

# Nonstandard Representations of Unbounded Self-Adjoint Operators

愛知学院大学教養部 山下秀康 (Hideyasu Yamashita)  
名古屋大学情報文化学部 小澤正直 (Masanao Ozawa)

## 1. Introduction

In nonstandard analysis, *standardizations* of internal (or nonstandard) objects have been studied for constructing standard mathematical objects; e.g. an internal measure space is converted into a measure space in the standard sense, called Loeb space ([1][2][3][4]). The standardization of an internal Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is called the *nonstandard hull* of  $\mathcal{H}$ , written as  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$  (Henson and Moore [5]). Then the standardization of an internal operator  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  with finite norm is naturally defined on  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ . In this paper, the standardization of  $A$  shall be called the *standard part* of  $A$ , written as  $\hat{A}$ . A prominent work of Moore [6] was focused on the case where  $\mathcal{H}$  is hyperfinite-dimensional, and studied hyperfinite-dimensional extension of bounded operators on  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ . On the other hand, in the case where the norm of  $A$  is not finite, it is not straightforward to give an adequate definition of the standard part of  $A$ . Albeverio et al. [4] defined  $\hat{A}$  only when  $\mathcal{H}$  is hyperfinite-dimensional real Hilbert space and  $A$  is an internal positive symmetric operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

In this paper, we give a definition of  $\hat{A}$  for any internal complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and for any internal  $S$ -bonded self-adjoint operator  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , as well as a general consideration on  $\hat{A}$  so defined, which suggests the adequacy of the definition.

## 2. Preliminaries

We work in a  $\aleph_1$ -saturated nonstandard universe [7]. Note that every nonstandard universe constructed by a bounded ultrapower is  $\aleph_1$ -saturated.

Let  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  be an internal normed linear space. Define the subspaces  $\mu(V, \|\cdot\|)$  and  $\text{fin}(V, \|\cdot\|)$  of  $V$  by

$$\mu(V, \|\cdot\|) = \{\xi \in V \mid \|\xi\| \approx 0\}, \quad \text{fin}(V, \|\cdot\|) = \{\xi \in V \mid \|\xi\| < \infty\}. \quad (1)$$

We often abbreviate them as  $\mu(V)$  and  $\text{fin}(V)$ . Let  $\hat{\xi} = \xi + \mu(V)$  and  $\hat{V} = \text{fin}(V)/\mu(V)$ , the quotient space. We can naturally define the usual norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\hat{V}$  by  $\|\hat{\xi}\| = {}^\circ\|\xi\|$ . A countably infinite sequence  $\{\xi_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ , where  $\xi_i \in \text{fin}(V, \|\cdot\|)$ , *approximately converges* to  $\xi \in V$  in the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  if

$$\forall \varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}^+ \exists n \in \mathbf{N} \forall k \in \mathbf{N} [k > n \Rightarrow \|\xi - \xi_k\| < \varepsilon]. \quad (2)$$

A sequence  $\{\xi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  approximately converges to  $\xi \in V$  if and only if  $\{\hat{\xi}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $\hat{\xi} \in \hat{V}$ . A sequence  $\{\xi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $\xi_i \in \text{fin}(V, \|\cdot\|)$ , is  $S\text{-}\|\cdot\|$ -Cauchy if

$$\forall \varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}^+ \exists n \in \mathbf{N} \forall k, l \in \mathbf{N} [k, l > n \Rightarrow \|\xi_k - \xi_l\| < \varepsilon]. \quad (3)$$

A sequence  $\{\xi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $S\text{-}\|\cdot\|$ -Cauchy if and only if the sequence  $\{\hat{\xi}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy.

A subset  $X \subset \text{fin}(V, \|\cdot\|)$  is  $S\text{-}\|\cdot\|$ -complete if for any  $S\text{-}\|\cdot\|$ -Cauchy sequence  $\{\xi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , there exists  $\xi \in X$  such that  $\{\xi_i\}$  approximately converges to  $\xi$  in the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . The subset  $X$  is  $S\text{-}\|\cdot\|$ -complete if and only if  $\hat{X}$  is complete in  $\hat{V}$ , where  $\hat{X} = \{\hat{\xi} | \xi \in X\}$ .

The following results, called *the hull completeness theorem*, is a fundamental property of an internal normed space  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ . See Hurd and Loeb [3] for detail.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The subspace  $\text{fin}(V)$  is  $S$ -complete in  $\|\cdot\|$ .*

**Corollary 2.2.** (The Hull Completeness Theorem)  *$\hat{V}$  is a Banach space.*

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an internal Hilbert space, and  $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  an internal bounded linear operator such that the bound  $\|T\|$  is finite. The bounded operator  $\hat{T} : \hat{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}$ , called the *standard part* of  $T$ , is defined by the relation  $\hat{T}\hat{x} = \widehat{Tx}$  for any  $x \in \text{fin}(\mathcal{H})$ .

For further information on nonstandard real analysis, we refer to Stroyan and Luxemburg [3] and Hurd and Loeb [2].

### 3. Several definitions of standard parts

We give several equivalent definitions of the standard part of an internal bounded self-adjoint operator which is not  $S$ -bounded.

The following lemma, which is a basic property for self-adjointness, is used to give the first definition of standard parts (see [8]).

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be a symmetric operator on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then,  $A$  is self-adjoint if and only if  $\text{Rng}(A \pm i) = \mathcal{H}$ .*

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an internal Hilbert space, and  $A$  an internal bounded self-adjoint operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\hat{\mathcal{K}} = \text{Ker}([(A + i)^{-1}]^\wedge)^\perp$ . Using the unitarity of  $(A + i)(A - i)^{-1}$ , we can easily check that  $\text{Ker}([(A - i)^{-1}]^\wedge)^\perp = \hat{\mathcal{K}}$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *There exists the unique (possibly unbounded) self-adjoint operator  $S$  on  $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$  satisfying*

$$(S + i)^{-1} = [(A + i)^{-1}]^\wedge | \hat{\mathcal{K}}. \quad (4)$$

*Proof.* We see  $\|(A + i)^{-1}\| < \infty$ , and  $[(A + i)^{-1}]^\wedge$  is an bounded normal operator on  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ . The operator  $T := [(A + i)^{-1}]^\wedge | \hat{\mathcal{K}}$  is a bijection from  $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$  to  $[(A + i)^{-1}]^\wedge \hat{\mathcal{K}}$ . Hence the inverse  $T^{-1}$  from  $[(A + i)^{-1}]^\wedge \hat{\mathcal{K}}$  to  $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$  is defined. Clearly the operator  $S = T^{-1} - i$  satisfies the equation (4).

