

On some properties of the universal
power series for Jacobi sums

Yasutaka Ihara (伊原康隆) 執筆★

In our previous work [PGC], we associated to each element ρ of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ an ℓ -adic power series $F_\rho(u, v)$ in two variables and studied its connection with Jacobi sums, Coleman power series etc., as a first step in the study of the Galois representation in $\text{Aut } \pi_1^{\text{pro-}\ell}(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\})$. In this paper, we shall prove some symmetricity properties of the power series F_ρ (for $\rho \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell^\infty))$), in particular, \mathbb{S}_4 -symmetricity of the amalgamated product

$$F_\rho(u, v) F_\rho(u', v') \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell[[u, v, u', v']] / [(1+u)(1+v)(1+u')(1+v') - 1].$$

This is based on the corresponding \mathbb{S}_4 -symmetricity of Jacobi sums on 4 parameters $a, b, a', b' \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)$ with $a+b+a'+b'=0$ ($n \geq 1$); cf. Theorem A₁ below. As a consequence, we conclude that, although there are $m+1$ coefficients of $F_\rho(u, v)$ in degree m , they are "essentially the same" for each m (Theorem A₂).

This study was motivated by a recent communication with P. Deligne, who explained me his idea to use amalgamation of two copies of $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\})$ along $\pi_1(S^1)$ (in the context of algebraic geometry) to obtain a similar type of restriction to the Galois image in $\text{Aut } \pi_1^{\text{pro-}\ell}(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\})$.*) In the present situation, it is carried out by arithmetical means.

[A]

The author learned that G. Anderson has also obtained various results on F_ρ , including similar symmetricity, by a different method.

*) The author wishes to thank P. Deligne for this valuable communication.

★) この論文は、その後行成 敦・金子昌信両氏(いずれも執筆)により得られた結果を加えて三人の共著として出版する予定です。

We shall present our main results in §1, and their proofs in §2. In §3, we discuss some open questions related to the image of $\rho \rightarrow F_\rho \pmod{\ell}$.

1 The main statements

Let ℓ be a fixed rational prime, \mathbb{Z}_ℓ be the ring of ℓ -adic integers, and A be the commutative \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -algebra of formal power series:

$$(1) \quad A = \mathbb{Z}_\ell[[u,v]] = \mathbb{Z}_\ell[[u,v,w]] / [(1+u)(1+v)(1+w)-1],$$

equipped with the Krull topology. An element of A will be denoted by $F = F(u,v)$, and also as $F(u,v,w)$ (a representative modulo the ideal $[(1+u)(1+v)(1+w)-1]$). Let $G_Q = \text{Gal}(\overline{Q}/Q)$ be the absolute Galois group over Q , $\chi: G_Q \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$ be the ℓ -cyclotomic character describing the action of G_Q on the group μ_{ℓ^∞} of ℓ -power roots of unity in \overline{Q} , and let G_Q act on A via

$$J_\rho : 1+u \rightarrow (1+u)^{\chi(\rho)}, \quad 1+v \rightarrow (1+v)^{\chi(\rho)}, \quad 1+w \rightarrow (1+w)^{\chi(\rho)}$$

($\rho \in G_Q$). In [PGC], we constructed a continuous 1-cocycle

$$(2) \quad G_Q \longrightarrow A^\times \quad (\rho \rightarrow F_\rho = F_\rho(u,v,w)).$$

It is unramified outside ℓ , and is "universal" for Jacobi sums on 3 parameters $a,b,c \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)$ with $a+b+c=0$. This 1-cocycle depends on the choice of a "coordinate system ι " related to

$$\pi_1^{\text{pro-}\ell}(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0,1,\infty\}) \quad (\text{loc.cit I}\S 2), \text{ but its restriction to } G_Q(\mu_{\ell^\infty})$$

$= \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell^\infty}))$, which is a continuous homomorphism

$$(3) \quad G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mu_{\ell^\infty}) \rightarrow 1 + uvwA \subset A^\times,$$

depends only on the choice of a basis $(\zeta_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of $T_{\ell}(\mathbb{G}_m) = \varprojlim_n \mu_{\ell^n}$ (which is subject to ℓ).

For each $F = F(u, v) \in A$, define $F * F$ to be the element of

$$(4) \quad A * A = \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}[[u, v, u', v']] / [(1+u)(1+v)(1+u')(1+v') - 1]$$

represented by the product $F(u, v)F(u', v')$. (This algebra $A * A$

is a sort of "completed amalgamated free product $A \hat{*}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}[[w]]} A$;

but we denote it simply as $A * A$, for brevity of notations.)

