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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 General Background

Symmetries often occur in engineering and physical systems. If a system is linear, a symmetric system leads to a unique symmetric phenomenon. However, if a system is nonlinear, unsymmetric phenomena may be generated. The generation of unsymmetric phenomena formulate patterns. That is, patterns in nature appear by break of symmetries. Then it is important to reveal the relation between symmetric and unsymmetric phenomena in a symmetric system.

As for nonlinear circuits, lower dimensional systems with single nonlinear element have been studied very intensively and large amount of knowledge is gained. In regard to singlephase circuit nonlinear oscillations are investigated in [1] and the notion of chaos is emerged in [2]. In recent years, the advance of computers, that is, the appearance of high-speed CPU and large memories, makes it possible to analyze higher dimensional systems with many nonlinear elements. Then, the analyses of nonlinear circuit systems which have several nonlinear elements receive attention. In nonlinear circuit systems, because of the coupling of single nonlinear circuits, several phenomena such as unsymmetric phenomena and synchronizing phenomena which cannot be confirmed in a single nonlinear circuit are generated.

On the other hand, when there is a qualitative changes in the behavior of a system such as the transition from symmetric to unsymmetric phenomenon and synchronizing to unsyachronizing phenomenon, we call it bifurcation phenomenon. In other words, in a nonlinear system, distinctive features appear through bifurcations. Hence, global behaviors
in nonlinear circuit systems can be revealed by paying attention to the bifurcations.
In this thesis, nonlinear three-phase circuit with symmetry is investigated. The circuit consists of delta-connected nonlinear inductors, capacitors, resistors and balanced voltage sources. This circuit can be represented by a five dimensional system with the nonlinear coupling of inductors and with a structural symmetry. Hence, several phenomena which can't be confirmed in lower dimensional systems with a single nonlinear element are generated. This thesis make manifest distinctive features in the three-phase circuit in the viewpoint of bifurcation phenomena.

### 1.2 Background in Power System

Symmetrical three-phase circuit is quite fundamental and practical in a power system. In the three-phase transmission line with capacitors in series with voltage sources when the transformer becomes lightly loaded or no-loaded, the exciting impedance can not be neglected. As a result, the nonlinearity of the exciting impedance can not be neglected. There are, however, very few studies concerning oscillations in non-linear three-phase circuits.

In Japan an abnormal oscillation occurred in the Inawashiro transmission line in 1927. Since then the harmonic and higher harmonic oscillations in three-phase circuits have been investigated from both experimental and theoretical points of view [3]. The reference reveals that the undamped oscillation has infinite components of frequency and occur by the nonlinearity of transformers and the capacity of transmission lines. Researches on subharmonic oscillations, although observed in the transmission line compensated with series capacitors has rarely been carried out [4].
In recent years, a permanent non-periodic oscillation is observed on a 400 kV power system in France [5]. Further, the researches in the viewpoint of nonlinear dynamics are reported in $[6,7,8]$. The researches, however, investigate the single-phase models of the three-phase power systems.

In the three-phase circuit, higher harmonic and subharmonic oscillations as well as almost periodic and chaotic oscillations are generated. Further, as for the symmetry of the circuit, the breaking of symmetry is confirmed. The subharmonic oscillations of order $1 / 3$ and $1 / 2$ have been analyzed by means of the extension of the asymptotic method originally developed by Krylov, Bogoliubov and Mitropolsky, and are experimentally confirmed [9, $10,11,12,13,14,15$.

In this thesis, the bifurcation phenomena of the $1 / 3$-subharmonic, $1 / 2$-subharmonic and fundamental harmonic oscillations in the three-phase circuit are investigated from both theoretical and experimental points. As for the theoretical analysis, the steady state is formulated as a two-point boundary value problem and analyzed in detail by the homotopy method combined with a shooting method. Additionally, a real three-phase circuit is made up and by close experiments comparison with the results with homotopy method is made. In these analyses, paying particular attention to the symmetry of the circuit, the effects of nonlinear coupling are revealed and the relevancy of bifurcations in between three-phase and single-phase circuits becomes manifest.

### 1.3 Description of Contents

This thesis consists of 9 chapters and the outlines are shown as follows.
In Chapter 2, the three-phase circuit is formulated as a two-point boundary value problem and the homotopy method combined with a shooting method is shown. Further, the analytical methods of bifurcation phenomena are described.

In Chapter 3, the configuration of the experimental circuit and the measuring device are illustrated. Further, the configuration of the switching phase controller is described.

In Chapter 4 , the bifurcation phenomena of single-phase $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations are investigated. For the comparison with the three-phase circuit, a single-phase-like circuit and a coupled single-phase circuit are defined and analyzed.

In Chapter 5 , the bifurcation phenomena of two-phase $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations are investigated. Additionally, the relation between the single-phase and two-phase oscillations are represented.

In Chapter 6, the bifurcation phenomena of symmetrical $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations are investigated. The relations between symmetry and frequency of oscillations are also represented.

In Chapter 7, the bifurcation phenomena of fundamental harmonic oscillations are investigated. Additionally, the relations between the switching phase angle and the generated modes are presented.

In Chapter 8, the bifurcation phenomena of $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillations are investigated.

Chapter 9 is the concluding chapter summarizing the major results in this thesis.

## Chapter 2

## Fundamental Equation and Its Solution

### 2.1 Introduction

In this chapter, the circuit equation of the nonlinear three-phase circuit with symmetry is derived. Then we formulate equations to obtain the periodic solution of the circuit equation, which is a two-point boundary value problem, by using shooting method. Next, the Newton and general homotopy methods and the method of tracing path are shown. Further, codimension one bifurcations are defined and the method of searching the bifurcations is shown. Furthermore, the determining equations of bifurcation points are derived and the method of calculating bifurcation sets is shown.

### 2.2 Circuit Equation

We have the following circuit equations of the three-phase circuit illustrated in Fig.2.1.

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \phi}{d t} & =\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{e}(t)-\mathbf{A} v-\left(\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{A}^{\prime}+\boldsymbol{r}\right) \boldsymbol{i}(\phi) \\
C \frac{d v}{d t} & =\mathbf{A}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{i}(\phi) \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 2.1: Three-phase circuit.

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\phi & =\left(\phi_{a}, \phi_{b}, \phi_{c}\right)^{\prime} & & : \text { flux-interlinkage vector of inductor } \\
i(\phi) & =\left(i_{a}, i_{b}, i_{c}\right)^{\prime} & & : \text { inductor current vector } \\
\boldsymbol{e}(t) & =\left(e_{a}(t), e_{b}(t), e_{c}(t)\right)^{\prime} & & \text { three-phase voltage source vector } \\
\boldsymbol{v} & =\left(v_{a}, v_{b}, v_{c}\right)^{\prime} & & : \text { capacitor voltage vector } \\
\boldsymbol{R} & =\operatorname{diag}(R, R, R) & & : \text { diagonal matrix for series resistor } \\
\boldsymbol{r} & =\operatorname{diag}(r, r, r) & & : \text { diagonal matrix for delta-connected resistor } \\
\boldsymbol{C} & =\operatorname{diag}(C, C, C) & & \text { diagonal matrix for series capacitor } \\
\mathbf{A} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right) &
\end{array}
$$

where a prime means transpose, diag() represents diagonal matrix. The vector valued function $i(\phi)$ represents the magnetizing characteristics of nonlinear inductors defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
i(\phi)=\left(i\left(\phi_{a}\right), i\left(\phi_{b}\right), i\left(\phi_{c}\right)\right)^{\prime} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $i(\cdot)$ is an odd monotonically increasing function. The three-phase
symmetrical voltage source is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
e(t)=\left(e_{m} \cos (\omega t+\varphi),\right. & \left.e_{m} \cos \left(\omega t-\frac{2}{3} \pi+\varphi\right), e_{m} \cos \left(\omega t+\frac{2}{3} \pi+\varphi\right)\right)^{\prime}  \tag{2.3}\\
\omega & : \text { angular frequency of voltage source } \\
\varphi & : \text { initial phase angle. }
\end{align*}
$$

Introducing scale factors $\alpha_{\phi}, \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{v}$, we transpose variables in Eq.(2.1).

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\tau & =\omega t+\varphi \\
\boldsymbol{\Psi} & =\alpha_{\phi} \boldsymbol{\phi} \quad: \\
\boldsymbol{I} & =\alpha_{i} i \quad \text { scaled flux-interlinkage vector of inductor } \\
\boldsymbol{U} & =\alpha_{v} \boldsymbol{v} \quad: \text { scaled inductor current vector }  \tag{2.4}\\
\boldsymbol{E} & =\alpha_{v} \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{e} \quad: \text { scaled capacitor voltage vector three-phase voltage source vector } \\
& =\left(E_{m} \sin (\tau), E_{m} \sin \left(\tau-\frac{2}{3} \pi\right), E_{m} \sin \left(\tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi\right)\right)^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where, $E_{m}=\sqrt{3} \alpha_{v} e_{m}$, and we set $\alpha_{v}=\frac{\alpha_{\phi}}{\omega}$.
Now, we obtain the following scaled circuit equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d \tau}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi} \\
\boldsymbol{U}
\end{array}\right] & =\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}, \boldsymbol{U}, \tau)  \tag{2.5}\\
& \triangleq\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{E}(\tau)-\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{U}-\xi \widehat{\mathbf{A}} \boldsymbol{I}(\boldsymbol{\Psi})-\zeta \boldsymbol{I}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}) \\
\eta \mathbf{A}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{I}(\boldsymbol{\Psi})
\end{array}\right] \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\xi=R \frac{\alpha_{v}}{\alpha_{i}}, \quad \zeta=r \frac{\alpha_{y}}{\alpha_{i}}, \quad \eta=\frac{1}{\omega C} \frac{\alpha_{v}}{\alpha_{i}}, \\
\boldsymbol{I}(\boldsymbol{\Psi})=\alpha_{i} i\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}{\alpha_{\psi}}\right), \quad \widehat{\mathbf{A}}=\mathbf{A A}^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

### 2.3 Symmetry of Three-phase Circuit

### 2.3.1 Structural Symmetry

The three-phase circuit has symmetries. In this section, the characteristics of the threephase circuit are shown as to the symmetry.

First, we define the following matrix:

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{3} \triangleq\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0  \tag{2.7}\\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The matrix satisfies the relations

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{3}^{3} & =\mathbf{1} \\
\mathbf{A} \widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{3} & =\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{3} \mathbf{A} \tag{2.9}
\end{array}
$$

Considering the relation (2.9), the right-hand side of the circuit equation (2.6) satisfies the following equation $[16,17,18,19]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{f}\left(\widehat{\mathrm{C}}_{3} \boldsymbol{\Psi}, \widehat{\mathrm{C}}_{3} \boldsymbol{U}, \tau\right)=\mathrm{C}_{3} f\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}, \boldsymbol{U}, \tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{C}_{3} \triangleq\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{3} & 0  \tag{2.11}\\
0 & \hat{\mathbf{C}}_{3}
\end{array}\right], \quad \quad \mathbf{C}_{3}^{3}=\mathbf{1}
$$

Eq.(2.10) mathematically presents the structural symmetry of the three-phase circuit.
Assume that $[\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau), \boldsymbol{U}(\tau)]^{\prime}$ is a solution of Eq.(2.6), then

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d \tau} \mathbf{C}_{3}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi\right) \\
\boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi\right)
\end{array}\right]-\boldsymbol{f}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{3} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi\right), \widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{3} \boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi\right), \tau\right) \\
\quad=\mathbf{C}_{3} \frac{d}{d \tau}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi\right) \\
\boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi\right)
\end{array}\right]-\mathbf{C}_{3} \boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi\right), \boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi\right), \tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi\right) \\
\quad=\mathrm{o} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $\mathbf{C}_{3}[\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+2 / 3 \pi), \boldsymbol{U}(\tau+2 / 3 \pi)]^{\prime}$ is also a solution of Eq.(2.6). In the same way,

$$
\mathrm{C}_{3}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{2 n}{3} \pi\right)  \tag{2.13}\\
\boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{2 n}{3} \pi\right)
\end{array}\right] \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)
$$

are also solutions of Eq.(2.6). As for oscillations whose period is $n$ times that of the voltage sources (such oscillations will be called period- $n$ oscillation), the following relation is satisfied:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau)  \tag{2.14}\\
\boldsymbol{U}(\tau)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+2 n \pi) \\
\boldsymbol{U}(\tau+2 n \pi)
\end{array}\right]
$$

Therefore, as for the symmetry of $\mathbf{C}_{3}$ there are $3 n$ initial vector values at $\tau=0$ of the period- $n$ oscillations which are represented by

$$
\mathbf{C}_{3}^{k}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\frac{2 k}{3} \pi\right)  \tag{2.15}\\
\boldsymbol{U}\left(\frac{2 k}{3} \pi\right)
\end{array}\right], \quad(k=0,1, \ldots, 3 n-1)
$$

in general. If the relation

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(0)  \tag{2.16}\\
\boldsymbol{U}(0)
\end{array}\right]=\mathrm{C}_{3}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\frac{2 n}{3} \pi\right) \\
\boldsymbol{U}\left(\frac{2 n}{3} \pi\right)
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { or }\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(0) \\
\boldsymbol{U}(0)
\end{array}\right]=\mathrm{C}_{3}^{2 n}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\frac{4 n}{3} \pi\right) \\
\boldsymbol{U}\left(\frac{4 n}{3} \pi\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

is satisfied, we shall say the solution has a symmetry with respect to $\mathrm{C}_{3}$.

### 2.3.2 Symmetry Based on Magnetizing Characteristics

Next, we define the following matrix

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{2} \triangleq\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0  \tag{2.17}\\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The matrix satisfies the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{2}^{2} & =\mathbf{1}  \tag{2.18}\\
\mathbf{A} \widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{2} & =\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{2} \mathbf{A} . \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Considering the relation (2.19), the right-hand side of the circuit equation (2.6) satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{f}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}, \widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{2} \boldsymbol{U}, \tau\right)=\mathbf{C}_{2} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}, \boldsymbol{U}, \tau+\pi) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{C}_{2} \triangleq\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\hat{\mathbf{C}}_{2} & \mathbf{o}  \tag{2.21}\\
\mathbf{o} & \hat{\mathbf{C}}_{2}
\end{array}\right], \quad \quad \mathbf{C}_{2}^{2}=\mathbf{1}
$$

Eq.(2.20) is based on the odd function $\boldsymbol{I}(\boldsymbol{\Psi})$ of magnetizing characteristics of nonlinear inductors.

Assume that $[\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau), \boldsymbol{U}(\tau)]^{\prime}$ is a solution of Eq.(2.6), then

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d \tau} \mathbf{C}_{2} & {\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+\pi) \\
\boldsymbol{U}(\tau+\pi)
\end{array}\right]-\boldsymbol{f}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+\pi), \widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{2} \boldsymbol{U}(\tau+\pi), \tau\right) } \\
& =\mathbf{C}_{2} \frac{d}{d \tau}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+\pi) \\
\boldsymbol{U}(\tau+\pi)
\end{array}\right]-\mathbf{C}_{2} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+\pi), \boldsymbol{U}(\tau+\pi), \tau+\pi) \\
& =\mathbf{o} \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $\mathbf{C}_{2}[\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+\pi), \boldsymbol{U}(\tau+\pi)]^{\prime}$ is also a solution of Eq.(2.6). In the same way,

$$
\mathrm{C}_{2}^{\boldsymbol{n}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+n \pi)  \tag{2.23}\\
\boldsymbol{U}(\tau+n \pi)
\end{array}\right] \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)
$$

is also solutions of Eq.(2.6). Therefore, as for the symmetry of $\mathbf{C}_{2}$ there are $2 n$ initial vector values at $\tau=0$ of the period- $n$ oscillations which are represented by

$$
\mathbf{C}_{2}^{k}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(k \pi)  \tag{2.24}\\
\boldsymbol{U}(k \pi)
\end{array}\right], \quad(k=0,1, \ldots, 2 n-1)
$$

in general. If a relation

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(0)  \tag{2.25}\\
\boldsymbol{U}(0)
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{C}_{2}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(n \pi) \\
\boldsymbol{U}(n \pi)
\end{array}\right]
$$

is satisfied, we shall say the solution has symmetry with respect to $\mathbf{C}_{2}$.

### 2.3.3 Relation between $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$

The initial values of period- $n$ solutions(2.15) and (2.24) have the following relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{C}_{3}^{3 k}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(2 k \pi) \\
\boldsymbol{U}(2 k \pi)
\end{array}\right] & =\mathbf{C}_{2}^{2 k}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(2 k \pi) \\
\boldsymbol{U}(2 k \pi)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(2 k \pi) \\
\boldsymbol{U}(2 k \pi)
\end{array}\right] \quad(k=0,1, \ldots, n),  \tag{2.26}\\
\mathbf{C}_{3}^{k} \mathbf{C}_{2}^{l}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\frac{m}{3} \pi\right) \\
\boldsymbol{U}\left(\frac{m}{3} \pi\right)
\end{array}\right] & =\mathbf{C}_{2}^{t} \mathbf{C}_{3}^{k}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\frac{m}{3} \pi\right) \\
\boldsymbol{U}\left(\frac{m}{3} \pi\right)
\end{array}\right] \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
k=0,1, \ldots, 3 n-1 \\
l=0,1, \ldots, 2 n-1 \\
m=2 k+3 l \bmod 6 n
\end{array}\right) \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bmod 6 n$ is based on the period $2 n \pi(=6 n \pi / 3)$ of the period $-n$ solution. Considering the relations, there exist $6 n$ initial vector values at $\tau=0$ of the period $-n$ oscillations which
are represented by

$$
\mathbf{C}_{3}^{k}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\frac{2 k}{3} \pi\right)  \tag{2.28}\\
\boldsymbol{U}\left(\frac{2 k}{3} \pi\right)
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3}^{k}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\frac{l}{3} \pi\right) \\
\boldsymbol{U}\left(\frac{l}{3} \pi\right)
\end{array}\right] \quad\binom{k=0,1, \ldots, 3 n-1}{l=3+2 k \bmod 6 \pi}
$$

in general. Assume that the solution has symmetry with respect to $\mathbf{C}_{3}$, then there exist $2 n$ initial vector values at $\tau=0$ because of the relation (2.16). Assume that the solution has symmetry with respect to $\mathrm{C}_{2}$, there are $3 n$ initial vector values because of the relation (2.25). Further, if the solution has symmetry with respect to $\mathbf{C}_{3}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{2}$, then there exist $n$ initial vector values.

### 2.4 Two-point Boundary Value Problem and Shooting Method

We consider a periodic solution of Eq.(2.6). First, the integration of Eq.(2.6) from an initial state $[\boldsymbol{\Psi}(0), \boldsymbol{U}(0)]^{\prime}=\left[\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{0}, \boldsymbol{U}_{0}\right]^{\prime}$ gives

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau)  \tag{2.29}\\
\boldsymbol{U}(\tau)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{0} \\
\boldsymbol{U}_{0}
\end{array}\right]+\int_{0}^{\tau} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}, \boldsymbol{U}, s) d s
$$

Here, the singularity of matrix $\mathbf{A}$ leads us to the constraint of the capacitor voltages

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{a}(\tau)+U_{b}(\tau)+U_{c}(\tau)=U_{a}(0)+U_{b}(0)+U_{c}(0)=c \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is constant. This restriction is due to the capacitor cutset in the three-phase circuit. Substituting Eq.(2.30) into Eq.(2.29), we obtain the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{x}(\tau)=\boldsymbol{x}_{0}+\int_{0}^{\tau} \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{x}, s) d s \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{x}(\tau) \triangleq\left(\Psi_{a}(\tau), \Psi_{b}(\tau), \Psi_{c}(\tau), U_{a}(\tau), U_{b}(\tau)\right)^{\prime} & \in \boldsymbol{R}^{5} \\
\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \triangleq \boldsymbol{x}(0) & \in \boldsymbol{R}^{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{x}, s): \boldsymbol{R}^{5} \times \boldsymbol{R} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{5}$ is a vector-valued function obtained by substituting Eq.(2.30) into the function $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}, \boldsymbol{U}, \tau)$ in Eq.(2.29).

Considering a periodic solution of period $T$ of Eq.(2.31) is a two-point boundary value problem in which the solution to Eq.(2.31) in the interval $[0, T]$ must satisfy the boundary condition [20].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{x}(0)=\boldsymbol{x}(T) \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the mapping $\mathbf{T}: \boldsymbol{R}^{5} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{5}$, we can express the above problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}=\mathrm{T}\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{x}_{0}=\boldsymbol{x}(0) \\
& \mathbf{T}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{T} \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{x}, s) d s+\boldsymbol{x}(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

To solve the two-point boundary value problem with a shooting method, we define a nonlinear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{F}\left(x_{0}\right) \triangleq x_{0}-\mathbf{T}\left(x_{0}\right)=\mathbf{o} . \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of Eq.(2.34), that is, the fixed point of the mapping $\mathbf{T}$ determines a periodic solution of Eq.(2.6).

### 2.5 Homotopy Method

### 2.5.1 Newton Homotopy

To solve Eq.(2.34), we use the Newton homotopy method combined with a shooting method.
First, we define the Newton homotopy function $\mathbf{G}: \boldsymbol{R}^{6} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{5}$ for Eq.(2.34) represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \alpha\right) \triangleq \alpha \mathbf{F}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)+(1-\alpha)\left[\mathbf{F}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)-\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{a})\right] \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{R}$ is a homotopy parameter and $\boldsymbol{a} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{5}$ is a given vector. This function satisfies equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{a}, 0)=\mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{G}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, 1\right)=\mathbf{F}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) . \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we define the Newton homotopy equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \alpha\right)=\mathbf{o} \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we define a homotopy curve

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{o})=\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{o}}, \alpha\right) \mid \mathbf{G}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \alpha\right)=\mathbf{o}\right\} \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

We follow the homotopy curve from the initial point $(\boldsymbol{a}, 0)^{\prime}$ and when we arrive at $\alpha=1$, we have a solution $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ of Eq.(2.34).

### 2.5.2 Trace of Homotopy Curve

We define a vector $\boldsymbol{y} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{6}$ as

$$
\boldsymbol{y} \triangleq\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{x}  \tag{2.39}\\
\alpha
\end{array}\right]
$$

Assuming that the homotopy curve is represented by $\boldsymbol{y}(\theta)$, where $\theta$ represents the arclength of the homotopy curve, we try to trace the curve from

$$
\boldsymbol{y}_{0}=\boldsymbol{y}\left(\theta_{0}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{x}_{0}  \tag{2.40}\\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

calculating further solutions on the branch $\boldsymbol{y}_{k}=\boldsymbol{y}\left(\theta_{k}\right)(k=1,2, \ldots)$ by a predictorcorrector method.