We will show that  $S$  is symmetric. Let  $x_1, x_2 \in \text{Dom}(S)$  ( $= [(A + i)^{-1}]^\wedge \hat{\mathcal{K}}$ ). Then, we can show that there exist  $\xi_i \in x_i$  such that  $A\xi_i \in Sx_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) as follows. There

are  $y_i \in \hat{\mathcal{K}}$  and  $\eta_i \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $(S+i)^{-1}y_i = [(A+i)^{-1}]^\wedge y_i = x_i$  and  $\eta_i \in y_i$ . Let  $\xi_i = (A+i)^{-1}\eta_i$ . Then  $\xi_i \in x_i$  and  $(A+i)\xi_i = \eta_i \in y_i = (S+i)x_i$ . Hence  $A\xi_i \in Sx_i$ . Thus,  $\langle x_1, Sx_2 \rangle = {}^\circ\langle \xi_1, A\xi_2 \rangle = {}^\circ\langle A\xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle = \langle Sx_1, x_2 \rangle$ . Therefore,  $S$  is symmetric.

To prove the self-adjointness, it is sufficient to show  $\text{Rng}(S+i) = \text{Rng}(S-i) = \hat{\mathcal{K}}$  by Lemma 3.1. Clearly  $\text{Rng}(S+i) = \text{Rng}(T^{-1}) = \hat{\mathcal{K}}$ . Let  $x \in \text{Dom}(S)$ ,  $\xi \in x$  and  $A\xi \in Sx$ . Then we have

$$\left(\frac{A-i}{A+i}\right)^\wedge (S+i)x = \left(\frac{A-i}{A+i}(A+i)\xi\right)^\wedge = (S-i)x. \quad (5)$$

Thus, by the equation (4) with  $\text{Ker}([(A-i)^{-1}]^\wedge)^\perp = \hat{\mathcal{K}}$ , we have

$$(S-i)^{-1} = [(A-i)^{-1}]^\wedge | \hat{\mathcal{K}}. \quad (6)$$

Therefore, we can show  $\text{Rng}(S-i) = \hat{\mathcal{K}}$  in the similar way to the proof of  $\text{Rng}(S+i) = \hat{\mathcal{K}}$ . The uniqueness of  $S$  is clear. *QED*

**Definition 3.3.** Under the condition of Proposition 3.2, define the self-adjoint operator  $\text{st}_1(A)$  on  $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$  by  $(\text{st}_1(A) + i)^{-1} = [(A+i)^{-1}]^\wedge | \hat{\mathcal{K}}$ .

The operator  $\text{st}_1(A)$  is called the *standard part* of  $A$ . We see that  $\text{st}_1(A) = \hat{A}$  when  $A$  is S-bounded.

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $A$  be an internal bounded operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ , an internal Hilbert space. Define  $\text{fin}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  by

$$\text{fin}(A) = \{\xi \in \text{fin}\mathcal{H} \mid A\xi \in \text{fin}\mathcal{H}\}. \quad (7)$$

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $A$  be an internal bounded self-adjoint operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$  be the closure of the subspace  $[\text{fin}(A)]^\wedge = \{\hat{\xi} \mid \xi \in \text{fin}(A)\}$  of  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ . Define the self-adjoint operator  $\text{st}_2(A)$  on  $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$  by

$$e^{it\text{st}_2(A)} = e^{\widehat{itA}} | \hat{\mathcal{K}}. \quad t \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (8)$$

We see that  $\{e^{\widehat{itA}} | \hat{\mathcal{K}}\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$  is one-parameter unitary group, since  $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$  is invariant under  $e^{\widehat{itA}}$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . We also see that it is strongly continuous as follows. Let  $\xi \in \text{fin}(A)$ . Then, we have  $\|(*d/dt)e^{itA}\xi\| = \|ie^{itA}A\xi\| < \infty$ , where  $*d/dt$  is the internal differentiation. This implies that  $e^{\widehat{itA}}\hat{\xi}$  is continuous with respect to  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . Thus,  $e^{\widehat{itA}}$  is strongly continuous on  $[\text{fin}(A)]^\wedge$ . Hence by Stone's theorem,  $\text{st}_2(A)$  is uniquely defined.

If  $A$  is S-bounded,  $\text{st}_2(A)$  coincides with  $\hat{A}$  defined in Section 2. This is seen from the following:

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $A$  be an internal S-bounded self-adjoint operator. Then,

$$e^{\widehat{itA}} = e^{it\hat{A}}, \quad (9)$$

for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ .

*Proof.* For any infinitesimal  $\epsilon \in {}^*\mathbf{R}_0^+$ ,

$$\epsilon^{-1}(e^{i\epsilon A} - I) \approx iA, \quad (10)$$

holds, because

$$\begin{aligned} \|\epsilon^{-1}(e^{i\epsilon A} - I) - iA\| &= \|\epsilon^{-1} \sum_{\nu=2}^{*\infty} (i\epsilon A)^\nu / \nu!\| \leq \epsilon^{-1} \sum_{\nu=2}^{*\infty} (\epsilon \|A\|)^\nu / \nu! \\ &= \epsilon^{-1}(e^{\epsilon \|A\|} - 1) - \|A\| \approx 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the permanence principle,

$$\forall \delta \in \mathbf{R}_+, \exists \epsilon \in \mathbf{R}_+, |t| < \epsilon \Rightarrow \|t^{-1}(e^{itA} - I) - iA\| < \delta. \quad (11)$$

Hence, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\epsilon^{-1}(e^{i\epsilon A} - I) - iA\| = 0. \quad (12)$$

Thus we have  $(d/dt)e^{itA}|_{t=0} = i\hat{A}$ , where  $d/dt$  is the usual differentiation. Because  $(e^{itA})_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$  is one-parameter unitary group, it follows that  $e^{itA} = e^{it\hat{A}}$ . *QED*

Let  $E(\cdot)$  be an internal projection-valued measure on  ${}^*\mathbf{R}$ , i.e., for each internal Borel set  $\Omega \subseteq {}^*\mathbf{R}$ ,  $E(\Omega)$  is an orthogonal projection on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

- (1)  $E(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $E({}^*\mathbf{R}) = I$
- (2) If  $\Omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{*\infty} \Omega_n$  with  $\Omega_n \cap \Omega_m = \emptyset$  if  $n \neq m$ , then  $E(\Omega) = \text{s-lim}_{N \rightarrow * \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N E(\Omega_n)$
- (3)  $E(\Omega_1)E(\Omega_2) = E(\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2)$ .

