of the words. Even many Hsihsia forms that fit in the following correspondence set exhibit the i-vocalism.

2. Hsihsia -i, -i : Tosu -a : WrB -aa : Akha -a : Nyi-Lolo -a : TB *-a

3. -a, -a : -a : -aa : -a : -a : *-a

	Hsihsia	Tosu	WrB	Akha	Nyi	TB
2. 'thin'	mbifi (L11)	ˀpaa	paa ² -	jo-bà	ba 11	*ba, *phra-

Tatsuo NISHIDA (西田龍雄): Professor of Linguistics, Faculty of Letters, Kyoto University.

1. For the reconstruction of the Hsihsia language, see T. Nishida 1964-66, 1967 and 1969; Sofronov 1968; Kychanov 1969; Grinstead 1972. Only a limited number of the *Fan-ch'ie* was available to me at the time of writing 1964-66, the revision of which appeared in T. Nishida 1967. My recent view has been presented in my review of *More pis'men* by Kychanov, et al. 1969. The lineage of this language has been considered in T. Nishida 1973. Chap. 5, Sec. 3. For the most part, this paper is a translation of that section. L and R indicate the respective Level and Rising tones. The number given after them shows the rhyme number. Hsihsia had 97 rhymes for Level tone and 86 for Rising.

'negation'	mifh (L11)	: ˀma.	: ma ³	: mà	: ma 11	: *ma
'to get'	rir (L79)	: ˀwa.da.	: ra ³	: ?	: ɣa 33	: *gra-, *dra
'nose'	nfi (R12)	: -ya.ˀku	: hnaa-	: nà-mé:	na 44	: *s-na -bi 33
'hundred'	yir (R72)	: ˀya.	: raa<ryaa:	ti-já	: ha 33	: *br-gya
'moon'	hli (?)	: ˀlan ˀma	: la ³	: bà-la	: hla 44	: *zla
'ear'	niufh (R3)	: -ya.ˀyi.	: naa ² -	: nà-bó:	na 55	: *r-na -po 44
3. 'to come'	lhafi (L20)	: ˀla.	: laa-	: lá-fiw:	(li 33)	: *la-
'bitter'	khafi (L17)	: ˀkhaa	: khaa-	: jo-xà	: qha 11	: *qha-
'I'	hɲafi (R14)	: ˀɲa.	: ngaa	: ɲá	: ɲa 33	: *nga
'father'	pafi (L20)	: ˀaˀpaa	: a-pha	: ˀa-dá	: i 44	: *da~*pha -pi (L30) -ba 11

In that all the Tosu forms belonging to this correspondence set have -a, not -i, it is closer to written Burmese and Nyi-Lolo than to Hsihsia and Moso, in which the cognate forms under this correspondence set are divided into two different types of finals or rhymes: i- and a-vocalisms². However, supposing that Hsihsia forms indicating the finer distinction of vowels are considered to preserve an aspect of a stage earlier than Proto-Lolo-Burmese, we will be compelled to admit that a wide range of merger occurred among the Lolo-Burmese and Tibeto-Burman languages at a very early stage. Thus, on the basis of the above examples, we may set up the following correspondences:

Hsihsia

L10=R9	L11=R10	L14=R12	} : LB *-a
-i	-ifh	-ifh	
L30=R28	L79=R72	L3=R3 ³	
-i	-ir	-iufh	
L17=R14	L20=R17		
-ah	-ah		

There is a sufficient possibility that some other types of Hsihsia vowels may turn out to correspond to LB *-a. We must emphasize that the comparative study of Hsihsia and LB proper will have an important bearing on Lolo-Burmese linguistics in the near future. The probability is very strong that the reconstructed TB *-a is the result of merger of some of the earlier distinctive vowels that are kept separate in Hsihsia. It is also likely that some of the Tosu and Moso forms would be of great use to corroborate a merger of this kind.

In addition to its correspondence with TB/LB *-a, Tosu -i is found to

2. In this respect Moso also resembles Hsihsia and Tosu. Thus while the finals of kha 41 'bitter', ɲa 21 'I', mpa 51 'father', ma 33 'negation' are reflected as -a, those of tshe 51 'salt', zy 55 'child', ntsi 33 'to eat', ɲi 55 'nose', ɕi 33 'hundred', he 33 'moon' as a narrower vowel. Moso forms are cited from Fu Mao-chi 1943, but his notation is simplified.

3. Cf. p. 6 and p. 11 of this paper.

correspond to LB *-i, *-iy in many examples, while Hsihsia exhibits varied reflexes.

4. Tosu -i : WrB -ii, -ei : Hsihsia -eñ, -u : WrT -i, -e,

	-ö		-i, -i̇		-u
	Tosu	WrB	Akha	Hsihsia	WrT
'near'	-fia-'ni	nii ² -sañ	x	neñ (L36)	nye
'red'	-ñi 'gold'	nii-sañ	jo-né	neñ (L36)	x
'to know'	(a)-'sö	si ³ -sañ	ši-ñá-fuu	señ (R33)	shes-pa
'fire'	'mi.	mii ²	mì dzà	məN (L31)	me
'water'	-wi	riy>rei	'i-tšù	ʒɹ̩r (R85)	chu
'wind'	'mō-'li	liy>lei	džà-lé	liñ (L29)	rlu-ng
'heavy'	'lii	liy ² <lei ²	x	l'wɪN (L32)	lci

In a few cases, however, LB *-iy correlates to Hsihsia -i, -i̇ and Tosu -e, -u, -o.