First, we consider to obtain a tangent vector on the homotopy curve [21]. Assume that the full-rank condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank}\left(\left.\frac{\partial \mathbf{G}}{\partial \boldsymbol{y}}\right|_{\theta=\theta_{k}}\right)=6, \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists a tangent vector on the homotopy curve, and we define a vector as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\dot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k} \triangleq \frac{d \boldsymbol{y}}{d \theta}\right|_{\theta=\theta_{k}} \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq.(2.37) we can determine the tangent vector $\dot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k}$ which satisfies the following equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial \mathbf{G}}{\partial \boldsymbol{y}}\right|_{\theta=\theta_{k}} \dot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k}=\mathbf{0}, \quad\left\|\dot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k}\right\|=1, \quad \dot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k-1}^{\prime} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k}>0 \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ represents usual 2 -norm of a vector: Hence, we determine a vector $\boldsymbol{l} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{6}$ which satisfies the following equation

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left.\frac{\partial \mathbf{G}}{\partial \boldsymbol{y}}\right|_{\theta=\theta_{k}}  \tag{2.44}\\
\dot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k-1}
\end{array}\right] \boldsymbol{l}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{0} \\
1
\end{array}\right], \quad \dot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{o} \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

then obtain the vector $\dot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k}=\frac{\boldsymbol{l}}{\|\boldsymbol{l}\|} . \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Secondly, we construct a predictor vector. A simple predictor is a tangent predictor, that is, the predictor point for $\boldsymbol{y}_{k+1}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{y}_{k}+\delta_{k} \dot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k} \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\delta_{k}$ is an appropriate step length. This tangent predictor (2.46) is of first order. As another improved method, we adopt the second order predictor $\boldsymbol{y}_{k+1}^{*}$ which use the second order derivative of $\boldsymbol{y}$. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{y}_{k+1}^{*} \triangleq \boldsymbol{y}_{k}+\delta_{k} \dot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{k}^{2} \ddot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k} \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\boldsymbol{y}} \triangleq \frac{\dot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k}-\dot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k-1}}{\delta_{k-1}} \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine the step length $\delta_{k}$, we use the difference between the first order predictor and the second order predictor. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{2} \delta_{k}^{2} \ddot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k}\right\|=\epsilon_{\max } \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{\max }$ is a given positive constant. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{k}=\sqrt{\frac{2 \epsilon_{\max }}{\left\|\ddot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k}\right\|}} . \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we modify the predictor $\boldsymbol{y}_{k+1}^{*}$ with the corrector which is based on Newton iteration. That is, we start from the initial point $\boldsymbol{y}_{k+1}^{0}=\boldsymbol{y}_{k+1}^{*}$ and iterate the following step

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left.\frac{\partial \mathbf{G}}{\partial \boldsymbol{y}}\right|_{\boldsymbol{y}_{k+1}^{i}} \\
\dot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{k} \ddot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k}
\end{array}\right] \boldsymbol{\Delta \boldsymbol { y } _ { k + 1 } ^ { i }} } & =\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\mathbf{G}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{k+1}^{i}\right) \\
0
\end{array}\right]  \tag{2.51}\\
\boldsymbol{y}_{k+1}^{i+1} & =\boldsymbol{y}_{k+1}^{i}+\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{y}_{k+1}^{i} \tag{2.52}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, the step is iterated until the following condition is satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Delta \boldsymbol{y}_{k+1}^{i}\right\|<\epsilon_{\Delta y}, \quad \text { or } \quad\left\|\mathbf{G}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{k+1}^{i}\right)\right\|<\epsilon_{G} \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{\Delta y}, \epsilon_{G}$ are given small constants. If the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{y}_{k+1}^{i}\right\| & >\left\|\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{y}_{k+1}^{i+1}\right\|  \tag{2.54}\\
\left\|\mathbf{G}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{k L 1}^{i}\right)\right\| & >\left\|\mathbf{G}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{k+1}^{i+1}\right)\right\| \tag{2.55}
\end{align*}
$$

are not satisfied, we halve the step length $\delta_{k}$ and calculate the predictor $\boldsymbol{y}_{k+1}^{*}$ once more.

### 2.5.3 Calculation of Jacobian Matrix

When we trace the homotopy curve, we use the Jacobian matrix given below:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{G}}{\partial \boldsymbol{y}} & =\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{G}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}}{\partial \alpha}\right]  \tag{2.56}\\
& =\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}, \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{a})\right]  \tag{2.57}\\
& =\left[\mathbf{1}-\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}, \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{a})\right] \tag{2.58}
\end{align*}
$$

where the vector 1 represents a unit matrix. In the Eq.(2.58), we obtain $\frac{\partial T}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}$ by integrating the first variational equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \tau}\left[\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}(\tau)}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}\right]=\frac{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \tau)}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}(\tau)}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}} \tag{2.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

over the interval $[0, T]$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}(0)}{\partial x_{0}}=\mathbf{1} \tag{2.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.5.4 General Homotopy

In Eq.(2.34), we consider the circuit parameter $\mu \in\left\{\xi, \eta, \zeta, E_{m}\right\}$ and rewrite as the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{F}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \mid \mu\right) \triangleq \boldsymbol{x}_{0}-\mathbf{T}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)=\mathbf{o} \tag{2.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the circuit parameter is increased or decreased, the solution curve is followed by the general homotopy defined by the function $\mathbf{H}: \boldsymbol{R}^{6} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{5}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{H}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \mu\right) \triangleq \mathbf{F}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \mid \mu\right) \tag{2.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we define the general homotopy equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{H}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \mu\right)=\mathbf{o}, \tag{2.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we define a solution curve

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{o})=\left\{\left(x_{0}, \mu\right) \mid \mathbf{H}\left(x_{0}, \mu\right)=\mathbf{o}\right\} . \tag{2.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Starting from the parameter $\mu=\mu_{0}$ for the solution $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$, we can follow the solution curve $\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{o})$ to the specified value of parameter $\mu^{*}$.

In this case, the Jacobian matrix for the trace of solution curve is

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mu}\right] } & =\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial \mu}\right]  \tag{2.65}\\
& =\left[\mathbf{1}-\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}},-\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \mu}\right] . \tag{2.66}
\end{align*}
$$

In Eq.(2.66), we obtain $\frac{\partial T}{\partial \mu}$ by integrating the first variational equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \tau}\left[\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}(\tau)}{\partial \mu}\right]=\frac{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \tau \mid \mu)}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}(\tau)}{\partial \mu}+\frac{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \tau \mid \mu)}{\partial \mu} \tag{2.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

over the interval $[0, T]$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}(0)}{\partial \mu}=\mathbf{o} . \tag{2.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.6 Definition of Bifurcation Points

### 2.6.1 Stability of Periodic Solution

We can determine the stability of periodic solutions by the first variational equation. That is, for a value of $\mu$, let $\boldsymbol{x}(\tau)$ be a periodic solution to the equation (2.31) with a period $T$. The monodromy matrix based on the first variational equation (2.59) is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \mu\right) \triangleq \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}(T)}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}=\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}} \tag{2.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix $\mathbf{M}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \mu\right)$ has 5 eigenvalues $\Lambda\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \mu\right)=\left\{\lambda_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, 5\right\}$. If the eigenvalues satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda_{i}\right|<1 \quad \text { for all } \lambda_{i} \in \Lambda\left(x_{0}, \mu\right) \tag{2.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the periodic solution $\boldsymbol{x}(\tau)$ is stable. Additionally, we define the degree of unstability $\sigma$ by the number of $\lambda_{i} \in \Lambda\left(x_{0}, \mu\right)$ which doesn't satisfy the condition (2.70). If the solution is stable, the degree $\sigma$ is equal to 0 .

When the parameter $\mu$ is varied, we can distinguish three ways of the eigenvalue $\lambda_{i} \in$ $\Lambda\left(x_{0}, \mu\right)$ crossing the unit circle. That is,
(1) $\lambda_{i}=1$
(2) $\lambda_{i}=-1$
(3) $\operatorname{Im}\left(\lambda_{i}\right) \neq 0$
where, $\operatorname{Im}(\cdot)$ represents imaginary part. Now, we consider co-dimension one bifurcation$s$ [22] in the system of Eq.(2.34), that is, saddle-node, pitchfork, period doubling and Neimark-Sacker bifurcations. Then, we assume that only one eigenvalue is on the unit circle in the case (1) and (2), and assume that only two eigenvalues are on the unit circle in the case (3). Here, we can consider that $\lambda_{1}$ is on the unit circle in the case (1) and (2) without loss of generality and that $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ are complex conjugate on the unit-circle in the case (3) without loss of generality.

### 2.6.2 Saddle-node and Pitchfork Bifurcation

In the case of the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}=1$, there exists a vector $\boldsymbol{u}_{1} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{5}$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \mu\right)}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}\right|_{\substack{x_{0}=x_{j}^{*} \\ \mu=\mu^{j}}} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}=\mathbf{o} . \tag{2.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, $\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{*}, \mu^{*}\right)$ is a singular point. The singularity of the Jacobian $\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \mu\right)}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}$ leads to the assumptions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { kernel }\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}}\right) \text { is spanned by } \boldsymbol{u}_{1}  \tag{2.72}\\
& \text { range }\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}}\right)=\operatorname{kernel}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{1}\right) \text { with }\left\langle\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right\rangle=1 \tag{2.73}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\operatorname{kernel}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{1}\right)=\left\{\boldsymbol{z} \mid\left\langle\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \boldsymbol{z}\right\rangle=0\right\}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\boldsymbol{v}_{1}^{\prime} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}=\mathbf{o} .
$$

Assume that the operator $\frac{\partial \mathrm{H}}{\partial x_{0}}$ is restricted $\operatorname{kernel}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{1}\right) \mapsto \operatorname{kernel}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{1}\right)$, then we can define the inverse operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}^{-1}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}}^{-1}: \operatorname{kernel}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{1}\right) \mapsto \operatorname{kernel}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{1}\right) \tag{2.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we classify the singular point by Lyapnov-Schmidt decomposition [23]. First, we give $\mathrm{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{o})$ in the neighborhood of the singular point ( $x_{0}^{*}, \mu^{*}$ ) as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{0} & =\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{*}+x \boldsymbol{u}_{1}+\boldsymbol{m}(x, \nu)  \tag{2.75}\\
\mu & =\mu^{*}+\nu \tag{2.76}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\langle\boldsymbol{v}_{1}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{m}(x, \nu)\right\rangle=0$. We define projection $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{P} \boldsymbol{x} \triangleq\left\langle\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}\right\rangle \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{1}}  \tag{2.77}\\
& \mathcal{Q} \boldsymbol{x} \triangleq \boldsymbol{x}-\mathcal{P} \boldsymbol{x} . \tag{2.78}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{x} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{5}$. Then the general homotopy equation (2.63) is given as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{P} \mathbf{H}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{*}+x \boldsymbol{u}_{1}+\boldsymbol{m}(x, \nu), \mu^{*}+\nu\right)=\mathbf{0}  \tag{2.79}\\
& \mathcal{Q} \mathbf{H}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{*}+x \boldsymbol{u}_{1}+\boldsymbol{m}(x, \nu), \mu^{*}+\nu\right)=\mathbf{o} \tag{2.80}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we expand Eq.(2.80) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{Q} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}} \boldsymbol{m}+\nu \mathcal{Q} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mu}+\frac{x^{2}}{2} \mathcal{Q} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right)+x \mathcal{Q} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{m}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{Q} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{2}}(\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{m}) \\
&+x \nu \mathcal{Q} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0} \partial \mu} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}+\nu \mathcal{Q} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0} \partial \mu} \boldsymbol{m}+\frac{\nu^{2}}{2} \mathcal{Q} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mu^{2}}+\cdots=\mathbf{o} \tag{2.81}
\end{align*}
$$

From Eq.(2.81) we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\boldsymbol{m}(x, \nu)=\nu \boldsymbol{g}-\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}^{-1}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}} \mathcal{Q}\left\{\frac{1}{2} x^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right)+\nu x\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}} \partial \mu} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}+\frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{g}\right)\right)\right. \\
\left.+\nu^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mu^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0} \partial \mu} \boldsymbol{g}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{2}}(\boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{g})\right)\right\}+\cdots \tag{2.82}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{g}=-{\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}}^{-1} \mathcal{Q} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mu} \tag{2.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq.(2.79), we can define the following bifurcation equation $\tilde{H}(x, \nu)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{H}(x, \nu) & =\left\langle\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \mathbf{H}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{*}+x \boldsymbol{u}_{1}+\boldsymbol{m}(x, \nu), \mu^{*}+\nu\right)\right\rangle  \tag{2.84}\\
& =\tilde{H}_{01} \nu+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{H}_{20} x^{2}+\tilde{H}_{11} x \nu+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{H}_{02} \nu^{2}+\frac{1}{3!} \tilde{H}_{30} x^{3}+\cdots=0 . \tag{2.85}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{H}_{01} & =\left\langle\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mu}\right\rangle  \tag{2.86}\\
\tilde{H}_{20} & =\left\langle\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right)\right\rangle  \tag{2.87}\\
\tilde{H}_{11} & =\left\langle\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right)+\frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}} \partial \mu} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right\rangle  \tag{2.88}\\
\tilde{H}_{02} & =\left\langle\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbf{1}}, \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{2}}(\boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{g})+2 \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}} \partial \mu} \boldsymbol{g}+\frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mu^{2}}\right\rangle  \tag{2.89}\\
\tilde{H}_{30} & =\left\langle\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \frac{\partial^{3} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{3}}}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right)-3 \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}^{-1}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}} \mathcal{Q} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right)\right)\right\rangle . \tag{2.90}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, assume that $\tilde{H}_{01} \neq 0$, then we can show by the implicit function theorem [24] that the solution curve doesn't have an emanating branch (saddle-node bifurcation). When $\tilde{H}_{01}$ is equal to 0 , the solution curve has emanating branches and the coefficients $\tilde{H}_{20}, \tilde{H}_{11}, \tilde{H}_{02}$ determine the direction of them [25]. That is, let the Hessian matrix of $\tilde{H}(x, \nu)$ be

$$
H e s(\tilde{H}) \triangleq\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{H}_{20} & \tilde{H}_{11}  \tag{2.91}\\
\tilde{H}_{11} & \tilde{H}_{02}
\end{array}\right],
$$

and we consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{H}_{20} x^{2}+2 \tilde{H}_{11} x \nu+\tilde{H}_{02} \nu^{2}=0 \tag{2.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\operatorname{det}(H e s(\tilde{H}))>0$, then the singular point is an isola center. If $\operatorname{det}(H e s(\tilde{H}))<0$ then, the singular point is a transversal intersection of two branches. Furthermore, if $\tilde{H}_{20}=0$, then Eq.(2.92) has a solution $\nu=0$ and the solution curve $\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{o})$ has a branch which emanates in the direction of $\boldsymbol{u}_{1}$ (pitchfork bifurcation).

The saddle-node bifurcation is co-dimension one in general [26]. Additionally, because of the symmetry with respect to $\mathbf{C}_{2}$, the pitchfork bifurcation can be generated as a codimension one bifurcation in the three-phase circuit (appendix A). Now, we define the
saddle-node bifurcation and pitchfork bifurcation.
Definition: ( $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{*}, \mu^{*}$ ) is a saddle-node bifurcation, if the following conditions hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{H}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{*}, \mu^{*}\right) & =\mathbf{o}  \tag{2.93a}\\
\operatorname{rank}\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}}\right) & =4  \tag{2.93b}\\
\tilde{H}_{01} & \neq 0  \tag{2.93c}\\
\tilde{H}_{20} & \neq 0 \tag{2.93d}
\end{align*}
$$

Hypotheses (2.93a) and (2.93b) guarantee the condition of periodic solutions and $1 \in$ $\Lambda\left(x_{0}^{*}, \mu^{*}\right)$, respectively. Hypotheses (2.93c) guarantees that ( $x_{0}^{*}, \mu^{*}$ ) is not a branching point. Additionally, hypotheses (2.93d) guarantees a non-degeneracy condition.
Definition: $\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{*}, \mu^{*}\right)$ is a pitchfork bifurcation, if the following conditions hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{H}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{*}, \mu^{*}\right) & =\mathbf{0}  \tag{2.94a}\\
\operatorname{rank}\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}\right) & =4  \tag{2.94b}\\
\tilde{H}_{01} & =0  \tag{2.94c}\\
\tilde{H}_{20} & =0  \tag{2.94d}\\
\tilde{H}_{11} & \neq 0  \tag{2.94e}\\
\tilde{H}_{30} & \neq 0 \tag{2.94f}
\end{align*}
$$

Hypotheses (2.94a) and (2.94b) guarantee the condition of periodic solutions and $1 \in$ $\Lambda\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{*}, \mu^{*}\right)$, respectively. Hypotheses (2.94c) and (2.94d) guarantee that the point ( $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{*}, \mu^{*}$ ) is a transversal intersection of two branches and has an emanating branch in the direction of the vector $u_{1}$, respectively. Additionally, the hypotheses (2.94e) and (2.94f) guarantee non-degeneracy conditions.

### 2.6.3 Period Doubling Bifurcation

In the case of the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}=-1$ on $\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}, \mu^{*}\right)$, the Jacobian $\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}$, which has an eigenvalue 2 , is nonsingular. Then a smooth branch $\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\mathbf{o})$ passes through the point ( $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{*}, \mu^{*}$ ) without branching. However, the monodromy matrix of the interval $[0,2 T]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}_{2 T}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \mu\right) \triangleq \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}(2 T)}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}=\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}\left(\mathbf{T}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)\right)}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}=\mathbf{M}^{2} \tag{2.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

has an eigenvalue 1 . In other words, as for the general homotopy function for $2 T$, pitchfork bifurcation occurs. Then there is an emanating branches of period $2 T$ solution in the direction of the eigenvector belonging to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}=-1$ from ( $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{*}, \mu^{*}$ ).

Now, we define the period doubling bifurcation.
Definition: $\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{*}, \mu^{*}\right)$ is a period doubling bifurcation, if the following conditions hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{H}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{*}, \mu^{*}\right)=\mathbf{o}  \tag{2.96a}\\
& -1 \in \Lambda\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{*}, \mu^{*}\right) \tag{2.96b}
\end{align*}
$$

Hypotheses (2.96a) is the condition of periodic solutions and (2.96b) guarantees that the stability changes on the point where one of the eigenvalues of $\frac{\partial \mathrm{T}}{\partial x_{0}}$ is equal to -1 .

### 2.6.4 Neimark-Sacker Bifurcation

If the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ which is complex conjugate crosses the unit circle, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=e^{i \theta}, \quad \lambda_{2}=e^{-i \theta} \quad \text { for } \quad \theta \neq 0, \theta \neq \pi \quad i: \text { imaginary unit, } \tag{2.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the Jacobian $\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}}$, which has an eigenvalue $1-e^{ \pm i \theta}$, is nonsingular and a smooth branch $\mathrm{H}^{-1}(\mathrm{o})$ passes through the point ( $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{*}, \mu^{*}$ ) without branching. Assume that the angle $\theta$ is an irrational multiple of $2 \pi$, then the map $\mathbf{T}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)$ has an invariant curve, that is, there exists an almost periodic solution.

Now we define the Neimark-Sacker bifurcation.
Definition: $\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{*}, \mu^{*}\right)$ is a Neimark-Sacker bifurcation, if the following conditions hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{H}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{*}, \mu^{*}\right)=\mathbf{o}  \tag{2.98a}\\
& e^{ \pm i \theta} \in \Lambda\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{*}, \mu^{*}\right)  \tag{2.98b}\\
& \theta \neq \frac{2 \pi}{n} \quad n=1,2,3,4 \tag{2.98c}
\end{align*}
$$

Hypotheses (2.98a) is the condition of periodic solutions and (2.98b) guarantees that the stability changes on the point where two of the eigenvalues of $\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial x_{0}}$ are equal to $e^{ \pm i \theta}$. Hypotheses (2.98c) is the condition of weak resonances [27]. When $n=1,2,3,4$, the bifurcation is called strong resonance, which is co-dimension two bifurcation [26].

### 2.7 Search of Bifurcation Points

We consider to search the bifurcation points of co-dimension one [28], that is, saddle-node, pitchfork, period doubling and Neimark-Sacker bifurcations, by the general homotopy. We can discriminate the bifurcations by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { the eigenvalues } \Lambda\left(x_{0}, \mu\right)  \tag{2.99a}\\
& \text { the sign of } \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \theta} \quad \theta: \text { arclength parameter of solution curve } \tag{2.99b}
\end{align*}
$$

on the solution curve $\mathrm{H}^{-1}(\mathrm{o})$.
Next, we show the method of discrimination.

1. Suppose that $\exists \lambda \in \Lambda\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \mu\right)$ crosses the unit circle
(a) on $\lambda=1$.
i. if the sign of $\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \theta}$ changes, then the point is a saddle-node bifurcation.
ii. if the sign of $\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \theta}$ doesn't change, then the point is a pitchfork bifurcation.
(b) on $\lambda=-1$. Then the point is a period doubling bifurcation.
(c) on $\operatorname{Im}(\lambda) \neq 0$. Then the point is a Neimark-Sacker bifurcation.
2. Suppose that $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \mu\right)$ don't crosses the unit circle.
(a) if the sign of $\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \theta}$ changes, then the point is a pitchfork bifurcation.
(b) if the sign of $\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \theta}$ doesn't change, the point is not a bifurcation point.

By the above discrimination, we can obtain the approximate values of bifurcation parameters.

### 2.8 Equations of Bifurcation Points

After searching the approximate values of bifurcation parameter, we obtain the exact bifurcation points by solving the following equations of bifurcations which are defined for each bifurcations.

## Saddle-node bifurcation

The conditions of a saddle-node bifurcation (2.93a) and (2.93b) are formulated with eigenvector $\boldsymbol{u}_{1}$ as the following [29]:

$$
\mathbf{F}_{S}(\boldsymbol{y}) \triangleq\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{H}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \mu\right)  \tag{2.100}\\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}} \boldsymbol{u}_{1} \\
\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathrm{I}}\right\|^{2}-1
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{o}, \quad \boldsymbol{y}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \\
\mu \\
\boldsymbol{u}_{1}
\end{array}\right] \in \boldsymbol{R}^{\mathbf{1 1}}
$$

By solving Eq.(2.100), we can obtain the vector $x_{0}$ which is the solution on the bifurcation point, bifurcation parameter $\mu$, and right unit eigenvector $u_{1}$ belonging to the eigenvalue 0 of Jacobian $\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial x_{0}}$.

The Jacobian of $\frac{\partial \mathrm{F}_{s}}{\partial \boldsymbol{y}}$ is given below:

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{S}}{\partial \boldsymbol{y}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mu} & \mathbf{o}  \tag{2.101}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right] & \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right] & \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}} \\
\mathbf{0} & 0 & 2 \boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where the elements

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right] & =-\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}\left[\frac{\partial \mathrm{~T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right] \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right] & =-\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\left[\frac{\partial \mathrm{T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

are calculated by the following method. First, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{w}(\tau) \triangleq \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}(\tau)}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}} \boldsymbol{u}_{1} \tag{2.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq.(2.102),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \tau} \boldsymbol{w}(\tau)=\frac{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{w}(\tau) \tag{2.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{w}(0)=u_{1}$. Differentiating Eq.(2.103), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d \tau} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{w}(\tau)}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}} & =\frac{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{w}(\tau)}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}^{2}}\left\langle\boldsymbol{w}(\tau), \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}(\tau)}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}\right\rangle  \tag{2.104}\\
\frac{d}{d \tau} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{w}(\tau)}{\partial \mu} & =\frac{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{w}(\tau)}{\partial \mu}+\frac{\partial^{2} \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}^{2}}\left\langle\boldsymbol{w}(\tau), \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}(\tau)}{\partial \mu}\right\rangle+\frac{\partial^{2} \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x} \partial \mu} \boldsymbol{w}(\tau) \tag{2.105}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{w}(0)}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}=\mathbf{o}, \quad \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{w}(0)}{\partial \mu}=\mathbf{o}
$$

By integrating Eq.(2.105), we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{0}}\left[\frac{\partial \mathrm{~T}}{\partial x_{0}} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right] & =\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{0}}\left[\frac{\partial w(T)}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right] \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\left[\frac{\partial \mathrm{T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right] & =\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\left[\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{w}(T)}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We can confirm the hypotheses (2.93c) by the non-generacy of the matrix (2.101).

## Pitchfork bifurcation

The conditions of a pitchfork bifurcation (2.94a), (2.94b) and (2.94c) are formulated with eigenvector $\boldsymbol{v}_{1}$ as the following [30]:

$$
\mathbf{F}_{P}(\boldsymbol{y}) \triangleq\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{H}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \mu\right)+a \boldsymbol{v}_{1}  \tag{2.106}\\
\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}\right)^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v}_{1} \\
\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mu}\right)^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v}_{1} \\
\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{1}\right\|^{2}-1
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{o}, \quad \boldsymbol{y}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \\
\mu \\
\boldsymbol{v}_{1} \\
a
\end{array}\right] \in \boldsymbol{R}^{12}
$$

By solving Eq.(2.106), we can obtain the vector $x_{0}$ which is the solution on the bifurcation point, bifurcation parameter $\mu$, and left unit eigenvector $v_{1}$ belonging to the eigenvalue

0 of Jacobian $\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}}$. If the parameter $a \neq 0$, then the bifurcation is called "imperfect bifurcation".

