

## Nickel-catalyzed carbocyanation of alkynes\*

Yoshiaki Nakao<sup>‡</sup> and Tamejiro Hiyama

*Department of Material Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto University, Kyoto 615-8510, Japan*

*Abstract:* Nickel-catalyzed carbocyanation reaction of alkynes is described. Alkynes undergo aryl- and allylcyanation reaction in the presence of nickel–phosphine catalysts to give a wide range of substituted acrylonitriles in highly stereo-, regio-, and chemoselective manners. Lewis acid cocatalysts, such as AlMe<sub>3</sub>, AlMe<sub>2</sub>Cl, and BPh<sub>3</sub>, are found to promote the arylcyanation significantly. The cooperative catalysis of nickel and Lewis acid also allows the carbocyanation reaction using alkenyl and alkyl cyanides.


*Keywords:* C–C bond activation; nickel; nitrile; addition reaction; homogeneous catalysis.

### INTRODUCTION


Transition-metal-catalyzed cleavage of C–C single bonds followed by addition of the resulting two organic groups across unsaturated C–C bonds should be of great synthetic potential, because the transformation allows simultaneous formation of two C–C bonds without forming by-products. The catalytic cycle of the transformation may involve oxidative addition of a C–C bond followed by insertion of an unsaturated bond into the resulting C–M bond and reductive elimination (Scheme 1). However, the oxidative addition of a C–C bond to a metal is not feasible generally due to a directionally and sterically constrained C–C  $\sigma$ -bond having a high bond dissociation energy (~85 kcal/mol) [1]. Accordingly, this catalytic transformation has been successful with strained C–C bonds of methylenecyclopropanes [2], cyclopropenones [3], cyclobutanes [4], cyclobutenones [5], and cyclobutanones [6]. On the other hand, C–CN bonds in very common and ubiquitous nitriles are also cleavable upon treatment with certain transition-metal complexes, in spite of its higher bond dissociation energies (>100 kcal/mol). The driving force of the elemental reaction would be attributable to high affinity to transition metals and electron-withdrawing nature of a cyano group as well as strong M–CN bonds resulting from the activation of C–CN bonds. Nitriles are known to coordinate to transition metals either in an  $\eta^1$ - or  $\eta^2$ -fashion (Scheme 2) [7]. In particular,  $\eta^2$ -coordination further triggers the activation of a C–CN bond via oxidative addition (path A) [8] or via formation of silylisonitrile complexes when a Lewis acidic silyl ligand is located on a metal (path B) [9]. A few seminal reports of catalytic reactions utilizing these elemental reactions including palladium-catalyzed insertion of unsaturated bonds into C(=O)–CN bonds are available [10]. We envisioned that the oxidative addition of C–CN bonds (path A in Scheme 2) would constitute an initiation step of the catalysis shown in Scheme 1 to achieve the addition reaction of nitriles across unsaturated bonds, namely, carbocyanation reaction. Especially, we focused our attention on the use of nickel as a catalyst, because the metal complexes have been demonstrated to be very reactive for the activation of C–CN bonds. Herein, we briefly summarize our results of the carbocyanation reaction across alkynes [11].

\*Paper based on a presentation at the 14<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Organometallic Chemistry Directed Towards Organic Synthesis (OMCOS-14), 2–6 August 2007, Nara, Japan. Other presentations are published in this issue, pp. 807–1194.

<sup>‡</sup>Corresponding author



Scheme 1



Scheme 2

## ARYLCYANATION


We first investigated the arylocyanation reaction of alkynes. After screening catalysts and solvents effective for an equimolar reaction of 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzonitrile (**1a**) with 4-octyne (**2a**), we found that a combination of Ni(cod)<sub>2</sub> and PMe<sub>3</sub> was the optimum to give the corresponding *cis*-arylcyanation product in 80 % yield after 24 h at 100 °C in toluene (entry 1 of Table 1). Benzonitriles having an electron-withdrawing substituent at the *para*-position reacted in good to excellent yields. A wide variety of functional groups including fluoro, keto, ester, and formyl were tolerated to give the corresponding arylocyanation products (entries 2–5). In particular, 1,4-dicyanobenzene (**1f**) reacted at one of the C–CN bonds exclusively with an equimolar amount of 4-octyne (**2a**) (entry 6), whereas double arylocyanation product **4** was obtained with two molar equivalents of **2a** (eq. 1). The reaction rate and yield were inferior, with the substrates having an electron-neutral or -donating substituent at the *para*-position (entries 7–9). *Meta*- and *ortho*-substituents did not affect the reaction (entries 10–13). Heteroaryl cyanides also gave the corresponding adducts in modest to good yields (entries 14–19).

