34

ON A NEW CLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS WITH NEGATIVE COEFFICIENTS

Teruo Yaguchi (日大·文理 谷口彰男

1. Introduction

Let A denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$

which are analytic in the unit disk $U = \{z: |z| \le 1\}$.

We consider some subclasses of the class A. Let S denote the subclass of A whose functions are univalent in U. A function f(z) belonging to the class A is said to be starlike of order α ($0 \le \alpha$ < 1) if it satisfies the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{z \, f'(z)}{f(z)} > \alpha \qquad (z \in U)$$

for $0 \le \alpha < 1$. We denote by $S^*(\alpha)$ the subclass of A consisting of all starlike functions of order α in U. On the other hand, a function belonging to the class A is said to be convex of order α ($0 \le \alpha < 1$) if it satisfies the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & + & \frac{-\boldsymbol{z} \cdot f' \cdot (\boldsymbol{z})}{f' \cdot (\boldsymbol{z})} \end{array} \right\} \right\} \geq \alpha \qquad \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \boldsymbol{z} \in \boldsymbol{U} \end{array} \right)$$

for $0 \le \alpha \le 1$. We denote by $K(\alpha)$ the subclass of A consisting of such functions. It is well known that $K(\alpha) \subset S^*(\alpha) \subset S$. These classes were introduced by Robertson [13] in 1936, and studied subsequently by Schild [15], MacGregor [5], Pinchuk [12] and Jack [3].

Let T denote the subclass of A of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$

where α_k are non-negative real numbers for all k. In 1975, Silverman [18] introduced the classes $T^*(\alpha) = T \cap S^*(\alpha)$ and $C(\alpha) = T \cap K(\alpha)$ for some $0 \le \alpha < 1$, and proved the following lemmas.

Lemma A. A function
$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$
 is in $T^*(\alpha)$

if and only if
$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k - \alpha) a_k \le 1 - \alpha$$
.

Lemma B. A function
$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$
 is in $C(\alpha)$

if and only if
$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k (k - \alpha) a_k \le 1 - \alpha$$
.

Several other subclasses of T were studied by Sarangi and Uralegaddi [14], Owa [6,7,8,9,10,11], Gupta and Jain [1,2] and Jain and Ahuja [4].

In 1986, Sekine and Owa [17] introduced new subclasses $T^*(\alpha, p_k)$ and $C(\alpha, p_k)$ of $T^*(\alpha)$ and $C(\alpha)$, respectively. They defined the subclass of $T^*(\alpha)$ consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1 - \alpha}{k - \alpha} p_k z^k - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k - (a_k \ge 0),$$

where $0 \le p_k \le 1$ and $0 \le \sum_{k=2}^{n} p_k \le 1$, and denoted it by $T^*(\alpha, P_K)$.

They also defined the subclass of $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$ consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{p_k(1-\alpha)}{k(k-\alpha)} z^k - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (a_k \ge 0),$$

where $0 \le p_k \le 1$ and $0 \le \sum_{k=2}^{n} p_k \le 1$, and denoted it by $C(\alpha, P_K)$.

In 1981, the classes $T^*(\alpha, p_2)$ and $C(\alpha, p_2)$ for k = 2 were introduced by Silverman and Silvia [19].

In 1987, Sekine [16] introduced a new generalized subclass of T as follows. Let $\{B_k\}$ denote a sequence of positive real numbers, i.e. $(1.2) \qquad B_k > 0 \qquad (k = 2, 3, \cdots).$

Let $T(\{B_{k}\})$ denote the subclass of T satisfying the coefficient relation

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_k \ a_k \le 1 .$$

All functions belonging to the class $T(\{B_k\})$ satisfy the coefficient relation

$$(1.4) 0 \le a_k \le \frac{1}{B_k} (k \ge 2).$$

The classes $T^*(\alpha)$ and $C(\alpha)$ become to special cases of Sekine's new class. Sekine [16] showed many relations among the new class and

various subclasses of T.

I'd like to introduce a new subclass of $T(\{k\})$ by using the inequality (1.4). For a finite sequence $\{p_k\}_{k=2}^n$ of real numbers satisfying the condition

$$(1.5) 0 \le p_k \le 1 (k = 2, 3, \dots, n), 0 \le \sum_{k=2}^{n} p_k \le 1,$$

we define by $T(\{B_k\}, \{p_k\}_2^n)$ the subclass of $T(\{B_k\})$ consisting of functions f(z) of the form :

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{p_k}{B_k} z^k - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k.$$

2. Fundamental results

THEOREM 1. Let a function f be in the class $T(\{B_k\})$. Then $f \in T(\{B_k\}, \{p_k\}_2^n)$ if and only if

(2.1)
$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} B_k \ a_k \le 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{n} p_k \ .$$

The result (2.1) is sharp.