For  $r \in {}^*\mathbf{R}$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_r = \text{Rng}(E(-r, r))$ , the range of  $E((-r, r))$ . Let  $D(E) = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbf{R}^+} \mathcal{H}_r \cap \text{fin}\mathcal{H}$ .  $D(E)$  is called the *standardization domain* of  $E(\cdot)$ . Clearly,  $\widehat{D(E)}^{\perp\perp} = (\bigcup_{r \in \mathbf{R}^+} \mathcal{H}_r)^{\perp\perp}$ .

For  $a \in \mathbf{R}$ , define the orthogonal projection  $\hat{E}_{\text{st}}(-\infty, a]$  by

$$\hat{E}_{\text{st}}(-\infty, a] = \sup\{\hat{E}(-K, a + \epsilon) | \hat{D}(E)^{\perp\perp} \upharpoonright K, \epsilon \in \mathbf{R}^+\} \quad (13)$$

$$= \text{s-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{E}(-n, a + \frac{1}{n}) | \hat{D}(E)^{\perp\perp}. \quad (14)$$

Then we see

$$\text{s-lim}_{a \rightarrow -\infty} \hat{E}_{\text{st}}(-\infty, a] = 0 \quad (15)$$

$$\text{s-lim}_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \hat{E}_{\text{st}}(-\infty, a + \epsilon] = \hat{E}_{\text{st}}(-\infty, a] \quad (16)$$

$$a < b \Rightarrow \hat{E}_{\text{st}}(-\infty, a] \leq \hat{E}_{\text{st}}(-\infty, b]. \quad (17)$$

Hence,  $\hat{E}_{\text{st}}(-\infty, \cdot]$  defines a projection-valued measure on  $\mathbf{R}$ .

**Definition 3.7.** For any internal bounded self-adjoint operator  $A$ , define the self-adjoint operator  $\text{st}_3(A)$  on  $\widehat{D(E)}^{\perp\perp}$  by

$$\text{st}_3(A) = \int \lambda d\hat{E}_{\text{st}}(\lambda). \quad (18)$$

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $A$  be an internal bounded self-adjoint operator, and  $E(\cdot)$  the internal projection-valued measure associated with the spectral decomposition of  $A$ . Then*

$$\hat{D}(E)^{\perp\perp} = \widehat{\text{fin}(A)}^{\perp\perp}. \quad (19)$$

*Proof.*  $\hat{D}(E)^{\perp\perp} \subseteq \widehat{\text{fin}(A)}^{\perp\perp}$  is clear. To prove  $\hat{D}(E)^{\perp\perp} \supseteq \widehat{\text{fin}(A)}^{\perp\perp}$ , it is sufficient to show that for any  $\hat{x} \in \widehat{\text{fin}(A)}^{\perp}$  there is a sequence  $\hat{x}_n \in \hat{D}(E)$  ( $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ) such that  $\hat{x}_n \rightarrow \hat{x}$ . Let  $x_n = E(-n, n)x$  ( $n \in {}^*\mathbf{N}$ ). Notice that  $\|A(x - x_n)\| \geq n\|x - x_n\|$ . Suppose  $\|x - x_n\| > \epsilon$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . By the permanence principle, there is  $N \in {}^*\mathbf{N}_\infty$  such that  $\|x - x_N\| > \epsilon$ . Hence,  $\|A(x - x_N)\| \geq N\|x - x_N\| > N\epsilon \sim \infty$ . This contradicts  $\|A(x - x_N)\| \leq \|Ax\| < \infty$ . *QED*

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $A$  be an internal bounded self-adjoint operator. Then,*

$$\text{st}_2(A) = \int \lambda d\hat{E}_{\text{st}}(\lambda), \quad (20)$$

and hence  $\text{st}_2(A) = \text{st}_3(A)$ .

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show

$$\langle \hat{x}, \exp(it \text{st}_2(A))\hat{x} \rangle = \int e^{it\lambda} \langle \hat{x}, d\hat{E}_{\text{st}}(\lambda)\hat{x} \rangle \quad (21)$$

for all  $\hat{x} \in \widehat{\text{fin}(A)}^{\perp\perp}$ . Define the internal Borel measure  $\mu$  by  $\mu(d\lambda) = \langle x, E(d\lambda)x \rangle$ . Let  $L\mu$  denote the Loeb measure of  $\mu$ , and  $L'\mu$  the Borel measure on  $\mathbf{R}$  defined by  $L'\mu(\Omega) = L\mu(\text{st}^{-1}[\Omega])$ . We can check that  $L'\mu$  is well-defined (i.e.,  $\text{st}^{-1}[\Omega]$  is  $L\mu$ -measurable for any Borel set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ ). We also see that  $L\mu$  is supported by  $\text{fin } {}^*\mathbf{R}$ , since  $L\mu({}^*\mathbf{R} \setminus \text{fin } {}^*\mathbf{R}) \leq \circ \langle x, E({}^*\mathbf{R} \setminus (-n, n))x \rangle = \circ \|(1 - E(-n, n))x\|^2 \leq (1/n^2) \circ \|Ax\|^2$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{x}, \exp(it \text{st}_2(A))\hat{x} \rangle &= \langle \hat{x}, \widehat{e^{itA}}\hat{x} \rangle \\ &= \circ \langle x, e^{itA}x \rangle \\ &= \circ {}^* \int_{{}^*\mathbf{R}} e^{it\lambda} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_{{}^*\mathbf{R}} \circ e^{it\lambda} dL\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{it\lambda} dL'\mu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$  with  $a < b$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} L'\mu(a, b) &= L\mu\left(\bigcup_{\epsilon \in \mathbf{R}^+} (a + \epsilon, b - \epsilon)\right) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \circ \langle x, E(a + \epsilon, b - \epsilon)x \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \langle \hat{x}, \hat{E}(a + \epsilon, b - \epsilon)\hat{x} \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{x}, \text{s-lim}_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \hat{E}(a + \epsilon, b - \epsilon)\hat{x} \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{x}, \hat{E}_{\text{st}}(a, b)\hat{x} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $L'\mu(\Omega) = \langle \hat{x}, \hat{E}_{\text{st}}(\Omega)\hat{x} \rangle$  for any Borel set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ . *QED*