**Table 1** Arylcyanation of **2a** with various aryl cyanides **1**.
$$\text{Ar-CN} + \mathbf{2a} \xrightarrow[\text{toluene, } 100\text{ }^\circ\text{C}]{\text{Ni(cod)}_2 (10\text{ mol } \%), \text{PMe}_3 (20\text{ mol } \%)} \text{Ar-C(Pr)=C(Pr)-CN} \quad \mathbf{3}$$

1    1:1

entry	Ar	time (h)	yield of <b>3</b> (%) <sup>a)</sup>
1	4-F <sub>3</sub> C-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ( <b>1a</b> )	24	80 ( <b>3aa</b> )
2	4-F-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ( <b>1b</b> )	30	81 ( <b>3ba</b> )
3	4-Ac-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ( <b>1c</b> )	30	73 ( <b>3ca</b> )
4	4-MeO <sub>2</sub> C-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ( <b>1d</b> )	24	96 ( <b>3da</b> )
5	4-H(O)C-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ( <b>1e</b> )	25	67 ( <b>3ea</b> )
6	4-NC-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ( <b>1f</b> )	19	67 ( <b>3fa</b> )
7	Ph ( <b>1g</b> )	45	64 ( <b>3ga</b> )
8	4-MeO-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ( <b>1h</b> )	111	54 ( <b>3ha</b> )
9	4-(pin)B-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ( <b>1i</b> )	30	61 ( <b>3ia</b> )
10	3,5-(MeO) <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub> ( <b>1j</b> )	92	76 ( <b>3ja</b> )
11	2-F <sub>3</sub> C-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ( <b>1k</b> )	159	76 ( <b>3ka</b> )
12	5-F-2-Me-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub> ( <b>1l</b> )	26	62 ( <b>3la</b> )
13	2-naphthyl ( <b>1m</b> )	48	61 ( <b>3ma</b> )
14 <sup>b)</sup>	2-furyl ( <b>1n</b> )	46	75 ( <b>3na</b> )
15 <sup>b)</sup>	2-thienyl ( <b>1o</b> )	90	44 ( <b>3oa</b> )
16	1-Boc-3-indolyl ( <b>1p</b> )	45	40 ( <b>3pa</b> )
17	4-pyridyl ( <b>1q</b> )	24	85 ( <b>3qa</b> )
18	3-pyridyl ( <b>1r</b> )	15	86 ( <b>3ra</b> )
19 <sup>b)</sup>	2-pyridyl ( <b>1s</b> )	44	36 ( <b>3sa</b> ) <sup>c)</sup>

a) Isolated yield. b) PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph as a ligand. c) *E/Z* = 32:68.




The scope of alkynes with **1d** as an aryl cyanide is shown in Table 2. Unsymmetrical alkynes gave a mixture of regioisomers, an isomer having a cyano group at the carbon bearing a larger substituent being favored (entries 2 and 3). Substitution of a heteroatom such as oxygen showed no significant influence on the regiochemistry (entry 4). Trimethyl(1-propynyl)silane (**2f**) also participated in the reaction with the same regiochemistry but gave a mixture of stereoisomers of **7f** (entry 5). Predominant formation of *cis*-adduct (*E*)-**7f** at the beginning of the reaction (*Z/E* = 15:85 at 1 h) may suggest that the initial *cis*-adduct isomerizes under the reaction conditions. Terminal alkynes, such as 1-octyne and phenylacetylene, failed to participate in the reaction due presumably to rapid oligomerization and/or trimerization of alkynes.

