Nonlinear Nonautonomous Differential Equations

By Naoki TANAKA (田中直樹)

(Waseda University, Japan)

Introduction.

Let X be a real Banach space with norm  $||\cdot||$  and let C = C([-r,0];X),  $0 \le r < \infty$ , be the Banach space of all continuous functions from [-r,0] into X. We denote the norm of  $\phi \in C$  by  $||\phi||_C$ , i.e.,  $||\phi||_C = \sup_{\theta \in [-r,0]} ||\phi(\theta)||$ .

This paper is concerned with the abstract nonlinear functional differential equation

(FDE;
$$\phi$$
)<sub>s</sub>  $u'(t) + A(t)u(t) \Rightarrow F(t,u_t), t \in [s,T] (s \ge 0)$   $u_s = \phi,$ 

where  $u:[-r,T] \to X$  is the unknown function;  $\{A(t); t \in [0,T]\}$  is a given family of operators in  $X; F:[0,T] \times C \to X$  is a given function;  $\phi$  is given in C. The symbol  $u_t$  denotes the function  $u_t(\theta) = u(t+\theta), \theta \in [-r,T]$ .

We assume that the following conditions (A.1) - (A.4) hold:

- (A.1) There exists a constant  $\alpha_0$  such that for each t  $\epsilon$  [0,T], A(t) +  $\alpha_0$  is accretive and R(I +  $\lambda$ A(t)) = X for 0 <  $\lambda$  < 1/max(0, $\alpha_0$ ).
- (A.2) There are a continuous function  $h:[0,T] \to X$  which is of bounded variation on [0,T], and a monotone increasing continuous function  $L_1:[0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$  such that

$$\begin{split} & \left| \left| A_{\lambda}(t) x - A_{\lambda}(\tau) x \right| \right| \leq \left| \left| h(t) - h(\tau) \right| \right| L_{1}(\left| \left| x \right| \right|) (1 + \left| \left| A_{\lambda}(\tau) x \right| \right|) \\ & \text{for } 0 < \lambda < 1/\text{max}(0,\alpha_{0}), \ t,\tau \in [0,T] \ \text{and} \ x \in X, \ \text{where} \\ & J_{\lambda}(t) = \left( I + \lambda A(t) \right)^{-1} \ \text{and} \ A_{\lambda}(t) = \lambda^{-1} (I - J_{\lambda}(t)). \end{split}$$

(A.3) There exists a constant  $\beta_0 > 0$  such that for  $\phi, \psi \in C$  and  $t \in [0,T]$ ,  $||F(t,\phi) - F(t,\psi)|| \leq \beta_0 ||\phi - \psi||_{C^*}$ 

(A.4) There are a continuous function  $k:[0,T] \to X$  which is of bounded variation on [0,T], and a monotone increasing function  $L_2:[0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$  such that for  $t,\tau \in [0,T]$  and  $\phi \in C$ ,  $||F(t,\phi) - F(\tau,\phi)|| \le ||k(t) - k(\tau)|| L_2(||\phi||_C)$ .

The purpose of this paper is to show the existence of a generalized solution of  $(FDE;\phi)_s$ . In particular, in case X is reflexive, we show that the generalized solution is the strong solution of  $(FDE;\phi)_s$ .

Recently, Kartsatos [6] has proved the existence of the evolution operator associated with (FDE; $\phi$ )<sub>s</sub> under the following conditions (B.2) and (B.3) instead of (A.2); (A.3) and (A.4).

- (B.2) There exists an increasing continuous function L: $[0,\infty)$   $\rightarrow [0,\infty)$  such that for all  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $x_{-\epsilon}X$ ,  $t,\tau$   $\epsilon$  [0,T],  $||A_{\lambda}(t)x A_{\lambda}(\tau)x|| \leq |t-\tau|$  L(||x||)(1 +  $||A_{\lambda}(\tau)x||$ ).
- (B.3) There exists a positive constant b such that  $||F(\tau,f_1) F(t,f_2)|| \le b(|t-\tau| + ||f_1 f_2||_C)$  for every t,  $\tau \in [0,T]$ ,  $f_1, f_2 \in C$ .

In order to apply the method of successive approximations to  $(\text{FDE};\phi)_s$ , he essentially used conditions (B.2) and (B.3) which imply that  $A_{\lambda}(t)x$  and F(t,f) are Lipschitz continuous in t. However this method does not seem to be directly applicable under (A.1) - (A.4). Also, it has not been proved that the generalized solutions in the sense of Kartsatos are weak solutions, except on a small interval in which they are Lipschitz continuous. (For a refined definition of weak solutions, see Definition 2.)

Now, in order to improve these points, we use the nonlinear evolution operator theory of Crandall and Pazy [2] as the main

tool for solving  $(FDE;\phi)_s$ . Various author have so far considered  $(FDE;\phi)_s$  under different setting in nonlinear operator theory. (For example, see [3,4,10].)

This paper consists of three sections. In section 1, we recall the nonlinear evolution operator theory. In section 2, we show that the existence of generalized solutions of  $(FDE;\phi)_s$  and it is represented as the uniform limit of a sequence of strong solutions of the approximating equations for  $(FDE;\phi)_s$  involving the Yosida approximations. Finally, in section 3, we investigate some properties of generalized solutions and consider weak solutions and give the existence for strong solutions of  $(FDE;\phi)_s$  when X is reflexive.

1. Basic concept of nonlinear evolution operator theory

We discuss briefly some concepts in the nonlinear evolution operator theory. Let Y be a Banach space with  $||\ ||_Y$ . A family  $\{V(t,s);\ 0\leq s\leq t\leq T\}$  of operators  $V(t,s):\ Y \rightarrow Y$  is said to be a family of operators, if

V(t,t)y = y for all  $y \in Y$  and  $t \in [0,T]$ ,

V(t,r)V(r,s) = V(t,s) for  $0 \le s \le r \le t \le T$ .

Let  $\{V(t,s); 0 \le s \le t \le T\}$  be an evolution operator and define the operator B(t) by

 $D(B(t)) = \{y \in Y; \lim_{h\to 0+} (1/h) (V(t+h,t)y - y) \text{ exists} \}$ 

 $-B(t)y = \lim_{h\to 0+} (1/h) (V(t+h,t)y - y) \text{ for } y \in D(B(t)).$ 

If D(B(t)) is non-empty for each  $t \ge 0$ , then the family -B(t) is said to be the infinitesimal generator of V(t,s).

Consider the problem (FDE; $\phi$ )<sub>s</sub>. Suppose that for every  $\phi \in C$  and  $s \ge 0$ , (FDE; $\phi$ )<sub>s</sub> has the unique solution  $u(s,\phi)(\cdot)$  and that A(t) and F are continuous. Then one can find that the infinitesimal generator of the evolution operator V(t,s), defined by  $V(t,s)\phi = u_t(s,\phi)$  is given by

$$D(\hat{A}(t)) = \{ \phi \in C; \phi' \in C, \phi(0) \in D(A(t)),$$

$$(1.1) \qquad \qquad \phi'(0) + A(t)\phi(0) \ni F(t,\phi) \}$$

$$\hat{A}(t)\phi = -\phi'.$$

Conversely, given the family A(t), we shall prove that under suitable conditions on A(t) and F, A(t) generates an evolution operator V(t,s) such that  $V(t,s)\phi$  gives the segments of a solution of  $(FDE;\phi)_s$ . This will rely on the following result due to Crandall - Pazy [2].