	Tosu	WrB	Akha	Hsihsia	WrT
'bow'	'le-khö	liy>lei	tša-'ø	hl̩̇ (L69)	gzhu
'foot'	-ku'tu.	khriy>khrei	'a-khú	kḣ̩ (L30)	rkang
'earth'	'mo-	mliy>mrei	mí tshà	ll̩̇ (R61)	gzhi

From these examples we may deduce the following correspondences between Hsihsia and Lolo-Burmese.

Hsihsia		Hsihsia		
L29	-iñ	L36-R33	-eñ	} : LB *-ii
L30	-i	L31	-əN	
L32	-wɪN			} : LB *-iy
L69-R61	-i̇			
R85	-i̇r			

5. LB *-u : corresponds to Tosu -ö, -ön : WrB -o<-u : Akha -œ : Hsihsia -u, -ur -ir : WrT -u.

	Tosu	WrB	Akha	Hsihsia	WrT
'sky'	'mō	mo ² <mw ²	mɹ̩̇	məñ (L27)	—
'bones'	-yö.ku	a-ro ² <a-ru ²	šà jòè	r̩ṙ̩ (L86)	rus-pa
'body'	-kōN	koy<ku	x	kər (L84)	sku

Quite a few written Burmese and Hsihsia forms that fall under this correspondence set can be found⁴.

WrB	Hsihsia
uu ² khyo<khyu	'horn' kh ^w u (L28)
a-pho ² <phw ²	'value, price' pəñ (L27)

4. Besides, the correspondence Hsihsia -iufi (L3/R3) : WrB -o<-u is also certain.

Hsihsia	WrB	Hsihsia	WrB
'such' siufi (R3)	so ³	'to shoot' thiufi (L3)	tho ²
'to store' siufi (R3)	so-	'wave' mbiufi (L3)	tan po ²
'to dye' tshiuifi (L3)	cho ²	'to send' mbiufi (L3)	po ³ -
'smoke' ŋhiufi (L3)	mii ² -kho ²	'according to' mbiufi (L3)	pho ³ -

<i>a-myo</i> ² < <i>myu</i> ²	'a kind'	mɤɦ (R25)
<i>po</i> ² < <i>pu</i> ²	'insect'	mɤɦ (R25)
<i>kho</i> ² -< <i>khui</i> ² -	'to steal'	khɨr (L92)

In the following cognate sets the Tosu forms have final -i, which may be in complementation with the above Tosu finals -ö, -ön. The split of the two might have been due to the nature of their initials.

	Tosu	WrB	Akha	Hsihsia
'finger'	ʼlo. ʼpi	<i>lak-ño</i> ² < <i>ñu</i> ²	là ñóé	: nɤr (R76)
'blue'	ʼmō ʼni	<i>ño</i> ² - <i>sañ</i> ⁵ < <i>ñu</i> ²	jo-ñóé	'green' : ŋɤr (R76)
'soft'	ʼpi ʼmo	<i>hño</i> ² - <i>sañ</i> ⁵ < <i>hñu</i> ²	ñòé-hu	'to wither'

In the following two cognate sets, the Tosu forms are not sure to fit in and may probably be exceptional.

	Tosu	WrB	Akha	Hsihsia
'smoke'	ʼmai.kha.	<i>mii</i> ² - <i>kho</i> ² < <i>khui</i> ²	ù-xòé	mɤN (L31)-ɣiufɨ (L3)
'nine'	-hai	<i>ko</i> ² < <i>ku</i> ²	gòé	ŋɤN (L32)

'Smoke' is expressed in Burmese as *mii*²-*kho*² 'fire-smoke'⁶. Similarly, *mii*² 'fire' occurs as the first constituent in the compounds *mii*² *pho* 'fireplace' and *mii*² *hrui*² 'torch'. The corresponding Hsihsia words have a similar composition, but their first element is not always the word for 'fire'.

	WrB	Hsihsia
'smoke'	<i>mii</i> ² - <i>kho</i> ²	mɤN (L31)-ɣiufɨ (L3)
'torch'	<i>mii</i> ² <i>hrui</i> ²	mɤN (L31)-ɤziur (R70)
'fireplace'	<i>mii</i> ² <i>pho</i>	pɨufɨ (R3)-'yɨ'o (R50)

In the last example Hsihsia pɨufɨ (R3) signifies 'to burn', corresponding to WrB *prut* 'to boil (trans.)'.

As we will see in these examples, there are many other Hsihsia compounds whose construction is extremely similar to their Burmese equivalents. To give some typical examples:

	Hsihsia	WrB
'to burn'	mɤN (L31)-lu (R1)	<i>mii</i> ² <i>long-sañ</i>
'to be fat'	tshu (L1)-naɦ (L17)	<i>chui wa-sañ</i>
'to dye'	tsɤ (L68)-tshɨufɨ (L3)	<i>chei</i> ² <i>cho</i> ² - <i>sañ</i>
'lion'	kafɨ (R14)-tšɛɦ (R25)	<i>khlang-sei</i> ³
'flea'	kh ^w i (L30)-luɦ (R25)	<i>khwei</i> ² <i>hlei</i> ²
'belly'	ɣɔɦ (L49)-maɦ (L20)	<i>wam</i> ² <i>phok</i>

Together with the comparison of the phonemic forms of their cognates, the survey of the distribution of some particular word forms in Hsihsia and Tosu may help clarify their common character. Take for instance Tosu ʼmai.tho.

5. 'to be dark in colour; between blue and black; to be of a deep-blue or green' Judson (*Bur.-Eng. Dct.*; 440).