**Table 2** Arylcyanation of alkynes using **1d**.

entry	R <sup>1</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>	time (h)	yield (%) <sup>a)</sup>	3:3'
1	Me	Me	( <b>2b</b> ) 20	70 ( <b>3db</b> )	—
2	Me	<i>i</i> -Pr	( <b>2c</b> ) 26	84 ( <b>3dc</b> , <b>3'dc</b> )	62:38
3	Me	<i>t</i> -Bu	( <b>2d</b> ) 86	59 ( <b>3dd</b> )	>99:1
4	Pr	CH <sub>2</sub> OMe	( <b>2e</b> ) 67	59 ( <b>3de</b> , <b>3'de</b> )	37:63
5	Me	SiMe <sub>3</sub>	( <b>2f</b> ) 49	82 ( <b>3df</b> , <sup>b)</sup> <b>3'df</b> )	85:15

a) Isolated yield. b) *E/Z* = 41:59.

The catalysis is considered to be initiated by the oxidative addition of a C–CN bond of an aryl cyanide to nickel(0) to give nickel(II) complex **D** through  $\eta^1$ - and  $\eta^2$ -cyano-coordinating intermediates **A** and **B** followed by  $\eta^2$ -arene-coordinating intermediate **C** (Scheme 3) [12]. Then, an alkyne would coordinate to the nickel center to give pentacoordinate nickel species **E**, which then would lose a phosphine ligand to give **F**. The coordinating alkyne would be in the direction to avoid the steric repulsion between bulkier R<sup>2</sup> and the aryl group on the nickel as depicted in **F**. The aryl group would then migrate to the less hindered alkyne-carbon to give alkenylnickel **G** (arylnickelation), which upon recoordination of a phosphine ligand undergoes reductive elimination to afford **3** and regenerate the nickel(0).

**Scheme 3**

Based on the proposed catalytic cycle, we hypothesized that the arylocyanation reaction could be promoted by increasing the rate of the oxidative addition step because the observed order of reaction rate parallels qualitatively to that of the stoichiometric oxidative addition of Ar–CN bonds to nickel(0) with a positive  $\rho$  value associated with a *para*-substituent of aryl cyanides as disclosed by Jones and coworkers [8j]. We envisioned that coordination of a cyano group to a Lewis acid (LA) [13] could make the cyano moiety a better “leaving group” and, thus, facilitate the oxidative addition. After a thorough survey of many combinations of Ni(cod)<sub>2</sub>, a ligand, and a catalytic amount of LA, we found that moderate LAs like BPh<sub>3</sub>, AlMe<sub>3</sub>, and AlMe<sub>2</sub>Cl in catalytic amount significantly promote the reaction to afford the arylocyanation product even with 1 mol % of nickel catalyst at lower temperatures (entries 1 and 2 of Table 3 vs. entries 4 and 8 of Table 1). Under the newly developed binary catalysis, highly electron-rich 4-aminobenzonitriles, which were completely inert under the original conditions without LA, underwent the arylocyanation to give the corresponding adducts in good yields (entries 3 and 4). Highly remarkable is the selective activation of the Ar–CN bonds over the Ar–halogen bonds in 4-chloro- (**1v**) and 4-bromobenzonitrile (**1w**) (entries 5 and 6). Even the sterically demanding Ar–CN bond in 2,6-dimethylbenzonitrile (**1x**) underwent the reaction (entry 7). The selective activation of an Ar–CN bond over an Ar–H bond at C-2 in 1-methyl-3-cyanoindole (**1y**) demonstrates additional chemoselective feature of the present Ni–LA catalysis (entry 8), since the Ar–H bond is activated exclusively in the absence of LA [14]. The observed dramatic effects of LA catalysis, we assume, is derived primarily from acceleration of the oxidative addition. However, such positive effects may also be operative in the reductive elimination of C–CN bonds [15] and/or other elemental steps.