The Jacobian of $\frac{\partial F_{P}}{\partial y}$ is given below:

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{P}}{\partial \boldsymbol{y}}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mu} & a_{\mathbf{1}} & \boldsymbol{v}_{1}  \tag{2.107}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}}\right)^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v}_{1}\right] & \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\left[\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}}\right)^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v}_{1}\right] & \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}}\right)^{\prime} & \mathbf{o} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mu}\right)^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v}_{1}\right] & \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\left[\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mu}\right)^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v}_{1}\right] & \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mu}\right)^{\prime} & 0 \\
\mathbf{o} & 0 & 2 \boldsymbol{v}_{1}^{\prime} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The elements of Eq.(2.107) can be calculated in the same manner of Eq.(2.101). We can confirm the pitchfork bifurcation by $a=0$ and Eq.(2.94d).

## Period doubling bifurcation

The conditions of a period doubling bifurcation (2.96a) and (2.96b) are formulated with eigenvector $\boldsymbol{u}$ as the following [31]:

$$
\mathbf{F}_{D}(\boldsymbol{y}) \triangleq\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{H}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \mu\right)  \tag{2.108}\\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}} \boldsymbol{u}+1 \boldsymbol{u} \\
\|\boldsymbol{u}\|^{2}-1
\end{array}\right]=\boldsymbol{o}, \quad \boldsymbol{y}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \\
\mu \\
\boldsymbol{u}
\end{array}\right] \in \boldsymbol{R}^{11}
$$

By solving Eq.(2.108), we can obtain the vector $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ which is the solution on the bifurcation point, bifurcation parameter $\mu$, and right unit eigenvector $\boldsymbol{u}$ belonging to the eigenvalue -1 of the Jacobian $\frac{\partial T}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}$.

The Jacobian of $\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{D}}{\partial y}$ is given below:

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{D}}{\partial \boldsymbol{y}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \mu} & \mathbf{o}  \tag{2.109}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}} \boldsymbol{u}\right] & \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}} \boldsymbol{u}\right] & \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}+\mathbf{1} \\
\mathbf{o} & 0 & 2 \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The elements of Eq.(2.109) can be calculated in the same manner of Eq.(2.101).

## Neimark-Sacker bifurcation

The conditions of a Neimark-Sacker bifurcation (2.98a) and (2.98b) are formulated with eigenvector $\boldsymbol{u}_{R}+i \boldsymbol{u}_{I}$ as the following [32]:

$$
\mathbf{F}_{N}(\boldsymbol{y}) \triangleq\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{H}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \mu\right)  \tag{2.110}\\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}} \boldsymbol{u}_{R}-\lambda_{R} \boldsymbol{u}_{R}+\lambda_{I} \boldsymbol{u}_{I} \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}} \boldsymbol{u}_{I}-\lambda_{R} \boldsymbol{u}_{I}-\lambda_{I} \boldsymbol{u}_{R} \\
\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{R}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{I}\right\|^{2}-1 \\
\boldsymbol{u}_{R}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{u}_{I} \\
\lambda_{R}^{2}+\lambda_{I}^{2}-1
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{o}, \quad \boldsymbol{y}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \\
\mu \\
\boldsymbol{u}_{R} \\
\boldsymbol{u}_{I} \\
\lambda_{R} \\
\lambda_{I}
\end{array}\right] \in \boldsymbol{R}^{18}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathrm{T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{R}+\boldsymbol{u}_{I}\right)=\left(\lambda_{R}+i \lambda_{I}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{R}+i \boldsymbol{u}_{I}\right) \tag{2.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

By solving Eq.(2.110), we can obtain the vector $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ which is the solution on the bifurcation point, bifurcation parameter $\mu$, and right unit eigenvector $\boldsymbol{u}_{R}+\boldsymbol{u}_{I}$ belonging to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{R}+i \lambda_{I}$ of the Jacobian $\frac{\partial \mathrm{T}}{\partial x_{0}}$.

The Jacobian of $\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{N}}{\partial \boldsymbol{y}}$ is given below:

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{N}}{\partial \boldsymbol{y}}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \mu} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0  \tag{2.112}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}} \boldsymbol{u}_{R}\right] & \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}} \boldsymbol{u}_{R}\right] & \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}}-\lambda_{R} \mathbf{1} & \lambda_{I} \mathbf{1} & -\boldsymbol{u}_{R} & \boldsymbol{u}_{I} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}} \boldsymbol{u}_{I}\right] & \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}} \boldsymbol{u}_{I}\right] & -\lambda_{I} \mathbf{1} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}}-\lambda_{R} \mathbf{1} & -\boldsymbol{u}_{I} & -\boldsymbol{u}_{R} \\
\mathbf{o} & 0 & 2 \boldsymbol{u}_{R}^{\prime} & 2 \boldsymbol{u}_{I}^{\prime} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathbf{0} & 0 & \boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{\prime} & \mathbf{u}_{R}^{\prime} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathbf{0} & 0 & \mathbf{o} & \mathbf{0} & 2 \lambda_{R} & 2 \lambda_{I}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The elements of Eq.(2.112) can be calculated in the same manner of Eq.(2.101).

### 2.9 Calculation of Bifurcation Sets

We consider to calculate the bifurcation sets of co-dimension one bifurcations. For each bifurcations of co-dimension one, we define another general homotopy function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{H}_{*}(\boldsymbol{y}, \tilde{\mu}) \triangleq \mathbf{F}_{*}(\boldsymbol{y} \mid \tilde{\mu}), \quad * \in\{S, P, D, N\} . \tag{2.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the circuit parameter $\tilde{\mu} \in\left\{\xi, \eta, \zeta, E_{m}\right\}$. Then we can obtain bifurcation sets of co-dimension one by following the curve

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{H}_{*}^{-1}(\mathbf{o})=\left\{(\boldsymbol{y}, \tilde{\mu}) \mid \mathbf{H}_{*}(\boldsymbol{y}, \tilde{\mu})=\mathbf{o}\right\} \tag{2.114}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can obtain co-dimension two bifurcations [33, 26] by searching the intersection of bifurcation sets of co-dimension one.

## Chapter 3

## Experimental Circuit

### 3.1 Introduction

In this chapter the experimental circuit is shown. With respect to the initial condition, i.e. the phase angle at which the oscillation starts, an ordinary switch is not adequate to create the accurate timing because it has a time lag which may not be constant for every operation. Then, the semiconductor switches made up by connecting with SCR and power diodes in parallel are adopted. For the purpose of the reappearance of experiment, the voltages must always be applied to the three-phase circuit at a predetermined phase angle of the voltage wave. Then, the switching phase controller which control the phase angle of triggers for the semiconductor switches is devised.

Additionally, the magnetizing characteristics are shown. Further, the methods of experiment are shown and the region of $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations are investigated.

### 3.2 Experimental Circuit

An experimental circuit is shown in Fig.3.1. The circuit consists of Y-connected balanced sources, switches which are managed by phase control circuit, series resistors and capacitors, and delta-connected nonlinear inductors and resistors.

Next, the details of the circuit elements are shown.

Three-phase symmetrical voltage sources: We use Y-connected single-phase autotransformers whose neutral point is not earthed. The rating of autotransformers are given below;


Fig. 3.1: Experimental circuit

Voltage slider.: 20kVA. Sec. max. current: 100A.
Pri. voltage: $200 \mathrm{~V} . \quad$ Sec. voltage: $0 \sim 240 \mathrm{~V}$.
Source frequency: 60 Hz .

Series resistor: We use two sorts of wire wound resistors which are connected in series.
The ratings of resistors are given below;

| Rough adjustment $(0.75 \mathrm{~kW})$ | $\cdots$ | Max. resistance: | $30 \Omega$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Max. current: | 5 A |
| Fine adjustment $(1.0 \mathrm{~kW})$ | $\cdots$ | Max. resistance: | $10 \Omega$ |
|  |  | Max. current: | 10 A |

Switch: "SW $(\theta)$ " shown in Fig. 3.1 is the semiconductor switch made up by connecting with SCR and power diodes in parallel. By applying voltage between cathode and
gate, we can connect the three sources and loads of the experimental circuit at the same time. The phase angle $\theta$ indicates that the voltages are applied to the threephase circuit at phase angle $\theta$ of the line-voltage wave $E_{a}^{\prime}$ in the figure.

Capacitor: Each capacitors are composed of 21 metalized polyethylene film condensers. We can vary from $7.5 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ to $472.5 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ by $7.5 \mu \mathrm{~F}$. We can charge the capacitors of each phases( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ ) independently with direct-current source. The rating of the capacitors is given below;
Withstand voltage: 500 V . Capacitance: $30 \mu \mathrm{~F}$.

Nonlinear inductor: We use the three inductors of cut core which are wound by a copper wire with a diameter of 1.1 mm . The magnetizing characteristics are shown in section 3.4. The direct-current resistance of inductors are $1.1 \Omega$. The three nonlinear inductors have almost the same characteristics.

Delta-connected resistor: We use wire wound resistors. We can detect the currents of the nonlinear inductors by their terminal voltages.

Phase controller: The phase control circuit can drive pulses for the SCR switch on any phases of the sources. The details of the circuit are described in the next section.

### 3.3 Phase Controller

### 3.3.1 Configuration of Circuit

In this section, we show the construction of the phase control circuit. The configuration of the phase control circuit is shown in Fig.3.2. Next, the functions of the circuit elements are shown.

Synchronizing signal generator: By using comparator, we make synchronizing pulse with source $(60 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The output is pulses of 0 and +5 V .

Frequency divider: The frequency of synchronizing pulses are divided to $1 / 2^{n}(n=1,2, \ldots, 8)$ with counters.


Fig. 3.2: Block diagram of phase control circuit.

Pulse delay circuit: This circuit make the phase of pulses shift and make the width of pulse reduce by a monostable multivibrator.

Driver for SCR: We amplify the output of the pulse delay circuit and drive pulse transformers. Then, the pulses trigger the first SCRs and supply the triggers from DC sources, for the SCR switches in the experimental circuit.

In the following sections, the details of each blocks are shown.

### 3.3.2 Synchronizing Signal Generator

The circuit diagram of synchronizing signal generator is shown in Fig.3.3. The principle part of the circuit is a relaxation oscillator of comparator. The oscillator is synchronized with the source that synchronizes with the experimental three-phase voltage sources.

Suppose that the diode $1 S 1585$ is opened and $R_{2}=R_{3}$, then the relation between the oscillation frequency $f$ and resistances is represented below;

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(1+\frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{c R_{8} f}}=1 . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 3.3: Circuit diagram of synchronizing signal generator.

In this case, because the source frequency is 60 Hz we set $f \simeq 60 \mathrm{~Hz}$. If the electric potential on (a) becomes larger than that on (b), the diode decrease the time constant of discharge of the capacitor. Hence, by setting the electric potential on (b) with resistors $R_{7}$ and $R_{8}$, we can synchronize the oscillator with the source.

The synchronizing method has the advantage of preventing the noise. That is, suppose that the source is connected directly to the comparator, the noise in the source generate extra pulses and the counter in the next block counts by mistake.

### 3.3.3 Frequency Divider

The circuit diagram of frequency divider is shown in Fig.3.4. Because we need only one pulse to trigger the SCR switches, we make pulses of long period by the frequency divider and we use one of them. The frequency divider is realized with two 4-stage binary counters $74 \mathrm{HC161}$. We can obtain the pulses of $2 \sim 256$ clock periods ( $0.033 \sim 4.3$ second). If the switch is reset after the first pulse, we can get only one pulse. In order to prevent chattering, $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ capacitor is added in parallel with the reset switch. The length of pulses is set by the switches shown in the lower part of the figure.


Fig. 3.4: Circuit diagram of frequency divider.

### 3.3.4 Pulse Delay Circuit

The circuit diagram of pulse delay circuit is shown in Fig.3.5. In this block, the phase of pulses are shifted and the width of pulses are reduced.

In the part of phase shift, the delay time $t_{d}$ is adjusted by the potentiometer $V R_{1}$. By setting the time constant of charge and discharge with $V R_{1}$, we can vary the time of crossing the threshold level $V_{t h}$ of CMOS. As a result, we can control the delay time of the pulses by adjusting the potentiometer $V R_{1}$.


Fig. 3.5: Circuit diagram of pulse delay circuit.

In the part of monostable multiviblator, the width of pulses are determined by the capacitor $C_{2}$ and the resistor $R_{2}$. That is, by adjusting the time constant of charge and discharge, we can set the time of crossing the threshold level $V_{t h}$. As a result, we can set the width of trigger pulses. The relation of the pulse width $T_{w}$ and the time constant $C_{2} R_{2}$ is represented below;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-e^{-\frac{T_{\omega}}{C_{2} R_{2}}}\right) V_{D D}=\frac{1}{2} V_{D D} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, we set the width of pulses $T_{w} \simeq 1 \mu \mathrm{~s}$.

### 3.3.5 Driver for SCR

The circuit diagram of SCR driver is shown in Fig.3.6. In this block the pulses are amplified and drive three pulse transformers. The diodes which are connected in parallel with primary windings prevent the transistor from the counter-electromotive force of the pulse transformers. The outputs of pulse transformer trigger the first thyristors and the DC source supply its voltage to the SCR switches.


Fig. 3.6: Circuit diagram of SCR driver.

### 3.3.6 Behavior of the Phase Controller

We confirm the behavior of the phase controller. As a synchronizing signal, we adopt commercial single-phase AC 100 V which synchronizes to the source of experimental circuit.

The behavior of phase controller is shown in Fig.3.7. The switching phase is set to $\theta=0^{\circ}$, $90^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}, 270^{\circ}$. The reference for the phase angle $\theta$ is the source line-voltage $E_{a}$ in Fig.3.1 which is the voltage between phase-b and phase-c of voltage source. The line-voltage $E_{a}^{t}$ represents the voltage of the right-hand side of SCR switches shown in Fig.3.1. Because the capacitor of phase-a is initially charged to $50 \mathrm{~V}, E_{a}^{\prime}$ show DC 50 V before the switch is closed. From the figure, we can confirm the phase controller operates accurately.


Fig. 3.7: The behavior of phase controller.

### 3.4 Magnetizing Characteristics

The measuring system of the magnetizing characteristics of nonlinear inductors are illustrated in Fig.3.8. In this figure, the frequency of voltage source is 60 Hz . The current $i$ and the flux of nonlinear inductors are obtain from the terminal voltage of $T_{T}$ and the output of integration, respectively. The result of measurement is shown in Fig.3.9. Because the effects of hysteresis is very small, we can neglect them. The three nonlinear inductors evidently have almost the same magnetizing characteristics.
The direct-current resistance of inductors are $1.1 \Omega$.


Fig. 3.8: The measuring system of magnetizing characteristics.


Fig. 3.9: Magnetizing characteristics of nonlinear inductors.

### 3.5 Experimental Method

### 3.5.1 System of Measurement

The measuring system of experiment is illustrated in Fig3.10. The measuring system is insulated by seven insulating amplifiers. The output of insulating amplifiers are connected to three oscilloscopes, a digital spectrum analyzer and an AD converter of eight port. We can observe six wave forms by the three oscilloscopes simultaneousiy. With the digital spectrum analyzer, we can obtain frequency spectra on real time. The output of the digital spectrum analyzer is connected to a recorder. On the other hand, the output of analogdigital converter(A/D converter) is taken in the memory of a computer and we can analyze the wave forms.

For the purpose of obtaining Poincare section, the oscilloscopes and A/D converter can be triggered externally. The trigger signals are generated by synchronizing signal generator and pulse delay circuit. The design of those circuits are similar to those of phase control circuit.


Fig. 3.10: The measuring system of experiment.

### 3.5.2 Generation of Oscillations

In this section, the fundamental method of generating oscillations is shown. We can choose the amplitude of source line-voltage $E_{\Delta}$, series resistance $R$, series capacitance $C$ and delta connected resistance $r$. Without notice, the resistor is fixed to $r=3.1 \Omega$ where the resistance of inductor $1.1 \Omega$ is contained. The processes of generating several oscillations and observe bifurcation phenomena are shown below;
(1) We set the series resistance $R$, the series capacitance $C$ and the amplitude of source line-voltage $E_{\Delta}$.
(2) We discharge all capacitors and charge one of them to a given initial voltage.
(3) We close the circuit at a given phase angle $\theta$ by triggering the SCR switches simultaneously with phase controller.
(4) We observe generated oscillations by the measuring system. If the generated oscillation is desired, we advance experiment (5). If the desired oscillation is not generated, we try (3) once more.
(5) We observe bifurcation phenomena by increasing or decreasing the parameter $E_{\Delta}$. The bifurcations are confirmed by the waveforms on the oscilloscopes and the the frequency spectra on the digital spectrum analyzer.

In the step (2), without notice, the capacitor of phase-c is charged. In this method, the initial voltage of capacitors and the initial phase of voltage sources are determined exactly. The initial fluxes are affected by remanent magnetizations so that the reappearance of the generating oscillations are not guaranteed completely. Hence, the step (3) is tried repeatedly until the desired oscillation is obtain.

### 3.5.3 Region of $\mathbf{1} / 3$-subharmonic Oscillation

Paying attention to $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations, several oscillations are generated in the three-phase circuit by the above method. Considering the number of dominant inductors, the $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations are classified into three modes. That is,
$\mathrm{M}_{1}$ mode: Oscillations excited by any one of the three nonlinear inductors. In this mode the current flows dominantly through only one inductor and the three-phase circuit operates as if it were a single-phase circuit.
$\mathrm{M}_{2}$ mode : Oscillations excited by any two of the three nonlinear inductors. In this mode the current flows dominantly through only two inductors and the three-phase circuit operates as if it were a two phase circuit.
$\mathrm{M}_{3}$ mode : Oscillations excited by all the three nonlinear inductors.
The modes $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ are structurally unsymmetric oscillations. The structurally symmetric oscillations belongs to $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ mode.

Further, varying the capacitances, the region of $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations on $E_{\Delta}-X_{C}$ plane is investigated by the above method. Here, $E_{\Delta}$ is the amplitude of the source linevoltage and $X_{C}$ is defined by the susceptance of capacitors, that is, $X_{C} \triangleq 1 / \omega C$. In this experiment the initial value of circuit elements, initial charge voltage and switching phase are determined to obtain the largest region of $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations of the three modes. The result is shown in Fig.3.11.

In the higher part of the susceptance $X_{C}>40 \Omega$, because we cannot decrease the capacitance by less than $7.5 \mu F$, the region of the generation can not be obtained. When the source voltage $E_{\Delta}$ is about 70 V and the susceptance $X_{C}$ is higher than about $30 \Omega$, we cannot investigate the region of $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations because of the harmonic resonances. When the source voltage $E_{\Delta}$ is about $40 \sim 50 \mathrm{~V}$ and the susceptance $X_{C}$ is about $25 \Omega$, the region cannot be obtained accurately because the $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations last for some time and fade away.

In the lower part of the source $E_{\Delta}$, the region of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ overlap each other. In this region the transition between $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ can be observed. The transition is shown in Fig.3.12. We can observe only the transition from $M_{3}$ to $M_{1}$ on the lower side of $M_{3}$ region. On the other cases, the $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations faded away.

The regions of $M_{2}$ and $M_{3}$ seem not to overlap each other. However, on the boundary between $M_{2}$ and $M_{3}$ the modes changes each other so that we cannot determine the boundary accurately.

The details of the bifurcations in each regions are described latter.


Fig. 3.11: The region of $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations (experiment).


Fig. 3.12: The transition of $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations $M_{1}$ and $M_{3}$ (experiment).

## Chapter 4

## Single-phase 1/3-Subharmonic Oscillation

### 4.1 Introduction

In this section the bifurcation phenomena of single-phase $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations are investigated [108]. First, the periodic solution curves are analyzed. Next, a single-phase-like circuit is defined and the comparison with the periodic solution curves of $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation in the single-phase circuit is made. Further a coupled single-phase circuit is defined and the modes of oscillation are revealed. Furthermore, the bifurcation sets are shown and compared with those of single-phase-like circuit. Finally, the experimental results are shown.

### 4.2 Periodic Solution Curve in Three-phase Circuit

### 4.2.1 Setting of Parameter

We set the series resistance $R=12.3 \Omega$ and the delta-connected resistance $r=3.1 \Omega$. As for the magnetizing characteristics, we apply the spline approximation of the real experimental results shown in section 3.4. The scaling factors are determined $\alpha_{\phi}=2.86$ and $\alpha_{i}=0.76$ so that the point $(1,1)$ may be on the scaled magnetizing characteristics. The susceptance of the capacitors are set to $\eta=0.27\left(X_{C}=27.2 \Omega\right)$.

The period in Eq. (2.33) are set to $T=6 \pi$ and Runge-Kutta method is applied to calculating integrals. The convergence criterion is determined to $\epsilon_{G}=10^{-7}$ in Eq.(2.53).


Fig. 4.1: Current waveforms of stable $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation (computation).

### 4.2.2 Periodic Solution Curve

It is not essential which inductor $\left(L_{a}, L_{b}, L_{c}\right)$ is active because the three-phase circuit is structurally symmetric. Then, we pay attention to $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillations in which the inductor $L_{a}$ is active and the others are not. Applying the Newton homotopy method which is described in section 2.5.1 at the source amplitude $E_{m}=0.23$, we can obtain two periodic solutions of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillations; one of which is stable and the other is unstable. The inductor current waveforms of the stable oscillation are shown in Fig.4.1. We can confirm that the current of inductor $L_{a}$ is large and the currents of inductor $L_{b}$ and $L_{c}$ are very small.

Next, applying the general homotopy method which is described in section 2.5.4, we obtain the periodic solution curve. The periodic solution curve on $E_{m}-\Psi_{a}$ plane is shown in Fig.4.2. In this figure, the real line and the dotted line represent the stable and unstable solution, respectively. The generated bifurcations are saddle-node bifurcations $S_{1} \sim S_{6}$, pitchfork bifurcations $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, and period doubling bifurcations $D_{1} \sim D_{4}$. The periodic solution curve consists of two loops, that is, $\mathrm{S}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ (loop 1) and $\mathrm{P}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{5} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{6} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{1}$ (loop 2). The two loops intersects each other on the pitchfork bifurcations $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. In Fig. 4.2, the bifurcation point $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}, D_{1}$ and $D_{3}, D_{2}$ and $D_{4}$ nearly overlap each other. The stable region is between $S_{1}$ and $P_{1}, P_{1}$ and $D_{1}, P_{1}$ and $D_{2}$.

The loop 1 has a symmetry with respect to $\mathbf{C}_{2}$ on Eq.(2.25). That is, the trajectories of the solutions have origin symmetry and the fluxes don't have DC components. On the


Fig. 4.2: Periodic solution of $1 / 3$-subharmonic $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation.
other hand, the loop 2 doesn't have the symmetry. That is, the trajectories of the solutions don't have origin symmetry and the fluxes have DC component. Paying attention to stable solutions, the symmetry with respect to $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ breaks on the pitchfork bifurcation $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ and the unsymmetric solutions appear.

On the period doubling bifurcations $\mathrm{D}_{1} \sim \mathrm{D}_{4}$, the branches of period-6 ( $T=12 \pi$ ) solutions bifurcate from the loop 2. Furthermore, the branches repeat period doubling bifurcations. The branches of period-384 $(T=768 \pi)$ solutions can be confirmed.