The first formulation of our theorem is as follows.

Theorem A₁. Let $\rho \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mu_{\ell^\infty})$. Then $F_{\rho} \in A$ is symmetric in u, v, w , and $F_{\rho} * F_{\rho} \in A * A$ is symmetric in u, v, u', v' .

We shall show that these symmetries w.r.t. \mathfrak{S}_3 and \mathfrak{S}_4 follow from corresponding symmetries of Jacobi sums (§2). The first symmetry also allows a direct proof based on the definition of F_{ρ} . As for the second, the author learned that G. Anderson recently obtained it independently by a totally different method.

Further symmetries of Jacobi sums (\mathfrak{S}_{r+1} -symmetry of the Jacobi sum on $r+1$ parameters $a_0, \dots, a_r \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)$ for $r \geq 4$) do not give any more new functional equations for F_{ρ} .

To state the second formulation of the theorem, change

variables as

$$(4) \quad 1+u=\exp U, \quad 1+v=\exp V, \quad 1+w=\exp W \quad (U+V+W=0).$$

Then

Theorem A₂ Let $\rho \in G_{Q(\mu_{\ell^\infty})}$. Then F_ρ has an expansion of the form

$$(5) \quad F_\rho(u, v, w) = \exp \sum_{\substack{m \geq 3 \\ \text{odd}}} \frac{\beta_m(\rho)}{m!} (U^m + V^m + W^m)$$

with $\beta_m(\rho) \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ ($m \geq 3$, odd).

This is in accordance with the results of [PGC] IV (Theorem 10 and its Corollary). Combining this with a formula of Deligne[D] (cf. also [PGC] IV) which, in our terminology, determines the coefficients of $U^{m-1}V$ and UV^{m-1} in $\log F_\rho$ (at least for $m < \ell$), we conclude that

$$(6) \quad \beta_m(\rho) = (1 - \ell^{m-1})^{-1} \chi_m(\rho)$$

for $m \geq 3$, odd (and at least for $m < \ell$). Here, χ_m is a Kummer character w.r.t. some system of circular ℓ -units of $Q(\mu_{\ell^\infty})$ ([PGC] IV). From this follows in particular that the Vandiver conjecture for ℓ ("the class number of $Q(\cos \frac{2\pi}{\ell})$ is not divisible by ℓ ") is valid if and only if $\beta_m : G_{Q(\mu_{\ell^\infty})} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ is surjective for all $m = 3, 5, \dots, \ell-2; (\ell > 3)$.

2 Proofs.

Proof of Theorem A₁. Let $(\zeta_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be the basis of $T_\ell(G_m)$ which determines the homomorphism (3) of §1. (Each ζ_n is a primitive element of μ_{ℓ^n} , and $\zeta_{n+1}^\ell = \zeta_n$ ($n \geq 1$).) For each $n \geq 1$, denote by \mathcal{L}_n the set of all ordered triples (a, b, c) such that $a, b, c \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n) \setminus (0)$, $a+b+c=0$, and such that at least one of a, b, c belongs to $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)^\times$. For $F = F(u, v, w) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(a, b, c) \in \mathcal{L}_n$ ($n \geq 1$), the special value

$$(1) \quad F(\zeta_n^a - 1, \zeta_n^b - 1, \zeta_n^c - 1)$$

is well-defined, because $a+b+c=0$ (and the series obviously converge).

We shall first prove the following two statements (I), (II) for

any $\rho \in G_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell^\infty})}$ and $n \geq 1$:

(I) $F_\rho(\zeta_n^a - 1, \zeta_n^b - 1, \zeta_n^c - 1)$, for $(a, b, c) \in \mathcal{L}_n$, is symmetric in a, b, c .

(II) Let $a, a', b, b' \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)$ be such that

$$a + a' + b + b' = 0,$$

$$b, b' \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell},$$

$$a, a' \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}, \text{ but } a, a' \neq 0;$$

(hence necessarily $n \geq 2$). Then

$$(2) \quad F_\rho(\zeta_n^a - 1, \zeta_n^b - 1) F_\rho(\zeta_n^{a'} - 1, \zeta_n^{b'} - 1) = F_\rho(\zeta_n^{a'} - 1, \zeta_n^b - 1) F_\rho(\zeta_n^a - 1, \zeta_n^{b'} - 1).$$

In fact, for each fixed $n \geq 1$, we shall prove the statements of (I) (II) for all $\rho \in G_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell^n})}$ (resp. $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell^{n+1}})}$ when $\ell = 2$).