Let  $C \in \mathbf{R}$  be a positive constant, and  $h$  be an internal Borel function from  ${}^*\mathbf{R}$  to  ${}^*\mathbf{C}$  satisfying the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) \approx h(y) \quad \text{iff} \quad x \approx y \quad \text{for all } x, y \text{ with } |x|, |y| < \infty, \\ |h(x)| < C \quad \text{for all } x \in {}^*\mathbf{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Define the function  $\hat{h} : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  by

$$\hat{h}(x) = {}^\circ h(x),$$

for  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ . We see that  $\hat{h}$  is injective and continuous. Let  $A$  be an internal bounded self-adjoint operator. Notice that  $h(A)$  is an S-bounded internal normal operator.

**Theorem 3.10.** *There exists the unique self-adjoint operator  $B$  on  $\text{fin}(A)^{\perp\perp}$  such that*

$$\hat{h}(B) = \widehat{h(A)}|_{\text{fin}(A)^{\perp\perp}}. \quad (22)$$

Moreover,  $B$  equals to  $\text{st}_3(A)$ .

*Proof.* By the argument similar to the proof of Theorem 3.9, we can show

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{x}, \widehat{h(A)}\hat{x} \rangle &= \int_{\mathbf{R}} \hat{h}(\lambda) dL'\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}} \hat{h}(\lambda) \langle \hat{x}, d\hat{E}_{\text{st}}(\lambda)\hat{x} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\hat{x} \in \text{fin}(A)^{\perp\perp}$ . Thus,

$$\widehat{h(A)}|_{\text{fin}(A)^{\perp\perp}} = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \hat{h}(\lambda) d\hat{E}_{\text{st}}(\lambda).$$

Because  $\hat{h}$  is injective, the unique self-adjoint operator  $B$  satisfying (22) is  $\text{st}_3(A) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \lambda d\hat{E}_{\text{st}}(\lambda)$ . QED

**Corollary 3.11.** *Definition 3.3, 3.5 and 3.7 are equivalent, that is,  $\text{st}_1(A) = \text{st}_2(A) = \text{st}_3(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $h(x) = 1/(x + i)$ . QED

In section 2,  $\hat{A}$  is defined only when  $A$  is an internal S-bounded self-adjoint operator. Now we can extend the definition so as to include the case where  $A$  is an internal bounded self-adjoint operator which is not S-bounded;  $\hat{A} := \text{st}_1(A) = \text{st}_2(A) = \text{st}_3(A)$ .

**Definition 3.12.** *Let  $A$  be an internal linear operator on an internal Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $D$  be an (external) subspace of  $\text{fin}\mathcal{H}$ .  $A$  is standardizable on  $D$  if  $D \subset \text{fin}(A)$  and if for any  $x, y \in D$ ,  $x \approx y$  implies  $Ax \approx Ay$ . In this case, define the operator  $\hat{A}_D$  with domain  $\hat{D} = \{\hat{x} | x \in D\}$ , called the standard part of  $A$  on  $D$ , by*

$$\hat{A}_D\hat{x} = \widehat{Ax}, \quad x \in D. \quad (23)$$

Clearly,  $A$  is standardizable on  $D$  if and only if  $D \subset \text{fin}(A)$ , and if  $A\xi \approx 0$  for all  $\xi \in D$  with  $\xi \approx 0$ .

**Lemma 3.13.** *An internal bounded operator  $A$  is standardizable on  $\text{fin}(A^*A)$ .*

*Proof.* First, we prove  $\text{fin}(A^*A) \subset \text{fin}(A)$  as follows. Suppose that  $\xi \in \text{fin}(A)$ . Let  $E(\cdot)$  be the internal spectral projection-valued measure of the self-adjoint operator  $A^*A$ . Then,  $\|A\xi\|^2 = \langle \xi, A^*A\xi \rangle = \langle \xi, E[0, 1]A^*A\xi \rangle + \langle \xi, (I - E[0, 1])A^*A\xi \rangle \leq \langle \xi, E[0, 1]A^*A\xi \rangle + \langle \xi, (I - E[0, 1])(A^*A)^2\xi \rangle \leq \langle \xi, E[0, 1]A^*A\xi \rangle + \|A^*A\xi\|^2 < \infty$ . Thus,  $\xi \in \text{fin}(A)$ . Second, suppose  $x \approx 0$  and  $\|A^*Ax\| < \infty$ . Then,  $\|Ax\|^2 = \langle x, A^*Ax \rangle \leq \|x\| \|A^*Ax\| \approx 0$ . QED

**Corollary 3.14.** *If  $D \subseteq \text{fin}\mathcal{H}$  is invariant under  $A$  and  $A^*$ ,  $A$  is standardizable on  $D$ .*

The operator  $B$  in the above proof is called a *hyperfinite extension* of  $A$  [6].

We use the following lemma in the proof of Theorem 3.16.