**Table 3** Arylocyanation of **2a** with aryl cyanides **1** catalyzed by nickel/Lewis acid.

entry	Ar	cond. <sup>a)</sup>	temp (°C)	time (h)	yield of <b>3</b> (%) <sup>b)</sup>
1	4-MeO <sub>2</sub> C–C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ( <b>1d</b> )	A	80	25	93 ( <b>3da</b> )
2	4-MeO–C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ( <b>1h</b> )	A	50	24	96 ( <b>3ha</b> )
3	4-Me <sub>2</sub> N–C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ( <b>1t</b> )	A	80	21	87 ( <b>3ta</b> )
4	4-Ph <sub>2</sub> N–C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ( <b>1u</b> )	B	50	47	91 ( <b>3ua</b> )
5	4-Cl–C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ( <b>1v</b> )	B	50	18	94 ( <b>3va</b> )
6 <sup>c)</sup>	4-Br–C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ( <b>1w</b> )	A	50	27	72 ( <b>3wa</b> )
7 <sup>c)</sup>	2,6-Me <sub>2</sub> –C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub> ( <b>1x</b> )	A	100	134	78 ( <b>3xa</b> )
8	1-Me-3-indolyl ( <b>1y</b> )	A	50	116	58 ( <b>3ya</b> )


<sup>a)</sup> A: PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph, AlMe<sub>2</sub>Cl; B: PCyPh<sub>2</sub>, AlMe<sub>3</sub>. <sup>b)</sup> Isolated yield.

<sup>c)</sup> 5 mol % of the catalyst set was used.


## ALLYLCYANATION

Oxidative addition of allyl cyanides is well known as an important elemental step in the nickel-catalyzed isomerization of 2-methyl-3-butenitrile to 3- or 4-pentenitrile through a  $\pi$ -allylnickel inter-

mediate, the second step of DuPont's adiponitrile process [16]. We envisaged that a  $\pi$ -allylnickel intermediate could undergo allylnickelation across alkynes [17], and subsequent reductive elimination would complete a plausible catalytic cycle of allylcyanation reaction (Scheme 4). This was found to be the case. In the case of allylcyanation,  $P(4-CF_3-C_6H_4)_3$  was found to be the ligand of choice. Various substituted allyl cyanides underwent the addition reaction across **2a** to give a range of (*Z*)-2,5-hexadienenitriles (Table 4). Starting from 3-pentenitrile (**5b**) and 2-methyl-3-butenitrile (**5c**), the same crotylcyanation product **6ba** was obtained as a mixture of stereoisomers (entries 2 and 3), suggesting a common  $\pi$ -allylnickel intermediate. No detectable amount of the adduct at the congested carbon was observed. The reactions of (*E*)-5,5-dimethyl-3-hexenenitrile (**5d**) and (*E*)-4-phenyl-3-butenitrile (**5e**) gave the corresponding linear adducts **6da** and **6ea** as stereochemically pure forms (entries 4 and 5).




Scheme 4

Table 4 Allylcyanation of **2a** using substituted allyl cyanides.

entry	R <sup>1</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>3</sup> in <b>6</b>	time (h)	yield of <b>6</b> (%) <sup>a</sup>	5 <i>E</i> /5 <i>Z</i>
1	H	H ( <b>5a</b> )	H	8	78 ( <b>6aa</b> )	—
2	Me	H ( <b>5b</b> )	Me	17	55 ( <b>6ba</b> )	83:17
3	H	Me ( <b>5c</b> )	Me	17	69 ( <b>6ba</b> )	85:15
4	<i>t</i> -Bu	H ( <b>5d</b> )	<i>t</i> -Bu	18	49 ( <b>6da</b> )	>99:1
5	Ph	H ( <b>5e</b> )	Ph	18	86 ( <b>6ea</b> )	>99:1


<sup>a</sup>) Isolated yield.

The addition of  $\alpha$ -siloxyallyl cyanide **5f** proceeded at the  $\gamma$ -carbon exclusively to give aldehyde **6fa** after acidic hydrolysis (Scheme 5). Formation of a silyl enol ether as an initial product of the present catalytic reaction was proved by using *tert*-butyldimethylsilyloxyallyl cyanide (**5g**), affording the corresponding silyl enol ether **6ga** in 87 % yield as a mixture of stereoisomers.