By continuity, it suffices to prove them when ρ is a Frobenius element of a prime divisor \mathfrak{p} of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\ell^n})$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \ell$. But

for such ρ , $F_\rho(\zeta_n^a-1, \zeta_n^b-1, \zeta_n^c-1)$ $((a,b,c) \in \mathcal{L}_n)$ is, by Theorem 7 of [PGC] II § 6, the Jacobi sum:

$$(3) \quad F_\rho(\zeta_n^a-1, \zeta_n^b-1, \zeta_n^c-1) = - \sum_{\substack{x,y \in F_q^\times \\ x+y+1=0}} \chi_n(x)^a \chi_n(y)^b \\ = \frac{-1}{q-1} \sum_{\substack{x,y,z \in F_q^\times \\ x+y+z=0}} \chi_n(x)^a \chi_n(y)^b \chi_n(z)^c,$$

where $q = N(\rho)$, F_q is the finite field $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]/\rho$, and $\chi_n: F_q^\times \rightarrow \mu_{\ell^n}$ is the Teichmüller character determined by

$$\chi_n(x) \equiv x^{\frac{q-1}{\ell^n}} \pmod{\rho} \quad (x \in F_q^\times).$$

Note that $\chi_n(-1)=1$, because when $\ell=2$, we assumed $\rho \in G_{Q(\mu_{\ell^{n+1}})}$ and hence $q \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell^{n+1}}$. Since the right side of (3) is symmetric in a,b,c , (I) follows.

Now, to prove (II) when ρ is a Frobenius element of \mathcal{P} , let a,b,a',b' be as in (II). Then all the 4 triples

$$(a,b,-a-b), (a',b',-a'-b'), (a',b,-a'-b), (a,b',-a-b')$$

belong to \mathcal{L}_n , because $a+b, a'+b', a'+b, a+b' \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$; hence in particular $\neq 0$. Therefore, the formula

$$(4) \quad F_\rho(\zeta_n^\alpha-1, \zeta_n^\beta-1) = - \sum_{\substack{x,y \in F_q^\times \\ x+y+1=0}} \chi_n(x)^\alpha \chi_n(y)^\beta$$

is valid for $(\alpha, \beta) = (a,b), (a',b'), (a',b), (a,b')$. On the other hand,

$$(5) \quad \sum_{\substack{x,y,x',y' \in F_q^\times \\ x+y+x'+y'=0}} \chi_n(x)^a \chi_n(y)^b \chi_n(x')^{a'} \chi_n(y')^{b'} \\ = \sum_{z \in F_q} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{x+y=z \\ x,y \neq 0}} \chi_n(x)^a \chi_n(y)^b \cdot \sum_{\substack{x'+y'=-z \\ x',y' \neq 0}} \chi_n(x')^{a'} \chi_n(y')^{b'} \right\}.$$

Since χ_n is surjective and $a+b, a'+b' \neq 0$, the summand for $z=0$

vanishes; hence (5) is equal to the sum over $z \in F_q^\times$. The summand for each $z \in F_q^\times$ may be rewritten as

$$\sum_{\substack{x+y=-1 \\ x,y \neq 0}} \chi_n(-xz)^a \chi_n(-yz)^b \cdot \sum_{\substack{x'+y'=-1 \\ x',y' \neq 0}} \chi_n(x'z)^{a'} \chi_n(y'z)^{b'},$$

which is independent of z , as $a+b+a'+b'=0$. And since $\chi_n(-1)=1$,

(5) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} (5') \quad & (q-1) \sum_{\substack{x+y=-1 \\ x,y \neq 0}} \chi_n(x)^a \chi_n(y)^b \cdot \sum_{\substack{x'+y'=-1 \\ x',y' \neq 0}} \chi_n(x')^{a'} \chi_n(y')^{b'} \\ & = (q-1) F_\rho(\zeta_n^{a-1}, \zeta_n^{b-1}) F_\rho(\zeta_n^{a'-1}, \zeta_n^{b'-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since (5) is a priori symmetric in a, b, a', b' , and (4) holds for

$(\alpha, \beta) = (a', b), (a, b')$, we deduce that (5') is also equal to

$$(5'') \quad (q-1) F_\rho(\zeta_n^{a'-1}, \zeta_n^{b-1}) F_\rho(\zeta_n^{a-1}, \zeta_n^{b'-1}).$$

This gives the proof of (II).