**Lemma 3.15.** *Let  $A$  be a symmetric operator with domain  $D \subset \mathcal{H}$ , a Hilbert space. Let  $D_1 \subset D$  be a dense linear subset of  $\mathcal{H}$  and suppose that  $A|_{D_1}$  is essentially self-adjoint. Then,  $A$  is essentially self-adjoint and  $\overline{A} = \overline{A|_{D_1}}$ .*

**Theorem 3.16.** *Let  $A$  be an internal self-adjoint operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $E(\cdot)$  the projector-valued spectral measure of  $A$ . Then,*

$$\hat{A} = \overline{\hat{A}_{D(E)}} = \overline{\hat{A}_{\text{fin}(A^2)}} \quad (24)$$

*Proof.* We can show that  $\hat{A}_{D(E)}$  is essentially self-adjoint e.g. by Nelson's analytic vector theorem. Hence, it has one and only one self-adjoint extension, its closure. Thus, it is sufficient to show that  $\hat{A}$  is an extension of  $\hat{A}_{D(E)}$ . If  $E(-r, r)\xi = \xi$  ( $r \in \mathbf{R}^+$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ ), then  $\hat{E}_{\text{st}}(-s, s)\hat{\xi} = \hat{\xi}$  ( $s \in \mathbf{R}^+$ ,  $s > r$ ). Thus,  $\hat{A}_D\hat{\xi} = \overline{\hat{A}\xi} = \overline{[\int_{-s}^s \lambda dE(\lambda)]\hat{\xi}} = \int_{-s}^s \lambda d\hat{E}_{\text{st}}(\lambda)\hat{\xi} = \int \lambda d\hat{E}_{\text{st}}(\lambda)\hat{\xi} = \text{st}_3(A)\hat{\xi} = \hat{A}\hat{\xi}$ . Therefore  $\hat{A} = \overline{\hat{A}_{D(E)}}$ .  $\hat{A}_{D(E)} = \overline{\hat{A}_{\text{fin}(A^2)}}$  follows from  $D(E) \subseteq \text{fin}(A^2)$  and Lemma 3.15. QED

#### 4. The domain of $\hat{A}$

**Definition 4.1.** *For an internal bounded self-adjoint operator  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , define  $D(A)$  by*

$$D(A) = \{\xi \in \text{fin}\mathcal{H} \mid \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{R}_0^+, e^{-t|A|}A\xi \approx A\xi \in \text{fin}\mathcal{H}\}.$$

Clearly,  $D(A)$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *An internal bounded self-adjoint operator  $A$  is standardizable on  $D(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\xi \in D(A)$  and  $\|\xi\| \approx 0$ . We can easily check  $\|e^{-t|A|}A\| < \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ ,  $t \not\approx 0$ . Hence,  ${}^\circ\|A\xi\| \leq {}^\circ\|e^{-t|A|}A\xi\| + {}^\circ\|(1 - e^{-t|A|})A\xi\|$ . By the S-boundedness of  $e^{-t|A|}A$ , the first term equals 0, and by the definition of  $D(A)$ , the second term equals 0. Thus we have  ${}^\circ\|A\xi\| = 0$ . *QED*

The following lemmas are easily shown.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $f : {}^*\mathbf{N} \rightarrow {}^*\mathbf{R}^+$  be internal and increasing. If  $f(M) < \infty$  for some  $M \sim \infty$ , then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} {}^\circ f(n) < \infty.$$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Under the same condition to Lemma 4.3, there is  $K \sim \infty$  such that for all  $L \sim \infty$ ,*

$$f(K) \approx f(L) \quad \text{if } L \leq K.$$

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $\xi \in \text{fin}(\mathcal{H})$ . For sufficiently large  $t \approx 0$ ,*

$$e^{-t|A|}\xi \in D(A). \quad (25)$$

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 4.4 to  $f(n) = \|e^{-|A|/n}A\xi\|$ , we find that for sufficiently small  $K \sim \infty$  and  $L \sim \infty$ ,  $e^{-|A|/K}A\xi \approx e^{-|A|/L}A\xi$ . Thus, for sufficiently large  $s \approx 0$  and  $t \approx 0$ ,  $e^{-s|A|}A\xi \approx e^{-t|A|}A\xi$ . Hence for all  $x \approx 0$ ,  $x > 0$ ,

$$e^{-x|A|}Ae^{-t|A|}\xi = e^{-(x+t)|A|}A\xi \approx Ae^{-t|A|}\xi.$$

Therefore,  $e^{-t|A|}\xi \in D(A)$ . *QED*

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $E(\cdot)$  be the spectral resolution of  $A$  and  $E_K = E(-K, K)$  for  $K \in {}^*\mathbf{R}^+$ . For any  $\xi \in \text{fin}(A)$ ,*

$$\xi \in D(A) \quad \text{iff} \quad A\xi \approx E_K A\xi \quad \text{for all } K \sim \infty. \quad (26)$$

**Remark.** The right-hand condition is equivalent to

$$\lim_{\substack{K \rightarrow \infty \\ K \in \mathbf{R}}} {}^\circ\|(I - E_K)A\xi\| = 0. \quad (27)$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\xi \in \text{fin}(A)$  and  $A(I - E_K)\xi \approx 0$  for all  $K \sim \infty$ . For any  $t \approx 0$ , there exists a  $K \sim \infty$  such that  $tK \approx 0$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-t|A|}A\xi - A\xi\|^2 &\approx \|e^{-t|A|}E_K A\xi - E_K A\xi\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \int_{-K}^K e^{-t|\lambda|} \lambda - \lambda dE(\lambda) \xi \right\|^2 \\ &= \int_{-K}^K |(e^{-t|\lambda|} - 1)\lambda|^2 \|dE(\lambda)\xi\|^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{|\lambda| < K} |e^{-t|\lambda|} - 1|^2 \int_{-K}^K \lambda^2 \|dE(\lambda)\xi\|^2 \\ &= \sup_{|\lambda| < K} |e^{-t|\lambda|} - 1|^2 \|E_K A\xi\|^2 \\ &\approx 0. \end{aligned}$$



Hence  $\xi \in D(A)$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\xi \in D(A) (\subset \text{fin}(A))$ . Applying Lemma 4.4 to  $f(n) = \|E_n A\xi\|$ , we see that for sufficiently small  $K \sim \infty$  and  $L \sim \infty$  ( $L \leq K$ ),

$$\|E_L A\xi\| \approx \|E_K A\xi\|.$$

Thus,  $(E_K - E_L)A\xi \approx 0$ , since  $\|E_L A\xi - E_K A\xi\|^2 = \|E_K A\xi\|^2 - \|E_L A\xi\|^2 \approx 0$ . Let  $t \in \mathbf{R}_0^+$  satisfy  $tK \sim \infty$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|E_K A\xi - e^{-t|A|} A\xi\| \\ &= \left\| \int_{-K}^K \lambda(1 - e^{-t|\lambda|}) dE(\lambda)\xi - \int_{(-\infty, -K) \cup (K, \infty)} e^{-t|\lambda|} \lambda dE(\lambda)\xi \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \int_{-K}^K \lambda(1 - e^{-t|\lambda|}) dE(\lambda)\xi \right\| + e^{-tK} \|A\xi\| \\ &\approx \left\| \int_{-K}^K \lambda(1 - e^{-t|\lambda|}) dE(\lambda)\xi \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $L \sim \infty$  satisfy  $tL \approx 0$ , so that the above