6. Mien-Tien A has [ʼa-khou]; cf. T. Nishida (1972: 83).

'knife'. The second morpheme *-tho*, clearly corresponds to WrB *thaa*² and WrT *sta-ri*, but the first morpheme *\mai* have its counterpart in neither WrB nor WrT. We find the cognate forms of this element to be distributed among the Lolo-Burmese languages as in the following:

Tosu	\mai -tho.	Lahu Na	a-tho
Nyi-Lolo	mi 55 tha 11	Lisu	a-thaa
Ahi-Lolo	mi ¹ tho ⁴	WrB	<i>thaa</i> ²
Akha	mì tšhé	Maru	sheng
Bisu	hmja-thaŋ	Lashi	shám
Lahu-Shi	mi-te	WrT	(Hon.) <i>phyag shan</i>
Hsihsia	mbir (L86)	Moso	žo 51 'sword', žo 51-the 55 'knife'

The form corresponding to *mai-* is already lost among the languages in the rightside column, while it is still retained among those in the leftside column. It is observed that Hsihsia, like Tosu, preserves the element derived from **mai-*. Including this form, then, we may suppose that there were four distinct TB forms for 'knife', corresponding to *mai* (mi)-, *thaa*, *sham* (zhan) and WrT *gri*, of which *thaa* and *sham* may be cognate to Chinese 刀 *tâu* < *tog* and 鋸 *sjäm* < *srjäm* 'sharp', respectively⁷.

The forms for 'white' and 'snake' have one and the same initial in both Tosu and Hsihsia, but this is not the case with the other Lolo-Burmese languages.

	Tosu	Hsihsia	WrB	Moso
'white'	\wai 'co.	phion (L55)	phluu > <i>phruu</i>	pho
'snake'	\wo 'pha.	phiow (R43)	mluy > <i>mrwei</i> ⁸	zhi

From the examples given so far, it is seen that Tosu *w-* was derived from many originally distinct initials, such as *r-* and *ph-*. I give several other examples here to show its sources:

	Tosu	Hsihsia	WrB	WrT
'rain'	\wa -ju	vir (R71)	<i>rwa</i> < <i>rʷɔh</i>	× <i>char</i>
'rat'	\wu	vi (L10)	<i>pwei</i> ² < <i>puy</i> ²	<i>byi-ba</i> , <i>byu</i>
'below'	\wa.	wi (R9)	ʷk	<i>hɔg</i>
'head'	\wu -ju	ɰh (L4)	ʷu ²	<i>dbu</i> (Hon.), <i>ngo</i>
'wine'	\wu	ɰh (R42)	<i>a-rañ</i>	× <i>chang</i> ⁹
'silver'	\wu	ŋɔh (R42)	<i>ngwei</i> < <i>nguy</i>	<i>ngul</i> .

7. Maru-Lashi *sham* corresponds to WrT *shan*, but these may be in their turn cognate to WrB *khywan* 'sharp'.

8. For WrT stop: WrB nasal as seen in the example of 'snake' WrT *sbrul*: WrB *mrwei* < *mluy* we have the following parallel examples:

	WrT	WrB	WrT	WrB
'border'	<i>mthaa</i>	<i>a-naa</i> ²	'body-hair' <i>spu</i>	<i>a-mwei</i> ² < <i>muy</i> ²
'king'	<i>dbang-po</i>	<i>mang</i> ²	'incense' <i>spos</i>	<i>a-hmwei</i> < <i>hmuy</i>
'with'	<i>dang</i>	<i>hnang</i> ³	'monkey' <i>sprehu</i>	<i>myok</i>

cf. Sedláček, 1962.

9. I compare this form WrT *chang* with WrB *se* < *siy*.

Though WrT *nyan-thos* 'Śrāvaka (聲聞)' became *-nan-tho* in Tosu, it tended to change BL *n- to y-.

	Tosu	Hsihsia	WrB	WrT
'ear'	-ya. -yi.	nīufi (L3)	naa ²	rna-ba
'nose'	-ya. -ku	nīfi (R12)	hmaa	sna

Hsihsia *nīufi* may be analyzable as composed of two morphemes *ni - ufi*, but Tosu form *-ya.-* is unique in the Lolo-Burmese languages. The following Tosu forms also have the initial y-¹⁰.

	Tosu	Hsihsia	WrB	Nyi	Moso
'low'	-ya. 'mo	nīēn (L42)	nim ³	næ 44	hy 31
'house'	-ya.	'yīēn (R37)	'im	hæ 33	çi 55
'hundred'	-ya.	'yir (R72)	raa<ryaa	ha 33	çi 33

Taken as a whole, it may appear that the similar features of Tosu and Hsihsia are not very conspicuous. However, let us further consider their similarities with regard to the characteristic features of Hsihsia.

First of all, I would like to emphasize the fact that the Hsihsia language has, in general, forms much closer to their LB cognates (especially Burmese cognates), than to their Tibetan cognates. This may be most typically represented by the cognate forms for 'dog' and 'leg'.

	WrT	WrB	Hsihsia	Tosu
'dog'	<i>khyi</i>	<i>khwei</i> ² < <i>khuy</i>	kh ^w i (L30)	-khōn
'leg'	<i>rkang-pa</i>	<i>khrei</i> < <i>khriy</i>	khi (L30)	-ku 'tu. ¹¹

The Hsihsia rhymes -^wi 'dog' and -i 'leg' both belong to level tone 30, but they are distinguished clearly in their *Fan-ch'ieh* (反切) spellings as the *Ho-kou* (合口) and the *K'ai-kou* (開口). This distinction must be kept whatever method of representation we may adopt for these categories. The correspondences of these distinct finals (Rhymes) with the respective WrB finals are well established. However, we will encounter difficulty when we try to adapt them to the other comparable

10. It is seen that Hsihsia preserves the TB distinction of these initials while they are partially merged in Nyi-Lolo and Moso, but completely merged into y- in Tosu.