G_3 -symmetricity. In [PGC] II, we studied the ideals

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{O}_m = \left\{ F = F(u, v, w) \in \mathcal{A} ; F(\zeta-1, \zeta'-1, \zeta''-1) = 0, \text{ for all } \zeta, \zeta', \zeta'' \right. \\ \left. \in \mu_{q^m} \setminus \{1\} \text{ with } \zeta \zeta' \zeta'' = 1 \right\}$$

($m \geq 1$) of \mathcal{A} and in particular proved that $\bigcap_{m \geq 1} \mathcal{O}_m = (0)$

(cf. II §4(14), §1(16)). Now the property (I) proved above for all

$n \leq m$ implies that if $\rho \in G_Q(\mu_{q^\infty})$ and σ is any substitution of three letters u, v, w , then

$$F_\rho(u, v, w) - F_\rho(\sigma u, \sigma v, \sigma w)$$

belongs to \mathcal{O}_m . Since $m \geq 1$ is arbitrary, this must vanish.

Therefore, $F_\rho(u, v, w)$, as an element of \mathcal{A} , is symmetric in u, v, w .

G_4 -symmetricity. Let u, u', v be 3 independent variables,

and define $v' \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell[[u, u', v]]$ by the equality

$$(1+u)(1+u')(1+v)(1+v')=1.$$

(Note that v' has no constant term.) To prove the \mathcal{G}_4 -symmetricity of $F_\rho * F_\rho$ (for $\rho \in G_{Q(\mu_{\ell^\infty})}$), it suffices to prove that

$$(7) \quad F_\rho(u, v) F_\rho(u', v') = F_\rho(u', v) F_\rho(u, v') \quad (\rho \in G_{Q(\mu_{\ell^\infty})})$$

holds in $\mathbb{Z}_\ell[[u, u', v]]$, because \mathcal{G}_4 (on u, u', v, v') is generated by 3 transpositions $u \leftrightarrow v$, $u' \leftrightarrow v'$, and $u \leftrightarrow u'$. (These transpositions generate a transitive subgroup containing " \mathcal{G}_3 on u, u', v ", the full stabilizer of v' .) Now, to prove (7), fix ρ and put

$$\begin{aligned} G(u, u', v) &= F_\rho(u, v) F_\rho(u', v') - F_\rho(u', v) F_\rho(u, v') \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} H_i(u, u') v^i, \end{aligned}$$

with $H_i(u, u') \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell[[u, u']]$. Then, by (II),

$$G(\zeta_n^a - 1, \zeta_n^{a'} - 1, \zeta_n^b - 1) = 0$$

holds as long as $a, a' \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n) \setminus (0)$, $b \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)^\times$ and $a, a' \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$. (Note that $b' = -a - a' - b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$.) So, if we fix $m \geq 1$ and $\alpha, \alpha' \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^m) \setminus (0)$, and take $n = m + k$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) and $a = \ell^k \alpha$, $a' = \ell^k \alpha'$ (the image of α, α' by the ℓ^k -multiplication map $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^m) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)$), then

$$G(\zeta_m^\alpha - 1, \zeta_m^{\alpha'} - 1, \zeta_{m+k}^b - 1) = 0$$

for all $k \geq 1$ and $b \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{m+k})^\times$. But then, $G(\zeta_m^\alpha - 1, \zeta_m^{\alpha'} - 1, v)$ vanishes at $v = \zeta - 1$ for infinitely many distinct values of $\zeta \in \mu_{\ell^\infty}$. By lemma 1 below, this implies that $G(\zeta_m^\alpha - 1, \zeta_m^{\alpha'} - 1, v) = 0$, i.e., $H_i(\zeta_m^\alpha - 1, \zeta_m^{\alpha'} - 1) = 0$ for each $i \geq 0$. This implies in particular that $H_i \in \mathcal{O}_m$. Since $m \geq 1$ is arbitrary, this gives $H_i \in \bigcap_{m \geq 1} \mathcal{O}_m = (0)$, all i . Therefore, $G = 0$. This gives (7), and hence completes the proof of Theorem A_1 .

lemma 1. Let k be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ , \mathcal{O} be the ring of integers of k , and $G(u) \in \mathcal{O}[[u]]$ be a formal power series of one variable over \mathcal{O} . Suppose $G(\zeta - 1) = 0$ for infinitely many distinct elements ζ of μ_ℓ^∞ . Then $G = 0$.