### 4.2.3 Variational Waveforms on Bifurcation Points

In order to make clear the effects of bifurcations, we investigate the local center manifold of the bifurcation points. First, we consider the following variational equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \tau} \delta \boldsymbol{x}(\tau)=\frac{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \tau)}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} \delta \boldsymbol{x}(\tau) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\delta \boldsymbol{x}(0)=\delta \boldsymbol{x}_{0}
$$

where $\delta \boldsymbol{x}(\tau) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{5}$. For the purpose of calculating the waveforms on a local center manifold, the initial vector $\delta \boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ which satisfies $\left\|\delta \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right\|=1$ is determined as follows;

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{M} \delta \boldsymbol{x}_{0}=1 \delta \boldsymbol{x}_{0} & \text { for saddle-node and pitchfork bifurcations, } \\
\mathbf{M} \delta \boldsymbol{x}_{0}=-1 \delta \boldsymbol{x}_{0} & \text { for period doubling bifurcations, } \tag{4.2}
\end{array}
$$

where M is the monodromy matrix defined by Eq.(2.69). In the case of saddle-node bifurcations and period doubling bifurcations, the vector $\delta \boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ can be obtained by the vector $\boldsymbol{u}_{1}$ in Eq. (2.100) and the vector $\boldsymbol{u}$ in Eq.(2.108), respectively. Integrating the variational Eq. (4.1) over the interval $[0, T]$, we obtain the waveforms on a local center manifold

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\delta \Psi_{a}(\tau), \delta \Psi_{b}(\tau), \delta \Psi_{c}(\tau), \delta U_{a}(\tau), \delta U_{b}(\tau), \delta U_{c}(\tau)\right) \\
& \quad \triangleq\left(\delta x_{1}(\tau), \delta x_{2}(\tau), \delta x_{3}(\tau), \delta x_{4}(\tau), \delta x_{5}(\tau),-\delta x_{4}(\tau)-\delta x_{5}(\tau)\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Waveforms and the frequency spectra on local center manifolds of bifurcation points $\mathrm{S}_{1}$, $P_{1}, S_{2}$, and $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ are illustrated in Fig.4.3.

As for the bifurcation $S_{1}$, the waveforms of $\delta \Psi$ on the local center manifold are $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation, that is, $\delta \Psi_{a}$ is large and $\delta \Psi_{b}$ and $\delta \Psi_{c}$ is small ( $\delta \Psi_{b}$ and $\delta \Psi_{c}$ almost overlap each other). The frequency spectra of $\delta \Psi_{a}$ indicates that the waveform is a $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation and doesn't have DC component. Thus, on the bifurcation point $S_{1}$, the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation loses its stability by the excitation of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation of order $1 / 3$.

As for the bifurcation $P_{1}$, the waveforms of $\delta \Psi$ on the local center manifold are $M_{1}$ oscillation. However the period is $T / 2$, that is, the oscillation of order $2 / 3$. It is confirmed by the frequency spectra which contents the components of $2 / 3$ and don't content $1 / 3$. Furthermore, the frequency spectra indicates that the waveform contents DC component. Thus, on the bifurcation point $\mathrm{P}_{1}$, the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation loses its stability by the excitation of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation which contents the components of DC and order 2/3. Then, instead of the oscillation, two stable oscillations which contents the components of DC and order 2/3 are generated.


Fig. 4.3: Variational waveforms on center manifold.

As for the bifurcation $S_{2}$, the waveforms of $\delta \Psi$ on the local center manifold are $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation, that is, $\delta \Psi_{b}$ and $\delta \Psi_{c}$ is large and $\delta \Psi_{a}$ is small. The frequency spectra of $\delta \Psi_{a}$ indicates that the waveform is $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations and doesn't have DC component. Although the point of $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ is the bifurcation in the region of unstable solutions, the degree of unstability $\sigma$ defined in section 2.6 .1 changes by the excitation of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation of order $1 / 3$. The bifurcation $S_{4}$ is similar to this point.

As for the bifurcation $\mathrm{D}_{2}$, the waveforms of $\delta \Psi$ on the local center manifold are $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation whose period is $2 T$, that is, order $1 / 6$ (the scale of $D_{1}$ in the figure is different from others). It is confirmed by the frequency spectra. Thus, on the bifurcation point $\mathrm{D}_{1}$, the period- $3 \mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation loses its stability by the excitation of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation which contents the component of order $1 / 6$. Instead of the period-3 oscillation, the stable period- 6 oscillation is generated.

### 4.3 Periodic Solution Curve in Singe-phase-like Circuit

### 4.3.1 Circuit Equations

As far as the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillations are concerned, the current through the phase-a capacitor which is $I_{b}-I_{c}$ in the Fig.4.1 is very small. Hence, we consider a single-phase-like circuit shown in Fig.4.4. The single-phase-like circuit is made by opening the phase-a of the three-phase circuit.

The scaled single-phase-like circuit equations are given below;

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\frac{d \Psi_{a}}{d \tau} & =E_{m} \sin (\tau)-U+2 \xi\left(I_{c}-I_{a}\right)-\zeta I_{a}  \tag{4.4}\\
\frac{d \Psi_{c}}{d \tau} & =-\frac{1}{2} E_{m} \sin (\tau)+\frac{1}{2} U+\xi\left(I_{a}-I_{c}\right)-\zeta I_{c} \\
\frac{d U}{d \tau} & =2 \eta\left(I_{a}-I_{c}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where

$$
U \triangleq U_{b}-U_{c}, \quad I_{a}=I\left(\Psi_{a}\right), \quad I_{c}=I\left(\Psi_{c}\right), \quad \Psi_{b}=\Psi_{c} .
$$

Because the dependency between the inductor currents $I_{b}$ and $I_{c}$, and the dependency between the capacitor voltages of phase-b and phase-c are generated, the single-phase-like


Fig. 4.4: Single-phase-like circuit.
circuit is a three dimensional system.

### 4.3.2 Periodic Solution Curve

Applying the general homotopy, we obtain the periodic solution curve on $E_{m^{-}} \Psi_{a}$ plane which is shown in Fig.4.5. The generated bifurcations are saddle-node bifurcations $\hat{S}_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{2}$, pitchfork bifurcations $\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{2}$, and period doubling bifurcations $\hat{\mathrm{D}}_{1} \sim \hat{\mathrm{D}}_{4}$. The periodic solution curve consists of two loops, that is, $\hat{S}_{1} \rightarrow \hat{\mathrm{P}}_{1} \rightarrow \hat{\mathrm{P}}_{2} \rightarrow \hat{\mathrm{~S}}_{2} \rightarrow \hat{\mathrm{~S}}_{1}$ (loop $\hat{1}$ ) and $\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{1} \rightarrow \hat{\mathrm{D}}_{1} \rightarrow \hat{\mathrm{D}}_{3} \rightarrow \hat{\mathrm{P}}_{2} \rightarrow \hat{\mathrm{D}}_{4} \rightarrow \hat{\mathrm{D}}_{2} \rightarrow \hat{\mathrm{P}}_{1}$ (loop $\hat{2}$ ). The two loops intersect each other on the pitchfork bifurcations $\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{2}$.

The loop $\hat{1}$ has a symmetry with respect to $C_{2}$ on Eq.(2.25), that is, the fluxes don't have DC component. On the other hand, the loop $\hat{2}$ doesn't have the symmetry and the fluxes have D.C. components. The stable periodic solutions exists in the neighborhood of $\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{2}$.

Comparing Fig.4.2 with Fig.4.5, we can find a big difference between the periodic solution curve of the three-phase and single-phase-like circuit. The higher part of the amplitude $E_{m} \simeq 0.68 \sim 0.74$ we can have bifurcation point and stable solutions in the single-phaselike circuit in Fig.4.5. On the other hand there is no such portion of the voltage in the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ of three-phase circuit shown in Fig.4.2. In the lower amplitude of $E_{m} \simeq 0.16 \sim 0.25$ both


Fig. 4.5: Periodic solution of $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations in single-phase-like circuit.
diagrams are fairly in good agreement.
The above difference is caused by the folding back of the solution curve which is generated by the saddle-node bifurcations $S_{2}, S_{5}$ and $S_{6}$ of $M_{1}$ oscillation. In the next section, we consider the details of the folding back.

### 4.4 Mode of Oscillation

In this section, we consider the portion where the periodic solution curve of three-phase circuit is different from that of single-phase-like circuit. First, the current waveforms on the bifurcation point $S_{3}$ is illustrated in Fig.4.6. Although this solution is unstable, the mode is like $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ in which inductors $L_{a}$ and $L_{b}$ is active and $L_{c}$ is not active.


Fig. 4.6: Current waveforms on bifurcation point $S_{3}$ (computation).


Fig. 4.7: Amplitude characteristics of periodic solution curves of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation.

Then, in order that we make apparent the transition from the mode $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{M}_{2}$, the amplitude characteristics of the periodic solution curve in the three-phase circuit is shown in

Fig.4.7. The horizontal axis is the source line-voltage $E_{\mathrm{m}}$ and the vertical axis $I$ is the maximum value of inductor currents. If the loop corresponding to the loop 2 in Fig.4.2 is shown, the figure becomes so complicated that only the loop corresponding to the loop 1 is shown. The three loops correspond to the maximum values of inductor currents $I_{a}, I_{b}$ and $I_{c}$.

On the bifurcation point $S_{1}$, the currents through the inductor $L_{a}$ is large and the other two is very small, that is, the mode $\mathrm{M}_{1}$. On the other hand, on the bifurcation point $S_{3}$, the oscillation is $M_{2}$ mode. We can confirm that the mode of oscillations changes on the neighborhood of $S_{2}$ and $S_{4}$. Paying attention to the maximum values of inductor currents $I_{b}$ on $S_{2}$ and $S_{4}$, the current values are about 0.11 . The current value corresponds to the flux about 0.30 in the magnetizing characteristics of nonlinear inductor shown in Fig.fig:reizitoksei. It indicates that the saturation of inductor $L_{b}$ causes the transition from $M_{1}$ to $M_{2}$ mode and the bifurcation point $S_{2}$ and $S_{4}$ on which the solution curve folds back is the boundary of the modes.

### 4.5 Coupled Single-phase Circuit

### 4.5.1 Coupled Single-phase Circuit Equation

In order to make clear the transition from $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ mode, we consider a coupled singlephase circuit shown in Fig.4.8. That is, by analyzing the coupled single-phase circuit, we try to reveal the effects of coupling of the single-phase oscillation. The coupled single-phase circuit consists of three sigle-phase circuits and connecting resistors $\bar{R}_{v}$. In a steady state, the coupled single-phase circuit for $\bar{R}_{v}=0$ is equivalent to the three-phase circuit shown in Fig.2.1. As increasing the parameter $\bar{R}_{v}$, the strength of the coupling becomes weak. Eventually, for $\bar{R}_{v}=\infty$ the circuit is decomposed into three independent single-phase circuits which are two dimensional systems.

We have the following circuit equations with the scaling factors in Eq.(2.4).

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d \tau}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}} \\
\overline{\boldsymbol{U}}
\end{array}\right] & =\overline{\boldsymbol{f}}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}, \overline{\boldsymbol{U}}, \tau)  \tag{4.5}\\
& \triangleq\left[\begin{array}{l}
\overline{\boldsymbol{E}}(\tau)-\overline{\boldsymbol{U}}-(\bar{\xi}+\bar{\zeta}) \overline{\boldsymbol{I}}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}})+\frac{\mu}{3} \mathbf{B}(\bar{\xi} \overline{\boldsymbol{I}}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}})+\overline{\boldsymbol{U}}) \\
\bar{\eta} \overline{\boldsymbol{I}}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}})-\frac{\mu}{3} \bar{\eta} \overline{\bar{\xi}} \mathbf{B}(\bar{\xi} \overline{\boldsymbol{I}}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}})+\overline{\boldsymbol{U}})
\end{array}\right] \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 4.8: Coupled single-phase circuit.
where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}=\left(\bar{\Psi}_{a}, \bar{\Psi}_{b}, \bar{\Psi}_{c}\right)^{\prime} \triangleq \omega \alpha_{v} \bar{\phi}, \\
& \overline{\mathbf{U}}=\left(\bar{U}_{a}, \bar{U}_{b}, \bar{U}_{c}\right)^{\prime} \triangleq \alpha_{v} \overline{\boldsymbol{v}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\xi}=\bar{R} \frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{v}}, \quad \bar{\zeta}=\bar{r} \frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{v}}, \quad \bar{\eta}=\frac{1}{\omega \bar{C}} \frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{v}}, \quad \mu=\frac{1}{1+\frac{\bar{R}_{v}}{\bar{R}}}, \\
& \mathbf{B}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The vectors $\bar{\phi}$ and $\bar{v}$ are the flux-interlinkage and capacitor voltage vectors, respectively. The parameter $\bar{R}_{v}$ is the resistance of resistor which connects single-phase circuits. The
vector of three-phase voltage source is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathbf{E}}(\tau) & =\alpha_{v} \bar{e}(\tau) \\
& =\left(E_{m} \sin (\tau), E_{m} \sin \left(\tau-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right), E_{m} \sin \left(\tau+\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)\right)^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

The vector-valued function $\overline{\boldsymbol{I}}(\overline{\mathbf{\Psi}})$ represents the magnetizing characteristics of nonlinear inductors given by

$$
\bar{I}(\bar{\Psi})=\left(\bar{I}\left(\bar{\Psi}_{a}\right), \bar{I}\left(\bar{\Psi}_{b}\right), \bar{I}\left(\bar{\Psi}_{c}\right)\right)^{\prime}
$$

Relations between the parameters in the three-phase circuit and those in the coupled single-phase circuit are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\boldsymbol{e}}=\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{e}, \quad \bar{R}=3 R, \quad \bar{r}=r, \quad \bar{C}=\frac{C}{3}, \quad \overline{\boldsymbol{I}}(\cdot)=\boldsymbol{I}(\cdot) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq.(4.6), the parameter $\mu(0 \leq \mu \leq 1)$ represents the coupling coefficient. Setting the parameter $\mu=1\left(\bar{R}_{v}=0\right)$ and $\bar{U}_{a}+\bar{U}_{b}+\bar{U}_{c}=0$, we obtain the equation equivalent to the three-phase circuit equation. The relation of the state variables is

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}} & =\boldsymbol{\Psi}  \tag{4.8}\\
\overline{\boldsymbol{U}} & =\frac{1}{3}\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 4 & -2 \\
-2 & 1 & 4 \\
4 & -2 & 1
\end{array}\right) \boldsymbol{U}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

At $\mu=0\left(\bar{R}_{v} \rightarrow \infty\right)$, the circuit is decomposed into three independent single-phase circuits. In this article, we call one of them a single-phase circuit.

### 4.5.2 Transition of Solution Curve

Applying the general homotopy method, we obtain the periodic solution curve on $E_{m}-\Psi_{a}$ plane. When the coupling coefficient $\mu$ is decreased from 1 to 0 , the periodic solution curves is shown in Fig.4.9.


Fig. 4.9: Transition of solution curves from three-phase to single-phase circuit.

At the coupling coefficient $\mu=1$, the solution curve is equal to that of the three-phase circuit. As decreasing the parameter $\mu$, the folding back points shift to the larger amplitude of $E_{m}(\mu=0.05)$. Then, pitchfork bifurcations and stable region appear in the higher part of the amplitude $E_{m}(\mu=0.04)$. Furthermore, the solution curve is divided into two disconnected solution curves one of which contains stable solutions and the other don't contain ( $\mu=0.03$ ). Eventually, at the coupling coefficient $\mu=0$ (three independent singlephase circuits), the divided two solution curves overlap each other. One of the solution curves contains the region of stable solutions and is similar to the solution curve in the single-phase-like circuit shown in Fig.4.5. On the other hand, the other solution curve consists of unstable solutions whose degrees of unstability $\sigma$ are one degree larger than those of the above curve on every point.

At the coupling coefficient $\mu=0$, the solution curve containing stable solutions corresponds to three single-phase circuits; the solution of one circuit is stable $1 / 3$-subharmonic and the solutions of the other two circuits are fundamental harmonic solutions. In other words, those are $M_{1}$ solution in the three sigle-phase circuit and the solution curve is very similar to the solution curve in single-phase-like circuit. On the other hand, the other curve corresponds to three single-phase circuits; the solution of one circuit is stable $1 / 3$ subharmonic, that of another circuit is unstable $1 / 3$-subharmonic and that of the other is fundamental harmonic solution. In other words, those are $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ solution in the three singlephase circuit. Thus, it becomes apparent that the folded back structure of the solution curve in Fig. 4.2 consists of the solution curves of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ modes in the three single-phase circuits.

### 4.6 Bifurcation Set

Applying the general homotopy which are described in the section 2.9, we obtain the bifurcation sets. As for the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillations in the three-phase circuit, the bifurcation sets on $E_{m}-\eta$ plane are shown in Fig.4.10, where the parameter $\eta$ represents the susceptance of the capacitors and $S_{i}, P_{i}, D_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots)$ represents the sets of bifurcation points $S_{i}, P_{i}$, $\mathrm{D}_{i}$, respectively. The bifurcation sets $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ and the bifurcation sets $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ almost overlap mutually, respectively. On the other hand, as for the $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations in the single-phase circuit, the bifurcation sets on $E_{m}-\eta$ plane are shown in Fig.4.11, where $\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{i}, \hat{\mathrm{P}}_{i}, \hat{\mathrm{D}}_{i}$ represents the sets of bifurcation points $\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{i}, \hat{\mathrm{P}}_{i}, \hat{\mathrm{P}}_{i}$, respectively.


Fig. 4.10: Bifurcation sets of $1 / 3$-subharmonic $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillations in three-phase circuit.


Fig. 4.11: Bifurcation sets of $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations in single-phase-like circuit.

In the case of the single-phase-like circuit, the region of stable $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations is annular. That is, from outside the structure of the annulus is saddle-node, pitchfork and period doubling bifurcation sets. As a result, there exists stable region in the higher amplitude of the source line-voltage $E_{m}$. On the other hand, in the case of the three-phase circuit, the region of the stable $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillations is restricted in the lower amplitude of $E_{m}$. It is caused by the folding back of the periodic solution curve. And the folding back also brings co-dimension two bifurcations $\left\{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right\}=P_{1} \cap S_{2} \cap S_{5},\left\{\beta_{3}, \beta_{4}\right\}=D_{1} \cap \mathrm{~S}_{5}$.

### 4.7 Experimental Results

We choose the same circuit parameters that is denoted in section 4.2.1. That is, the series resistance $R=12.3 \Omega$, the delta-connected resistance $r=3.1 \Omega$ and the susceptance $X_{c}=27.2 \Omega(C=97.5 \mu F)$. When we increase the amplitude of source line-voltage $E_{\Delta}$, the frequency spectra of active inductor currents of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillations are shown in Fig. 4.12. At the lower amplitude of source line-voltage $E_{\Delta}$, the components $20,60,100 \mathrm{~Hz}$ which are odd harmonics of order $1 / 3$ can be seen. Increasing $E_{\Delta}$, we can see the generation of components $40,80 \mathrm{~Hz}$, that is, even harmonics of order $1 / 3$. Furthermore, at $E_{\Delta} \simeq 32 \mathrm{~V}$, we can see the generation of $30,50, \cdots \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $25,35, \cdots \mathrm{~Hz}$. Then after the generation of many frequency components, the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ mode comes to a chaotic oscillation. In the chaotic region we can confirm a window of period-3.

The waveforms of inductor currents and capacitor voltages of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ by the experiment are shown in Fig.4.13. Fig.4.13(a) shows the $M_{1}$ oscillation which contains only the odd harmonics of order $1 / 3$. Here, the topmost figure $E_{a}$ shows the source line-voltage between phase-b and phase-c which is fundamental harmonic. The $v_{a}, v_{b}, v_{c}$ shows the waveforms of capacitor voltages and $I_{a}, I_{b}, I_{c}$ shows the waveforms of inductor currents. Fig.4.13(b) shows the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillations which contains the even harmonics of order $1 / 3$. We can confirm the effects of even harmonics from the waveform of $I_{a}$. Fig.4.13(c) shows the chaotic $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillations. We can confirm the waveforms are not periodic.

Comparing the experimental results with the bifurcation diagram Fig.4.2, the generation of even harmonics of order $1 / 3$ represents the pitchfork bifurcation $\mathrm{P}_{1}$. The generation of components $30,50, \cdots \mathrm{~Hz}$ represents the period doubling bifurcations $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2}$. Further, the generation of components $25,35, \cdots \mathrm{~Hz}$ and other many components represents the period doubling cascade.


Fig. 4.12: Frequency spectra of active inductor currents.

By varying the source line-voltage $E_{\Delta}$ and the capacitance $C$, the region of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ is obtained by the method shown in section 3.5. In this experiment, the phase angle $\theta$ and initial charge of capacitor are chosen every time so that $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation may be generated in a wide region. Fig.4.14 shows the bifurcation phenomena of $1 / 3$-subharmonic $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation on $E_{\Delta^{-}} X_{c}$ plane. In the lower part of the susceptance $X_{c}$, the region of $M_{1}$ oscillation overlaps to that of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ (Fig.3.11) so that the phase angle $\theta$ has to be chosen very delicately. Comparing this figure with the bifurcation diagram Fig.4.10, the generation of the component 40 Hz corresponds to the bifurcation sets $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ and the generation of the component 30 Hz corresponds to the bifurcation sets $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$.

Fig. 4.15 shows the bifurcation phenomena of $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations in the single-phase-like circuit. In the higher part of source line-voltage $E_{\Delta}$ and susceptance $X_{c}$, the $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations can not be observed by the harmonic resonance. The structure of bifurcation phenomena is annular and it agrees fairly well with Fig.4.11. Comparing with the three-phase circuit and single-phase-like circuit, in the higher amplitude of $E_{\Delta}$, there


Fig. 4.13: Waveforms of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillations (experiment).


Fig. 4.14: Bifurcation phenomena in three-phase circuit.


Fig. 4.15: Bifurcation phenomena in single-phase-like circuit.


Fig. 4.16: Waveforms of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation when phase-a is cut off.
is a big difference; although $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation is not generated in the three-phase circuit, the $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation in single-phase-like circuit is generated. On the other hand, the structure of bifurcation phenomena in the lower part of $E_{\Delta}$ is fairly in good agreement.

In order to know if the very weak current of phase-a makes any contribution to the generation of mode $\mathrm{M}_{1}$, we cut the line of phase-a as shown in Fig.4.16. Then the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation continue and the changes of waveforms are very small. By the experiment we can confirm the similarity of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ in the three-phase circuit and $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation in the single-phase-like circuit in the lower amplitude of source line-voltage.

As a whole, the experimental results agree fairly well with the analytical one.

### 4.8 Concluding Remarks

In this section, the bifurcation phenomena of single-phase $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations ( $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ mode) in the three-phase circuit is investigated by the homotopy methods and experiments.

For the comparison of the three-phase circuit, the single-phase-like circuit is defined. The results in the single-phase-like circuit reveal that the region of stable $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations is annular. From the outside, the structure of the annulus is saddle-node, pitchfork and period doubling bifurcation. Within the period doubling bifurcation set, chaotic attractor appears via period doubling cascade.

Mode $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ is very similar to $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation in single-phase-like circuit with respect to the saddle-node, pitchfork and period doubling bifurcations in the lower amplitude of source voltage. However, the difference between them can be found. The solution curve of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ folded back, the stable $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ doesn't occur in the higher part of source voltage. Moreover, it becomes manifest that the fold brings co-dimension two bifurcations in two-parameter bifurcation diagram.

In order to reveal the relation between $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ mode and $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations in the single-phase circuit, the coupled single-phase circuit is defined. The analyses reveals that the folding back is caused by the coupling of solution curves of stable $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillations and unstable $M_{2}$ oscillations in the three single-phase circuits.

## Chapter 5

## Two-phase 1/3-Subharmonic Oscillation

### 5.1 Introduction

In this section, we reveal the bifurcation phenomena of two-phase $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations in the three-phase circuit [109]. solution curves and bifurcation sets by homotopy method are analyzed. Next, the comparison with single-phase $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation is made. Further the bifurcations in coupled single-phase circuit are analyzed. Finally, experimental results are shown.