A subset B of Y × Y is in class  $\bigstar(\omega)$  if for each  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $\lambda \omega > 1$  and each pair  $[y_i, z_i] \in B$ , i=1,2, we have

(1.2) 
$$\|(y_1 + \lambda z_1) - (y_2 + \lambda z_2)\|_Y \ge (1 - \lambda \omega)\|y_1 - y_2\|_Y$$
. B is called accretive if  $B \in A(0)$ . Also, (1.2) implies that  $(I + \lambda B)^{-1}$  exists on  $R(I + \lambda B)$  and is a Lipschitzian with constant  $(1 - \lambda \omega)^{-1}$ . Let  $B \in A(\omega)$  and  $R(I + \lambda B) = Y$  for all  $0 < \lambda \le \lambda_0$ . Define  $|By|$  by  $|By| = \lim_{\lambda \to 0+} ||B_{\lambda}y||_Y$ , where  $J_{\lambda} = (I + \lambda B)^{-1}$  and  $J_{\lambda} = \lambda^{-1}(I - J_{\lambda})$ . (Note that this limit exists, although it may be infinite.) For such  $J_{\lambda} = (I + \lambda B)^{-1}$  which is called a generalized domain of  $J_{\lambda} = (I + \lambda B)^{-1}$  which is called a generalized domain of  $J_{\lambda} = (I + \lambda B)^{-1}$ 

Theorem 1 (Crandall-Pazy). Let T>0 and  $\omega$  be real number and assume that B(t) satisfies the following conditions:

(C.1) 
$$B(t) \in A(\omega)$$
 for  $0 \le t \le T$ ,

(C.2) R(I +  $\lambda$ B(t)) = Y for  $0 \le t \le T$  and  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ , where  $\lambda_0 > 0$  and  $\lambda_0 \omega < 1$ ,

(C.3) There are a continuous function  $f:[0,T] \to Y$  which is of bounded variation on [0,T], and a monotone increasing function L:  $[0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$  such that

$$\begin{split} & \big| \big| \, B_{\lambda}(t) \, y - B_{\lambda}(\tau) \, y \, \big| \big|_{Y} \leq \, \big| \big| \, f(t) - f(\tau) \, \big| \big|_{Y} L(\, \big| \big| \, y \big| \big|_{Y}) \, (1 + \, \big| \big| \, B_{\lambda}(\tau) \, y \big| \big|_{Y}) \\ & \text{for } 0 < \lambda < \lambda_{0}, \ 0 \leq t, \tau \leq T \text{ and } y \in Y. \end{split}$$

Then

(1.3)  $V(t,s)y = \lim_{n\to\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (I + (\frac{t-s}{n})B(s + i(\frac{t-s}{n})))^{-1}y$  exists for  $y \in \overline{D(B(t))}$  and  $0 \le s < t \le T$ . The V(t,s) defined by (1.3) for  $0 \le s < t \le T$  and by V(t,t) = I for  $0 \le t \le T$  is an evolution operator on  $\overline{D(B(t))}$ .

2. On the existence of generalized solutions of  $(FDE;\phi)_S$  We define for each  $t \in [0,T]$  an operator  $\hat{A}(t):D(\hat{A}(t)) \subset C \to C$  by (1.1).

Proposition 1. Suppose that conditions (A.1)-(A.4) hold. If  $\{\hat{A}(t); t \in [0,T]\}$  is the family of operators defined in C by (1.1), then there exists a family of nonlinear evolution operators  $V(t,s): D(\hat{A}(t)) \subset C \to C$  such that for all  $\phi \in D(\hat{A}(t))$ 

$$(2.1) \quad V(t,s)\phi = \begin{cases} \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (I + (\frac{t-s}{n}) \hat{A}(s + i(\frac{t-s}{n})))^{-1} \phi \\ 0 \le s < t \le T, \\ \phi & 0 \le s = t \le T. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We are going to apply Theorem 1 for B(t) =  $\hat{A}(t)$  and Y = C. Under assumptions (A.1) and (A.3) we can apply [11, Proposition 1] to show that  $\hat{A}(t) \in \hat{A}(\omega_0)$  for  $t \in [0,T]$  and R(I +  $\lambda \hat{A}(t)$ ) = C for  $0 < \lambda < 1/\omega_0$ , where  $\omega_0 = \max(0,\alpha_0+\beta_0)$ . Thus

conditions (C.1) and (C.2) hold for  $\hat{A}(t)$ . Next, by using the same argument as in [4, Theorems 12 and 13] and the inequality  $||h(t) - h(\tau)|| + ||k(t) - k(\tau)|| \le |g(t) - g(\tau)|$ , where g(t) =Var([0,t];h) + Var([0,t];k) and Var([0,t];h) denotes the total variation of h on [0,t], we will show that  $\hat{A}(t)$  satisfies (C.3) with  $B(t) = \hat{A}(t)$  and f(t) = g(t)I, where I denotes the identity in X. To this end, set  $\phi(t, \cdot) = (I + \lambda A(t))^{-1} \psi, \psi \in C$ . Then we have  $\phi(t,\theta) = e^{\theta/\lambda}\phi(t,0) + \int_0^0 \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-(s-\theta)/\lambda}\psi(s) ds$ , and by  $\phi(t,\cdot) \in$  $D(\hat{A}(t))$ , we have  $\phi(t,0) = \psi(0) + \lambda \phi'(t,0) = \psi(0) - \lambda A(t)\phi(t,0)$ +  $\lambda F(t,\phi(t,\cdot))$ , i.e.,  $\phi(t,0) = (I + \lambda A(t))^{-1}(\psi(0) + \lambda F(t,\phi(t,\cdot)))$ . Now, for  $0 < \lambda < 1$  with  $\lambda \omega_0 < 1/2$ ,  $\| \phi(t, \cdot) - \phi(\tau, \cdot) \|_{C} = \| \phi(t, 0) - \phi(\tau, 0) \|_{C}$  $= || (I + \lambda A(t))^{-1} (\psi(0) + \lambda F(t, \phi(t, \cdot)))|$ -  $(I + \lambda A(\tau))^{-1}(\psi(0) + \lambda F(\tau, \phi(\tau, \cdot)))$  $\leq \lambda (1 - \lambda \alpha_0)^{-1} ||F(t,\phi(t,\cdot)) - F(\tau,\phi(\tau,\cdot))||$ +  $\lambda || h(t) - h(\tau) || L_1(||\psi(0) + \lambda F(\tau, \phi(\tau, \cdot)) ||)$ ×  $(1 + ||A_{\lambda}(\tau)(\psi(0) + \lambda F(\tau, \phi(\tau, \cdot)))||)$ . But,  $\|A_{\lambda}(\tau)(\psi(0) + \lambda F(\tau,\phi(\tau,\cdot))\|$  $= \lambda^{-1} \| \psi(0) + \lambda F(\tau, \phi(\tau, \cdot)) - J_{\lambda}(\tau) (\psi(0) + \lambda F(\tau, \phi(\tau, \cdot)) \|$  $\leq \|\hat{A}_{\lambda}(\tau)\psi\|_{C} + \|F(\tau,\phi(\tau,\cdot))\|_{\tau}$ which implies that  $\|\phi(t,\cdot) - \phi(\tau,\cdot)\|_{C}$  $\leq \lambda (1 - \lambda \alpha_0)^{-1} [\beta_0 || \phi(t, \cdot) - \phi(\tau, \cdot) ||_C$ 