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left\| \int_{-L}^L \lambda(1 - e^{-t|\lambda|}) dE(\lambda)\xi \right\| + \left\| \int_{(-K, K) \setminus (-L, L)} \lambda(1 - e^{-t|\lambda|}) dE(\lambda)\xi \right\| \\ &\leq |1 - e^{-tL}| \|A\xi\| + \|(E_K - E_L)A\xi\| \\ &\approx 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for sufficiently small  $K \sim \infty$  and for any  $t \approx 0$  such that  $tK \sim \infty$ ,

$$E_K A\xi \approx e^{-t|A|} A\xi \approx A\xi.$$

Since  $\|A\xi - E_K A\xi\| \geq \|A\xi - E_{K'} A\xi\| > 0$  if  $K < K'$ , we have  $E_{K'} A\xi \approx A\xi$  holds for any  $K' \sim \infty$ . *QED*

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let  $\xi \in \text{fin}(A)$ . Then,  $E_K \xi \in D(A)$  for sufficiently small  $K \sim \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 4.4 to  $f(n) = \|E_n A\xi\|$ , we find that for sufficiently small  $K, L \sim \infty$ ,  $E_K A\xi \approx E_L A\xi$ . Thus, if  $L \sim \infty$ ,  $L \leq K$ , then  $\|(1 - E_L)E_K A\xi\| = \|(E_K - E_L)A\xi\| \approx 0$ . If  $L > K$ , clearly  $(1 - E_L)E_K A\xi = 0$ . Hence for all  $L \sim \infty$ ,  $E_K A\xi \approx E_L E_K A\xi$ . Thus  $E_K \xi \in D(A)$  by Theorem 4.6. *QED*

**Corollary 4.8.**  $[\text{fin}(A)]^\wedge = [D(A)]^\wedge$ , i.e., if  $\xi \in \text{fin}(A)$ , then there is  $\eta \in D(A)$  such that  $\eta \approx \xi$ .

**Example** We have seen that the following relations hold:

$$\text{fin}(A^2) \subset D(A) \subset \text{fin}(A) \subset \text{fin}\mathcal{H},$$

$$[\text{fin}(A^2)]^\wedge \subset [D(A)]^\wedge = [\text{fin}(A)]^\wedge \subset \hat{\mathcal{H}},$$

$$[\text{fin}(A^2)]^{\perp\perp} = [D(A)]^{\perp\perp} = [\text{fin}(A)]^{\perp\perp} \subset \hat{\mathcal{H}}.$$

An example of  $A$  such that  $\text{fin}(A) \setminus D(A) \neq \emptyset$  is given as follows. Let  $\nu$  be an infinite hypernatural number, and  $\mathcal{H} = {}^*\mathbf{C}^\nu$ ,  $\nu$ -dimensional internal Hilbert space. Define the internal self-adjoint operator  $A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $A(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\nu) = (x_1, 2x_2, \dots, \nu x_\nu)$ . Let  $\xi = (0, 0, \dots, 0, \nu^{-1})$ . Then we see  $\xi \in \text{fin}(A) \setminus D(A)$  from Theorem 4.6.

We also find  $D(A) \setminus \text{fin}(A^2) \neq \emptyset$ ; let  $\eta = (1^{-2}, 2^{-2}, \dots, \nu^{-2})$ , then we easily see  $\eta \in D(A) \setminus \text{fin}(A^2)$ . Moreover we find  $\hat{\eta} \in [D(A)]^\wedge \setminus [\text{fin}(A^2)]^\wedge$ . In fact, if  $\eta' \approx \eta$ , then  $\circ\|A^2\eta'\| \geq \lim_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \circ\|A^2 E_n \eta'\| = \lim_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \circ\|A^2 E_n \eta\| = \lim_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \circ\sqrt{n} = \infty$ . Thus, we have  $\hat{\eta} \notin [\text{fin}(A^2)]^\wedge$  by Theorem 4.6.

**Theorem 4.9.** *Let  $\xi \in \text{fin}(A)$ , then*

$$\xi \in D(A) \quad \text{iff} \quad \lim_{\substack{t \downarrow 0 \\ t \neq 0}} \left( \frac{e^{-t|A|} - 1}{t} \xi \right)^\wedge = -|A|\xi. \quad (28)$$

*Proof.* Suppose that the right-hand side does not hold. In other words, suppose that

$$\exists \varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}^+ \forall n \in \mathbf{N} \exists t \in {}^*\mathbf{R}, 0 < t < \frac{1}{n} \wedge \left\| \left( \frac{e^{-t|A|} - 1}{t} + |A| \right) \xi \right\| > \varepsilon. \quad (29)$$

By permanence,

$$\exists \varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}^+ \exists N \in {}^*\mathbf{N}_\infty \exists t \in {}^*\mathbf{R}, 0 < t < \frac{1}{n} \wedge \left\| \left( \frac{e^{-t|A|} - 1}{t} + |A| \right) \xi \right\| > \varepsilon. \quad (30)$$

That is, there is positive infinitesimal  $t$  such that  $t^{-1}(e^{-t|A|} - 1)\xi \not\approx -|A|\xi$ .