### 5.2 Periodic Solution Curve in Three-phase Circuit

We choose the same circuit parameters that is denoted in section 4.2.1. That is, the series resistance $R=12.3 \Omega$, the delta-connected resistance $r=3.1 \Omega$.

In this section, we pay attention to $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillations in which the inductors $L_{a}$ and $L_{b}$ are active and $L_{c}$ is not active. By the Newton homotopy method, the periodic solutions of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillations are obtained. As for $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillations which has a symmetry with respect to $\mathrm{C}_{2}$, the stable region is very small. Hence, we consider unsymmetric oscillations. The inductor current waveforms of the stable oscillation at the source line-voltage $E_{m}=0.42$ and the susceptance $\eta=0.19$ is shown in Fig.5.1. We can confirm that the inductor currents $I_{a}$ and $I_{b}$ are large and the inductor current $I_{c}$ is very small. The waveforms of $I_{a}$ and $I_{b}$ are different from each other as regards phase relation and amplitude. The waveform of $I_{a}$ is similar to that of $I_{a}$ in $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation.


Fig. 5.1: Current waveforms of stable $1 / 3$-subharmonic $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation (computation).

Next, applying the general homotopy method, we obtain the periodic solution curve for the susceptance $\eta=0.125,0.131,0.140,0.145,0.173$. The solution curve on $E_{m}-\Psi_{c}$ plane is shown in Fig.5.2. The generated bifurcations are saddle-node bifurcations $S_{7} \sim S_{10}$, and Neimark-Sacker bifurcations $\mathrm{N}_{1} \sim \mathrm{~N}_{4}$. A notation, for example, ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$ ), shows the portion of the stable solution curve between the bifurcation points denoted in the parenthesis.

For the parameter $\eta=0.125$, stable and unstable solutions are found accompanied with a couple of saddle-node bifurcations $S_{7}$ and $S_{8}$. When the parameter $\eta$ is increased up to 0.131 , the stable solution curve $\left(\mathrm{S}_{7}, \mathrm{~S}_{8}\right)$ splits into two parts $\left(\mathrm{S}_{7}, \mathrm{~N}_{1}\right)$ and ( $\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{8}$ ) by a couple of Neimark-Sacker bifurcations $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$. For the parameter $\eta=0.140$ the curve ( $\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{8}$ ) of the stable solution curve spits into two parts ( $\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{9}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{S}_{10}, \mathrm{~S}_{8}$ ) by a couple of saddle-node bifurcations $S_{9}$ and $S_{10}$. There can be preserved just three portions of stable solution curve. Further, increasing $\eta$ to 0.145 , the curve ( $\mathrm{S}_{10}, \mathrm{~S}_{8}$ ) also splits into two parts $\left(S_{10}, N_{3}\right)$ and ( $\left.N_{4}, S_{8}\right)$ by a couple of Neimark-Sacker bifurcations $N_{3}$ and $N_{4}$. Furthermore, for $\eta=0.173$, we find just two pairs ( $\mathrm{S}_{7}, \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{N}_{4}, \mathrm{~S}_{8}$ ). Thus, the Neimark-Sacker bifurcation occurs on the stable solution curve and splits into two parts.

Next, Fig.5.3 illustrates several bifurcation points of stable $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillations on $E_{m}-\eta$ plane obtained by the general homotopy method. The bifurcations on which stable $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation loses its stability, is saddle-node bifurcation $S_{7} \sim S_{8}$, Neimark-Sacker bifurcations $N_{1} \sim$


Fig. 5.2: Periodic solution of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation.
$\mathrm{N}_{6}$, and period doubling bifurcations $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2}$. The regions denoted by $A, B$ and so forth show the region of specified pairs of bifurcation points to be seen when we increase the parameter $E_{m}$ with $\eta$ fixed. The orders of bifurcations on the solution curves are also shown in the lower part of the figure. In the region $A$ only a pair of bifurcations $\widehat{S}_{7}-\mathrm{S}_{8}$ appears. Increasing the parameter $\eta$ from the region $A \rightarrow D$, pairs of Neimark-Sacker


Fig. 5.3: Bifurcations of stable $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillations.
bifurcations $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}-\mathrm{N}_{4}$, and saddle-node bifurcations $\mathrm{S}_{9}-\mathrm{S}_{10}$ are generated. Further, increasing the $\eta$, the stable solutions lose their stability on Neimark-Sacker bifurcations instead of the saddle-node bifurcations in the region $D \rightarrow E \rightarrow F$. Especially, we can confirm six Neimark-Sacker bifurcations in the region $F$. Furthermore, the Neimark-Sacker bifurcations disappear in the region $G$, the saddle-node bifurcation change places with period doubling bifurcations in the region $H$, and the stable region vanishes by the disappearance of pairs of period doubling and Neimark-Sacker bifurcations.

From the results of the previous chapter, the form of stable region and structure of bifurcations are annular in the case of the $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation in the single-phase-like circuit, and folded back annulus in the case of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation in the three-phase circuit. On the other hand, in the case of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation in the three-phase circuit, stable region doesn't exist in higher part of $\eta$. Hence, the bifurcation of stable region has U-type structure.

### 5.3 Bifurcation Set in Three-phase Circuit

### 5.3.1 Bifurcation Set

Applying the general homotopy method, we obtain the bifurcation sets of mode $\mathrm{M}_{2}$. The bifurcations sets on $E_{m}-\eta$ plane are shown in Fig.5.4, where saddle-node bifurcation sets $S_{7} \sim S_{10}$ corresponds to the bifurcation points $S_{7} \sim S_{10}$, Neimark-Sacker bifurcation sets $\mathrm{N}_{1} \sim \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ corresponds to the bifurcation points $\mathrm{N}_{1} \sim \mathrm{~N}_{4}$, and period doubling bifurcation sets $D_{5}$ and $D_{6}$ corresponds to bifurcation points $D_{5}$ and $D_{6}$, respectively. In this figure, the $\beta_{5} \sim \beta_{10}$ are co-dimension two bifurcations.

The saddle-node bifurcation sets $S_{7}-\mathrm{S}_{8}$ and period doubling bifurcation sets $\mathrm{D}_{5}-\mathrm{D}_{6}$ make loops, respectively. And the co-dimension two bifurcations $\beta_{7}=\mathrm{S}_{7} \cap \mathrm{D}_{5}$ and $\beta_{8}=\mathrm{S}_{8} \cap \mathrm{D}_{6}$ are their intersection points. On the co-dimension two bifurcations $\beta_{7}, \beta_{8}$, the eigenvalues $\Lambda$ of monodromy matrix in section 2.6 .1 satisfies $1,-1 \in \Lambda$. The co-dimension two bifurcations $\beta_{9}=\mathrm{S}_{10} \cap \mathrm{~N}_{6}$ and $\beta_{10}=\mathrm{S}_{9} \cap \mathrm{~N}_{5}$ are intersection of Neimark-Sacker and saddle-node bifurcation sets. On those points the eigenvalue set $\Lambda$ satisfies $1,1 \in \Lambda$, that is, they are strong 1:1 resonance [27]. The co-dimension two bifurcations $\beta_{5}=\mathrm{D}_{5} \cap \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ and $\beta_{6}=\mathrm{D}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ are intersection of Neimark-Sacker and period doubling bifurcation sets. On those points the eigenvalue set $\Lambda$ satisfies $-1,-1 \in \lambda$, that is, they are strong $1: 2$ resonance.

It becomes manifest that the replacement of bifurcation points and the disappearance
of pairs of period doubling and Neimark-Sacker bifurcations in Fig.5.3 are generated by the co-dimension two bifurcations. In other words, we can say that the U-type bifurcation structure of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillations is generated by the co-dimension two bifurcations.


Fig. 5.4: Bifurcation sets of $1 / 3$-subharmonic $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillations.


Fig. 5.5: Variational waveforms on center manifold.

### 5.3.2 Variational Waveforms on Bifurcation Point

The variational waveforms on local center manifold of $\mathrm{D}_{5}(E m=0.38, \eta=0.225)$ in the neighborhood of co-dimension two bifurcation $\beta_{5}$ are illustrated in Fig.5.5. The $\delta I_{a}, \delta I_{b}, \delta I_{c}$ are calculated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\delta I_{a}, \delta I_{b}, \delta I_{c}\right) \triangleq\left(\frac{d I_{a}}{d \Psi_{a}} \delta \Psi_{a}, \frac{d I_{b}}{d \Psi_{b}} \delta \Psi_{b}, \frac{d I_{c}}{d \Psi_{c}} \delta \Psi_{c}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta \Psi$ is defined in section 4.2.3. In this figure, the variational current $\delta I_{b}$ is larger than others. This peculiarity also can be seen on $\mathrm{D}_{6}$. That is, the period doubling bifurcations are caused by $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation whose $\delta I_{b}$ is larger than the others. Thus, the inductor $L_{b}$ has an effect on the disappearance of the stable region of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$.

### 5.4 Comparison with Single-phase 1/3-Subharmonic Oscillation

In order to compare $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation with $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation, we consider the transition from the single-phase-like to three-phase circuit. That is, we put the variable resistor $R_{v}$ in the phase-a in the single-phase-like circuit as shown in Fig.5.6 and by decreasing from $R_{v}=\infty$ to 0 , we can transform from the single-phase-like circuit $\left(R_{v}=\infty\right)$ to the three-phase circuit ( $R_{v}=0$ ).


Fig. 5.6: Transition from single-phase-like to three-phase circuit.

The scaled circuit equations are given below;

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\frac{d \Psi_{a}}{d \tau}= & E_{m} \sin (\tau)-U_{q}-(2 \xi+\zeta) I\left(\Psi_{a}\right)+\xi\left\{I\left(\frac{\Psi_{p}+\Psi_{q}}{2}\right)+I\left(\frac{\Psi_{p}-\Psi_{q}}{2}\right)\right\} \\
\frac{d \Psi_{p}}{d \tau}= & -E_{m} \sin (\tau)+U_{q}+2 \xi I\left(\Psi_{a}\right)-(\xi+\zeta)\left\{I\left(\frac{\Psi_{p}+\Psi_{q}}{2}\right)+I\left(\frac{\Psi_{p}-\Psi_{q}}{2}\right)\right\} \\
\frac{d U_{a}}{d \tau}= & -\eta\left\{I\left(\frac{\Psi_{p}+\Psi_{q}}{2}\right)-I\left(\frac{\Psi_{p}-\Psi_{q}}{2}\right)\right\}  \tag{5.2}\\
\frac{d U_{q}}{d \tau}= & 2 \eta I\left(\Psi_{a}\right)-\eta\left\{I\left(\frac{\Psi_{p}+\Psi_{q}}{2}\right)+I\left(\frac{\Psi_{p}-\Psi_{q}}{2}\right)\right\} \\
\epsilon \frac{d \Psi_{q}}{d \tau}= & -\xi\left\{I\left(\frac{\Psi_{p}+\Psi_{q}}{2}\right)-I\left(\frac{\Psi_{p}-\Psi_{q}}{2}\right)\right\} \\
& +\epsilon\left[-\sqrt{3} E_{m} \cos (\tau)+U_{a}-\zeta\left\{I\left(\frac{\Psi_{p}+\Psi_{q}}{2}\right)-I\left(\frac{\Psi_{p}-\Psi_{q}}{2}\right)\right\}\right]
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{p} \triangleq \Psi_{b}+\Psi_{c} \\
& \Psi_{q} \triangleq \Psi_{b}-\Psi_{c} \\
& U_{p} \triangleq U_{b}+U_{c}, \\
& U_{q} \triangleq U_{b}-U_{c}
\end{aligned} \quad \epsilon \triangleq \frac{1}{3+2 \frac{R_{v}}{R}} .
$$

### 5.4. COMPARISON WITH SINGLE-PHASE 1/3-SUBHARMONIC OSCILLATION

In this equation, setting the parameter $\epsilon(0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1 / 3)$ to $\epsilon=1 / 3$, the equation represents the three-phase circuit. And setting the parameter $\epsilon=0$, the equation represents the single-phase-like circuit.

At the susceptance $\eta=0.19$ the periodic solution curve of $\Psi_{a}$ on the parameter $\epsilon=$ $0,0.12,0.135,0.143,0.2,0.25$, and $1 / 3$ is shown in Fig.5.7. The thick and fine line corresponds to the symmetric and unsymmetric solutions with respect to $\mathbf{C}_{2}$, respectively. The solid line represents stable solutions. The broken line and dotted line represent unstable $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ solutions in which inductor $L_{a}$ is active and unstable $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ solutions in which inductor $L_{a}, L_{b}$ are active, respectively. $\mathrm{M}_{2}^{\prime}$ solutions which are represented by the dash-dotted line is the oscillations in which inductor $L_{b}$ is especially active. In this figure, only the bifurcations which is described in the following sentences are shown. The prime such as $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}$ shows the mutually symmetric bifurcations with respect to $\mathrm{C}_{2}$, that is, $\mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}$ corresponds to $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ in Fig.4.2.

Single-phase-like circuit $(\epsilon=0)$ : The pitchfork bifurcations $P_{1}$ and $P_{3}$, and the saddlenode bifurcations $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ exist. The bifurcations $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ correspond to the bifurcation $\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{2}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{D}}_{3}$ in Fig.4.5, respectively.
$\epsilon=0.12$ : The solution curve is folded back and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillations in which inductors $L_{a}, L_{b}$ are active are generated. Additionally, the period doubling bifurcation $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ is generated.
$\epsilon=0.135$ : The solution curve of unsymmetric solution are divided and pitchfork bifurcations $P_{2}$ and $P_{4}$, and period doubling bifurcation $D_{3}$ are generated. The period doubling bifurcation $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ changes to Neimark-Sacker bifurcation $\mathrm{N}_{3}$. Further, the solution curve of $\mathrm{M}_{2}^{\prime}$ oscillation in which the inductor $L_{b}$ is especially active appear.
$\epsilon=0.143:$ The solution curve in which $\mathrm{M}_{2}^{\prime}$ oscillation are included connects to the solution curve of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$.
$\epsilon=0.2$ : On the solution curve of $\mathrm{M}_{2}^{\prime}$ pitchfork bifurcations $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ and $P_{6}$ are generated and unsymmetric solutions in which period doubling bifurcations $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ can be seen appear. Additionally, the period doubling bifurcation $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ changes to Neimark-Sacker bifurcation $\mathrm{N}_{4}$.


Fig. 5.7: Transition of periodic solutions from single-phase circuit to three-phase circuit.
$\epsilon=0.25$ : The loop of symmetric solutions are divided at $E_{m} \simeq 0.75$. As a result, the loops which include stable $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ solution are separated from the loop which includes stable $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ solutions. Additionally, the solution curves of the unsymmetric $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and unsymmetric $\mathrm{M}_{2}^{\prime}$ connects between $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{5}$.

Three-phase circuit $(\epsilon=1 / 3)$ : The pitchfork bifurcation $P_{3}$ disappears. Simultaneously, two loops of the unsymmetric $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ solution are separated from the symmetric $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ solution curve.

Thus, the stable $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation is the part which is not affected by the folding back of the solution curve of the $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation in the single-phase-like circuit. On the other hand, the stable $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation is generated by the connection of the solution curve of $\mathrm{M}_{2}^{\prime}$ and separation from the solution curve of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$. That is, the solution curve of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ includes both the part which is generated by the activation of inductor $L_{b}$ on $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and the part of $\mathrm{M}_{2}^{\prime}$ in which the inductor $L_{b}$ is especially active.
The bifurcation $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ which affect the loss of the stable $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ region are generated in the solution curve of $\mathrm{M}_{2}^{\prime}$. Based on the fact and the result of the variational waveform, we can say that the loss of the stability of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ are mainly affected by the inductor $L_{r}$.

Additionally, Neimark-Sacker bifurcations of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ originate in the period doubling bifurcations in single-phase-like circuit $\left(\mathrm{D}_{8} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{3}, \mathrm{D}_{7} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{4}\right)$.

### 5.5 Bifurcations in Coupled Single-phase Circuit

Applying the general homotopy method, we obtain the bifurcation sets in the coupled single-phase circuit. Fig.5.8 illustrates the bifurcation sets on $E_{m}-\mu$ plane at the susceptance $\eta=0.145$. For this parameter, there exists saddle-node bifurcations $\mathrm{S}_{7} \sim \mathrm{~S}_{10}$, period doubling bifurcations $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{6}$, and Neimark-Sacker bifurcations $\mathrm{N}_{1} \sim \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ in the threephase circuit $(\mu=1)$. On the other hand, the bifurcation diagram in the three single-phase circuits ( $\mu=0$ ) is similar to that in the single-phase-like circuit shown in Fig.4.5. In the stable solution of the three single-phase circuits, the solutions of two circuits which contain inductor $L_{a}$ and $L_{b}$ are stable $1 / 3$-subharmonic solutions and the solution of the other circuit is only fundamental harmonic, that is, $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation in the three single-phase circuits.

Thus, it becomes apparent that the $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation corresponds to $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation in the three single-phase circuits. However, the structure of bifurcations is different between


Fig. 5.8: Transition of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation.
the upper and lower side of the coupling coefficient $\mu=0.5$. Especially, Neimark-Sacker bifurcations are distinctive in the three-phase circuit. $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ are generated by codimension two bifurcations of strong 1:2 resonance [27].

### 5.6 Experimental Results

We fix the series resistance $R=12.3 \Omega$ and the delta-connected resistance $r=3.1 \Omega$ which are chosen in section 5.2. By varying the source line-voltage $E_{\Delta}$ and the capacitance $C$, the region of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ is obtained by the method shown in section 3.5. In this experiment, the phase angle $\theta$ and the initial charge of capacitor are chosen so that $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation may be generated in a wide region.

Fig. 5.9 shows the bifurcation phenomena of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillations on $E_{\Delta}-X_{c}$ plane. In this figure, the $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillations are classified into four modes; periodic oscillation, almost periodic oscillation, chaotic oscillation whose attractor doesn't have the origin symmetry and


Fig. 5.9: Bifurcation phenomena of $1 / 3$-subharmonic $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation.
chaotic oscillation whose attractor has the origin symmetry. The origin symmetry of attractors is equivalent to the symmetry with respect to $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ symmetry. The example of origin unsymmetric and symmetric attractors are shown in the upper part of the figure. The symmetric attractor is generated by the unification of two unsymmetric attractors. As for almost periodic and periodic oscillations, the trajectory doesn't have origin symmetry in almost every region.
At $X_{c}=16.8,17.7,18.6,19.7,20.8 \Omega$, jumps occur, then the bifurcation phenomena are shown by the plural lines. That is, the jumps (arrows) in the figure mean that keeping the value $X_{c}(=1 / \omega C)$ fixed and increasing or decreasing of the line-voltage $E_{\Delta} 1 / 3$ subharmonic oscillations bifurcate into another type of $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation as indicated arrow heads. The bifurcation structure is so complicated that all bifurcations are not shown in the figure. That is, in the regions of almost periodic oscillations there are small regions of periodic oscillations and in the regions of chaotic oscillations there are small regions of almost periodic and periodic oscillations.

When the $X_{c}$ is small, $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillations are periodic ( $X_{c}=13.6 \Omega$ ). Increasing the parameter $X_{c}$, the region of periodic oscillations splits and almost periodic oscillations appear. Next, the region of almost periodic oscillations splits and unsymmetrically chaotic oscillations appear. Further, the region of unsymmetrically chaotic oscillation splits and periodic oscillations, symmetrically chaotic oscillations and jumps appear. Furthermore, unstable region of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillations appears between the higher and lower part of the source linevoltage $E_{\Delta}$. In the region, after it lasts for scores of seconds, $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation fades away in a short time. In the lower part of the source line-voltage $E_{\Delta}$, more complicated bifurcations are generated and in the region where $X_{c}$ is larger than $20.8 \Omega$ stable $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations don't exist. On the other hand, in the higher part of the source line-voltage $E_{\Delta}$, periodic, almost periodic, unsymmetrically chaotic, and symmetrically chaotic oscillations are generated by decreasing $E_{\Delta}$. The transition of frequency spectrum at $X_{c}=19.7 \Omega$ is shown in Fig.5.10. At $E_{\Delta}=74.0 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation is periodic and we can confirm even harmonics of order $1 / 3$. At $E_{\Delta}=73.0 \mathrm{~V}$ several frequency components are generated and the $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation becomes almost periodic. At $E_{\Delta}=72.7 \mathrm{~V}$ many frequency components are generated and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillations becomes unsymmetrically chaotic. At $E_{\Delta}=72.0 \mathrm{~V}$ the frequency components of even harmonics of order $1 / 3$ becomes small and $M_{2}$ oscillations becomes symmetrically chaotic.


Fig. 5.10: Frequency spectra of capacitor voltage in $1 / 3$-subharmonic $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation.

The great difference between Fig.5.9 and Fig. 5.4 can be seen in the inside of U-type structure. In Fig. 5.9 we can find the stable periodic $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation as illustrated by the bold line inside. This difference is possibly due to the small unbalance of the circuit parameters in the real experimental circuit and to neglecting the hysteretic characteristic of the iron cores in the analysis.

The waveforms of inductor currents and capacitor voltages of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ by experiments are shown in Fig.5.11. Fig.5.11(a) shows the periodic $M_{2}$ oscillation. We can confirm the effects of even harmonics by the waveforms of inductor currents. Fig.5.11(b) shows the almost periodic $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation. Fig5.11(c) shows the symmetrically chaotic $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation. In this figure the time scale is different from (a) and (b). From the waveforms of capacitor voltages, the oscillation changes two unsymmetric attractors every about 300 [ ms ].


Fig. 5.11: Waveforms of $1 / 3$-subharmonic $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillations (experiment).


Fig. 5.12: Waveforms of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation when inductor $L_{c}$ is cut off (experiment).

In mode $\mathrm{M}_{2}$, one of the three nonlinear inductors is weakly active, that is, the current through the inductor is very small. The inductor, however, contributes much to the generation of mode $\mathrm{M}_{2}$. This fact is confirmed by the real experiment. While $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation continues, the inductor $L_{c}$ is cut off. Then mode $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ is faded away and no $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation is observed as shown in Fig.5.12. This experimental fact on mode $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ is quite different from mode $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ which has already been investigated in section 4.7.

### 5.7 Concluding Remarks

In this chapter, the bifurcation phenomena of two-phase $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations ( $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ mode) in the three-phase circuit are revealed by the homotopy methods and experiments.

The bifurcation phenomena in the region of $M_{2}$ is periodic, almost periodic, chaotic oscillation and jumps from outside. Additionally, in the higher region of $X_{C}, \mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation
becomes unstable. Hence, the bifurcation phenomena of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation is U-type structure. This structure is caused by Neimark-Sacker bifurcation and co-dimension two bifurcation which are generated by the participation of two active inductors.

Further, by the transition from the single-phase-like circuit to the three-phase circuit, the relation between single-phase and two-phase $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations becomes manifest. Additionally, the relevancy of bifurcation phenomena in between three-phase and single-phase circuit is revealed by the analysis of the coupled single-phase circuit.

## Chapter 6

## Symmetric 1/3-Subharmonic Oscillation

### 6.1 Introduction

In this section, we investigate the symmetric mode of $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations in the three-phase circuit. The "symmetric mode" denotes that this mode include symmetric oscillation with respect to $\mathrm{C}_{3}$. Symmetric modes of $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations are classified into two sorts; the oscillations with and without beat. First, we reveal theoretically the generation of symmetrical $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations without beat is impossible [114]. Next, the bifurcation of $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation with beat is investigated. Then, the relation between the frequency and symmetry is revealed [113]. Further, analysis by means of Lyapnov exponent is made. Finally, the experimental results are shown.

### 6.2 Pure 1/3-Subharmonic Oscillation

We consider the scaled circuit equation (2.6). First, we define a pure $1 / n$-subharmonic solution of Eq.(2.6) which represents a symmetrical periodic $1 / n$-subharmonic oscillation without beat in the three-phase circuit.