Scheme 5

Other  $\alpha$ -siloxyallyl cyanides having a substituent at the  $\alpha$ - or  $\beta$ -position underwent the addition reaction successfully (entries 1–4 of Table 5), whereas the reaction of  $\gamma$ -substituted one **5l** did not give any adduct due presumably to steric repulsion (entry 5). A variety of alkynes including terminal ones participated in the addition reaction to give a mixture of regioisomers. Isomers having a larger substituent at a cyano-substituted carbon were obtained preferentially (entries 7–14). Functional groups such as chloro, ester, and phthalimide were tolerated (entries 11–13).

Table 5 Allylcyanation of alkynes using  $\alpha$ -siloxyallyl cyanides.

entry	R <sup>1</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>3</sup>	R <sup>4</sup>	R <sup>5</sup>	time (h)	yield (%) <sup>a</sup>	6:6'
1 <sup>b</sup>	Me	H	H ( <b>5h</b> )	Pr	Pr ( <b>2a</b> )	2	71 ( <b>6ha</b> )	—
2 <sup>b</sup>	Et	H	H ( <b>5i</b> )	Pr	Pr ( <b>2a</b> )	3	81 ( <b>6ia</b> )	—
3 <sup>b</sup>	Ph	H	H ( <b>5j</b> )	Pr	Pr ( <b>2a</b> )	2	54 ( <b>6ja</b> )	—
4	H	Me	H ( <b>5k</b> )	Pr	Pr ( <b>2a</b> )	12	69 ( <b>6ka</b> )	—
5 <sup>c</sup>	H	H	Ph ( <b>5l</b> )	Pr	Pr ( <b>2a</b> )	1	<5 ( <b>6la</b> )	—
6	H	H	H ( <b>5f</b> )	Me	Me ( <b>2b</b> )	1	58 ( <b>6fb</b> )	—
7	H	H	H ( <b>5f</b> )	Me	Et ( <b>2g</b> )	1	58 ( <b>6fg</b> , <b>6'fg</b> )	61:39

(continues on next page)

**Table 5** (Continued).


entry	R <sup>1</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>3</sup>	R <sup>4</sup>	R <sup>5</sup>	time (h)	yield (%) <sup>a)</sup>	6:6'
8	H	H	H ( <b>5f</b> )	Me	Ph ( <b>2h</b> )	1	70 ( <b>6fh</b> )	93:7
9	H	H	H ( <b>5f</b> )	H	Hex ( <b>2i</b> )	1	74 ( <b>6fi</b> , <b>6'fi</b> )	92:8
10	H	H	H ( <b>5f</b> )	H	<i>t</i> -Bu ( <b>2j</b> )	1	61 ( <b>6fj</b> )	>99:1
11	H	H	H ( <b>5f</b> )	H	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> Cl ( <b>2k</b> )	1	78 ( <b>6fk</b> )	95:5
12	H	H	H ( <b>5f</b> )	H	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> Me ( <b>2l</b> )	1	62 ( <b>6fl</b> )	95:5
13	H	H	H ( <b>5f</b> )	H	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> NPhth ( <b>2m</b> )	1	60 ( <b>6fm</b> , <b>6'fm</b> )	90:10
14	H	H	H ( <b>5f</b> )	H	SiMe <sub>3</sub> ( <b>2n</b> )	1	36 ( <b>6fn</b> )	>99:1

<sup>a)</sup> Isolated yield. <sup>b)</sup> The reaction was carried out in toluene at 120 °C.

<sup>c)</sup> The reaction was carried out at 100 °C.

## ALKENYLCYANATION

The carbocyanation reaction using alkenyl cyanides has also been achieved by the aid of Ni–LA dual catalysis (Table 6). BPh<sub>3</sub> was found to be the optimum LA catalyst for the alkenylcyanation, because stronger LAs, such as AlMe<sub>3</sub> and AlMe<sub>2</sub>Cl, caused isomerization of the initial *cis*-adduct to give a mixture of stereoisomers. In this particular carbocyanation process, it is worth noting that the catalyst differentiates precisely the alkenyl–CN bonds of starting alkenyl cyanides from those of products possibly by steric and/or electronic factors. Starting with variously substituted alkenyl cyanides, a range of 2,4-pentadienenitriles were obtained in good yields. Selective activation of the cyano group *trans* to the phenyl group of benzylidenemalononitrile (**7e**) to give dicyano-substituted 1,3-diene (**8ea**) has also been achieved (entry 5).