+  $\|k(t) - k(\tau)\| L_2(\|\phi(\tau, \cdot)\|_C)$ ] +

42
+ 
$$\lambda \| h(t) - h(\tau) \| L_1(\| \psi(0) \| + \lambda \| F(\tau, \phi(\tau, \cdot)) \|)$$
×  $(1 + \| \hat{A}_{\lambda}(\tau) \psi \|_{C} + \| F(\tau, \phi(\tau, \cdot)) \|)$ .

Thus there exists a constant K<sub>1</sub> such that

(2.2) 
$$\|\phi(t,\cdot) - \phi(\tau,\cdot)\|_{C}$$

$$\leq K_{1}\lambda |g(t) - g(\tau)|[1 + ||\hat{A}_{\lambda}(\tau)\psi||_{C}][L_{2}(||\phi(\tau, \cdot)||_{C})$$
 
$$+ (1 + ||F(\tau, \phi(\tau, \cdot))||)L_{1}(||\psi(0)|| + \lambda ||F(\tau, \phi(\tau, \cdot))||)].$$

Suppose that  $\chi \in C$  and  $\phi_0 \in D(\hat{A}(0))$ . Then  $||F(\tau,\chi)|| \le$ 

$$\beta_{0}[ \|\chi\|_{C} + \|\phi_{0}\|_{C}] + \|k(\tau) - k(0)\|_{L_{2}}(\|\phi_{0}\|_{C}) + \|F(0,\phi_{0})\|_{L_{2}}(\|\phi_{0}\|_{C}) + \|F(0,\phi_{0}\|_{C}) +$$

and hence  $||F(\tau,\chi)||$  is bounded by an increasing function of  $||\chi||_{C}$ . It remains to prove that  $||\phi(\tau, \cdot)||_{C} \le L_{3}(||\psi||_{C})$  for some monotone increasing function L<sub>3</sub>. From (2.2),  $\|\phi(\tau, \cdot)\|_{C} \le$ 

$$\leq \| \phi(0, \cdot) \|_{C} + K_{1} \lambda |g(\tau) - g(0)|[1 + \| \hat{A}_{\lambda}(0)\psi \|_{C}] \times$$

$$\times [L_2(||\phi(0,\cdot)||_C) +$$

+ 
$$(1 + || F(0,\phi(0,\cdot))|| )L_1(|| \psi(0)|| + \lambda || F(0,\phi(0,\cdot))|| )].$$

However  $\lambda \mid \mid \hat{A}_{\lambda}(0)\psi \mid \mid_{C} = \mid \mid \psi - \hat{J}_{\lambda}(0)\psi \mid \mid_{C} \leq \mid \mid \psi \mid \mid_{C} + \mid \mid_{\varphi}(0, \cdot) \mid \mid_{C}$ and if  $\phi_0 \in D(\hat{A}(0))$  then

$$\| \phi(0,\cdot) \|_{C} = \| (1 + \lambda \hat{A}(0))^{-1} \psi \|_{C}$$

$$\leq \left(1-\lambda\omega_{0}\right)^{-1}[\left|\left|\psi-\phi_{0}\right|\right|_{C}+\lambda\left|\left|\hat{\mathbf{A}}(0)\phi_{0}\right|\right|_{C}]+\left|\left|\phi_{0}\right|\right|_{C}$$

$$\leq K_{2}[||\psi||_{C} + ||\phi_{0}||_{C} + ||\hat{A}(0)\phi_{0}||_{C}]$$
 for some  $K_{2}$ ,

which implies that

 $||\phi(0,\cdot)||_{C}$  is bounded by a monotone increasing function of  $||\psi||_C$ . Thus  $\hat{A}(t)$  satisfies (C.3) with  $B(t) = \hat{A}(t)$  and  $f(t) = \hat{A}(t)$ g(t)I. Therefore, the conclusion of the proposition follows from Q.E.D. Theorem 1.

Note that, as was proved in [5],  $\hat{D}(\hat{A}(t))$  is independent of t because  $\hat{A}(t)$  satisfies (C.3) and also  $\hat{D}(A(t))$  is independent of t because of (A.2). In what follows,  $\hat{D}_0$  and  $\hat{D}$  stand for a generalized domain of  $\hat{A}(0)$  and A(0), respectively.

As in [3, Proposition 1], we have the following Proposition 2. Suppose that conditions (A.1)-(A.4) hold. If  $u(s,\phi)(\cdot)$  for each  $\phi \in \hat{\mathbb{D}}_0$  and  $s \ge 0$  is defined by

(2.3) 
$$u(s,\phi)(t) = \begin{cases} \phi(t-s) & s-r \le t \le s, \\ (V(t,s)\phi)(0) & s \le t \le T, \end{cases}$$

where V(t,s) is as constructed by Proposition 1, then  $u(s,\phi)(\cdot) \in C([s-r,T];X)$  and  $V(t,s)\phi = u_t(s,\phi)$  for  $t \in [s,T]$ .

Remark. We introduce the following stronger conditions than (A.1) and (A.2):

- (A.1)' There exists a constant  $\alpha_1 > 0$  such that for x,y  $\in$  X,  $|| A(t)x A(t)y || \le \alpha_1 || x y ||$ .
- (A.2)' There are a continuous function  $h:[0,T]\to X$  which is of bounded variation on [0,T] and a monotone increasing continuous function  $L_4:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$  such that

 $|| A(t)x - A(\tau)x|| \le || h(t) - h(\tau)|| L_4(||x||)(1 + || A(\tau)x||)$  for all  $t, \tau \in [0,T]$  and  $x \in X$ .

Since (A.1)' and (A.2)' imply (A.1) and (A.2), Propositions 1 and 2 hold, although (A.1) and (A.2) are replaced by (A.1)' and (A.2)'.

Next, we recall the following expression for  $\hat{D}_0$ .