Definition: Let $[\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau), \boldsymbol{U}(\tau)]^{\prime}$ be a $1 / n$-subharmonic solution of Eq.(2.6) with period- $n$. We call it a pure solution, if the following condition is satisfied:

## Condition:

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau) \\
\boldsymbol{U}(\tau)
\end{array}\right] } & =\mathbf{C}_{3}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{2 n}{3} \pi\right) \\
\boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{2 n}{3} \pi\right)
\end{array}\right]  \tag{6.1}\\
o r \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau) \\
\boldsymbol{U}(\tau)
\end{array}\right] & =\mathbf{C}_{3}^{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{4 n}{3} \pi\right) \\
\boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{4 n}{3} \pi\right)
\end{array}\right] \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

As a necessary condition, we try to show that the right-band side of either Eq.(6.1) or Eq.(6.2) is also the solution of Eq.(2.6).

Assume that $n=3 k+1(k=0,1,2, \ldots)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d \tau} \mathbf{C}_{3}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{2 n}{3} \pi\right) \\
\boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{2 n}{3} \pi\right)
\end{array}\right]-\boldsymbol{f}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{3} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{2 n}{3} \pi\right), \widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{3} \boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{2 n}{3} \pi\right), \tau\right) \\
& =\mathrm{C}_{3} \frac{d}{d \tau}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi+2 k \pi\right) \\
\boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi+2 k \pi\right)
\end{array}\right]-\mathbf{C}_{3} \boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi+2 k \pi\right), \boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi+2 k \pi\right), \tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi\right) \\
& =\mathrm{C}_{3}\left[\frac{d}{d \tau}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi+2 k \pi\right) \\
\boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi+2 k \pi\right)
\end{array}\right]-\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi+2 k \pi\right), \boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi+2 k \pi\right), \tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi+2 k \pi\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=0 \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $n=3 k+2(k=0,1,2, \ldots)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d \tau} \mathbf{C}_{3}^{2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{4 n}{3} \pi\right) \\
\boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{4 n}{3} \pi\right)
\end{array}\right]-\boldsymbol{f}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{3}^{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{4 n}{3} \pi\right), \widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{3}^{2} \boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{4 n}{3} \pi\right), \tau\right) \\
& =\mathbf{C}_{3}^{2} \frac{d}{d \tau}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{4}{3} \pi+2 k \pi\right) \\
\boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{4}{3} \pi+2 k \pi\right)
\end{array}\right]-\mathbf{C}_{3}^{2} \boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{4}{3} \pi+2 k \pi\right), \boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{4}{3} \pi+2 k \pi\right), \tau+\frac{4}{3} \pi\right) \\
& =\mathbf{C}_{3}^{2}\left[\frac{d}{d \tau}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{4}{3} \pi+2 k \pi\right) \\
\boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{4}{3} \pi+2 k \pi\right)
\end{array}\right]-\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{4}{3} \pi+2 k \pi\right), \boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{4}{3} \pi+2 k \pi\right), \tau+\frac{4}{3} \pi+2 k \pi\right)\right] \\
& =\mathbf{o} . \tag{6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, if $n=3 k+1$ and $n=3 k+2$ then the necessary condition is satisfied. On the other hand, assume that $n=3 k(k=1,2, \ldots)$, then the right-hand side of Eq.(6.1) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d \tau} \mathbf{C}_{3}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{2 n}{3} \pi\right) \\
\boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{2 n}{3} \pi\right)
\end{array}\right]-\boldsymbol{f}\left(\hat{\mathbf{C}}_{3} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{2 n}{3} \pi\right), \widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{3} \boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{2 n}{3} \pi\right), \tau\right) \\
& =\mathbf{C}_{3} \frac{d}{d \tau}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+2 k \pi) \\
\boldsymbol{U}(\tau+2 k \pi)
\end{array}\right]-\mathbf{C}_{3} \boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+2 k \pi), \boldsymbol{U}(\tau+2 k \pi), \tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi\right) \\
& =\mathbf{C}_{3}\left[\frac{d}{d \tau}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+2 k \pi) \\
\boldsymbol{U}(\tau+2 k \pi)
\end{array}\right]-\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+2 k \pi), \boldsymbol{U}(\tau+2 k \pi), \tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi+2 k \pi\right)\right] \\
& =\mathbf{C}_{3}[\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+2 k \pi), \boldsymbol{U}(\tau+2 k \pi), \tau+2 k \pi) \\
& \left.-\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+2 k \pi), \boldsymbol{U}(\tau+2 k \pi), \tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi+2 k \pi\right)\right] \\
& =\mathbf{C}_{3}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{E}(\tau)-\boldsymbol{E}\left(\tau+\frac{2}{3} \pi\right) \\
\mathbf{o}
\end{array}\right] \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and the right-hand side of Eq.(6.2) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d \tau} \mathbf{C}_{3}^{2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{4 n}{3} \pi\right) \\
\boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{4 n}{3} \pi\right)
\end{array}\right]-\boldsymbol{f}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{3}^{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\tau+\frac{4 n}{3} \pi\right), \widehat{\mathrm{C}}_{3}^{2} \boldsymbol{U}\left(\tau+\frac{4 n}{3} \pi\right), \tau\right) \\
& =\mathbf{C}_{3}^{2} \frac{d}{d \tau}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+4 k \pi) \\
\boldsymbol{U}(\tau+4 k \pi)
\end{array}\right]-\mathbf{C}_{3}^{2} \boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+4 k \pi), \boldsymbol{U}(\tau+4 k \pi), \tau+\frac{4}{3} \pi\right) \\
& =\mathbf{C}_{3}^{2}\left[\frac{d}{d \tau}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+4 k \pi) \\
\boldsymbol{U}(\tau+4 k \pi)
\end{array}\right]-\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+4 k \pi), \boldsymbol{U}(\tau+4 k \pi), \tau+\frac{4}{3} \pi+4 k \pi\right)\right] . \\
& =\mathbf{C}_{3}^{2}[\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+4 k \pi), \boldsymbol{U}(\tau+4 k \pi), \tau+4 k \pi) \\
& \left.-\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+4 k \pi), \boldsymbol{U}(\tau+4 k \pi), \tau+\frac{4}{3} \pi+4 k \pi\right)\right] \\
& =\mathbf{C}_{3}^{2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{E}(\tau)-\boldsymbol{E}\left(\tau+\frac{4}{3} \pi\right) \\
\mathbf{o}
\end{array}\right] . \tag{6.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the right-hand sides of Eq.(6.5) and Eq.(6.6) are not identically equal to o. That is,
the right-hand sides of Eq.(6.1) and Eq.(6.2)

$$
\mathbf{C}_{3}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+2 k \pi)  \tag{6.7}\\
\boldsymbol{U}(\tau+2 k \pi)
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{C}_{3}^{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+4 k \pi) \\
\boldsymbol{U}(\tau+4 k \pi)
\end{array}\right]
$$

are not the solution of Eq.(2.6). As a result, a pure $1 / 3 k$-subharmonic oscillation ( $k=$ $1,2, \ldots$ ) can not be generated in the three-phase circuit. Especially, as for the $1 / 3$ subharmonic oscillation, it becomes apparent that pure $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillation is impossible.

### 6.3 1/3-Subharmonic Oscillation with Beat

### 6.3.1 Periodic Solution Curve

In this section, we consider $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillations accompanied with beat. We choose the same circuit parameter that is denoted in section 4.2.1. That is, the series resistance $R=12.3 \Omega$ and the delta-connected resistance $r=3.1 \Omega$.

By the Newton homotopy method, the periodic solution of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillations are obtained. Fig.6.1 shows the waveforms of inductor currents of the stable period-13 oscillation at the source amplitude $E_{m}=0.40$ and the susceptance $\eta=0.118$. The period-13 oscillation denotes that the period of oscillation is 13 times as long as the period of the voltage source. In the case of period-13 oscillation we integrate over the interval [ $0,26 \pi$ ] in Eq. (2.33).

From the figure, we can confirm the main frequency of the oscillation is about order $1 / 3$. The oscillation has the symmetry with respect to $\mathrm{C}_{3}$.


Fig. 6.1: Current waveforms of stable $1 / 3$-subharmonic $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillation with beat.


Fig. 6.2: Periodic solution curve of $1 / 3$-subharmonic $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillations.

Next, applying the general homotopy, we can obtain the periodic solution curves for the susceptance $\eta=0.09$. The solution curves on $E_{m}-\Psi_{a}$ plane is shown in Fig.6.2. In this figure, the solution curves of period $10,43,23,16$ are shown. The rotation number $\rho$ is defined below [34]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \triangleq \frac{f_{1}}{f_{2}} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{1}$ is the main frequency of the periodic oscillation and $f_{2}$ is the frequency of the voltage sources. As $E_{m}$ decreased, the rotation number decreases and observed $\rho$ agrees with the subset of the Farey series [34]. Although saddle-node, period doubling, NeimarkSacker bifurcations are generated on the solution curves, they are not shown in the figure.

The characteristic feature in this figure is the number of equivalent solutions on a solution curve. Here we call solutions equivalent if they can be related by Eqs.(2.15) and (2.24). The number of equivalent solutions contained in the solution curves of period-10 is 60 which can be rewritten as $6 n$ where $n$ denotes the period- $n$. Then, from the results in section 2.3,
the solution of period-10 doesn't have symmetry with respect to $\mathbf{C}_{3}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{2}$. On the other hand, in the case of period-43, the number of equivalent solutions is 43 which indicates that the period- 43 solutions have symmetry with respect to $C_{3}$ and $C_{2}$. In the same way, because the numbers of period- 23 and period 16 are 69 and 96 , the period- 23 solutions have symmetry with respect to $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and the period- 16 solutions doesn't have both symmetries.

Consequently, as for the solution curves in the figure, the number of solutions which are not equivalent is two; the one is stable and the other is unstable. The two sorts of solutions are connected by saddle-node bifurcations in turn. It indicates that the trajectories are on a torus and the periodic oscillations occur by mode lockings [35].

### 6.3.2 Relation between Frequency and Symmetry

When the solutions are period $-q$, the rotation number can be represented $\rho=p / q$ where $p$ is a positive integer. Because $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations accompanied with beat are 1:3 internal resonance [10], the frequency component $\rho^{\prime}=(q-2 p) / q$ is also large. Hence the frequency of the beat is represented as $\left(\rho^{\prime}-\rho\right) / 2=(q-3 p) / 2 q$.

Now, we consider the symmetric period- $q 1 / 3$-subharmonic solution with beat with respect to $\mathrm{C}_{3}$. Assume that the frequency components of $3 k / q(k=1,2, \ldots)$ of the symmetric $1 / 3$-subharmonic solution with respect to $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ exists, the phases of the frequency components of the capacitor voltages $U_{a}, U_{b}$ and $U_{c}$ agree each other. Here, $U_{a}+U_{b}+U_{c}=$ constant is satisfied by Eq.(2.30). As a result, the frequency components of $3 k / q$ can not be contained in the symmetric $1 / 3$-subharmonic solution with beat. Then as for the symmetry $C_{3}$, the following conditions have to be satisfied:

$$
\begin{cases}p \neq 3 k & k=1,2, \cdots  \tag{6.9}\\ q-2 p \neq 3 l & l=1,2, \cdots\end{cases}
$$

The conditions can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q-p=3 k \quad k=1,2, \cdots \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq.(6.10) is the necessary condition of the symmetric $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation accompanied with beat with respect to $\mathbf{C}_{3}$.

Next, we consider the symmetric period- $q 1 / 3$-subharmonic solution with beat with respect to $\mathbf{C}_{2}$. In the same way, the frequency components of $2 k / q(k=1,2, \ldots)$ can not be
contained in the symmetric $1 / 3$-subharmonic solution with beat. Then as for the symmetry $\mathrm{C}_{2}$, the following conditions have to be satisfied:

$$
\begin{cases}p \neq 2 k & k=1,2, \cdots  \tag{6.11}\\ q-2 p \neq 2 l & l=1,2, \cdots\end{cases}
$$

The conditions can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q-p=2 k \quad k=1,2, \cdots \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq.(6.12) is the necessary condition of the symmetric $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation accompanied with beat with respect to $\mathrm{C}_{2}$.

### 6.4 Lyapnov Exponent

As the three-phase circuit is a five dimensional system, it has five Lyapnov exponents $\lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{5}[36,37]$. Fig. 6.3 shows the largest and second largest Lyapnov exponents $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ of the $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillation. When the susceptance of the capacitors $\eta$ is small, a stable period- 13 oscillation exists. Increasing $\eta$, the oscillation becomes almost periodic by Neimark-Sacker bifurcation at $\eta \simeq 0.115$. Further, at $\eta \simeq 0.12$ the oscillation becomes chaotic. In the region of chaotic oscillations, there exist small regions of periodic and almost periodic oscillations. Furthermore, at $\eta=0.143$ the oscillation becomes hyperchaotic [34]. In the region of chaotic oscillations, there exist small regions of periodic, almost periodic and chaotic oscillations. The generation of the hyperchaos is special feature in $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ mode.

### 6.5 Experimental Results

We fix the series resistance $R=12.3 \Omega$ which is chosen in section 6.3.1. The region of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ exists on the outside of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ in Fig.3.11. When the capacitances are fixed to $C=$ $195 \mu \mathrm{~F}\left(X_{c}=13.6 \Omega\right)$ and the source line-voltage $E_{\Delta}$ is increased, the spectra of capacitor voltage $v_{a}$ is shown in Fig.6.4. The horizontal axis represents the frequency and the vertical axis represents the amplitude of frequency components of the ratio $v_{a} / E_{\Delta}$.

At $E_{\Delta}=38.1 \mathrm{~V}$, the main frequency is 18 Hz which corresponds to the rotation number $\rho=3 / 10$. It is a period- 10 oscillation and the waveforms of the capacitor voltages and inductor currents are shown in Fig.6.5(a). This oscillation is accompanied with beat and don't have symmetries with respect to $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$.

$\eta$
Fig. 6.3: Lyapnov exponents of $M_{3}$ oscillation.

Increasing the source line-voltage $E_{\Delta}$, chaotic oscillations appear ( $E_{\Delta}=39.5 \mathrm{~V}$ ) and next period-23 oscillation whose main frequency is 18.26 Hz appears ( $E_{\Delta}=43.3 \mathrm{~V}$ ). Further increasing $E_{\Delta}$, the period of beat becomes long gradually and periodic and nonperiodic oscillations appear alternatively. The period-10, 23, 13, 29, 16, 35, 19 oscillations corresponding to the rotation numbers $\rho=3 / 10(18 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7 / 23(18.26 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4 / 13(18.46 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $9 / 29(18.62 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5 / 16(18.75 \mathrm{~Hz}), 11 / 35(18.86 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6 / 19(18.95 \mathrm{~Hz})$ are observed one after another.

When $E_{\Delta}$ is larger than 57.5 V , the oscillation becomes chaotic ( $E_{\Delta}=59.0 \mathrm{~V}$ ) and the component of 40 Hz becomes large ( $E_{\Delta}=62.0,65.2 \mathrm{~V}$ ). The waveforms at $E_{\Delta}=62.0 \mathrm{~V}$ is shown in Fig.6.5(b). The envelope of waveforms is distinctive. The period of beat becomes further long and the $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ mode occurs consequently. This oscillation has the components of 20,40 and 60 Hz , and the rotation number $\rho$ is $1 / 3$.

Further increasing $E_{\Delta}, \mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation changes into $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillation ( $E_{\Delta}=69.0 \mathrm{~V}$ ) and the


Fig. 6.4: Capacitor voltage spectra of $1 / 3$-subharmonic $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillations.


Fig. 6.5: Waveforms of $1 / 3$-subharmonic $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillations (experiment).
component of 40 Hz becomes small ( $E_{\Delta}=73.0,77.5 \mathrm{~V}$ ). The period of beat becomes short again. The waveforms at $E_{\Delta}=77.5 \mathrm{~V}$ is shown in Fig.6.5(c). The oscillation seems to be almost periodic.

Thus, the bifurcation of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillation is mode locking and unlocking. Increasing the source line-voltage, the period of beat becomes long and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ oscillation are generated. In these points, the experimental results agree fairly with the analytical results.

### 6.6 Concluding Remarks

In this section, first we consider the generation of pure modes from the viewpoint of symmetry of the circuit equation and reveal that a pure $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation cannot be generated in the three-phase circuit. As a result, $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ mode has to be accompanied with beat. Considering the above result, a pure $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation reported in [10] seems to be a nearly $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation whose main frequency is of order $2 / 5$ which could not discriminate strictly from order $1 / 3$ at the time.

Next, we consider $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillation accompanied with beat. By the analysis of homotopy method, the special feature of $M_{3}$ oscillation is that the periodic solution curve consists of many equivalent solutions. It becomes apparent that they are caused by the mode locking and unlocking. Further, the relation between frequency and symmetry are revealed.

By the analysis on the view point of Lyapnov exponent, it becomes manifest that there exists hyperchaotic $M_{3}$ oscillation. The oscillation is special feature of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillation.

By experiments, $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillations are confirmed and the mode locking and unlocking bifurcation phenomena are observed. Additionally, the generation of periodic, almost periodic, chaotic oscillations are also confirmed.

## Chapter 7

## Harmonic Oscillation

### 7.1 Introduction

In this section, we reveal the bifurcation phenomena of harmonic oscillations whose main frequency component is equal to that of the voitage source [116]. The harmonic oscillations are classified into three modes. Several distinctive phenomena different from 1/3subharmonic oscillations are generated. The differences of the phenomena in between the three-phase and single-phase circuit are revealed by periodic solution curve and bifurcation sets. In order to clarify the transition from three-phase to single-phase circuit, the bifurcation phenomena of coupled-single-phase circuit are investigated. The analytical results are compared with the experimental ones. Additionally, as for the relation between the phase angle and the generated modes, several experimental results are shown.

### 7.2 Three Modes in Three-phase Circuit

Considering the number of dominant inductors, harmonic oscillations are classified into three modes. That is,
$\mathbf{M}_{3}$ mode : Oscillations excited by all the three nonlinear inductors. This oscillation has the symmetry with respect to $\mathrm{C}_{3}$.
$\mathbf{M}_{1}$ mode : Oscillations excited by any one of the three nonlinear inductors.
$\mathbf{M}_{3}^{\prime}$ mode : Oscillation excited by all the three nonlinear inductors. This oscillation doesn't have the symmetry with respect to $\mathbf{C}_{3}$.


Fig. 7.1: Inductor current waveforms of harmonic oscillations (computation).

Applying the Newton homotopy method, we can obtain the inductor current waveforms of the three modes shown in Fig.7.1. Unsymmetric modes have different amplitudes in each inductor currents.

### 7.3 Analytical Results of Symmetric Oscillation

### 7.3.1 Bifurcation Phenomena of Mode $\mathbf{M}_{3}$

We set the series resistance $R=2.5 \Omega$ and the delta-connected resistance $r=3.1 \Omega$. In the case of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ the following relation based on Eq.(2.25) is satisfied;

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(0)  \tag{7.1}\\
\boldsymbol{U}(0)
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{C}_{3}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\frac{2}{3} \pi\right) \\
\boldsymbol{U}\left(\frac{2}{3} \pi\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

In stead of the boundary condition (2.33), we can adopt the condition (7.1). Then the interval of the integration can be reduced to $[0,2 \pi / 3]$.

Applying the general homotopy method, we investigate bifurcation phenomena. Fig.7.2 shows the typical amplitude characteristics of mode $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ for several values of the parameter $\eta$. Here, the vertical axis $I$ is the maximum value of inductor currents. In this figure, we can find saddle-node bifurcations $S_{1} \sim S_{4}$, pitchfork bifurcations $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, Neimark-Sacker bifurcations $\mathrm{N}_{1} \sim \mathrm{~N}_{6}$, and period doubling bifurcation $\mathrm{D}_{1}$.

For the parameter $\eta=0.45$, the bifurcation points $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ mean the jumps caused by the resonance of harmonic oscillation. This has been called the ferroresonance [1]. On the pitchfork bifurcation points $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}$, the emanating branch ( $\mathrm{P}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{2}$ ) implies the oscillations containing DC component in the fluxes. That is, the symmetry with respect to $C_{2}$ breaks on the pitchfork bifurcation $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. On the point of NeimarkSacker bifurcations $N_{3}$ and $N_{4}$, the periodic oscillations lose their stability and almost periodic oscillations occur.


Fig. 7.2: Amplitude characteristics of harmonic $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillation.

For the parameter $\eta=0.9$, the jumping point, on which the periodic oscillation loses its stability, changes from $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Additionally, the bifurcation $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ disappears accompanied by the generation of $S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$. For the parameter $\eta=1.8$, we can find $D_{2}$, and it is distinctive that the stable region between $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ is very small.

### 7.3.2 Bifurcation Sets of Mode $\mathrm{M}_{3}$

Applying the general homotopy method, we obtain the bifurcation sets. The bifurcation sets of mode $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ on $E_{m}-\eta$ plane is shown in Fig. 7.3 where $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}(i=1,2, \ldots)$ represent the sets of bifurcation points $S_{i}, P_{i}, N_{i}$ and $D_{i}$, respectively. We can find codimension two bifurcations $\beta_{1}=\mathrm{N}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~S}_{1}, \beta_{2}=\mathrm{N}_{2} \cap \mathrm{~S}_{1}, \beta_{3}=\mathrm{N}_{4} \cap \mathrm{~S}_{3} \cap \mathrm{~S}_{4} ; \beta_{4}=\mathrm{N}_{6} \cap \mathrm{~N}_{7} \cap \mathrm{P}_{2}, \beta_{5}=$ $\mathrm{N}_{7} \cap \mathrm{D}_{2}$. The bifurcation points $\beta_{3}$ and $\beta_{5}$ are strong $1: 1$ and 1:2 resonance, respectively. On $\beta_{4}$, the bifurcation set $N_{6}$ intersects $P_{2}$, resulting in the generation of $N_{7}$.
For the comparison of Fig.7.3, the bifurcation sets of harmonic oscillations in the singlephase circuit is shown in Fig.7.4. The parameter is set to $\bar{R}=3 R=7.5 \Omega$ and $\bar{r}=r=3.1 \Omega$. In the single-phase circuit, we can find saddle-node bifurcations $\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{2}$, pitchfork bifurcations $\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{2}$, and period doubling bifurcations $\hat{\mathrm{D}}_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{D}}_{2}$. The single-phase circuit is two dimensional system and the magnetizing characteristics is monotonically increasing, hence with aid of Liouville's formula a co-dimension two bifurcation and Neimark-Sacker bifurcation are proved not to occur.

In comparison with the single-phase circuit, it becomes apparent that the special feature of the three-phase circuit is the generation of Neimark-Sacker bifurcations. The sets of the Neimark-Sacker bifurcation connect the saddle-node, pitchfork and period doubling bifurcation sets with co-dimension two bifurcations as is easily seen in Fig.7.3. Additionally, the loss of stability in resonant region is also distinctive.

### 7.3.3 Transition from Three-phase to Single-phase Circuit

For the purpose of revealing the relation between the single-phase circuit and the threephase circuit, we analyze the coupled single-phase circuit described in section 4.5. The bifurcation sets on the parameter $\bar{\eta}=3 \eta=5.4$ is shown in Fig.7.5. The upper figure is for $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ and the lower is an enlarged diagram of the upper for $0 \leq \mu \leq 0.1$. In this figure, bifurcations on $\mu=1$ correspond to the bifurcation points in the three-phase circuit


Fig. 7.3: Bifurcation sets of harmonic $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillation.


Fig. 7.4: Bifurcation sets of harmonic oscillation in single-phase circuit.