Thus, for some  $\eta \in \text{fin}(\mathcal{H})$ ,

$$\Re \left\langle \eta, \frac{e^{-t|A|} - 1}{t} \xi \right\rangle \not\approx \Re \langle \eta, -|A|\xi \rangle.$$

Let  $f(t) = \Re \langle \eta, e^{-t|A|} \xi \rangle$ . By the mean value theorem, for some  $s \in {}^*\mathbf{R}$  with  $0 < s < t$ ,

$$f'(s) = \frac{f(t) - f(0)}{t} = \Re \left\langle \eta, \frac{e^{-t|A|} - 1}{t} \xi \right\rangle \not\approx \Re \langle \eta, -|A|\xi \rangle.$$

Therefore, by the definition of  $D(A)$ , we have  $\xi \in \text{fin}(A) \setminus D(A)$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\xi \in \text{fin}(A) \setminus D(A)$ . Then, there is positive infinitesimal  $t_0$  satisfying  $e^{-t_0|A|}|A|\xi \not\approx |A|\xi$ . Let  $\eta = (|A| - e^{t_0|A|}|A|)\xi \in \text{fin}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then this is equivalent to

$$\langle \eta, e^{-t_0|A|}|A|\xi \rangle \not\approx \langle \eta, |A|\xi \rangle. \quad (31)$$

Let  $f(x) = \langle \eta, e^{-x|A|} \xi \rangle$  ( $x \in {}^*\mathbf{R}^+$ ). We see that  $f'$  is increasing and  $-\infty < f' < 0$ , and hence  $f$  is decreasing and  $0 < f < \infty$ . The relation (31) is equivalent to

$$f'(t_0) \not\approx f'(0), \quad (32)$$

We have  $f(x) \geq f'(t_0)(x - t_0) + f(t_0)$ . Thus we have

$$0 > \frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x} \geq \frac{f'(t_0)(x - t_0) + f(t_0) - f(0)}{x}. \quad (33)$$

Let  $F(x) = [f'(t_0)(x - t_0) + f(t_0) - f(0)]/x$ , then for  $c \in {}^*\mathbf{R}^+$ ,

$$F(ct_0) = f'(t_0) \left(1 - \frac{1}{c}\right) + \frac{1}{c} \frac{f(t_0) - f(0)}{t_0}. \quad (34)$$

By the mean value theorem and  $-\infty < f'(x) < 0$ , we have  $|(f(x) - f(0))/x| < \infty$ . Hence  $F(ct_0) \approx f'(t_0)$  for all  $c \sim \infty$ . Thus, by (32) and (33),

$$0 > \frac{f(ct_0) - f(0)}{ct_0} \geq F(ct_0) \not\approx f'(0), \quad (35)$$

for all  $c \sim \infty$ . Thus there is  $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}^+$  such that for sufficiently large  $x \approx 0$ ,  $\frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x} - f'(0) > \varepsilon$ . By the permanence principle, for sufficiently small  $x \in \mathbf{R}^+$ ,  $\frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x} - f'(0) > \varepsilon$ . We can check the relations

$$\left\langle \eta, \left( \frac{e^{-x|A|} - 1}{x} \right) \xi \right\rangle = \frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x}, \quad \langle \eta, |A|\xi \rangle = -f'(0), \quad \frac{e^{-x|A|} - 1}{x} > -|A|,$$

for  $x > 0$ . Therefore, using the increasingness of  $(e^{-x|A|} - 1)/x$ ,  $x$ , we have

$$\lim_{\substack{x \downarrow 0 \\ x \neq 0}} \left\langle \eta, \frac{e^{-x|A|} - 1}{x} \xi \right\rangle \neq \langle \eta, -|A|\xi \rangle.$$

*QED*

**Theorem 4.10.** *Let  $A$  be an internal bounded self-adjoint operator. Then,  $\hat{A} = \hat{A}_{D(A)}$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.16 and Lemma 3.15, it suffices to show that  $\hat{A}_{D(A)}$  is a closed extension of  $\hat{A}_{\text{fin}(A^2)}$ . If  $\xi \in \text{fin}(A^2)$ , for any  $K \sim \infty$ ,  $\|(1 - E_K)A\xi\| \leq \frac{1}{K} \|(1 - E_K)A^2\xi\| \leq \frac{1}{K} \|A^2\xi\| \approx 0$ . Hence  $\xi \in D(A)$ , and hence  $\hat{A}_{D(A)}$  is an extension of  $\hat{A}_{\text{fin}(A^2)}$ .

To prove that  $\hat{A}_{D(A)}$  is closed, it suffices to show that  $\hat{D}(A) = [D(A)]^\wedge$  is complete in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_A$  defined by  $\|\hat{\xi}\|_A = \|\xi\| + \|\hat{A}\xi\|$ . Define the internal norm  $\|\cdot\|_A$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $\|\xi\|_A = \|\xi\| + \|A\xi\|$ . We can check  $\|\hat{\xi}\|_A = \|\xi\|_A$  for  $\xi \in D(A)$ .

By Theorem 2.1,  $\text{fin}(A)$  is S- $\|\cdot\|_A$ -complete. Hence, if the sequence  $\{\xi_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \subset D(A)$  ( $\subset \text{fin}(A)$ ) is S- $\|\cdot\|_A$ -Cauchy, then there is  $\xi \in \text{fin}(A)$  such that  $\{\xi_i\}$  approximately converges to  $\xi$  in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_A$ . This  $\xi$  is shown to be in  $D(A)$  as follows. Regarding Theorem 4.6, and  $\xi_i \in D(A)$  ( $i < \infty$ ), this relation leads to  $\| (I - E_K)A\xi_\nu \| = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \| (I - E_K)A\xi_i \| = 0$ , for any  $K \sim \infty$ . Therefore, from Theorem 4.6, we have  $\xi \in D(A)$  and hence any Cauchy sequence in  $\widehat{D(A)}$  converges in  $\widehat{D(A)}$  in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_A$ . *QED*

**Theorem 4.11.** *The domain  $D(A)$  is maximal. That is, if  $D(A) \subset S \subset \text{fin}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $A$  is standardizable on  $S$ , then  $S = D(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $D(A) \subset S \subset \text{fin}(\mathcal{H})$  and that  $A$  is standardizable on  $S$ . Let  $\eta \in S$ . By Corollary 4.8 and  $\eta \in \text{fin}(A)$ , there is  $\xi \in D(A)$  such that  $\xi \approx \eta$ . By the definition of  $D(A)$  and the standardizability on  $S$ , for all positive infinitesimal  $t$ ,  $e^{-t|A|}A\eta \approx e^{-t|A|}A\xi \approx A\xi \approx A\eta$ , since  $\|e^{-t|A|}\| \leq 1$ . Thus,  $\eta \in D(A)$ . *QED*