Proof. Since $f \in T(\{B_k\})$, the function f has the form (1.1) and the relation (1.3) holds for a_k and B_k . We put

$$a_k = \frac{p_k}{B_k}$$
 ($k = 2, \dots, n$), then

$$f \in T(\{B_k\}, \{p_k\}_2^n)$$
 if and only if $\sum_{k=2}^n B_k \times \frac{p_k}{B_k} + \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty B_k a_k \le 1$

This shows the result (2.1). The function f(z) of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{p_k}{B_k} z^k - \frac{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{n} p_k}{B_{N+1}} z^N$$

for $N \ge n + 1$ shows that the result (2.1) is sharp.

The following corollary is a kind of coefficient estimates for f.

COROLLARY 1. Let a function f be in the class $T(\{B_k\}, \{p_k\}_2^n)$.

Then,

(2.2)
$$0 \le a_k \le \frac{1 - \sum_{j=2}^{n} p_j}{B_k} \quad (k \ge n + 1).$$

The result (2.2) is sharp.

The following theorem shows an inclusion relation.

THEOREM 2. Let sequences $\{B_k\}_2^{\infty}$ and $\{p_k\}_2^n$ satisfy (1.2) and (1.5), respectively. Then we have

$$T(\{B_k\}, \{p_k\}_2^n) \subset T(\{B_k d_k\}, \{p_k d_k\}_2^m)$$

for positive integers m and n and a sequence $\{d_k\}_2^n$ such that $2 \le m \le n$ and $0 < d_k \le 1$.

We can obtain the proof of Theorem 2 by using the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Under the same hypotheses as in Theorem 2. we have

$$T(\lbrace B_k \rbrace, \lbrace p_k \rbrace_2^n)$$
 \subset $T(\lbrace B_k \rbrace, \lbrace p_k \rbrace_2^m)$

for positive integers m and n such that $2 \le m \le n$.

LEMMA 2. Under the same hypotheses as in Theorem 2, we have

$$T(\{B_k\}, \{p_k\}_2^n) \subset T(\{B_k d_k\}, \{p_k d_k\}_2^n)$$

for a sequence $\{d_k\}_2^n$ such that $0 \le d_k \le 1$.

Proof. Let f denote an element of $T(\{B_k\},\{\rho_k\}_2^n)$. Then we obtain the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{p_k \alpha_k}{B_k \alpha_k} z^k - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \alpha_k z^k$$

and the relation (2.1). The hypotheses $0 < a_k \le 1$, $B_k > 0$ and $a_k \ge 0$ show

$$(2.3) 0 \le p_k d_k \le 1 (k = 2, 3, \dots, n), 0 \le \sum_{k=2}^{n} p_k d_k \le 1$$

and

$$0 < \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} B_k d_k a_k \le \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} B_k a_k \le 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{n} p_k \le 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{n} p_k d_k,$$

which prove $f \in T(\{B_k d_k\}, \{p_k d_k\}_2^n)$.

Theorem 2' is an analogous result as Theorem 2.

THEOREM 2'. Let sequences $\{B_k\}_2^{\infty}$, $\{p_k\}_2^n$ and $\{d_k\}_2^n$ satisfy (1.2), (2.3) and $d_k \ge 1$. Then we have

$$T(\{B_k d_k\}, \{p_k d_k\}_2^n) \leftarrow T(\{B_k\}, \{p_k\}_2^n)$$

for positive integers m and n such that $2 \le m \le n$.

3. Convexity of the class $T(\{B_k\},\{p_k\}_2^n)$

THEOREM 3. Let a sequence $\{n_j\}_{j=1}^m$ consist of integers larger

than 1 and n denote the minimum of the numbers n_1, \dots, n_m . Let each function

$$(3.1) f_j(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{n_j} \frac{p_k^{(j)}}{B_k} z^k - \sum_{k=n_j+1}^{\infty} a_k^{(j)} z^k (a_k^{(j)} \ge 0)$$

be in each class $T(\{B_k\}, \{p_k^{(j)}\}_2^{n_j})$ for each $j = 1, \dots, m$. Then the function F(z) defined by

(3.2)
$$F(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} f_{j}(z),$$

where $\lambda_j \geq 0$, $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j = 1$, is in the class $T(\{B_k\}, \{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j p_k^{(j)}\}_2^n)$.

Proof. By (3.1) and Theorem 1, we have inequalities

(3.3)
$$\sum_{k=n_{j}+1}^{\infty} B_{k} \ a_{k}^{(j)} \leq 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{n_{j}} p_{k}^{(j)} \qquad (j = 1, \dots, m)$$

An easy calculation shows from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{\int_{z_{j}}^{z_{j}} \lambda_{j} p_{k}^{(j)}}{B_{k}} z^{k} - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \alpha_{k}^{(j)} \right) z^{k},$$

where $a_k^{(j)} = \frac{p_k^{(j)}}{B_k}$ for $k = n + 1, n + 2, \dots, n_j$.