Fig. 7.5: Bifurcation sets of harmonic $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillation in coupled single-phase circuit.
and those on $\mu=0$ correspond to the bifurcation points in the single-phase circuit.
It becomes apparent that $S_{1}, S_{2}, P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ in the three-phase circuit are connected directly with $\hat{S}_{1}, \hat{S}_{2}, \hat{P}_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{2}$ in the single-phase circuit, respectively. Additionally, the


Fig. 7.6: Symmetry breaking bifurcation.
bifurcation sets $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ emanate from $S_{2}$ and $S_{1}$ on co-dimension two bifurcations $\bar{\beta}_{1}$ and $\bar{\beta}_{2}$ with strong $1: 1$ resonances, respectively. And by the co-dimension two bifurcations $\bar{\beta}_{2}$ and $\bar{\beta}_{3}$, the unstable regions in resonance are generated. As for other bifurcations, the transitions which occur when $\mu$ decreases are similar to those which occur when $\eta$ decreases.

On the co-dimension two bifurcation $\bar{\beta}_{6}(\mu=0.1476395)$, the monodromy matrix has eigenvalues $\exp ( \pm 2 \pi / 3)$, that is, the point is strong 1:3 resonance. The amplitude characteristics in the neighborhood of the bifurcation $\bar{\beta}_{6}$ is shown in Fig.7.6. Here, in order to obtain the unsymmetric solutions with respect to $\mathrm{C}_{3}$, the general homotopy is adopted to the boundary condition Eq.(2.33) in the integral interval [0,2 $\pi$ ] instead of Eq.(7.1). In the figure, the $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ solution is represented by solid lines which overlap each other because of the symmetry with respect to $\mathbf{C}_{3}$. On the other hand, the branches emanating from the bifurcation $\bar{\beta}_{6}$ don't have the symmetry. These branches represent a part of $M_{1}$ solution curve. That is, $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ branch is generated from the $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ branch on the co-dimension two bifurcation $\bar{\beta}_{6}$. Thus, we can confirm the break of the symmetry on the Neimark-Sacker bifurcation of strong 1:3 resonance.

### 7.4 Analytical Results of Single-phase Oscillation

### 7.4.1 Bifurcation Phenomena of Mode $\mathrm{M}_{1}$

Because $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ solution don't have the symmetry with respect to $\mathrm{C}_{3}$, we adopt the boundary condition Eq. (2.33) of the integral interval [ $0,2 \pi$ ].

The typical amplitude characteristics of mode $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ for the parameter $\eta=0.9$ are shown in Fig.7.7. Since the maximum values of inductor currents are different each other, three loops of amplitude characteristics are shown. In this figure, we can find saddle-node bifurcations $\mathrm{S}_{5} \sim \mathrm{~S}_{8}$ and Neimark-Sacker bifurcation $\mathrm{N}_{8}$. In the higher amplitude of $E_{m}, \mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation loses its stability on the Neimark-Sacker bifurcation $\mathrm{N}_{8}$, and jumps to $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillation without the generation of almost periodic oscillation. We can find that the loops are folded back on $S_{6}$ and $S_{8}$ where an inductor begins to excite. This phenomenon is also observed in the case of the single-phase $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation in the three-phase circuit described in chapter 4.


Fig. 7.7: Amplitude characteristics of harmonic $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation.

### 7.4.2 Bifurcation Sets of Mode $\mathrm{M}_{1}$

Fig. 7.8 shows the bifurcation sets of mode $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ on $E_{\mathrm{m}}-\eta$ plane. We can find co-dimension two bifurcations $\left\{\beta_{6}, \beta_{7}\right\}=\mathrm{N}_{8} \cap \mathrm{~S}_{6}$. The stable region is restricted in the lower amplitude of $E_{m}$ by $\mathrm{N}_{8}$. Additionally, it is distinctive that the bifurcation sets of $\mathrm{S}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ are fairly in good agreement with $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ in Fig.7.3, respectively.

### 7.4.3 Transition from Three-phase to Single-phase Circuit

Fixing the parameter $\eta=0.9(\bar{\eta}=2.7)$, we trace the bifurcations from $\mu=1$ to $\mu=0$ in the coupled single-phase circuit. The bifurcation sets are shown in Fig.7.9. It becomes apparent that $S_{5}, S_{6}, S_{7}$ and $S_{8}$ can be traced to $\mu=0$ where $S_{5}$ and $S_{6}$ intersect $S_{7}$ and $S_{8}$ on $\hat{S}_{1}$ and $\hat{S}_{2}$ in the single-phase circuit, respectively. The bifurcation set $N_{8}$ emanates from $S_{6}$ on co-dimension two bifurcation $\bar{\beta}_{7}$ with strong $1: 1$ resonance. Fig. 7.10 shows the amplitude characteristics at $\mu=0$. This indicates that mode $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ corresponds to three single-phase circuits one of which is resonant and the others are not resonant. This explains


Fig. 7.8: Bifurcation sets of mode $\mathrm{M}_{1}$.
the reason why the region of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ in Fig. 7.8 overlaps to the regions enclosed by $\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{1}-\hat{S}_{2}$ in Fig.7.4 and by $\mathrm{S}_{1}-\mathrm{S}_{2}$ in Fig.7.3. In other words, the mode $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ can be generated in the region where two stable solutions exist.


Fig. 7.9: Bifurcation sets of harmonic $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation in coupled single-phase circuit.


Fig. 7.10: Amplitude characteristics of harmonic $M_{1}$ oscillation in single-phase circuit.

### 7.5 Analytical Results of Unsymmetric Oscillation

### 7.5.1 Bifurcation Phenomena of Mode $\mathbf{M}_{3}^{\prime}$

The typical amplitude characteristics of mode $\mathrm{M}_{3}^{\prime}$ for the parameter $\eta=2.4$ and $R=1.0 \Omega$ is shown in Fig.7.11. As for mode $\mathrm{M}_{3}^{\prime}$, since the maximum values of inductor currents are different each other, one of the three curves of amplitude characteristics are shown. In this figure, we can find saddle-node bifurcations $\mathrm{S}_{9} \sim \mathrm{~S}_{13}$, Neimark-Sacker bifurcations $\mathrm{N}_{9} \sim$ $N_{11}$, and period doubling bifurcation $\mathrm{D}_{3}$. In the higher part of the amplitude, the loop $\mathrm{S}_{11}$ $\rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{9} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{12} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{11} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{10} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{13} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{11}$ is closed. On the Neimark-Sacker bifurcation $\mathrm{N}_{9}$, the periodic oscillation loses its stability and almost periodic oscillation is generated.

### 7.5.2 Bifurcation Sets of Mode $\mathbf{M}_{3}^{\prime}$

Fig. 7.12 shows the bifurcation sets of mode $\mathrm{M}_{3}^{\prime}$ on $E_{m}-\eta$ plane. If all the bifurcations are shown, the figure becomes so complicated that only the bifurcations where the oscillations lose their stability are shown. We can find co-dimension two bifurcations $\beta_{8} \sim \beta_{15}$. On $N_{9}$, $N_{11}, N_{12}$ and $N_{14}$, we can see the generation of almost periodic $M_{3}^{\prime}$ oscillations.


Fig. 7.11: Amplitude characteristics of harmonic $\mathrm{M}_{3}^{\prime}$ oscillation.


Fig. 7.12: Bifurcation sets of harmonic $\mathrm{M}_{3}^{\prime}$ oscillation.

In the coupled single-phase circuit, as the coupling parameter $\mu$ is decreased, mode $\mathrm{M}_{3}^{\prime}$ disappears. This indicates that there exists no corresponding oscillation to $\mathrm{M}_{3}^{\prime}$ in the single-phase circuit.

### 7.6 Experimental Results

We fix the series resistance $R=2.5 \Omega$ and the delta-connected resistance $r=3.1 \Omega$ which is chosen in section 7.3.1 and make experiment for the parameter $X_{c}=42.2,88.4,176.8$, $353.7 \Omega$ in the three-phase circuit and for the parameter $X_{c}=29.4,58.9,117.9,235.8 \Omega$ in the single-phase circuit. Fig.7.13 and Fig.7.14 show the bifurcation phenomena on $E_{\Delta}-X_{c}$ plane in the three-phase circuit and the single-phase circuit, respectively. Jumps (arrows) in the figure mean that keeping the value $X_{c}$ fixed and increasing or decreasing of linevoltage $E_{\Delta}$ the oscillations bifurcate into another type of oscillations as indicated arrow head.

In Fig.7.13, mode $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ is classified below:


Fig. 7.13: Bifurcation phenomena in three-phase circuit (experiment).


Fig. 7.14: Bifurcation phenomena in single-phase circuit (experiment).
$\mathbf{M}_{3}$ : Nonresonant periodic $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillations.
$\mathrm{M}_{3 \mathrm{r}}$ : Resonant periodic $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillations.
$\mathrm{M}_{3 \alpha}: \mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillations accompanied with beat. The phase of beat is equivalent in phase-a,b,c (Fig.7.15).
$\mathbf{M}_{3 \beta}: \mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillations accompanied with beat. The phase of beat is shifted in phase-a,b,c (Fig.7.15).

At the parameter $X_{c}=42.2 \Omega$, we can confirm the bifurcations $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{~N}_{3}, \mathrm{~N}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ of mode $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ which correspond to the analytical results for $\eta=0.45$ in Fig.7.2. We can also find the generation of mode $\mathrm{M}_{1}$. By increasing the parameter $X_{c}$, the region of nonperiodic oscillation is enlarged ( $X_{c}=88.4 \Omega$ ). At $X_{c}=176.8$, the period doubling bifurcation $D_{1}$ can be observed. After the period doubling bifurcation $D_{1}$ Neimark-Sacker bifurcation occurs and almost periodic $\mathrm{M}_{3 \alpha}$ oscillations are generated. Additionally, the $\mathrm{M}_{3 \beta}$ oscillations which have the region of almost periodic and chaotic oscillation can be observed. The experimental results in the three-phase circuit agree fairly with analytical ones.

In Fig.7.14 the jumps accompanied by the barmonic resonance which corresponds to the saddle-node bifurcation $\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{2}$, pitchfork bifurcation $\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{1}$, and the period doubling bifurcations $\hat{\mathrm{D}}_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{D}}_{2}$ are confirmed. The results agree fairly with the analytical ones shown in Fig.7.4. At $C=11.25[\mu F]$, after the period doubling bifurcation $\hat{\mathrm{D}}_{1}$, chaotic oscillation via period doubling cascade is observed.

The experimental waveforms of inductor currents and capacitor voltage of nonperiodic oscillations in the three-phase circuit are shown in Fig.7.15. Fig.7.15(a) shows almost periodic oscillation of mode $\mathrm{M}_{3 \alpha}$ which are generated by the Neimark-Sacker bifurcation $\mathrm{N}_{3}$. In this figure, the beat of phase-a,b,c is same. Fig.7.15(b) shows chaotic oscillation of mode $\mathrm{M}_{3} \alpha$ which bifurcates from the almost periodic $\mathrm{M}_{3 \alpha}$. In the figure, we can observe the inductor currents circulate in the delta-connection changing the direction every about 125 [ ms ]. This oscillation is special feature of the three-phase circuit on the point of the circulation in the delta-connection. Fig.7.15(c) shows the almost periodic oscillation of mode $\mathrm{M}_{3 \beta}$ which appears in the larger part of the source line-voltage $E_{\Delta}$.


Fig. 7.15: Waveforms of harmonic $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillations (experiment).

### 7.7 Phase Control

### 7.7.1 Experimental Method

In this section, the effects of the phase angle at which the source voltages are applied to the three-phase circuit are investigated by experiment. We set the same parameter that is chosen in the experiment of the previous section, that is, the series resistance $R=2.5 \Omega$ and the delta-connected resistance $r=3.1 \Omega$. Additionally, we choose the parameter $X_{c}=42.2 \Omega$ and source line-voltage $E_{\Delta}=36.5 \mathrm{~V}$. At the parameter, three sorts of oscillations are generated, that is, $\mathrm{M}_{30}, \mathrm{M}_{3 r}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ modes (Fig.7.13).

The initial charged capacitor voltage $v_{c}$ is set to 75 V . Then, we close the circuit on several phase angles $\theta$ by the phase controller and observe the transient phenomena.

### 7.7.2 Transient Waveform

The relation between the phase angle $\theta$ and generated oscillations are shown in table 7.1.

Table 7.1: Relation between $\theta$ and oscillations.

| $\theta$ | generated oscillation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3+}$ |  |  |  |
| $60^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3+}$ |  |  |  |
| $120^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3+}$ |  |  |  |
| $180^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3+}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3-}$ |  |  |
| $210^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3 o}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3-}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1 a}$ |  |
| $240^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3-}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3+}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1 c}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3 o}$ |
| $270^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3 o}$ |  |  |  |
| $300^{\circ}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{1 b}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3+}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3-}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3 o}$ |

The mode $\mathrm{M}_{3 \mathrm{r}}$ is classified into $\mathrm{M}_{3+}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3-}$ because two mutually symmetric oscillations with respect to $C_{2}$ exist. As for mode $M_{1}$, the suffix a,b or $c$ represents an active inductor $L_{a}, L_{b}$ or $L_{c}$, respectively. Although the phase angle $\theta$ is fixed, several oscillations are generated at $\theta=180^{\circ}, 210^{\circ}, 240^{\circ}$ and $300^{\circ}$. That is caused by remanent magnetizations. However, the modes on the most left side in table 7.1 are the most frequently generated.

The transient waveform is shown in Fig.7.16. At $\theta=0^{\circ}, 60^{\circ}, 120^{\circ}$, the transient state is very short and the first peak of the current $I_{b}$ appears at about phase angle $210^{\circ}$ of $E_{a}$
regardless of the closed phase angle 6. At $\theta=180^{\circ}$, mode $\mathrm{M}_{3+}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3-}$ are generated. In the case of $\mathrm{M}_{3+}$ we can confirm the chaotic $\mathrm{M}_{3 \alpha}$ oscillation shown in Fig.7.15(b) in the transient state. At $\theta=210^{\circ}$, the mode $\mathrm{M}_{30}, \mathrm{M}_{3-}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1 a}$ are generated. In the case of $\mathrm{M}_{30}$, we can confirm the $1 / 2$-subharmonic $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation in the transient state. In the case of $\mathrm{M}_{1 a}$, the transient state is very short. At $\theta=240^{\circ}$, four sorts of oscillations are generated. As for $\mathrm{M}_{1 c}$, the transient state is very short. At $\theta=270^{\circ}$, only $\mathrm{M}_{30}$ can be generated. At $\theta=300^{\circ}$, four sorts of oscillations are generated. As for $\mathrm{M}_{1 b}$, the transient state is very short.
Thus, the phase angle $\theta$ affects the generating oscillations. Mode $\mathrm{M}_{3+}$ of $\theta=0^{\circ}, 60^{\circ}$, $120^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ have very short transient states. $\mathrm{M}_{3+}$ is frequently generated but $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ is not frequently. Other oscillations often have transient states which are similar to the chaotic $\mathrm{M}_{3 \alpha}$ oscillation shown in Fig.7.15(b).


Fig. 7.16: Transient waveforms.


Fig. 7.16: Transient waveforms.
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Fig. 7.16: Transient waveforms.




Fig. 7.16: Transient waveforms.

### 7.7.3 Transient Trajectory

In order to clarify the effect of the phase angle $\theta$, we consider the $0 \alpha \beta$ transformation. That is, the components of capacitor voltage $v_{a}, v_{b}, v_{c}$ can be represented by 0 -, $\alpha$-, $\beta$-components.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{0}  \tag{7.2}\\
v_{\alpha} \\
v_{\beta}
\end{array}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\
\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \\
0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}}
\end{array}\right)\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{a} \\
v_{b} \\
v_{c}
\end{array}\right], \quad\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{a} \\
v_{b} \\
v_{c}
\end{array}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}
\end{array}\right)\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{0} \\
v_{\alpha} \\
v_{\beta}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Fig. 7.17 shows the transient trajectories on $v_{\alpha}-v_{\beta}$ plane. In the figures, the three arrows represents the directions of $v_{a}, v_{b}, v_{c}$. The initial point of the trajectory is in the direction of $v_{c}$ because only the phase-c capacitor is charged.
At $\theta=0^{\circ}, 60^{\circ}, 120^{\circ}$ the initial direction of the trajectory is orthogonal to the arrow-b, that is, the electric charge are discharged through the inductor $L_{b}$. In the case of $\mathrm{M}_{3+}$ at $\theta=180^{\circ}$, the initial direction of the trajectory becomes a little inner and the transient trajectory is attracted to two triangle-like orbit which represents the chaotic $\mathrm{M}_{3 \alpha}$ oscillation.
At $\theta=210^{\circ}$ the initial direction of the trajectory is opposite to the arrow-c, that is, the electric charge is discharged through inductors $L_{a}$ and $L_{b}$ simultaneously. The steady state trajectory of $M_{1 c}$ is orthogonal to the arrow-a. In the case of $M_{1 c}$ the trajectory proceed to stead state smoothly. In the case of $\mathrm{M}_{3-}$ the transient trajectory is attracted to $\mathrm{M}_{1 c}$ orbit.

At $\theta=240^{\circ}, 270^{\circ}, 300^{\circ}$ the initial direction of the trajectory is orthogonal to the arrowa, that is, the electric charge are discharged through the inductor $L_{a}$. The first current is large at $\theta=240^{\circ}$ and small at $\theta=300^{\circ}$. Then, the next current is $I_{a}, I_{c}$ at $240^{\circ}$ and $I_{b}, I_{c}$ at $\theta=300^{\circ}$. In the case of $\mathrm{M}_{3-}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3+}$ at $\theta=240^{\circ}$, the initial direction of the trajectory is opposite to the steady state orbit. Then, the transient trajectory is attracted to the orbit of the chaotic $\mathrm{M}_{3 \alpha}$ oscillation once. In the case of $\mathrm{M}_{1 c}$ at $\theta=240^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1 b}$ at $\theta=300^{\circ}$, the trajectory proceeds to steady state smoothly.

Thus, the $0 \alpha \beta$ transformation make clear that the steady state is affected by the initial direction of the trajectory. At $\theta=0^{\circ} \sim 120^{\circ}$ the trajectories can proceed to $\mathrm{M}_{3+}$ smoothly. In other cases, however, the trajectory cannot proceed to $\mathrm{M}_{3+}$ smoothly. Then other steady states appear. In the case of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$, the proceeding is rapid. As for the other oscillations, the trajectories tend to be attracted transiently to the chaotic $\mathrm{M}_{3 \alpha}$ orbit once.


Fig. 7.17: Transient trajectory (The degree means the phase angle $\theta$ ).







Fig. 7.17: Transient trajectory.



Fig. 7.17: Transient trajectory.

### 7.8 Concluding Remarks

In this section, several bifurcations of three modes of harmonic oscillations are revealed by the homotopy method and real experiment.
In the single-phase circuit, there exist a pair of saddle-node bifurcations which means the harmonic resonance, pitchfork bifurcations, and period doubling bifurcations. Needless to say, these bifurcations can be found in the three-phase circuit. In addition, NeimarkSacker and co-dimension two bifurcations exist. These two characterize the bifurcations of the three-phase circuit. Additionally, there exist distinctive chaotic oscillations of mode $M_{3 \alpha}$ in the unstable regions which are generated by above bifurcations.

Additionally, analyzing the bifurcations of the coupled single-phase circuit, the relations as well as differences between the three-phase circuit and single-phase circuit are revealed. The mode $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ corresponds to the three single-phase circuits one of which is resonant and the others are not resonant. On the other hand, the mode $\mathrm{M}_{3}^{\prime}$ is special feature of the three-phase circuit.

Furthermore, the transient states of several oscillations are investigated by the experiment with phase controller. The relation between the phase angle and generated mode becomes manifest.

## Chapter 8

## 1/2-Subharmonic Oscillation

### 8.1 Introduction

In this section, we reveal the bifurcation phenomena of $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillations in the three-phase circuit. The $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillations are classified into three modes. The periodic solution curves and bifurcation sets of each modes are investigated. Further, in order to clarify the difference of the phenomena in the three-phase, single-phaselike and single-phase circuit, the periodic solution curves and bifurcation sets of the $1 / 2$ subharmonic oscillations in single-phase-like and single-phase circuit are also investigated. Additionally, experimental results are shown.

### 8.2 Three Modes in Three-Phase Circuit

We set the series resistance $R=2.5 \Omega$ and the delta-connected resistance $r=3.1 \Omega$. Applying the Newton homotopy method with the period $T=4 \pi$, we obtain several $1 / 2$ : subharmonic oscillations. Considering the number of dominant inductors, periodic $1 / 2$ subharmonic oscillations are classified into three modes. That is,
$\mathbf{M}_{1}$ mode : Oscillations excited by any one of the three nonlinear inductors.
$\mathbf{M}_{3}$ mode : Pure oscillations excited by all the three nonlinear inductors.
$\mathbf{M}_{3}^{\prime}$ mode : Pure oscillation excited by all the three nonlinear inductors. The amplitude of inductor currents is small.

The waveforms of capacitor voltages and inductor currents of the three modes are shown in Fig.8.1. In this figure, the waveforms over the interval $[0,4 T]$ are shown. We can confirm the amplitude of inductor currents in mode $\mathrm{M}_{3}^{\prime}$ is small.

The above oscillations don't have the symmetry with respect to $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ which is defined by Eq.(2.25). The fact can be shown below.

Let $[\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau), \boldsymbol{U}(\tau)]^{\prime}$ be a period- $n$ solution of Eq.(2.6). Assume that $n=2 k+1(k=$ $0,1, \ldots$ ), then the right-hand side of the Eq.(2.25) satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d \tau} \mathbf{C}_{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+n \pi) \\
\boldsymbol{U}(\tau+n \pi)
\end{array}\right]-\boldsymbol{f}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+n \pi), \widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{2} \boldsymbol{U}(\tau+n \pi), \tau\right) \\
& =\mathbf{C}_{2} \frac{d}{d \tau}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+(2 k+1) \pi) \\
\boldsymbol{U}(\tau+(2 k+1) \pi)
\end{array}\right]-\mathbf{C}_{2} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+(2 k+1) \pi), \boldsymbol{U}(\tau+(2 k+1) \pi), \tau+\pi) \\
& =\mathbf{C}_{2}\left[\frac{d}{d \tau}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+(2 k+1) \pi) \\
\boldsymbol{U}(\tau+(2 k+1) \pi)
\end{array}\right]-\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+(2 k+1) \pi), \boldsymbol{U}(\tau+(2 k+1) \pi), \tau+(2 k+1) \pi)\right] \\
& =\mathbf{o} . \tag{8.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the right-hand side of Eq.(2.25) satisfies Eq.(2.6).
On the other hand, assume that $n=2 k(k=1,2, \ldots)$, then the right-hand side of the Eq.(2.25) satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d \tau} \mathbf{C}_{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+2 k \pi) \\
\boldsymbol{U}(\tau+2 k \pi)
\end{array}\right]-\boldsymbol{f}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+2 k \pi), \widehat{\mathbf{C}}_{2} \boldsymbol{U}(\tau+2 k \pi), \tau\right) \\
& =\mathbf{C}_{2} \frac{d}{d \tau}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+2 k \pi) \\
\boldsymbol{U}(\tau+2 k \pi)
\end{array}\right]-\mathbf{C}_{2} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+2 k \pi), \boldsymbol{U}(\tau+2 k \pi), \tau+\pi) \\
& =\mathbf{C}_{2}\left[\frac{d}{d \tau}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+2 k \pi) \\
\boldsymbol{U}(\tau+2 k \pi)
\end{array}\right]-\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+2 k \pi), \boldsymbol{U}(\tau+2 k \pi), \tau+\pi+2 k \pi)\right] \\
& =\mathbf{C}_{2}[\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+2 k \pi), \boldsymbol{U}(\tau+2 k \pi), \tau+2 k \pi) \\
& -\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tau+2 k \pi), \boldsymbol{U}(\tau+2 k \pi), \tau+\pi+2 k \pi)] \\
& =\mathbf{C}_{2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{E}(\tau)-\boldsymbol{E}(\tau+\pi) \\
\mathbf{o}
\end{array}\right] . \tag{8.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the right-hand side of Eq.(8.2) is not identically equal to $\mathbf{o}$. That is, the right-hand side of the Eq.(2.25) cannot be the solution of Eq.(2.6). As a result, period- $2 k$ oscillation


Fig. 8.1: Waveforms of periodic $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillations of the three modes.