TB	WrT	Anc. Bur.	Hsihsia	Nyi-Lolo	Moso	Tosu
*n-	x	n-	n-	n-	h-	} y-
*khy-	<i>khy-</i>	'i-	} y-	} h-	} ç-	
*brgy-	<i>brgy-</i>	ry-				

The examples of 'ear' and 'nose' may be represented as follows;

TB	WrT	Anc. Bur.	Hsihsia	Tosu
*rn-	<i>m-</i>	n-	} n-	} y-
*sn-	<i>sn-</i>	hn-		

The same change from n- to y- also appears in Miri and Apa Tanang of the Abor-Miri group, as shown in 'nose' and 'ear'.

	Miri	Apa Tanang	Tagen	Yano
'nose'	yebung	yapin	cf. nyepōm	nyepōm
'ear'	yerung	yaru	nyeru	nyerung

N. L. Bor, 1938.

11. Tosu_ku 'tu. corresponds to WrB *khrei thok*.

cognate sets, even though the example 'spring' Hsihsia n^wi (R28): WrB *nwei*<nuy shows quite parallel correspondence with 'dog'.

	Hsihsia		WrB
'flute'	liu (L2)	:	<i>palwei</i> <luy
'saliva'	tiŋ (L59)	:	<i>tam twei</i> ² <tuy ²
'blood'	sefi (L36)	:	<i>swei</i> <suy
'silver'	ŋɔfi (L49)	:	<i>ngwei</i> <nguy
'snake'	phiow (R43)	:	<i>mrwei</i> <mluy
'hair'	mwe (R30)	:	<i>mwei</i> ² <muy ²

None of these examples fit into either of the correspondences WrB *-wei*<-uy: Hsihsia *-^wi* or WrB *-ei*<-iy: Hsihsia *-i*, deduced from 'dog' and 'leg' above. Still, taking each of these examples, we feel that each equation is certain. We must then set up so many correspondence sets to explain these equations, and recognize a diversity of sources for WrB *-wei*<-uy.

The following procedure is not a particularly complicated task: to search for the morpheme with a given meaning or the morphemes with some related meanings in each of the languages in question: to examine its phonemic form or forms: and then to establish phonemic correspondences between these languages on the basis of the cognate sets thus recognized. The task is all the simpler, in fact, when the meaning of a morpheme in question refers to the name of an object. In such cases the main problem will be how to recognize a given form in one of the languages as cognate to a form in some other language. Nevertheless, it is another thing whether the correspondences thus recognized could be regarded as a general rule that can be applied to all of the languages of the linguistic group concerned.

It is not a difficult matter to determine the equivalent forms in Hsihsia with so rich a vocabulary at the present stage of our study. Even so, due to the marginal character of Hsihsia, it will take yet considerable time to accomplish the comparative study of this language.

I would divide the procedures for a comparative study of this language into the following four stages. First, determine the reliable Hsihsia cognate forms among the other related languages, especially the Lolo-Burmese languages, so that correspondences between Hsihsia and each of these languages may be established.

For instance,

	Hsihsia	LB	Maru-Lashi	Tosu	WrT
'bridge'	son (L54)	*dzam	dzam	'tσα.	<i>zam-pa</i>
'man (homo)'	ⁿ dzɔfi (R44)	*dzang	×byu	ʃu	× <i>mi</i>
'ten thousands'	khi (R28)	x	×mun	×mō.	<i>khri</i>
'blue'	ngfi (L11)	x	x	ʃni	<i>sngon-po, sngo-ba</i>
'waist'	ⁿ dziow (L45?)	*dzu	*thuəm	?	× <i>rked</i>

As a matter of course, we have to find other examples of sets of correspondences thus recognized. At present, no other examples exactly parallel to 'bridge' above (Hsihsia -ON (L54): LB *-am) have yet been found, but the following show a similar correspondence (Hsihsia -i^wON (L56/R49); LB *-am):

	Hsihsia	LB	WrT
'iron'	šī ^w ON (L56)	*sam	×lcags
'otter'	šī ^w ON (R49)	*p-yam < *p-syam	sram.

Second, we must decide whether the form of a given Hsihsia word is found in the stock of Tibetan, Lolo-Burmese or some other group of languages. Among the examples given above, the form for 'man (homo)' and 'waist' belong to the LB stock while those for 'blue' and 'ten thousands' to the Tibetan stock. Strictly speaking, it is difficult to decide conclusively the affiliation of a given Hsihsia form to one or the other stock. For it is often the case that we cannot say definitely that its cognate forms are found only among the Lolo-Burmese languages, and not among the Tibetan languages.

For instance, Hsihsia tsh_ɥ (L68) 'lungs', WrB *a-chut* and Tosu 'tsö -pu may be taken to have derived from the same proto-form while WrT *glo-ba* obviously from a different one.

To give several other such examples:

	Hsihsia	LB	Tosu	WrT	
'incense'	šia (L19)	*nam-saa	-so.	×spos	LB stock
'hawk'	lq (L63)	*sim ²	-khö	<i>khra, glag</i>	Tibetan stock
'horse'	riç (L74)	*mrang ²	-mo.	<i>rta</i>	LB stock
'to come'	lafñ (L20)	*laa	-la.	×ong-ba	LB stock
'to get'	rir (L79)	*ra ³	-wa.da.	<i>thob</i>	LB stock
	rir (R72)				

Such a procedure is also intended for investigating the distribution of the later changed forms of a given morpheme among the Tibeto-Burman languages.