Proof This is well-known, and can be verified immediately as follows. Suppose on the contrary that $G(u) = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i u^i \neq 0$ ($a_i \in \mathcal{O}$),

and let i_0 be the smallest integer ≥ 0 such that $\text{ord}_k(a_{i_0}) = \min_i \text{ord}_k(a_i)$ (ord_k : the normalized additive valuation of k).

Take $n (\geq 1)$ so large that $\ell^{n-1} > i_0(\ell-1)^{-1} \text{ord}_k \ell$, and let $\zeta \in \mu_{\ell^\infty}$ be of order exactly ℓ^n . Then $\text{ord}_k(\zeta - 1)^{i_0} = i_0(\ell^n - \ell^{n-1})^{-1} \text{ord}_k \ell < 1$. But then, it is easy to see that

$$(8) \quad \text{ord}_k(a_{i_0}(\zeta - 1)^{i_0}) < \text{ord}_k(a_i(\zeta - 1)^i), \quad \text{all } i \neq i_0.$$

Therefore, $G(\zeta - 1) \neq 0$ for all such ζ , a contradiction. q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem A₂. For each $F = F(u, v) \in \mathcal{A}$ with $F(0, 0) = 1$, define its logarithm by $\log F = \sum_{m \geq 1} (-1)^{m-1} (F-1)^m / m$, and consider it as an element of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell[[U, V]]$, where $U = \log(1+u)$, $V = \log(1+v)$. The involutive automorphism of \mathcal{A} defined by $1+u \rightarrow (1+u)^{-1}$, $1+v \rightarrow (1+v)^{-1}$ (i.e., $U \rightarrow -U$, $V \rightarrow -V$) is denoted by the bar sign $* \rightarrow \bar{*}$. We shall reduce Theorem A₁ to:

Proposition 1 Let $F = F(u, v) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then the following conditions (i) (ii) are equivalent;

$$(i) \quad F \equiv 1 \pmod{uvw},$$

$$F \cdot \overline{F} = 1,$$

F is symmetric in u, v, w ,

$F \cdot F$ is symmetric in u, v, u', v' ;

(ii) $\log F$ is of the form

$$(9) \quad \log F = \sum_{\substack{m \geq 3 \\ \text{odd}}} \frac{\beta_m}{m!} (U^m + V^m + W^m),$$

where $W = -(U+V)$, $\beta_m \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Remark. As the following proof shows, (i) is also equivalent to an apparently weaker condition:

$$(i)' \quad F \equiv 1 \pmod{uv},$$

$$F(u, v)F(u', v') \equiv F(u', v)F(u, v') \pmod{[(1+u)(1+v)(1+u')(1+v')-1]}.$$

When $F = F_\rho$ ($\rho \in G_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{2^\infty})}$), the first two properties in (i) are proved in [PGC], and the last two are given by Theorem A_1 . Thus, Theorem A_2 is reduced to Proposition 1.

Proof of Proposition 1. We shall only prove the implication $(i)' \rightarrow (ii)$ (the implication $(ii) \rightarrow (i)'$ is obvious). From the first congruence of $(i)'$ follows that $\log F$ is divisible by UV . Hence $\log F$ is of the form

$$(10) \quad - \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \frac{\beta_{ij}}{i!j!} u^i v^j,$$

with $\beta_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$. (That β_{ij} is integral follows automatically from the integrality of the coefficients of $F(u,v)$; cf. [PGC] IV§2.)

So, it remains to show, from the second congruence of (i)', that

β_{ij} depends only on $m = i+j$ and vanishes when m is even.

This is immediately reduced to the following

lemma 2 Let m be a positive integer, and $g(x,y)$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree m over a field of characteristic 0. Then, if m is odd, the following two conditions (i)(ii) are equivalent;

(i) $g(x,y)$ satisfies

$$(*) \quad g(x,0) = g(0,y) = 0,$$

$$(**) \quad g(x,y) + g(x',y') \equiv g(x',y) + g(x,y') \pmod{(x+x'+y+y')};$$

(ii) $g(x,y)$ is a constant multiple of $(x+y)^m - x^m - y^m$.

If m is even, the condition (i) implies $g(x,y) = 0$.