**Table 6** Alkenylcyanation of **2a** catalyzed by nickel/BPh<sub>3</sub>.


entry	R <sup>1</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>3</sup>	time (h)	yield (%) <sup>a)</sup>
1	Ph	H	H ( <b>7a</b> )	20	94 ( <b>8aa</b> )
2	H	Et	H ( <b>7b</b> )	15	78 ( <b>8ba</b> ) <sup>b)</sup>
3	–(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>5</sub> –		H ( <b>7c</b> )	21	91 ( <b>8ca</b> )
4	Ph	Ph	H ( <b>7d</b> )	46	94 ( <b>8da</b> )
5 <sup>c)</sup>	Ph	H	CN ( <b>7e</b> )	13	81 ( <b>8ea</b> ) <sup>d)</sup>

<sup>a)</sup> Isolated yield. <sup>b)</sup> 4*E*/4*Z* = 16:84. <sup>c)</sup> Ni(cod)<sub>2</sub> (4 mol %), dppe (4 mol %), and BPh<sub>3</sub> (16 mol %) were used. <sup>d)</sup> ~2% of an isomer was also obtained.



## ALKYLCYANATION

Even alkyl cyanides are activated and undergo the carbocyanation reaction by Ni–LA catalysis. For example, acetonitrile (**9a**) added across 4-octyne (**2a**) in the presence of a Ni–AlMe<sub>3</sub> catalyst to give methylcyanation product **10aa** in 71 % yield with a 10 mmol scale (eq. 2). The addition of CH<sub>3</sub>CN-*d*<sub>3</sub> gave the corresponding **10aa-d**<sub>3</sub> of 99 % deuteriation, demonstrating that the methyl group in **10aa** is fully derived from acetonitrile and definitely not from AlMe<sub>3</sub>. On the other hand, propionitrile (**9b**) gave ethylcyanation product (**10ba**) in a low yield together with (*E*)-2-propyl-2-hexenenitrile (**11**), which should be derived from oxidative addition of Et–CN bond to Ni(0) followed by β-hydride elimination and hydrocyanation of **2a** (Scheme 6).



Scheme 6

The nickel-catalyzed carbocyanation reaction across alkynes has been demonstrated. By the assist of LA catalysts, a wide variety of nitriles including aryl, allyl, alkenyl, and alkyl cyanides now participate in the reaction. The addition reaction takes place in general with high stereo- and regioselectivities, providing a rapid and atom-economical access to variously substituted acrylonitriles. Future efforts in this field will be directed to further optimization of the Ni–LA dual catalysis for the broadened scope of alkyl cyanides as well as other unsaturated compounds, especially simple alkenes.