According, this constitutes the third task. Take the Hsihsia forms for 'to give' for example. There are two morphemes in Hsihsia for it: khī^wON (L56) and khien (L42), which may be used in combination as khī^wON-khien. The only cognate forms that we may refer to at present are Tosu 'khö and Lisu güh-'ah. In most other TB languages we find the forms *biy- and *khio- for 'to give':

TB	WrT	WrB
*biy-	<i>sbyin-</i>	<i>pei²- < piy²-</i>
	Hsihsia	Tosu
*khio-	khī ^w ON	'khö.
	khien	güh-'ah

There were three different TB forms *gu-, *wat-, *dum- for 'to put on'.

TB	WrT	WrB	Nyi	Lisu	Akha	Tosu	Hsihsia
*gu-	<i>gon-pa</i>	x	qhu 44	gu-'ah	x	x	x

*wat-	x	wat	vi 2	x	x	wai-	vɛ (R54)
*dum-	x	x	dɤ 2	x	dɪn	x	x

Besides, the distribution of the forms for 'to put up (=to spread) an umbrella'; 'to put on (a bamboo hat)' and 'umbrella; bamboo hat' is found as follows:

	WrB	WrT
'umbrella'	thii ²	gdugs
'to put up; to put on'	chɔng ² -sañ	gdugs hɪbubs-pa

We may suppose that the noun *a-chɔng² derived from WrB *chɔng²-sañ* existed, to which the Chinese 傘 *sang 'umbrella' corresponds. Bisu tšɔng-nge 'to put on a bamboo hat' is also cognate to WrB *chɔng²-sañ*. Hsihsia has the following three distinct forms:

tšie (L34)	(noun)	'umbrella'
tšie (R31)	(verb)	'to put on a bamboo hat'
tšhíow (R43)	(verb)	'to put up an umbrella'

WrB *chɔng²* signifies 'autumn' as well, to which Hsihsia tshɛ (L68) can be equated. But the correspondence between these two cognate forms does not agree with the one set up between those for 'bamboo hat'¹².

The Hsihsia, WrB and Tosu cognate forms for 'spring' and 'autumn' parallel each other well.

	Hsihsia	WrB	Tosu
'spring'	n ^w i (R28)	nwei	'ñu.
'autumn'	tshɛ (L68)	chɔng ²	.tšhu

As the following examples show, WrB *-ɔng* corresponds to Hsihsia -u, -ɹ, -in.

	Hsihsia	WrB
'to bend a bow'	thu (L1)	thɔng-sañ
'thousand'	tɹ (L58)	thɔng
'to hide'	lu ₂ (R1)	hlɔng-sañ
'harp'	siN (R29)	cɔng ²

cf. also 'to burn' above.

Similarly, WrB *-ɔk* corresponds to Hsihsia -ɹ.

	Hsihsia	WrB
'backside'	kɹ (L58)	kɹɔk
'stone'	lɹ (L58)	kɹɔk < klɔk

Following, I gave some other correspondences between Hsihsia and Written Burmese.

Hsihsia -ɔN, -ɔh, -ɔ, -ɔr correspond to WrB *-um*.

	Hsihsia	WrB
'to use'	šɔh (L48)	sum ² -sañ
'warm'	lɔN (R47)	lum-sañ

12. In parallel with this we have Hsihsia -ow: WrB *-ɔng* for Hsihsia low (L53): WrB *lɔng²-sañ* 'to bet'.

'round'	lɔN (R47)	<i>lum²-sañ</i>
'to collect'	tʃɔN (L55)	<i>cum-sañ</i>
'three'	sɔ (L70)	<i>sum²</i>
'mortar'	tsɔR (L91)	<i>chum</i>

Hsihsia -ɛN, -iɛN correspond to WrB *-im, -ip*.

	Hsihsia	WrB
'cloud'	nɛN (R55)	<i>im</i>
'house'	ˈyɛN (R37)	<i>im</i>
'bag'	ˈyɛN (R37)	<i>it</i>
'low'	ndiɛN (L42)	<i>nim³-sañ</i>

Hsihsia -i corresponds to WrB *-it, -ip*.

	Hsihsia	WrB
'goat'	tʃi (L30)	<i>chit</i>
'to sleep'	ˈi (R28)	<i>ip</i>
cf. 'shadow'	rɛR (R76)	<i>rip</i>
'to shut'	phe (L37)	<i>pit-sañ</i> .

The last two examples are not certain since there is a possibility that we may give a different Hsihsia cognate for each.

In the foregoing I have shown the examples for WrB *-ɔng*: Hsihsia *-u*, but Hsihsia *-u* is found in more examples to correspond to WrB *-u*.

	Hsihsia	WrB
'thick'	tu (L1)	<i>thuu-sañ</i>
'fat' (adj.)	tʃu (L1)	<i>chuu</i>
'soft'	nu (L1)	<i>nuu</i>
'similar'	ndu (R1)	<i>tuu-sañ</i>
'shape'	su (R1)	<i>chuu</i>
'chopstick'	ndu (R1)	<i>tuu</i>
'intestines'	vɿu (R2)	<i>uu</i>
'head'	ɣuɸi (L4)	<i>uu²</i>
'hammer'	tɿuɸi (R3)	<i>tuu</i>
'to help'	ˈu (R51)	<i>kuu-ñii-sañ</i> ¹³
cf. 'egg'	təw (L43)	<i>u³</i> ¹⁴
'year'	kɿɔw (L45)	<i>khu³</i>

To Hsihsia *-ɿu* (R2), *-ɿuɸi* (L3) corresponds WrB *-aa*.