Proof The implication (ii) \rightarrow (i) (for m :odd) is straightforward. To prove the rest, let $g(x,y)$ satisfy (i), and write

$$(11) \quad g(x,y) = \sum_{\substack{i,j \geq 0 \\ i+j=m}} b_j x^i y^j, \text{ and } \beta_j = i!j!b_j.$$

Then $b_0 = b_m = 0$, by (*). The congruence (**) says that the polynomial

$$(12) \quad g(x, y) + g(x', -x-x'-y)$$

is symmetric in x, x' . Therefore, the coefficient of y^j in (12) for each j , given by the formula below, is symmetric in x, x' .

$$(13) \quad b_j x^i + \sum_{j \leq \ell \leq m} b_\ell (-1)^\ell \binom{\ell}{j} (x+x')^{\ell-j} x^{m-\ell} \\ = b_j x^i + \sum_{0 \leq p \leq i} \left\{ b_{j+p} (-1)^{j+p} \binom{j+p}{j} \cdot \sum_{\mu=0}^p \binom{p}{\mu} x^\mu x'^{i-\mu} \right\}$$

(put $\ell = j+p$). For $\mu, \nu \geq 0$, $\mu + \nu = i$, the coefficient of $x^\mu x'^\nu$ in the second term of (13) is given by

$$(14) \quad \sum_{\mu \leq p \leq i} (-1)^{j+p} \binom{j+p}{j} \binom{p}{\mu} b_{j+p} \quad (\text{put } q = i-p) \\ = \sum_{0 \leq q \leq \nu} (-1)^{m-q} \binom{m-q}{j} \binom{i-q}{\mu} b_{m-q} = \frac{(-1)^m}{j! \mu! \nu!} \gamma_\nu,$$

with
(15)

$$\gamma_\nu = \sum_{0 \leq q \leq \nu} (-1)^q \binom{\nu}{q} \beta_{m-q}$$

(β_{m-q} , as in (11).) But since (13) is symmetric in x, x' , (14) must be symmetric in μ, ν , unless μ or $\nu = 0$ (this exception, as we have not yet taken the first term $b_j x^i$ in (13) into account). Therefore, $\gamma_\nu = \gamma_{i-\nu}$ for all ν , with $0 < \nu < i$. Therefore,

$$\gamma_1 = \gamma_{i-1} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq m; \text{ hence}$$

$$(16) \quad \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \dots = \gamma_{m-1} \stackrel{\text{put}}{=} \gamma.$$

Moreover, the coefficients of x^i and of x'^i in (13) must be equal; hence we obtain (noting that $b_0 = b_m = 0$):

$$b_j = \frac{(-1)^m}{i! j!} \gamma_i \quad (i, j > 0, i+j=m).$$

Therefore,

$$(17) \quad \beta_j = (-1)^m \gamma_i \quad (0 < i < m).$$

Therefore, (16) gives

$$(18) \quad \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_{m-1}^{\text{put}} = \beta.$$

Since $\beta_0 = \beta_m = 0$, we obtain

$$g(x, y) = \beta \cdot \sum_{\substack{i, j \geq 1 \\ i+j=m}} \frac{x^i y^j}{i! j!} = \frac{\beta}{m!} ((x+y)^m - x^m - y^m).$$

On the other hand, (15) and (18) gives $\gamma = -\beta$, and (17) gives

$\beta = (-1)^m \gamma$. Therefore, $\beta = 0$ when m is even. q.e.d.

3 Some open questions

We have thus proved that F_p ($p \in G_{Q(\mu_{\ell^\infty})}$) satisfies the equivalent conditions of Proposition 1. It is natural to ask whether these conditions characterize the image of $G_{Q(\mu_{\ell^\infty})}$ in A^X . More plausible would be a similar characterization of the image modulo ℓ . As we have seen above, it is closely connected with the Vandiver conjecture at ℓ . It also seems to be an interesting question to construct all power series in $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)[[u,v]]$ satisfying the conditions analogous to those of Proposition 1 (i). Here, we meet with the study of the power series $h(u) \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell)[[u]]$ satisfying the differential equations of the form

$$D^{\ell-1}(h) - D^{\ell-1}(h)_{u=0} = h - h^{\ell},$$

where $D = (u+1) \frac{d}{du}$. (Such $h(u)$ appears in the v -adic expansion of $F(u,v)$ as

$$F(u,v) = 1 + h(u)v + \dots \quad .)$$

Is there a totally different approach (e.g. from topology) to construct such power series in $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell)[[u,v]]$?

[References]

- [A] G.Anderson, Letters, August-September, 1985.
- [D] P.Deligne, A letter to S.Bloch, February, 1984.
- [PGC] Y.Ihara, Profinite braid groups, Galois representations, and complex multiplications, (to appear in Annals of Math.)