Lemma 1 ([4, Theorem 10]). Let A(t) and  $F(t,\phi)$  satisfy conditions (A.1) and (A.3). Then

 $\hat{D}_0 = \{ \phi \in C; \phi \text{ is Lipschitz continuous function and } \phi(0) \in \hat{D} \}.$ 

Remark. If  $\phi$  is Lipschitz continuous function and  $\phi(0) \in \widehat{D}$ , then the function defined by (2.3) is a Lipschitzian. In fact, for such  $\phi$ , by [2, Proposition 2.3] and Lemma 1, there exists a constant K such that for  $0 \le s \le t, \tau \le T$ ,  $||V(t,s)\phi - V(\tau,s)\phi||_C \le K|t - \tau|$ . So that our assertion holds.

Definition 1. A function  $u(s,\phi)(\cdot) \in C([-r,T];X)$  is said to be a strong solution of  $(FDE;\phi)_S$  if it is an absolutely continuous function is which differentiable a.e. on [s,T] and satisfies  $(FDE;\phi)_S$  a.e. on [s,T].

We shall first prove the following uniqueness result for strong solutions of (FDE; $\phi$ )<sub>s</sub>.

Proposition 3. Assume that  $\{A(t); t \in [0,T]\}$  and  $F:[0,T] \times C$   $\rightarrow$  X satisfy conditions (A.1) and (A.3). Then there exists at most one strong solution of  $(FDE;\phi)_c$ .

Proof. Let  $u(s,\phi)(t)$  and  $v(s,\phi)(t)$  be two strong solutions of  $(FDE;\phi)_s$ . Then  $||u(s,\phi)(t) - v(s,\phi)(t)||$  is differentiable a.e.t and  $(d/dt) ||u(s,\phi)(t) - v(s,\phi)(t)||$ 

= 
$$[u(s,\phi)(t) - v(s,\phi)(t),u'(s,\phi)(t) - v'(s,\phi)(t)]_{-}$$

$$\leq [u(s,\phi)(t) - v(s,\phi)(t),F(t,u_t(s,\phi)) - F(t,v_t(s,\phi))]_+$$

- 
$$[u(s,\phi)(t) - v(s,\phi)(t),F(t,u_t(s,\phi)) - u'(s,\phi)(t)$$

- 
$$F(t,v_t(s,\phi)) + v'(s,\phi)(t)]_+$$
.

By  $A(t) \in A(\alpha_0)$  and (A.3), we obtain that  $(d/dt) ||u(s,\phi)(t) - v(s,\phi)(t)||$ 

$$\leq (\alpha_0 + \beta_0) || u_t(s,\phi) - v_t(s,\phi) ||_C \quad a.e.t \in [s,T],$$

which yields that for  $t \in [s,T]$ ,

$$\sup_{\theta \in [s-r,t]} || u(s,\phi)(\theta) - v(s,\phi)(\theta) ||$$

$$\leq \begin{cases} (\alpha_0 + \beta_0) \int_0^t \sup_{\theta \in [s-r,\tau]} ||u(s,\phi)(\theta) - v(s,\phi)(\theta)|| & d\tau \\ & \text{if } \alpha_0 + \beta_0 > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Grownwall's inequality, we have that

$$\sup_{\theta \in [s-r,T]} ||u(s,\phi)(\theta) - v(s,\phi)(\theta)|| = 0, i.e., u(s,\phi) = v(s,\phi).$$
Q.E.D.

We next prove the existence of strong solutions to (FDE; $\phi$ )<sub>s</sub> under stronger conditions than those in Propositions 1 and 2.

Proposition 4. Suppose that conditions (A.1)', (A.2)', (A.3) and (A.4) hold. If  $u(s,\phi)(\cdot)$  is the function defined by (2.3), then  $u(s,\phi)(\cdot) \in C^1([s-r,T];X)$  and satisfies

(2.4) 
$$u'(s,\phi)(t) + A(t)(u(s,\phi)(t)) = F(t,u_{t}(s,\phi))$$

for t  $\in$  [s,T] and for all  $\phi \in \text{Lip} \equiv \{\phi \in C; \phi \text{ is Lipschitz continuous}\}$ .

Proof. By Remark after Proposition 2,  $\{V(t,s);\ 0 \le s \le t \le T\}$  defined by (2.1) is an evolution operator. We approximate V(t,s) by the evolution operator  $V_{\lambda}(t,s)$  generated by  $\hat{A}_{\lambda}(t) = \hat{A}(t)\hat{J}_{\lambda}(t)$  =  $\lambda^{-1}(I - \hat{J}_{\lambda}(t))$ . From [2, Lemma 4.2], we see that for  $\phi \in \overline{D}_{0}$ ,  $\lim_{\lambda \to 0+} V_{\lambda}(t,s)\phi = V(t,s)\phi$  uniformly in  $t \in [s,T]$ .

Also, the approximate problem

$$u'(t) + \hat{A}_{\lambda}(t)u_{\lambda}(t) = 0$$
,  $t \in [s,T]$ ,  $u_{\lambda}(s) = \phi$ ,

has a unique continuously differentiable solution  $u_{\lambda}(t) = V_{\lambda}(t,s)\phi$ . Hence, we have that

$$V_{\lambda}(\mathsf{t},\mathsf{s})\phi = \phi - \int_{\mathsf{s}}^{\mathsf{t}} \hat{\mathsf{A}}_{\lambda}(\tau) V_{\lambda}(\tau,\mathsf{s})\phi \ \mathrm{d}\tau = \phi - \int_{\mathsf{s}}^{\mathsf{t}} \hat{\mathsf{A}}(\tau) \hat{\mathsf{J}}_{\lambda}(\tau) V_{\lambda}(\tau,\mathsf{s})\phi \ \mathrm{d}\tau.$$

Taking account of the definition of  $D(\hat{A}(\tau))$ , we obtain that

(2.5) 
$$(V_{\lambda}(t,s)\phi)(0) = \phi(0)$$
  

$$- \int_{s}^{t} [A(\tau)(\hat{J}_{\lambda}(\tau)V_{\lambda}(\tau,s)\phi)(0) - F(\tau,\hat{J}_{\lambda}(\tau)V_{\lambda}(\tau,s)\phi)] d\tau.$$

Now, by (A.1)' and (A.3), we see that

$$I_{1} = \int_{s}^{t} || A(\tau) (\hat{J}_{\lambda}(\tau) V_{\lambda}(\tau, s) \phi) (0) - A(\tau) (V(\tau, s) \phi) (0) || d\tau$$

$$\leq \alpha_{1} \int_{s}^{t} || \hat{J}_{\lambda}(\tau) V_{\lambda}(\tau, s) \phi - V(\tau, s) \phi ||_{C} d\tau$$

and

$$I_{2} = \int_{s}^{t} ||F(\tau, \hat{J}_{\lambda}(\tau)V_{\lambda}(\tau, s)\phi - F(\tau, V(\tau, s)\phi)|| d\tau$$

$$\leq \beta_{0} \int_{s}^{t} ||\hat{J}_{\lambda}(\tau)V_{\lambda}(\tau, s)\phi - V(\tau, s)\phi||_{C} d\tau.$$