13. We have the following examples for the correspondence Hsihsia *-(zero)*: WrB *k-*:

'saddle'	Hsihsia ˈi (R60)	WrB <i>ka³</i>	WrT <i>sga</i>
'hen'	ˈyar (R75)	<i>krak</i>	
'eight'	ˈyar (L82)	<i>hrac</i>	WrT <i>brgyad</i>
'big'	lɛ (R54)	<i>krii²-</i>	
'to look'	leɸi (R33)	<i>krañ³-</i>	
'stone'	lɿ (L58)	<i>kyok < klɔk</i> .	

14. This Hsihsia form corresponds to TB **thul* (cf. Tiddim Chin *ˈtuui*, Lushai *tui*); cf. Benedict TB **twiy* (1972: 45).

	Hsihsia	WrB
'fish'	ńźiu (R2)	<i>ngaa</i> ²
'flesh'	śiu (R2)	<i>a-saa</i> ²
'to seek'	·yiu (R2)	<i>hraa-saň</i>
'ear'	hnjufi (L3)	<i>naa</i> ²

Side by side with śiu (R2) above, there is another form tšhi (L10) for 'flesh'. The latter form raises the important question of whether it is analyzable into two morphemes: śi·u, the second element of which might have functioned as a 'diminutive' suffix. If so, then might it be possible to consider the forms for 'fish' and 'ear' equally constructed as ńźi·u and ni·ufi? A similar question arises in the case of Hsihsia kĩaň (L21): WrT *ka-ba* 'pillar'. There is a possibility that the correspondence Hsihsia -ĩaň: WrT *-a* may be justifiable. But it is also possible to assume that, since the correspondence Hsihsia -i: TB *-a is a fundamental one between Hsihsia and TB, it will enable us to analyze the Hsihsia form as ki·aň, and that Hsihsia might have had a suffix corresponding to Tibetan -ba, -ma at an earlier time but later fused it with the stem. Such a position will contribute, however partially, to reduce the number of Hsihsia rhymes from the standpoint of the comparative linguistics. But since this question involves discussion of the nature of morphemes to be treated as suffixes, I shall leave it for future investigation.

Fourth, our consideration will center upon the parallelism that obtains among the divisions of semantic fields. For instance, the semantic fields of 'black', 'deep' and 'night' share the common part 'black'. These semantic fields are divided in WrB, Hsihsia and WrT as follows:

	WrB	Hsihsia	WrT
'black'	<i>nak-</i>	hnĩaň (L21)	<i>nag-po</i>
'deep'	<i>nak-</i>	naň (L17)	<i>zab</i>
'night'	<i>ńa³-ńaň³</i>	hnaň (L17)	<i>mtshan</i>

In addition to *ńa³, ńaň³*, WrB retains *nak* in compounds such as *nak-phran* 'tomorrow', where *nak* may be taken to stand for 'night', hence the compound as a whole signifying 'to pass the night'. The Hsihsia equivalent of this WrB form is hnaň (L17)-rar (R73), and the Hsihsia character for hnaň is made up of that for 'night' with one semantic element replaced by the one for 'to pass'. In Hsihsia the first morpheme of the compound for 'tomorrow' is the same morpheme with that for 'night' while the second one with that for 'to flow'. Thus the Hsihsia word for 'tomorrow' is expressed as 'the night flows'. Incidentally, WrB *ńa³* corresponds to Hsihsia ńi (R28). Hsihsia -aň (L17/R14), -aň (R17), -ar (L82/R75), -a (R56) correspond to WrB *-ak, -ap*.

	Hsihsia	WrB
'needle'	ɣaň (L17)	<i>·ap</i>
'to weave'	laň (L17)	<i>rak-saň</i>

'pig'	vaf̃ (L17)	wak
'to dip up'	khaf̃ (R17)	khap
cf. 'hen'	·yar (R75)	krak
'to stand'	·yar (L82)	rap-sañ
'leaf'	ph̃ (R56)	phak

As we have seen above, the majority of Hsihsia forms are closely similar to their Lolo-Burmese cognate forms. However, their final forms are by far more finely distinguished one than those in any Tibeto-Burman languages. Thus arises the question of whether we should consider Hsihsia forms to represent a much earlier stage than any other Tibeto-Burman languages. Or was Hsihsia a language where more elements from other languages got mixed in even though the fundamental stratum of the language was from the common Lolo-Burmese? The investigation of this question will be the most significant task for the comparative study of the Tibeto-Burman languages as well as that of the Hsihsia language¹⁵.

15. In a previous paper, I remarked on the lineage of the Hsihsia language as follows: "I am of the opinion that all the ruling tribes of the Hsihsia kingdom such as the Phing Hsia clan of Tangut, the Mi tribe and their royal family that represented the Tho-Pa clan and the Mi-nyak were people of Tibetan origin, and were in the closest relationship with the Ch'iangs. ... On the assumption that there existed a national language of Hsihsia that was based on the language of the Mi but was inclusive of the vocabularies of the languages of the other tribes as well, it is possible to prove that the language was not of the Hsien-Pi (Altaic) but Tibeto-Burman. ... 1. Hsihsia formed the aspect expressions by the affixation of a prefix to the verb stem. For instance, 'a-' was prefixed to the perfect form like 'a-thif̃ 'drunk'—thif̃ 'to drink' and 'a-l̃ 'frightened'—l̃ 'to fear'. Though this kind of prefixation is not found among the Altaic languages, it is found among the Tibeto-Burman. Thus the following derivational process of Ch'iang may be equated to it: de-thi 'drunk'—thi 'to drink, de-qu 'frightened'—qu 'to fear'. 2. The transitive and intransitive forms of some Hsihsia verbs were distinguished by contrast for a vocalic feature (glottalized: non-glottalized).

lu (L)	to get mixed'	thif̃ (L)	'to drink'	mbif̃ (R)	'to below'
l̃ (L)	'to mix'	t̃ (L)	'to give to drink'	mb̃ (L)	'to make below'

It is supposed that this process was not productive in the twelfth century Hsihsia language already, but this feature agrees with that of some Tibeto-Burman languages, esp. Lisu and Lahu.