**Proposition 4.12.** *Let  $A$  be an internal positive operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then, for any  $\eta \in \text{fin}(A^{\frac{1}{2}})$ ,*

$$\inf_{\xi \approx \eta} \circ \langle \xi, A\xi \rangle = \inf_{\alpha \sim \infty} \circ \langle \eta, E_\alpha A\eta \rangle. \quad (36)$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\eta \approx \xi$ . If  $\alpha < \infty$ ,  $\langle \eta, E_\alpha A\eta \rangle \approx \langle \xi, E_\alpha A\xi \rangle \leq \langle \xi, A\xi \rangle$ , that is,

$$\forall \varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}^+, \forall \alpha < \infty, \quad \langle \eta, E_\alpha A\eta \rangle \leq \langle \xi, A\xi \rangle + \varepsilon,$$

Thus, by the permanence principle,

$$\forall \varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}^+, \exists K \sim \infty, \forall \alpha \leq K, \quad \langle \eta, E_\alpha A\eta \rangle \leq \langle \xi, A\xi \rangle + \varepsilon.$$

By saturation,

$$\exists K \sim \infty, \forall \varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}^+, \forall \alpha \leq K, \quad \langle \eta, E_\alpha A\eta \rangle \leq \langle \xi, A\xi \rangle + \varepsilon.$$

Hence we have

$$\exists K \sim \infty, \quad \circ \langle \eta, E_K A\eta \rangle \leq \circ \langle \xi, A\xi \rangle.$$

It follows that  $\inf_{\xi \approx \eta} \circ \langle \xi, A\xi \rangle \geq \inf_{\alpha \sim \infty} \circ \langle \eta, E_\alpha A\eta \rangle$ .

On the other hand, we see that for all  $\alpha \sim \infty$ ,  $\|\eta - E_\alpha \eta\|^2 \leq \alpha^{-1} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta - E_\alpha \eta)\|^2 \leq \alpha^{-1} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta\|^2 \approx 0$ . Hence,

$$\forall \alpha \sim \infty, \quad \inf_{\xi \approx \eta} \circ \langle \xi, A\xi \rangle \leq \circ \langle E_\alpha \eta, A E_\alpha \eta \rangle = \circ \langle \eta, E_\alpha A\eta \rangle.$$

Thus it follows that  $\inf_{\xi \approx \eta} \circ \langle \xi, A\xi \rangle \leq \inf_{\alpha \sim \infty} \circ \langle \eta, E_\alpha A\eta \rangle$ . *QED*

**Proposition 4.13.** *Let  $A$  be an internal positive operator and  $\eta \in \text{fin}(A)$ . Then,*

$$\inf_{\xi \approx \eta} \circ \langle \xi, A\xi \rangle = \langle \hat{\eta}, \hat{A}\hat{\eta} \rangle. \quad (37)$$

*Proof.* From Proposition 4.12, we see  $\inf_{\xi \approx \eta} \circ \langle \xi, A\xi \rangle = \inf_{\alpha \sim \infty} \circ \langle \eta, E_\alpha A\eta \rangle$ . By Theorem 4.10 and Proposition 4.7, for sufficiently small  $\alpha \sim \infty$ ,  $\circ \langle \eta, E_\alpha A\eta \rangle = \circ \langle E_\alpha \eta, A E_\alpha \eta \rangle = \langle \widehat{E_\alpha \eta}, \widehat{A E_\alpha \eta} \rangle = \langle \hat{\eta}, \hat{A}\hat{\eta} \rangle$ . *QED*

**Definition 4.14.** *Let  $A$  be a internal bounded positive operator, and  $D \subset \text{fin}(A^{\frac{1}{2}})$ . The sesquilinear form  $\langle \cdot, A \cdot \rangle$  is standardizable on  $D$  if  $\langle \xi_1, A\eta_1 \rangle \approx \langle \xi_2, A\eta_2 \rangle$  for all  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2 \in D$  with  $\xi_1 \approx \xi_2$  and  $\eta_1 \approx \eta_2$ .*

**Proposition 4.15.** *Let  $D$  be a subspace of  $\text{fin}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $A \geq 0$ . Then,  $\langle \cdot, A \cdot \rangle$  is standardizable on  $D$  if and only if  $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is standardizable on  $D$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is standardizable on  $D$ . Then  $A^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi \approx A^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta$  for any  $\xi, \eta \in D$  with  $\xi \approx \eta$ . Thus,  $\langle \xi, A\xi \rangle = \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi\|^2 \approx \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta\|^2 = \langle \eta, A\eta \rangle$ . Conversely, suppose that  $\langle \cdot, A \cdot \rangle$  is standardizable on  $D$ . Then for any  $\xi, \eta \in D$  with  $\xi \approx \eta$ ,  $\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi - A^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta\|^2 = \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}(\xi - \eta)\|^2 = \langle \xi - \eta, A(\xi - \eta) \rangle \approx 0$ . *QED*

**Corollary 4.16.** *The set  $D(A^{\frac{1}{2}})$  is a maximal domain of  $\langle \cdot, A \cdot \rangle$ , and  ${}^\circ\langle \xi, A\eta \rangle = \langle \widehat{A^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi}, \widehat{A^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta} \rangle$  for any  $\xi, \eta \in D(A^{\frac{1}{2}})$ .*

## References

- [1] A. Robinson. *Non-Standard Analysis* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1966).
- [2] K. D. Stroyan and W. A. J. Luxemburg, *Introduction to the Theory of Infinitesimals* (Academic Press, New York, 1976).
- [3] A. E. Hurd, and P. A. Loeb, *An Introduction to Nonstandard Real Analysis* (Academic Press, Orlando, 1985).
- [4] S. Albeverio, J. Fenstad, R. Høegh-Krohn, and T. Lindstrøm, *Nonstandard Methods in Stochastic Analysis and Mathematical Physics* (Academic Press, Orlando, 1986).
- [5] C. W. Henson and L. Moore, "Nonstandard analysis and the theory of Banach spaces," In *Nonstandard Analysis—Recent Developments*, (A. E. Hurd ed.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 983. Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [6] L. C. Moore, JR., "Hyperfinite extensions of bounded operators on a Hilbert space," *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 218, 285–295 (1976).
- [7] C. C. Chang and H. J. Keisler, *Model Theory*, 3rd edition, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.
- [8] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics I, Functional Analysis*, (Academic Press, New York, 1972).