Let  $\phi \in \hat{D}_0$ ; note here that  $\phi \in \text{Lip}$  by D(A(t)) = X and Lemma 1. For each  $\tau \in [s,T]$ , we have for  $\lambda$  with  $\lambda \omega_1 < 1$ ,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{I}_{3} &= \left| \left| \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{\lambda}(\tau) \mathbf{V}_{\lambda}(\tau, s) \phi - \mathbf{V}(\tau, s) \phi \right| \right|_{C} \\ &\leq \left( 1 - \lambda \omega_{1} \right)^{-1} \left| \left| \mathbf{V}_{\lambda}(\tau, s) \phi - \mathbf{V}(\tau, s) \phi \right| \right|_{C} \\ &+ \left| \left| \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{\lambda}(\tau) \mathbf{V}(\tau, s) \phi - \mathbf{V}(\tau, s) \phi \right| \right|_{C}, \text{ where } \omega_{1} = \alpha_{1} + \beta_{0}. \end{split}$$

By [2, Proposition 2.4],  $V(\tau,s)\phi \in \hat{D}_0$  for  $\phi \in \hat{D}_0$ . This implies that the second term of the above inequality tends to zero as  $\lambda \to 0+$ . Hence  $I_3 \to 0$  as  $\lambda \to 0+$ .

Next, we note that

$$(2.6) \quad ||\hat{J}_{\lambda}(\tau)V_{\lambda}(\tau,s)\phi||_{C} \\ \leq (1 - \lambda\omega_{1})^{-1}||V_{\lambda}(\tau,s)\phi - \phi||_{C} + ||\hat{J}_{\lambda}(\tau)\phi||_{C}.$$

Since (C.3) is satisfied with  $B(t) = \hat{A}(t)$  and f(t) = g(t)I, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &(2.7) \qquad \| \hat{J}_{\lambda}(\tau) \phi \|_{C} \\ &\leq \| \hat{J}_{\lambda}(s) \phi \|_{C} + \lambda \| g(\tau) - g(s) \| L(\| \phi \|_{C}) (1 + \| \hat{A}_{\lambda}(s) \phi \|_{C}) \\ &\leq \lambda \| \hat{A}_{\lambda}(s) \phi \|_{C} + \| \phi \|_{C} \\ &+ \lambda \| g(\tau) - g(s) \| L(\| \phi \|_{C}) (1 + \| \hat{A}_{\lambda}(s) \phi \|_{C}) \\ &\leq \lambda (1 - \lambda \omega_{1})^{-1} | \hat{A}(s) \phi \|_{C} + \| \phi \|_{C} \\ &+ \lambda \| g(\tau) - g(s) \| L(\| \phi \|_{C}) (1 + (1 - \lambda \omega_{1})^{-1} | \hat{A}(s) \phi \|_{C}) \\ &\text{Besides, since } \lim_{\lambda \to 0+} \sup_{\tau \in [s,T]} \| V_{\lambda}(\tau,s) \phi - V(\tau,s) \phi \|_{C} \} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

Besides, since  $\lim_{\lambda \to 0^+} \sup_{\tau \in [s,T]} \| v_{\lambda}(\tau,s)\phi - v(\tau,s)\phi \|_C \} = 0$ , we see that there exists  $\lambda_1$  such that if  $0 < \lambda \le \lambda_1$ ,  $\sup_{\tau \in [s,T]} \| V_{\lambda}(\tau,s)\phi - V(\tau,s)\phi \|_C < 1$ . Thus it follows from (2.6) and (2.7) that  $\sup_{0 < \lambda < \lambda_1} (\sup_{\tau \in [s,T]} \| \hat{J}_{\lambda}(\tau)V_{\lambda}(\tau,s)\phi \|_C)$  is bounded. By the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we obtain that  $I_1 \to 0$  and  $I_2 \to 0$  as  $\lambda \to 0+$ . Therefore, letting  $\lambda \to 0+$  in (2.5) yields (2.4).

Remark. In general setting  $u(s,\phi)(\cdot)$  defined by (2.3) need not have a strong derivative. We may have regard the function  $u(s,\phi)(t)$  as a generalized solution of (FDE; $\phi$ )<sub>s</sub> and investigate the meaning of generalized solutions. For convenience, the function  $u(s,\phi)(t)$  defined by (2.3) is called a generalized solution.

Now, we consider the approximate problem

$$(FDE;\phi)_{s}^{\beta}$$
  $u_{\beta}^{\dagger}(t) + A_{\beta}(t)u_{\beta}(t) = F(t,u_{\beta t})$   $t \in [s,T]$   $u_{\beta s} = \phi$ ,

where  $A_{\beta}(t)$  is the Yosida approximation of A(t).

We define 
$$\hat{A}^{\beta}(t)$$
:  $D(\hat{A}^{\beta}(t)) \subset C \rightarrow C$  by

$$\hat{A}^{\beta}(t)\phi = -\phi'$$

$$D(\hat{A}^{\beta}(t)) = \{ \phi \in C; \phi' \in C, \phi'(0) + A_{\beta}(t)\phi(0) = F(t,\phi) \}.$$

Clearly  $A_{\beta}(t)$  satisfies the conditions of Proposition 4 with  $\alpha_1 = \beta^{-1}(1 + (1 - \beta\alpha_0)^{-1})$ ; see [2, Lemma 1.2]. Therefore, there exists a family of nonlinear evolution operators  $\{V_{\beta}(t,s); 0 \le s \le t \le T\}$  generated by  $\hat{A}^{\beta}(t)$ . If  $u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(\cdot)$  is defined by

$$u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(t) = \begin{cases} \phi(t-s) & s-r \leq t \leq s, \\ (V_{\beta}(t,s)\phi)(0) & s \leq t \leq T, \end{cases}$$

then  $u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(t)$  is the strong solution of  $(FDE;\phi)_{S}^{\beta}$  and by Proposition 2,  $V_{\beta}(t,s)_{\phi} = u_{\beta t}(s,\phi)$  for  $s \le t \le T$  and  $\phi \in Lip$ . By the proof of [2, Lemma 4.2],  $\lim_{\beta \to 0+} (1 + \lambda A_{\beta}(t))^{-1}x = (1 + \lambda A(t))^{-1}x$  for  $x \in X$  and sufficiently small  $\lambda$ . Thus, by [10, Lemma 3.2], we obtain that  $\lim_{\beta \to 0+} (1 + \lambda \hat{A}^{\beta}(t))^{-1}_{\phi} = (1 + \lambda \hat{A}(t))^{-1}_{\phi}$  for  $\phi \in C$  and small  $\lambda$ . Also, it follows from [2, Lemma 4.1] that  $A_{\beta}(t)$  satisfies (A.1) and (A.2) uniformly in  $\beta$ , sufficiently small and hence  $\hat{A}^{\beta}(t)$  satisfies (C.1)-(C.3) uniformly in  $\beta$ , sufficiently small. (To speak more carefully, by the same way as Proposition 1, we have that