In the vocabulary of Hsihsia we find more loanwords from Chinese than we would expect. With the progress of our reconstructions of Hsihsia forms, hitherto hidden Chinese loans may have been brought to light. However, the native forms of Hsihsia are mostly of Tibeto-Burman origin. For instance, there are two different forms for 'tears': me-l̃w̃i and me-mbi. The second element -l̃w̃i in the former word is a loan from Chinese, but -mbi in the latter corresponds to the second elements of Akha mja-bí, Hani ma-pi and WrB *myak-rañ* < prañ 'pus' of Lolo-Burmese. In such respects, we can consider the Hsihsia language as one of the Tibeto-Burman languages" (T. Nishida, "The Character of the Hsihsia Kingdom and its Culture" *Iwanami's World History* 9, 1970: 66-67). Incidentally, an interesting article on the prefixes of Hsihsia has appeared: K. B. Keping: "A Category of Aspect in Tangut," translated, with comments, by Eric Grinstead, *Acta Orientalia* 33, (1971: 283-294).

Unfortunately, the Survey of Chiang is not yet developed enough to draw a definite conclusion on its linguistic position among the Tibeto-Burman languages, but it is sure that Chiang contains a fair amount of cognate words with Hsihsia as enumerated below (The Chiang forms here cited are quoted from K. Chang 1967 TS=Tsengt'ou Hsiachai dialect, C=Chiutzu Ying dialect).

TS	C	Hsihsia	WrT	
qə	qə	kh ^h ow (R43)	<i>h^hkyud-pa</i>	'to be able'
qu	qu	kh ^h ir (L92)	<i>rku-ba</i>	'to steal'
qha	qha	khafi (L17)	<i>kha-ba</i>	'bitter'
qhe	khri	khriě (R8)	x	'rice'
kwæ		kha (R15)	x	'shade'
khwə	khwə	kh ^w i (L30)	<i>khyi</i>	'dog'
gwə	h ^g wə	ng ^w iN (L32)	<i>dgu</i>	'nine'
thje	thje	thi ^h (L11)	<i>h^hhung-ba</i>	'to drink'
də	di	tu (R1)	<i>sran-ma</i>	'bean'
			<*sdran-ma	
pæ	pje	vafi (L17)	<i>phag</i>	'pig'
pu	pu	wi (L10)	<i>byed-pa</i>	'to do'
pəʃje	pəse	pi (L30)-se ^h (L36)	x	'now'
pu	h ^h pu	p ^h (L68)	x	'pus'
pho	pho	phu (L1)	x	'tree'
ph ^h i	phri	ph ^h ion (L55)	x	'white'
bje	bji	ph ^h i (L67)	x	'urine'
bə	h ^h bə	vi (L8)	<i>h^hbrug</i>	'dragon'
pu	pu	ma ^h (R17)	x	'belly'
phə	phə	m ^h (L31)	<i>h^hbud-pa</i>	'to blow'
ba	ba	ma ^h (L17)	x	'to return'
bu	bu	mba ^h (L17)	x	'deaf'
be	ba	mbi ^h (R10)	<i>dma^h</i>	'low'
bu	bu	mbi ^h (L11)	(<i>phra-ba</i>)	'thin'
bu	h ^h bo	mbar (L80)	x	'drum'
xe	xe	ɣa ^h (L17)	<i>khab</i>	'needle'
hady	xadu	ɣa (R56)	x	'ten'
xola	xwəla	l ^h (L84)	<i>h^hkrud-pa</i>	'to wash'
ʃi	ʃi	ʃi ^w on (L56)	x	'iron'
ʃi	si	ɛziə ^r (R78)	<i>gzig</i>	'leopard'
ʃjeqə	ʃjeqə	ndzi (L67)	<i>gzer</i>	'fingernail'
ʃjæli	sazi	ʃu (L28)	x	'thread'
syæmæ	swama	ʃo ^h (L48)	x	'to sweep'
ʃyæ	swa	səw (L43)	<i>gsal-ba</i>	'bright'
ʃjæ	se	sɛ (R54)	x	'to write'
ʃe	sa	si ^h (R10)	<i>shi-ba</i>	'to die'
sala	sahqə	si (L8)	<i>so-ma</i>	'hemp'
sa	sa	se ^h (L36)	x	'blood'
	so	su (L1)	x	'than'
swu	p ^h su	t ^h hi (L10)	<i>so</i>	'tooth'
zwu	b ^h su	si (L30)	<i>sa-bon</i>	'seed'
h ^h wa	ɣwa	ŋɣ ^h (L27)	<i>lga</i>	'five'
h ^h o	ɣo	l ^h (L58)	<i>rdo</i>	'stone'
žwi	i	'yar (R75)	x	'chicken'
zi	ri	'yar (L82)	x	'to stand'
zwu	b ^h su	r ^h (L82)	<i>zhing</i>	'field'
læ	le	ll ^h (L63)	x	'thick'
ly	lu	la ^h (L20)	x	'to come'
tso	tsolo	lu ^h (R25)	x	'flea'
tso	tso dza	t ^h hion (L55)	<i>h^hching-ba</i>	'to tie'
tswu	ptsu	ɛzir (R85)	<i>chu</i>	'water'
tswu	h ^h ptsu	si ^h (R44)	x	'sweat'
t ^h hə	t ^h hə	ndzien (L42)	<i>tshe</i>	'time'
	t ^h hu t ^h hu	tsi ^h (L59)	x	'spider'
	t ^h hu	sa ^h (L20)	<i>gsod-pa</i>	'to kill'