## REFERENCES

1. (a) D. Milstein, B. Rybtchinski. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **38**, 871 (1999); (b) M. Murakami, Y. Ito. *Top. Organomet. Chem.* **3**, 97 (1999); (c) C.-H. Jun. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **33**, 610 (2004).
2. (a) R. Noyori, T. Odagi, H. Takaya. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **92**, 5780 (1970); (b) A. Baba, Y. Ohshiro, T. Agawa. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **110**, 121 (1976); (c) P. Binger, B. Schäfer. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **29**, 4539 (1988); (d) S. Saito, M. Masuda, S. Komagawa. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **126**, 10540 (2004); (e) S. Komagawa, S. Saito. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **45**, 2446 (2006); (f) S. Saito, K. Takeuchi. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **48**, 595 (2007); (g) K. Maeda, S. Saito. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **48**, 3173 (2007).
3. T. Kondo, Y. Kaneko, Y. Taguchi, A. Nakamura, T. Okada, M. Shiotsuki, Y. Ura, K. Wada, T. Mitsudo. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **124**, 6824 (2002).
4. (a) R. Noyori, T. Suzuki, H. Takaya. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **93**, 5896 (1971); (b) B. L. Edelbach, R. J. Lachicotte, W. D. Jones. *Organometallics* **18**, 4040 (1999); (c) C. N. Iverson, W. D. Jones. *Organometallics* **20**, 5745 (2001); (d) C. Müller, R. J. Lachicotte, W. D. Jones. *Organometallics* **21**, 1975 (2002); (e) T. Schaub, M. Backes, U. Radius. *Organometallics* **25**, 4196 (2006).
5. (a) M. A. Huffman, L. S. Liebeskind. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **113**, 2771 (1991); (b) T. Kondo, Y. Taguchi, Y. Kaneko, M. Niimi, T. Mitsudo. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **43**, 5369 (2004).
6. (a) M. Murakami, T. Itahashi, Y. Ito. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **124**, 13976 (2002); (b) T. Matsuda, A. Fujimoto, M. Ishibashi, M. Murakami. *Chem. Lett.* **33**, 876 (2004); (c) M. Murakami, S. Ashida, T. Matsuda. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **127**, 6932 (2005); (d) M. Murakami, S. Ashida, T. Matsuda. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **128**, 2166 (2006); (e) M. Murakami, S. Ashida. *Chem. Commun.* 4599 (2006).
7. B. N. Storhoff, H. C. Lewis Jr. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **23**, 1 (1977).
8. (a) J. L. Burmeister, L. M. Edwards. *J. Chem. Soc. A* 1663 (1971); (b) D. H. Gerlach, A. R. Kane, G. W. Parshall, J. P. Jesson, E. L. Muetterties. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **93**, 3543 (1971); (c) G. W. Parshall. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **96**, 2360 (1974); (d) D. A. Clarke, M. M. Hunt, D. W. Kemmitt. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **175**, 303 (1979); (e) A. Morvillo, A. Turco. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **208**, 103 (1981); (f) G. Favero, A. Morvillo, A. Turco. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **241**, 251 (1983); (g) C. Bianchini, D. Masi, A. Meli, M. Sabat. *Organometallics* **5**, 1670 (1986); (h) M. Abla, T. Yamamoto. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **532**, 267 (1997); (i) D. Churchill, J. H. Shin, T. Hascall, J. M. Hahn, B. M. Bridgewater, G. Parkin. *Organometallics* **18**, 2403 (1999); (j) J. J. García, N. M. Brunkan, W. D. Jones. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **124**, 9547 (2002); (k) Q.-X. Liu, F.-B. Xu, Q.-S. Li, H.-B. Song, Z.-Z. Zhang. *Organometallics* **23**, 610 (2004); (l) N. M. Brunkan, D. M. Brestensky, W. D. Jones. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **126**, 3627 (2004); (m) J. J. García, A. Arévalo, N. M. Brunkan, W. D. Jones. *Organometallics* **23**, 3997 (2004); (n) T. A. Atesin, T. Li, S. Lachaize, W. W. Brennessel, J. J. García, W. D. Jones. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **129**, 7562 (2007).
9. (a) F. L. Taw, P. S. White, R. G. Bergman, M. Brookhart. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **124**, 4192 (2002); (b) F. L. Taw, A. H. Mueller, R. G. Bergman, M. Brookhart. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **125**, 9808 (2003); (c) H. Nakazawa, T. Kawasaki, K. Miyoshi, C. H. Suresh, N. Koga. *Organometallics* **23**, 117 (2004); (d) H. Hashimoto, A. Matsuda, H. Tobita. *Organometallics* **25**, 472 (2006).
10. Catalytic reactions via path A: (a) J. Blum, E. Oppenheimer, E. D. Bergmann. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **89**, 2338 (1967); (b) S. Murahashi, T. Naota, N. Nakajima. *J. Org. Chem.* **51**, 898 (1986); (c) K. Nozaki, N. Sato, H. Takaya. *J. Org. Chem.* **59**, 2679 (1994); (d) K. Nozaki, N. Sato, H. Takaya. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **69**, 1629 (1996); (e) J. A. Miller. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **42**, 6991 (2001); (f) J. A. Miller, J. W. Dankwardt. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **44**, 1907 (2003); (g) J. A. Miller, J. W. Dankwardt, J. M. Penney. *Synthesis* 1643 (2003); (h) J. M. Penney, J. A. Miller. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **45**, 4989 (2004); (i) Y. Nishihara, Y. Inoue, M. Itazaki, K. Takagi. *Org. Lett.* **7**, 2639 (2005); (j) Y. Nishihara, Y. Inoue, S. Izawa, M. Miyasaka, K. Tanemura, K. Nakajima, K. Takagi. *Tetrahedron* **62**, 9872 (2006); (k) Y. Kobayashi, H. Kamisaki, R. Yanada, Y. Takemoto. *Org. Lett.* **8**, 2711 (2006); (l) Y. Kobayashi, H. Kamisaki, H. Takeda, Y. Yasui, R. Yanada, Y. Takemoto.