 $\|\phi_{\beta}(t,\cdot) - \phi_{\beta}(\tau,\cdot)\|_{C}$ 

$$\leq K_{3}\lambda \left| g(t) - g(\tau) \right| \left[ 1 + \left| \right| \hat{A}_{\lambda}^{\beta}(\tau)\psi \right| _{C} \right] \left[ L_{2}(\left| \right| \phi_{\beta}(\tau, \cdot) \right| \right| _{C}) +$$

+  $(1 + || F(\tau, \phi_{\beta}(\tau, \cdot)) || )L_{1}(|| \psi(0) || + \lambda || F(\tau, \phi_{\beta}(\tau, \cdot)) || )],$ 

where  $\phi_{\beta}(t, \cdot) = (1 + \lambda \hat{A}^{\beta}(t))^{-1} \psi, \psi \in C$ ,

and if  $\chi \in C$  and  $\phi_0 \in D(\hat{A}(0))$  then

 $||F(\tau,\chi)||$  is bounded by an increasing function of  $||\chi||_C$ . Now, in this case, we must prove that

$$(2.8) \qquad ||\phi_{\beta}(\tau, \cdot)||_{C} \leq L_{5}(||\psi||_{C})$$

for some monotone increasing function  $L_5$ . However, since  $\lim_{\beta \to 0+} (1 + \lambda \hat{A}^{\beta}(t))^{-1} \phi = (1 + \lambda \hat{A}(t))^{-1} \phi \quad \text{for all } \phi \in C,$   $||\phi_{\beta}(\tau, \cdot)||_{C} \leq ||\phi(\tau, \cdot)||_{C} + 1 \quad \text{for all small } \beta. \text{ Therefore,}$ 

using  $\|\phi(\tau,\cdot)\|_{C} \le L_3(\|\psi\|_{C})$  (see, Proposition 1), (2.8) is proved and hence  $\hat{A}^{\beta}(t)$  satisfies (C.3) uniformly in  $\beta$ .) We can apply the Crandall-Pazy approximation theorem [2, Theorem 4.1] to give  $\lim_{\beta \to 0+} V_{\beta}(t,s)\phi = V(t,s)\phi$  for all  $\phi \in \hat{D}_{0}$ . Therefore, by Proposition 2 and Lemma 1, we have that

Theorem 2. Let  $\phi \in \text{Lip}$  with  $\phi(0) \in \widehat{D}$ . Suppose that  $\{A(t); t \in [0,T]\}$  and  $F:[0,T] \times C \to X$  satisfy conditions (A.1) - (A.4). If  $u(s,\phi)(\cdot)$  is a generalized solution of  $(FDE;\phi)_s$  then  $u(s,\phi)(t) = \lim_{\beta \to 0+} u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(t)$  uniformly in  $t \in [s,T]$ , where  $u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(\cdot)$  is the strong solution of  $(FDE;\phi)_s^{\beta}$ .

3. Properties for generalized solutions and existence of weak solutions and strong solutions.

Our first result in this section is on the comparision of two generalized solutions.

Theorem 3. Let  $\phi_i \in \text{Lip}$  with  $\phi_i(0) \in \widehat{D}$  for i = 1, 2. If  $u(s, \phi_i)(\cdot)$  is a generalized solution of  $(FDE; \phi_i)_s$ , then we have

(3.1) 
$$e^{-\alpha_0 t} \| u(s,\phi_1)(t) - u(s,\phi_2)(t) \|$$

$$- e^{-\alpha_0 \tau} \| u(s,\phi_1)(\tau) - u(s,\phi_2)(\tau) \|$$

Proof. Let  $u_{\beta}(s,\phi_{\mathbf{i}})(t)$  be the strong solution of  $(\text{FED};\phi_{\mathbf{i}})_{s}^{\beta}$  such that  $\lim_{\beta \to 0+} u_{\beta}(s,\phi_{\mathbf{i}})(t) = u(s,\phi_{\mathbf{i}})(t)$  uniformly for  $t \in [s,T]$ .

Then 
$$\| u_{\beta}(s,\phi_1)(t) - u_{\beta}(s,\phi_2)(t) \|$$
 is differentiable a.e.t  $\epsilon[s,T]$  and  $(d/dt)\| u_{\beta}(s,\phi_1)(t) - u_{\beta}(s,\phi_2)(t)\|$  =  $[u_{\beta}(s,\phi_1)(t) - u_{\beta}(s,\phi_2)(t), -A_{\beta}(t)(u_{\beta}(s,\phi_1)(t)) + F(t,u_{\beta_t}(s,\phi_1)) + A_{\beta}(t)(u_{\beta}(s,\phi_2)(t)) - F(t,u_{\beta_t}(s,\phi_2))]_-,$  where  $[x,y]_- = -[x,-y]_+.$  Since  $[x-y,A_{\beta}(t)x-A_{\beta}(t)y]_+ \le -\alpha_0(1-\beta\alpha_0)^{-1}\| x-y\|$ , it follows that  $(d/dt)\| u_{\beta}(s,\phi_1)(t) - u_{\beta}(s,\phi_2)(t)\|$   $\le \alpha_0(1-\beta\alpha_0)^{-1}\| u_{\beta}(s,\phi_1)(t) - u_{\beta}(s,\phi_2)(t)\|$   $+ [u_{\beta}(s,\phi_1)(t) - u_{\beta}(s,\phi_2)(t),F(t,u_{\beta_t}(s,\phi_1)) - F(t,u_{\beta_t}(s,\phi_2))]_+.$  Integrating the above inequality, we have for  $s\le t\le T$ ,  $\| u_{\beta}(s,\phi_1)(t) - u_{\beta}(s,\phi_2)(t)\| - \| u_{\beta}(s,\phi_1)(\tau) - u_{\beta}(s,\phi_2)(\tau)\|$   $\le \alpha_0(1-\beta\alpha_0)^{-1}\int_{\tau}^{t}\| u_{\beta}(s,\phi_1)(\xi) - u_{\beta}(s,\phi_2)(\xi)\| d\xi$   $+ \int_{\tau}^{t} [u_{\beta}(s,\phi_1)(t) - u_{\beta}(s,\phi_2)(\xi),F(\xi,u_{\beta_{\xi}}(s,\phi_1)) - F(\xi,u_{\beta_{\xi}}(s,\phi_2))]_+ d\xi.$  Letting  $\beta + 0+$  in this inequality, we see that for  $s\le \tau\le t\le T$ ,  $(3.2) \quad \| u(s,\phi_1)(t) - u(s,\phi_2)(t)\| - \| u(s,\phi_1)(\tau) - u(s,\phi_2)(\tau)\|$   $\le \alpha_0\int_{\tau}^{t}\| u(s,\phi_1)(\xi) - u(s,\phi_2)(\xi)\| d\xi$   $+ \int_{\tau}^{t} [u(s,\phi_1)(\xi) - u(s,\phi_2)(\xi),F(\xi,u_{\xi}(s,\phi_1)) - F(\xi,u_{\xi}(s,\phi_2))]_+ d\xi.$  By the standard argument one can prove that  $(3.2)$  implies  $(3.1)$ .

The following theorem gives the existence of integral solutions.