tʃhə	ʔtshu	tʃhi (L10)	sha	'meat'
tshə	tshə	tshi (R28)-u	tshwa	'salt'
tʃhe	tsha	tʃhi (L30) 'goat'	x	'a kind of sheep'
tshu	tshu	tʃhə (L68)	x	'lung'
	'to cough'			
tʃhjeda	tshe	son (L54)	zam-pa	'bridge'
tʃhi	ʔtshi	sɔ (L70)	gsum	'three'
dʒjo	dʒjo	ʔdʒoŋ (L48)	x	'hole'
dʒi	dʒi	khi (L30)	rkang-pa	'foot'
dzo	dzo	safi (L17)	rtswa < rtsa-ba	'grass'
dze	dze	ʔdʒoŋ (R44)	x	'long'
dzo	dzo	ʔdzɔ (R5)	bzhug-pa	'to sit'
dzu		ʔziu (R2)	nya	'fish'
	ptshu	ŋzɛr (R84)	x	'mountain'
	bdzɔ	tʃhi (L10?)	hju-ba	'to melt'
ʃidʒje	htʃidze	ʔdziɛN (L42)	x	'money'
tʃu	htʃu	tʃhiew (L46)	drug	'six'
	tsu	ʔdzi (R7)	zha-nye	'tin'
ŋa		hŋafi (R14)	nga	'I'
ŋu	hŋu	ŋɔŋ (R42)	dngul	'silver'
ŋyŋy	ŋyŋy	nəw (L43)	nu-ma	'breast'
ŋi	ŋi	hniafi (L21)	nag-po	'black'
ŋi	ŋhi	neŋ (L36)	x	'red'
no	no	nifi (R10)		'you'
ŋi	nə	niN (L32)	gnyis	'two'
ŋike	nəka	niuf (L3)	rna-ba	'ear'
nhe	nhe	neN (R36)	snabs	'nasal mucus'
ŋiqopə	nhəpaqə	niŋ (R12)	sna	'nose'
mi	mi	me (L33)	mig	'eye'
mə	mə	mir (L86)	mi	'man'
mæŋy	many	mow (L53)-bi (L10)	x	'cat'
mu	mu	mur (L75)	mun-pa	'dark'
mi	mi	mifi (L11)	ma, mi	'negation'
mhə	mhə	mɛ (R30)	x	'hair'
	hmə	mief (R35)	ming	'name'

This paper was presented at the VIIth Sino-Tibetan conference, Berkeley, October 1975.

REFERENCES

- Benedict, P. K.
 1972 *Sino-Tibetan: a conspectus*, contributing editor J. A. Matisoff, (Princeton-Cambridge Studies in Chinese Linguistics II) (Cambridge: Univ. Press).
- Bor, N. L.
 1938 "Yano Dafla grammar and vocabulary", *JRASB.L* 4, 217-281.
- Chang, Kun (張琨)
 1967 "A comparative study of the Southern Ch'iang dialects", *MSer* 26, 422-444.
- Fu Mao-chi (傅懋勛)
 1943 「維西麼些語彙」 "A Moso vocabulary (Weihsi dialect)" *BChs* 3, 245-92.
- Grinstead, Eric
 1972 *Analysis of the Tangut Script* (=Scandinavian Institute of Asian Studies Monograph Series No. 10).
- Kychanov, Evgeny Ivanovich and others
 1969 *More pis'men* (Ocean of Characters). 2 vols. (Moscow: Nauka)
- Nishida, Tatsuo
 1964-66 『西夏語の研究』 *A study of Hsi-hsia language reconstruction of the Hsi-hsia language and decipherment of the Hsi-hsia script*. 2 vols. (Vol. 1, 1964, Vol. 2, 1966) (Tokyo: The Zauho Press).
 1967 『西夏文字』 *Hsihsia script* (Tokyo: Kinokuniya).
 1969 Review of *More pis'men* by Kychanov and others, *東洋学報 Tōyōgaku* 52: 2, 01-019.
 1972 『緬甸館譯語の研究—ビルマ言語学序説』 *A study of the Burmese-Chinese Vocabulary Mien-Tien-Kuan I-Yu*, an introduction to Burmese linguistics (Kyoto: Shōkadō).
 1973 『多統譯語の研究—新言語トス語の構造と系統』 *A study of the Tosu-Chinese vocabulary Tosu I-Yu*, the structure and lineage of Tosu, a new language (Kyoto: Shōkadō).
- Sedláček, Kamil
 1962 "Zum Instrumentalsuffix *Hnang* im Barmanischen und *Dang* im Tibetischen", *ZDMG* 112, 159-169.
- Sofronov, M. V.
 1968 *Grammatika tangutskogo jazyka*. 2 vols. (Moscow: Nauka).

(Aug. 31, 1976, received)