- Tetrahedron* **63**, 2978 (2007); catalytic reactions via path B: (m) H. Nakazawa, K. Kamata, M. Itazaki. *Chem. Commun.* 4004 (2005); (n) M. Tobisu, Y. Kita, N. Chatani. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **128**, 8152 (2006); (o) H. Nakazawa, M. Itazaki, K. Kamata, K. Ueda. *Chem. Asian J.* **2**, 882 (2007).
11. (a) Y. Nakao, S. Oda, T. Hiyama. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **126**, 13904 (2004); (b) Y. Nakao, S. Oda, A. Yada, T. Hiyama. *Tetrahedron* **62**, 7567 (2006); (c) Y. Nakao, T. Yukawa, Y. Hirata, S. Oda, J. Satoh, T. Hiyama. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **128**, 7116 (2006); (d) Y. Nakao, A. Yada, S. Ebata, T. Hiyama. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **129**, 2428 (2007).
  12. Theoretical calculations have suggested that the oxidative addition of aryl halides to Pd(0) also proceeds via an arene-coordinative species, see: (a) H. M. Senn, T. Ziegler. *Organometallics* **23**, 2980 (2004); (b) L. J. Gooßen, D. Koley, H. L. Hermann, W. Thiel. *Organometallics* **24**, 2398 (2005).
  13. K. Starowieyski, S. Pasykiewicz, M. Boleslawski. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **10**, 393 (1967).
  14. (a) Y. Nakao, K. S. Kanyiva, S. Oda, T. Hiyama. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **128**, 8146 (2006); (b) K. S. Kanyiva, Y. Nakao, T. Hiyama. *Heterocycles* **72**, 677 (2007).
  15. J. Huang, C. M. Haar, S. P. Nolan, J. E. Marcone, K. G. Moloy. *Organometallics* **18**, 297 (1999).
  16. (a) R. J. McKinney. In *Homogeneous Catalysis*, G. W. Parshall (Ed.), p. 42, John Wiley, New York (1992); (b) J. I. van der Vlugt, A. C. Hewat, S. Neto, R. Sablong, A. M. Mills, M. Lutz, A. L. Spek, C. Müller, D. Vogt. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **346**, 993 (2004); (c) A. Chaumonnot, F. Lamy, S. Sabo-Etienne, B. Donnadieu, B. Chaudret, J.-C. Barthelat, J.-C. Galland. *Organometallics* **23**, 3363 (2004); (d) J. Wilting, C. Müller, A. C. Hewat, D. D. Ellis, D. M. Tooke, A. L. Spek, D. Vogt. *Organometallics* **24**, 13 (2005); (e) A. Acosta-Ramirez, A. Flores-Gasper, M. Munoz-Hernandez, A. Arevalo, W. D. Jones, J. J. García. *Organometallics* **26**, 1712 (2007).
  17. (a) F. Guerrieri, G. P. Chiusoli. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **15**, 209 (1968); (b) S. Ikeda, Y. Sato. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **116**, 5975 (1994); (c) S. Ikeda, D.-M. Cui, Y. Sato. *J. Org. Chem.* **59**, 6877 (1994); (d) M. Kimura, A. Ezoe, M. Mori, Y. Tamaru. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **127**, 201 (2005); (e) M. L. Nadal, J. Bosch, J. M. Vila, G. Klein, S. Ricart, J. M. Moretó. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **127**, 10476 (2005).