Fig. 8.2: Waveforms of an almost periodic 1/2-subharmonic oscillation with beat.
$(k=1,2, \ldots)$ can not have the symmetry with respect to $\mathbf{C}_{2}$. Especially, as for the $1 / 2$. subharmonic oscillation, it becomes apparent that $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillation of period-2 doesn't have symmetry with respect to $\mathbf{C}_{2}$.

Except for the periodic oscillations, almost periodic oscillations accompanied with beat are generated. Fig. 8.2 shows the waveforms by computation. The oscillation is generated near the $\mathrm{M}_{3}^{\prime}$ region.

### 8.3 Single-phase Oscillation

### 8.3.1 Periodic Solution Curve

In this section, we pay attention to $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation in which the inductor $L_{a}$ is active and the other two are not. Applying the general homotopy method, we investigate the bifurcation phenomena of mode $\mathrm{M}_{1}$. Fig. 8.3 shows the periodic solution curve on $E_{m}-\Psi_{a}$ plane at $\eta=0.22$. The generated bifurcations are saddle-node bifurcations $S_{1} \sim S_{4}$, period doubling bifurcations $\mathrm{D}_{1} \sim \mathrm{D}_{6}$, and Neimark-Sacker bifurcation $\mathrm{N}_{1}$. The solution curve


Fig. 8.3: Periodic solution curve of 1/2-subharmonic $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation in three-phase circuit.
is folded back on the bifurcation $S_{2}$ and $S_{4}$. The stable region is in the lower part of the amplitude $E_{m}$ and splits into two parts, that is, between $S_{1}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ and between $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{3}$. The pitchfork bifurcations which are observed in the solution curve of the single-phase $1 / 3$ subharmonic oscillation are not generated because the $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillation doesn't have the symmetry with respect to $\mathbf{C}_{2}$.

For the comparison of Fig.8.3, the solution curve in the single-phase-like circuit is shown in Fig.8.4. In the single-phase-like circuit, saddle-node bifurcations $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{2}$, and period doubling bifurcations $\hat{\mathrm{D}}_{1} \sim \hat{\mathrm{D}}_{4}$ are generated. The stable region is in the lower and higher part of the amplitude $E_{m}$. That is, between $\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{1}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{D}}_{1}$ and between $\hat{\mathrm{D}}_{2}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{D}}_{3}$ in the lower part and between $\hat{\mathrm{D}}_{4}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{2}$ in the higher part.

Comparing Fig.8.3 and Fig.8.4, we can find that the folding back of solution curve in the three-phase circuit is distinctive. As a result, the folding back in the three-phase circuit make the stable region in the higher part of $E_{m}$ in the single-phase-like circuit disappear. On the other hand, in the lower part of $E_{m}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{3}\right.$ and $\left.\hat{\mathrm{S}}_{1} \rightarrow \hat{\mathrm{D}}_{3}\right)$ both diagrams are fairly in good agreement.


Fig. 8.4: Periodic solution curve of $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillation in single-phase-like circuit.


Fig. 8.5: Periodic solution curve of $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillation in single-phase circuit.

Next, we compare with the periodic solution curve in the single-phase circuit which is shown in Fig.8.5. In the single-phase circuit, saddle-node bifurcations $\bar{S}_{1}$ and $\bar{S}_{2}$, and period doubling bifurcations $\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}$ are generated. The stable part is in the lower and
higher part of the amplitude $E_{m}$. That is, between $\bar{S}_{1}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}$ in the lower part and between $\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{S}}_{2}$ in the higher part.

Comparing Fig.8.4 and Fig.8.5, in the bigher part of $E_{m}$ both diagrams are fairly in good agreement. However, as far as the structure of the stable part in the lower part of $E_{m}$ is concerned, both diagrams are different each other. Thus, in the lower part of $E_{m}$ the solution curve in the single-phase-like circuit is more similar to that in the three-phase circuit than that in the single-phase circuit.

### 8.3.2 Bifurcation Set

Applying the general homotopy method, we obtain the bifurcation sets. The bifurcation sets of mode $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ on $E_{\mathrm{m}}-\eta$ plane is shown in Fig.8.6. In this figure, only the bifurcations on which stable solutions lose their stability are shown. In the higher part of $\eta$ the stable region lose its stability by saddle-node bifurcation set on the outer boundary and period doubling bifurcation set on the inner boundary. In the lower part of both $E_{m}$ and $\eta$, the structure of bifurcation sets are so complicated that the enlarged figure is also shown in Fig.8.6. There exist several period doubling bifurcation sets and Neimark-Sacker bifurcation sets connects them. In the part where $E_{m}$ is higher and $\eta$ is lower, there is not stable region because of the folding back of the solution curve.

For the comparison of Fig.8.6, the bifurcation sets of $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillations in the single-phase-like and single-phase circuit are shown in Fig.8.7 and Fig.8.8, respectively. As for the single-phase circuit, the stable region is annular. As for the single-phase-like circuit, the stable region is also annular as a whole. However, there is salience in the part $E_{m} \simeq 0.3, \eta \simeq 0.2$. This special feature make differences in the solution curves of the single-phase-like and single-phase circuit. This region corresponds to the region of complicated structure in the three-phase circuit.

In comparison with the single-phase-like and single-phase circuit, the special feature of the three-phase circuit is that there is not stable region in the higher part of $E_{m}$ in the lower part of $\eta$. This feature is caused by the folding back of the solution curve and is also observed on the single-phase $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation in the three-phase circuit. Additionally, the connections between Neimark-Sacker and period doubling bifurcation sets are distinctive in the three-phase circuit.


Fig. 8.6: bifurcation sets of $1 / 2$-subharmonic $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ oscillation.


Fig. 8.7: Bifurcation sets of $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillation in single-phase-like circuit.


Fig. 8.8: Bifurcation sets of $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillation in single-phase circuit.

### 8.3.3 Experimental Results

We fix the series resistance $R=2.5 \Omega$ and the delta-connected resistance $r=3.1 \Omega$ which are chosen in section 8.2. By increasing or decreasing the source line-voltage $E_{\Delta}$ and the capacitance $C$, the region of single-phase $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillation is obtained by the method shown in section 3.5. In this experiment, the phase angle at which the source voltage are applied and the initial charge of capacitor are chosen every time so that the oscillation may be generated in a wide region.

Fig. 8.9 shows the bifurcation phenomena of $1 / 2$-subharmonic and $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations on $E_{\Delta}-X_{c}$ plane. The $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillation is generated in the region of larger amplitude of $E_{\Delta}$ than $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation and the region is restricted in the lower part of $E_{\Delta}$.

Fig. 8.10 shows the bifurcation phenomena of $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillations in the single-phase-like circuit. In the higher part of the source line-voltage $E_{\Delta}$, the $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillations can not be observed by the harmonic resonance.

Comparing the single-phase-like circuit with the three-phase circuit, the relation between the regions of order $1 / 2$ and $1 / 3$ is similar. However, the $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillation in the higher part of source line-voltage is distinctive in the single-phase-like circuit.

Thus, the experimental results agree fairly with the analytical ones.

### 8.4 Symmetric Oscillation

### 8.4.1 Analytical Results of Mode $\mathrm{M}_{3}$

We set the series resistance $R=1.0 \Omega$ and the delta-connected resistance $r=0.8 \Omega$. The typical amplitude characteristics of mode $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ for the parameter $\eta=0.9$ are shown in Fig.8.11. Here, the vertical axis $I$ is the maximum value of inductor currents. In this figure, we can find saddle-node bifurcations $\mathrm{S}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{6}$ and Neimark-Sacker bifurcations $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}$. The stable region is restricted in the higher amplitude of $E_{m}$ and the oscillation loses its stability by the Neimark-Sacker bifurcation $\mathrm{N}_{2}$.

The bifurcation sets of mode $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ on $E_{m}-\eta$ plane is shown in Fig. 8.12. In this figure, only the bifurcations on which stable solutions lose their stability are shown. The large stable region is confirmed in the higher amplitude of $E_{m}$. Additionally, a small stable region is confirmed in the part $E_{m} \simeq 0.3, \eta \simeq 0.05$ which is enlarged in the figure. Also


Fig. 8.9: Bifurcation phenomena of $1 / 2$-subharmonic $M_{1}$ oscillations (experiment).


Fig. 8.10: Bifurcation phenomena of $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillations in single-phase-like circuit (experiment).


Fig. 8.11: Amplitude characteristics of $1 / 2$-subharmonic $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillation.


Fig. 8.12: Bifurcation sets of $1 / 2$-subharmonic $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillation.
in this region, the solution lose its stability by Neimark-Sacker bifurcation. Thus, the loss of stability by Neimark-Sacker bifurcation is special feature. Additionally, it is distinctive that there is not large stable region in the lower part of the amplitude $E_{m}$.

### 8.4.2 Analytical Results of $\mathbf{M}_{3}^{\prime}$

We set the series resistance $R=1.0 \Omega$ and the delta-connected resistance $r=0.8 \Omega$. The typical amplitude characteristics of mode $\mathrm{M}_{3}^{\prime}$ for the parameter $\eta=1.06$ are shown in Fig.8.13. In this figure, we can find only saddle-node bifurcations $S_{7}$ and $S_{8}$.

The bifurcation sets of mode $\mathrm{M}_{3}^{\prime}$ on $E_{m}-\eta$ plane is shown in Fig.8.14. There is only saddle-node bifurcation sets. For the comparison of Fig.8.14, the bifurcation sets of $1 / 2$ subharmonic oscillations in the single-phase circuit are shown in Fig.8.15. This oscillation is observed in the higher part of $\eta$ than the oscillations shown in Fig.8.8. In this figure, only saddle-node bifurcation sets are generated. The region form is also similar to that in the three-phase circuit. Thus, as for the mode $\mathrm{M}_{3}^{\prime}$ the structure of bifurcations in the three-phase and single-phase circuit agrees fairly.


Fig. 8.13: Amplitude characteristics of $1 / 2$-subharmonic $\mathrm{M}_{3}^{\prime}$ oscillation.


Fig. 8.14: Bifurcation sets of $1 / 2$-subharmonic $\mathrm{M}_{3}^{\prime}$ oscillation.


Fig. 8.15: Bifurcation sets of $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillation in single-phase circuit.

### 8.5 Concluding Remarks

In this section, several bifurcations of three modes of $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillations are revealed by the homotopy method and real experiment.
For the comparison of the single-phase oscillation in the three-phase circuit, the single-phase-like and single-phase circuit are investigated. The structure of stable regions of these two circuits are annular, that is, the outer boundary is saddle-node bifurcation and the inner boundary is period doubling bifurcation. On the other hand, in the three-phase circuit, because of the folding back of the solution curve, there is not stable region on the higher part of $E_{m}$. Another special feature is the connections between Neimark-Sacker and period doubling bifurcation sets. This structure can not be found in the single-phase-like and single-phase circuit.
As for $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ oscillation, it is distinctive that the large stable region is restricted in the higher part of the amplitude $E_{m}$ and the solution loses its stability by Neimark-Sacker bifurcation.

As for $\mathrm{M}_{3}^{t}$ oscillation, the solution curve and bifurcation sets are very simple. Additionally, there exist oscillations in the single-phase circuit which corresponds to $\mathrm{M}_{3}^{\prime}$ oscillations. As far as mode $\mathrm{M}_{3}^{\prime}$ is concerned, the solution curve and bifurcation sets in the three-phase circuit and the single-phase circuit are almost same.

## Chapter 9

## Conclusions

In this thesis, the bifurcation phenomena in the three-phase circuit is investigated by the homotopy methods and experiments. The special features of the three-phase circuit are revealed by comparing with the single-phase circuit and the single-phase-like circuit. Further, in order to reveal the effects of the nonlinear couplings, the coupled single-phase circuit is also investigated.

In chapter 4 , the bifurcation phenomena of single-phase $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations are investigated. It becomes manifest that the three-phase circuit is distinctive in the point of the folding back of the periodic solution curve. As a result, the stable region of the single-phase $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation in the three-phase circuit is restricted in the lower amplitude of the source voltage although the structure of the single-phase-like circuit is annular. Further, it is shown that the folding back is caused by the participation of the secondary inductor.

In chapter 5 , the bifurcation phenomena of two-phase $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations are investigated. It becomes apparent that the participation of two active inductors causes Neimark-Sacker bifurcations and co-dimension two bifurcations. As a result, the bifurcation phenomena of two-phase $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation is U-type structure. Additionally, the relation between single-phase and two-phase $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation are revealed by the coupled single-phase circuit and single-phase-like circuit.

In chapter 6 , the bifurcation phenomena of symmetric $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillations are investigated. It is revealed that a pure $1 / 3$-subharmonic oscillation cannot be generated in the three-phase circuit. As for the oscillation accompanied with beat, the solution curve which include many equivalent solutions is distinctive. The beat causes the mode locking
and unlocking. Additionally, the relation between frequency and symmetry is revealed. Further, the hyperchaotic oscillation is confirmed.
In chapter 7, the bifurcation phenomena of harmonic oscillations are investigated. There exist three modes of periodic oscillations. It becomes manifest that Neimark-Sacker bifurcation and co-dimension two bifurcation are distinctive in the three-phase circuit. As a result, the periodic oscillations lose their stability and distinctive chaotic oscillations which are caused by the delta-connection of nonlinear inductor are generated. Additionally, the relations as well as differences between the three-phase circuit and single-phase circuit are revealed by the coupled single-phase circuit. Further, the transient states of several oscillations are investigated by the experiment with phase controller and the relation between the closed phase angle and generated mode becomes clear.

In chapter 8 , the bifurcation phenomena of $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillations are investigated. There exist three modes of periodic oscillations. As for the single-phase $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillation, the structure of folding back which is also observed in the single-phase $1 / 3$ subharmonic oscillation are shown. Additionally, it becomes evident that the connections of Neimark-Sacker and period doubling bifurcation sets are distinctive in the three-phase circuit. As for the symmetric $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillation with large inductor currents, it is shown that the participation of three active inductors causes Neimark-Sacker bifurcation and the stable region is restricted in the higher amplitude of voltage sources. On the other hand, the symmetric $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillations with small inductor currents are similar to the $1 / 2$-subharmonic oscillations in the single-phase circuit.

As a whole, the experimental results agree fairly with the analytical ones.
Thus, several distinctive feature in the three-phase circuit is revealed. The feature is caused by the nonlinear coupling and the symmetry of the three-phase circuit.
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## Appendix A

## Generation of Pitchfork Bifurcation

Pitchfork bifurcation arises from special properties which make $\tilde{H}_{01}$ and $\tilde{H}_{20}$ vanish. This can occur in the three-phase circuit by the symmetry with respect to $\mathbf{C}_{2}$ [24]. To clarify the generation of pitchfork bifurcation, we define the determining equation of the periodic solution by multiple shooting method [26]. Using the mapping $\mathbf{T}_{1 / 2}: \boldsymbol{R}^{10} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{10}$, we express the boundary condition instead of Eq.(2.33);

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{0}^{1}  \tag{A.1}\\
x_{0}^{2}
\end{array}\right]=\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}\left(x_{0}^{1}, x_{0}^{2}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{1} & =\boldsymbol{x}^{1}(0) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{5}  \tag{A.2}\\
\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{2} & =\boldsymbol{x}^{2}(0) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{5}  \tag{A.3}\\
\mathbf{T}_{1 / 2}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{2}\right) & =\int_{0}^{T / 2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{1}, s\right) \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{2}, s+T / 2\right)
\end{array}\right] d s+\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{x}^{1}(0) \\
\boldsymbol{x}^{2}(0)
\end{array}\right] . \tag{A.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, we define a nonlinear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{F}_{1 / 2}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) \triangleq \mathbf{S} x_{0}-\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}\left(x_{0}\right)=0 \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{0} & =\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}^{1} \\
\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{2}
\end{array}\right] \in \boldsymbol{R}^{10}, \quad \mathbf{T}_{1 / 2}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)=\mathbf{T}_{1 / 2}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{2}\right)  \tag{A.7}\\
\mathbf{S} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{0} & \widehat{\mathbf{S}} \\
\widehat{\mathbf{S}} & \mathbf{o}
\end{array}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{10} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{10}  \tag{A.8}\\
\hat{\mathbf{S}} & =\operatorname{diag}(-1,-1,-1,-1,-1) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{5} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{5} \tag{A.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\mathbf{S}^{2}=\mathbf{1}$ is satisfied. Assume that $T=(2 k+1) 2 \pi(k=0,1, \ldots)$, then the following relation is satisfied from the symmetry with respect to $\mathbf{C}_{2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{F}_{1 / 2}\left(\mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) & =\mathbf{S}^{2} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}-\int_{0}^{T / 2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{S}} \boldsymbol{x}^{2}, s\right) \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{S}} \boldsymbol{x}^{1}, s+T / 2\right)
\end{array}\right] d s+\mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}  \tag{A.10}\\
& =\mathbf{S}^{2} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}-\int_{0}^{T / 2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\hat{\mathbf{S}} \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{2}, s+T / 2\right) \\
\hat{\mathbf{S}} \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{1}, s\right)
\end{array}\right] d s+\mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}  \tag{A.11}\\
& =\mathbf{S F}_{1 / 2}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) \tag{A.12}
\end{align*}
$$

If a solution has symmetry with respect to $\mathbf{C}_{2}$, then the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S} x_{0}=x_{0} \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied. Now, we define another general homotopy function $\mathbf{H}: \boldsymbol{R}^{11} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{11}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \mu\right) \triangleq \mathrm{F}_{1 / 2}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \mid \mu\right) \tag{A.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathbf{H}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{H}\left(\mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \mu\right)=\mathbf{S H}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \mu\right) . \tag{A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating Eq.(A.15) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial x_{0}}\left(\mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \mu\right) \mathbf{S}=\mathbf{S} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}, \mu\right) \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

When Eq.(A.13) is satisfied, the eigenvector $\boldsymbol{u}_{1} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{10}$ belonging to the simple eigenvalue zero of $\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}$ on a singular point $\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{*}, \mu^{*}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}= \pm \boldsymbol{u}_{1} \tag{A.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we can derive $\tilde{H}(x, \nu)$ in the same way as shown in Eq. (2.71) $\sim(2.85)$. When $\mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}=-\boldsymbol{u}_{1}$ holds, the following equations are satisfied;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{H}_{01}=\left\langle\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mu}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \mathbf{S} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mu}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mathbf{S}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mu}\right\rangle=-\left\langle\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mu}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{H}_{20}=\left\langle\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right)\right\rangle & =\left\langle\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}^{2}}\left(\mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mathbf{S}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right)\right\rangle=-\left\langle\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \frac{\partial^{2} \mathbf{H}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}, \boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\tilde{H}_{01}$ and $\tilde{H}_{02}$ vanish and pitchfork bifurcation occurs.

## Appendix B

## Chaotic Harmonic Oscillation

The chaotic $\mathrm{M}_{3 \alpha}$ oscillation is also generated in computation. The waveforms at $E_{m}=$ $0.275, \eta=1.0, R=1.0 \Omega$ and $r=3.1 \Omega$ are shown in Fig.B.1. In this figure, the fluxinterlinkage $\Psi_{0}$ is defined below;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{0} \triangleq \Psi_{a}+\Psi_{b}+\Psi_{c} \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The waveforms of inductor currents show that the currents change the direction every about $20 \pi$ and in a term the currents circulate in the delta-connection. On the other hand, the waveform of zero-sequence flux $\Psi_{0}$ is distinctive, that is, in a term the waveform is nearly monotone increasing or decreasing. The $\Psi_{0}$ satisfies the following equation;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \tau} \Psi_{0}=-\tau I_{0}, \quad \text { where } \quad I_{0} \triangleq I_{a}+I_{b}+I_{c} . \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $\Psi_{0}$ increases or decreases monotonically while the currents circulate in a direction. As a result, the circulating currents cannot continue for a long span in a direction except for $r=0$. On the other hand, $\Psi_{0}$ at $r=0$ is a constant and the circulating currents can last. Now, assume that the oscillations are approximated by the oscillations at $r=0$ in a short span, then we analyze periodic harmonic oscillations at $r=0$.

We fix the delta-connected resistances $r=0 \Omega$, series resistance $R=2.5 \Omega$, and $\eta=1.0$. The typical amplitude characteristics of harmonic oscillations at $\Psi_{0}=0,0.1,0.35$ are shown in Fig.B.2. In this figure, only the bifurcations on which stable solutions lose their stability are shown.

At $\Psi_{0}=0$, there are saddle-node bifurcations $\mathrm{S}_{1} \sim \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ and pitchfork bifurcations $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. The saddle-node bifurcations $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ represents harmonic resonances and the pitchfork bifurcation represents the generation of oscillations which have DC components


Fig. B.1: Waveforms of chaotic $\mathrm{M}_{3 \alpha}$ oscillation.
in the inductor currents. The stable solutions are classified into three sorts, that is, the nonresonant oscillations which don't have DC components in the inductor currents, the resonant oscillations which don't have DC components in the inductor currents and the resonant oscillations which have DC components in the inductor currents.

At $\Psi_{0}=0.1$, the existence of $\Psi_{0}$ causes the disappearance of pitchfork bifurcations. That is, the pitchfork bifurcations $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ disappear, and in the places saddle-node bifurcations $S_{6}$ and $S_{5}$ appear. The stable solution with DC components in the inductor currents at $\Psi_{0}=0$ changes to two sorts of solution at $\Psi_{0}=0.1$; the one is the oscillation whose $\Psi_{0}$ and $\bar{I}_{0}$ are same sign and the other is opposite, where $\bar{I}_{0}$ represent the DC component of $I_{0}$.

At $\Psi_{o}=0.35$, the region of the oscillation whose $\Psi_{0}$ and $\bar{I}_{0}$ are opposite sign becomes small and disappears when the $\Psi_{0}$ is further increased.

Next, fixing the source line-voltage $E_{m}=0.257$, we obtain the DC components $\bar{I}_{0}$ against the fluxinterlinkage $\Psi_{0}$ of the periodic solutions at $r=0 \Omega, R=1.0 \Omega$ and $\eta=1.0$ shown in Fig.B.3. In this figure, the solutions in the first and third quadrant represent the same sign
solutions and the solutions in the second and forth quadrant represent the opposite sign solutions. The stable solutions at $\bar{I}_{0} \simeq \pm 0.13$ represents the resonant oscillations which have DC components in the inductor currents and the stable solutions in the neighborhood of the origin correspond to the resonant oscillations which don't have DC components in the inductor currents at $\Psi_{0}=0$. The former stable opposite sign solutions disappear by saddle-node bifurcations at $\left|\Psi_{0}\right| \simeq 0.36$.

From the results, we can guess the oscillation at $r=2.5 \Omega$. That is, when $\bar{I}_{0}<0$ and $\Psi_{0}<0$ are satisfied, $\Psi_{0}$ increases monotonically, and when $\Psi_{0}$ exceeds 0.36 , the oscillation disappears and change to the oscillation $\bar{I}_{0}>0, \Psi_{0}>0$ as shown by the arrows (1) and (2) in Fig.B.3. Then $\Psi_{0}$ decreases monotonically, and when $\Psi_{0}$ exceeds -0.36 , the oscillation


Fig. B.2: Amplitude characteristics at $r=0 \Omega, R=1.0 \Omega, \eta=1.0$.


Fig. B.3: The DC component of $\bar{I}_{0}$ against the fluxinterlinkage $\Psi_{o}$ at $r=0 \Omega$.
disappears and changes to the oscillation $\bar{I}_{0}<0, \Psi_{0}<0$ as shown by the arrows (3) and (4). Thus, the oscillation continues as alternately changing the direction of inductor currents.

The fact indicates that the oscillation is based on the two solutions which are generated by the disappearance of the pitchfork bifurcation $P_{1}$.