Q.E.D.

(For example, see [9].)

Theorem 4. Let  $u(s,\phi)(\cdot)$  be a generalized solution of (FDE; $\phi$ )<sub>s</sub>. Then the following inequality holds:

$$(3.4) e^{-\alpha_0 t} || u(s,\phi)(t) - x|| - e^{-\alpha_0 \tau} || u(s,\phi)(\tau) - x||$$

$$\leq \int_{\tau}^{t} e^{-\alpha_0 \xi} \{ [u(s,\phi)(\xi) - x, F(\xi,u_{\xi}(s,\phi)) - y]_{+} + \theta(\xi,r) \} d\xi$$
for  $s \leq \tau \leq t$ ,  $[x,y] \in A(r)$ ,  $r \in [0,T]$ ,
where  $\theta(\xi,r) = L_1(||x||) || h(\xi) - h(r) || (1 + ||y||)$ .

Proof. Let  $u(s,\phi)(\cdot)$  be a generalized solution of (FDE; $\phi$ )<sub>s</sub>. By Theorem 2,  $\lim_{\beta \to 0^+} u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(t) = u(s,\phi)(t)$  uniformly for t  $\epsilon$  [s,T], where  $u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(t)$  is the strong solution of (FDE; $\phi$ ) $_{s}^{\beta}$ . Let  $[x,y] \in A(r)$  and set  $x_{\beta} = x + \beta y$ . Note that  $x = J_{\beta}(r)x_{\beta}$  and  $y = A_{\beta}(r)x_{\beta}$ , where  $J_{\beta}(r)$  and  $A_{\beta}(r)$  are the resolvent and the Yosida approximation of A(r), respectively. Then

$$(d/dt) ||u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(t) - x_{\beta}||$$

= 
$$[u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(t) - x_{\beta}, - A_{\beta}(t)(u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(t)) + F(t,u_{\beta t}(s,\phi))]_{-}$$

$$\leq [u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(t) - x_{\beta}, -A_{\beta}(t)(u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(t)) + y]_{-}$$

+ 
$$[u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(t) - x_{\beta}, F(t,u_{\beta}(s,\phi)) - y]_{+}$$

$$\leq \beta^{-1}(\|u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(t) - x_{\beta} + \beta(-A_{\beta}(t)(u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(t)) + y)\|$$

- 
$$\|u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(t) - x_{\beta}\|$$
) +  $[u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(t) - x_{\beta}, F(t,u_{\beta t}(s,\phi)) - y]_{+}$ 

$$= \beta^{-1}(\|J_{\beta}(t)(u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(t)) - J_{\beta}(r)x_{\beta}\| - \|u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(t) - x_{\beta}\|)$$

+ 
$$[u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(t) - x_{\beta}, F(t,u_{\beta_{\dagger}}(s,\phi)) - y]_{+}$$

$$\leq L_1(||x_g||)||h(t) - h(r)||(1 + ||y||)$$

+ 
$$\alpha_0(1 - \beta\alpha_0)^{-1} ||u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(t) - x_{\beta}||$$

+ 
$$[u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(t) - x_{\beta}, F(t,u_{\beta t}(s,\phi)) - y]_{+}$$
 by  $A(t) \in A(\alpha_{0})$  and  $(A.2)$ .

Integrating these inequality over  $[\tau,t] \subset [s,T]$ ,

$$\begin{split} &\|u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(t)-x_{\beta}\|-\|u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(\tau)-x_{\beta}\|\\ &\leq \int_{\tau}^{t} \{L_{1}(\|x_{\beta}\|)\|h(\xi)-h(r)\|(1+\|y\|)\\ &+\alpha_{0}(1-\beta\alpha_{0})^{-1}\|u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(\xi)-x_{\beta}\|\\ &+[u_{\beta}(s,\phi)(\xi)-x_{\beta},\,F(\xi,u_{\beta_{\xi}}(s,\phi))-y]_{+}\}\,\,d\xi\,. \end{split}$$

Letting  $\beta \rightarrow 0+$ , we see that for  $s \le \tau \le t \le T$ ,

$$(3.5) \quad ||u(s,\phi)(t) - x|| - ||u(s,\phi)(\tau) - x||$$

$$\leq \int_{\tau}^{t} \{ [u(s,\phi)(\xi) - x, F(\xi, u_{\xi}(s,\phi)) - y]_{+} + \theta(\xi,r) \} d\xi$$

$$+ \alpha_{0} \int_{\tau}^{t} ||u(s,\phi)(\xi) - x|| d\xi,$$

which yields (3.4).

Q.E.D

Next, we recall the definition of weak solutions in the sense of Kartsatos and Parrott [6,7] and consider the existence of weak solutions of (FDE; $\phi$ )<sub>0</sub>.

Definition 2. A function  $u(t) \in C([-r,T];X)$  is said to be a weak solution of  $(FDE;\phi)_0$  if  $u(t) = \phi(t)$  for  $t \in [-r,0]$  and

(DE) 
$$v'(t) + A(t)v(t) \ni F(t,u_t), t \in [0,T]$$
  
 $v(0) = \phi(0)$ 

has a solution v(t) in the sense of Evans [5] such that v(t) = u(t) for  $t \in [0,T]$ .

Remark. By definition and [5, Theorem 3], there exists at most one weak solution of  $(FDE;\phi)_0$ . Indeed, if  $u_1(t)$  and  $u_2(t)$  are two weak solutions, they satisfy the integral inequality

 $\|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\| \le \int_0^t \|F(\tau, u_{1_{\tau}}) - F(\tau, u_{2_{\tau}})\| d\tau$ . (See [5, (8.3)].) Thus, by (A.3) and the Grownwall inequality,  $u_1(t) = u_2(t)$  for  $t \in [0,T]$ .

Theorem 5. Suppose that  $\{A(t); t \in [0,T]\}$  satisfy (A.1) with  $\alpha_0 = 0$  and (A.2) and  $F:[0,T] \times C \rightarrow X$  satisfy (A.3) and (A.4). If  $\phi \in \text{Lip}$  and  $\phi(0) \in \hat{D}$ , then  $(FDE;\phi)_0$  has a unique weak solution.

Proof. It suffices to show a generalized solution  $u(0,\phi)(t)$  of  $(FDE;\phi)_0$  is a weak solution. Note that  $t \to F(t,u_t(0,\phi))$  is of bounded variation by (A.3) and (A.4) because  $u(0,\phi)(t)$  is Lipschitz continuous. Then (DE) has a solution v(t) in the sense of Evans, i.e., there exist sequence  $\{t_k^n\}$  and  $\{u_k^n\}$  such that

i) 
$$\frac{u_k^n - u_{k-1}^n}{h_k^n} + A(t_k^n)u_k^n \Rightarrow F(t_k^n, u_{t_k^n}(0, \phi))$$
, where  $h_k^n = t_k^n - t_{k-1}^n$ ,

ii) the step functions  $v^n(t)$  ( $\equiv u^n_k$  on  $(t^n_{k-1}, t^n_k]$ ) converge uniformly on [0,T] to v(t).

Note here that

$$M = \max \{ \sup ||u_k^n||, \sup ||\frac{u_k^n - u_{k-1}^n}{h_k^n} - F(t_k^n, u_{t_k^n}(0, \phi))|| \} < \infty.$$

(See [5, Proof of Theorem 2].)

Let  $v_k^n \in A(t_k^n)u_k^n$ . By (3.5) we see that

$$\|\mathbf{u}(0,\phi)(t) - \mathbf{u}_k^n\| - \|\mathbf{u}(0,\phi)(\tau) - \mathbf{u}_k^n\|$$

$$\leq \int_{\tau}^{t} \left\{ \left[ u(0,\phi)(\xi) - u_{k}^{n}, F(\xi,u_{\xi}(0,\phi)) - v_{k}^{n} \right]_{+} + \theta_{1}(\xi,t_{k}^{n}) \right\} d\xi$$

$$+ \alpha_{0} \int_{\tau}^{t} ||u(0,\phi)(\xi) - u_{k}^{n}|| d\xi,$$

where 
$$\theta_1(\xi,r) = M_1 || h(\xi) - h(r) || \text{ and } M_1 = L_1(M)(1 + M).$$

Since  $h_k^n[u(0,\phi)(\xi) - u_k^n, F(\xi,u_{\xi}(0,\phi)) - v_k^n]_+$ 

$$\leq || u(0,\phi)(\xi) - u_{k-1}^n || - || u(0,\phi)(\xi) - u_k^n ||$$

$$+ h_k^n || F(\xi,u_{\xi}(0,\phi)) - F(t_k^n,u_{t_k^n}(0,\phi)) || ,$$

it follows by the standard argument that

where  $\theta_1^n$  and  $F^n$  are functions defined by

$$\theta_1^n(\xi,\eta) = \theta_1(\xi,t_k^n) \quad \text{for } \eta \in (t_{k-1}^n,t_k^n]$$

and

$$F^{n}(\eta) = F(t_{k}^{n}, u_{t_{k}^{n}}(0, \phi))$$
 for  $\eta \in (t_{k-1}^{n}, t_{k}^{n}]$ , respectively.

Letting  $t_i^n \to t'$ ,  $t_j^n \to \tau'$  as  $n \to \infty$  and applying [8, Proposition 2.5] we obtain that  $u(0,\phi)(t) = v(t)$  for  $t \in [0,T]$ . Q.E.D.

Finally, we consider the existence of strong solutions of  $\left(\text{FDE}\,;\varphi\right)_{\text{S}}.$ 

Corollary 1. Let  $\phi \in \text{Lip}$  with  $\phi(0) \in \widehat{D}$ . Assume that  $\{A(t); t \in [0,T]\}$  and  $F:[0,T] \times C \to X$  satisfy conditions (A.1)-(A.4). If X is reflexive, or, more generally, X satisfies the Radon-Nikodym property, then  $(FDE;\phi)_{c}$  has a unique strong solution.

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 2, there exists a generalized solution  $u(s,\phi)(t)$  and by the Remark after Lemma 1,  $u(s,\phi)(t)$  is Lipschitz continuous and hence  $u(s,\phi)(t)$  is differentiable a.e.t  $\epsilon$  [s,T]. Now, let h>0 and  $t_0$  be any point at which  $u(s,\phi)(\cdot)$  is differentiable. Putting  $\tau=r=t_0$  and  $t=t_0+h$  in (3.5), we see that

$$\begin{split} &\|u(s,\phi)(t_0+h)-x\|-\|u(s,\phi)(t_0)-x\|\\ &\leq \int_{t_0}^{t_0+h} \left\{ [u(s,\phi)(\xi)-x,\,F(\xi,u_\xi(s,\phi))-y]_++\theta(\xi,t_0) \right\}\,\mathrm{d}\xi\\ &+\alpha_0\!\!\int_{t_0}^{t_0+h} \|u(s,\phi)(\xi)-x\|\,\,\mathrm{d}\xi\,\,\mathrm{for}\,\,[x,y]\in A(t_0). \end{split}$$

Dividing the above inequality by h and letting  $h \downarrow 0$ , it follows  $[u(s,\phi)(t_0) - x, u'(s,\phi)(t_0)]_+$ 

$$\leq$$
 [u(s, $\phi$ )(t<sub>0</sub>) - x, F(t<sub>0</sub>,u<sub>t<sub>0</sub></sub>(s, $\phi$ )) - y]<sub>+</sub> +  $\alpha_0$  ||u(s, $\phi$ )(t<sub>0</sub>) - x||, i.e., for [x,y]  $\epsilon$ A(t<sub>0</sub>)

(3.6) 
$$[u(s,\phi)(t_0) - x, -u'(s,\phi)(t_0) + F(t_0,u_{t_0}(s,\phi)) + \alpha_0 u(s,\phi)(t_0) - (\alpha_0 x + y)]_+ \ge 0.$$

By condition (A.1), it is easy to see that  $A(t_0) + \alpha_0$  is m-accretive. Therefore, by (3.6), we see that  $u'(s,\phi)(t_0) + A(t_0)(u(s,\phi)(t_0)) \ni F(t_0, u_{t_0}(s,\phi)).$  Q.E.D.

Acknowledgement. I would like to express my thanks to Prof. N. Kenmochi of Chiva University for valuable comments, and to Prof. I. Miyadera for his encouragement.

## References

- [1] Crandall M., A generalized domain for semigroup generators, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 37, (1973) 434 440.
- [2] Crandall M. & Pazy A., Nonlinear evolution equations in Banach spaces, Israel J. Math. 11, (1972) 57 94.
- [3] Dyson J. & Villela Bressan R., Functional differential equations and nonlinear evolution operators, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh 75 A, (1975/76) 223 234.
- [4] \_\_\_\_\_, Semigroups of translations associated with functional and functional differential equations, Pro. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh 82 A, (1979) 171 188.
- [5] Evans L., Nonlinear evolution equations in an arbitary Banach space, Israel J. Math. 26, (1977) 1-42.
- [6] Kartsatos A. G., A direct method for the existence of evolution operators in general Banach spaces, to appear in Funkcialaj Ekvacioj.
- [7] Kartsatos A. G. & Parrott M. E., A simplified approach to the existence and stability problem of a functional evolution equation in a general Banach space., Lecture Notes in Math., 1076, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [8] Kobayasi K., Kobayashi Y. & Oharu S., Nonlinear evolution operators in Banach spaces, Osaka J. Math. 21, (1984) 281 310.
- [9] Kobayashi Y., Difference approximation of Cauchy problems for quasi-dissipative operators and generation of nonlinear semigroups, J. Math. Soc. Japan 27, (1975), 640 665.
- [10] Parrott M. E., Representation and approximation of generalized solutions of a nonlinear functional differential equation, Nonlinear analysis 6, (1982) 307 318.
- [11] Webb G., Asymtotic stability for abstract nonlinear functional differential equations, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 54, (1976) 225-230.