By (3.3) and the definition of $T(\{B_k\},\{p_k^{(j)}\}_2^{n_j})$, we observe that

$$0 \le \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j a_k^{(j)}$$

$$0 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j p_k^{(j)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j = 1,$$

$$0 \leq \sum_{k=2}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} p_{k}^{(j)} \right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\lambda_{j} \sum_{k=2}^{n} p_{k}^{(j)} \right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} = 1,$$

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left(B_{k} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} a_{k}^{(j)} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} B_{k} a_{k}^{(j)} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{n_{j}} p_{k}^{(j)} + \sum_{k=n_{j}+1}^{\infty} B_{k} a_{k}^{(j)} \right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{n_{j}} p_{k}^{(j)} + 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{n_{j}} p_{k}^{(j)} \right)$$

$$= 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} p_{k}^{(j)} \right),$$

and

which prove $F \in T(\{B_k\}, \{\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j p_k^{(j)}\}_2^n)$ with the aid of Theorem 1.

Immediately, the following corollaries are obtained by Theorem 3.

COROLLARY 2. Let functions f and g be in the class $T(\{B_k\}, \{p_k\}_2^n)$ and $T(\{B_k\}, \{p_k'\}_2^n)$, respectively. Then we have

$$\lambda f + \lambda' g \in T(\{B_k\}, \{\lambda p_k + \lambda' p_k'\}_2^n)$$

where $0 \le \lambda \le 1$, $0 \le \lambda' \le 1$, $\lambda + \lambda' = 1$ and $n \le n'$.

The next corollary shows convexity of the class $T(\{B_k\}, \{p_k\}_2^n)$.

COROLLARY 3. If f and g are functions in the class $T(\{B_k\}, \{p_k\}_2^n)$ and λ is a real number such that $0 \le \lambda \le 1$, then the function $\lambda f + (1 - \lambda)g$ is also in the class $T(\{B_k\}, \{p_k\}_2^n)$.

We like to obtain a generalization of Corollary 2.

THEOREM 4. Let f and g be functions in the class

 $T(\{B_{k}'\},\{p_{k}'\}_{2}^{n'})$ and $T(\{B_{k}''\},\{p_{k}''\}_{2}^{n'})$, respectively. Then the function $\lambda'f+\lambda''g$, where $0\leq\lambda'\leq 1$, $0\leq\lambda''\leq 1$ and $\lambda''+\lambda''=1$, is in the class

$$T(-\{-\frac{B_{k}^{\prime}B_{k}^{\prime\prime}}{B_{k}}\},\{-\frac{B_{k}^{\prime\prime}p_{k}^{\prime\prime}\lambda^{\prime\prime}+B_{k}^{\prime\prime}p_{k}^{\prime\prime}\lambda^{\prime\prime}}{B_{k}}\}_{2}^{n}),$$

where $B_k = \max \{B_{k'}, B_{k''}\}$ and $n = \min \{n', n''\}$.

Proof. We may consider the case of n' = n'' = n, by virture of Lemma 1. We can put, with the definitions of f and g and aid of Theorem 1,

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{p'_{k}}{B'_{k}} z^{k} - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a'_{k} z^{k}$$

and

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{p'_{k'}}{B'_{k}} z^{k} - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \alpha'_{k} z^{k},$$

where

$$(3.4) \qquad \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} B_{k}' \ \alpha_{k}' \le 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{n} p_{k}' \ , \quad \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} B_{k}' \alpha_{k}' \le 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{n} p_{k}' \ .$$

Then we have

$$\lambda' f(z) + \lambda'' g(z)$$

$$= z - \sum_{k=2}^{n} \left(\frac{p'_k}{B'_k} \lambda' + \frac{p'_{k'}}{B'_{k'}} \lambda'' \right) z^k - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\lambda' a'_k + \lambda'' a'_{k'} \right) z^k$$

$$= z - \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{q_k}{c_k} z^k - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k,$$

where $c_k = \frac{B_k' B_k'}{B_k}$, $b_k = \lambda' a_k' + \lambda'' a_k'$ and $q_k = \frac{B_k' p_k' \lambda' + B_k' p_k' \lambda''}{B_k}$.

Since, by (3.4) and a simple calculation,

$$0 \le q_k \le p_k'\lambda' + p_k''\lambda'' \le \lambda' + \lambda'' = 1,$$

$$0 \le \sum_{k=2}^{n} q_k \le \lambda' \sum_{k=2}^{n} p_k' + \lambda'' \sum_{k=2}^{n} p_k'' \le \lambda' + \lambda'' = 1$$

and

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} e_k b_k \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left(B_k' \lambda' a_k' + B_k' \lambda'' a_k'' \right)$$

$$\leq \lambda' \left(1 - \sum_{k=2}^{n} p_k' \right) + \lambda'' \left(1 - \sum_{k=2}^{n} p_k' \right)$$

$$= 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{n} \left(\lambda' p_k' + \lambda'' p_k' \right) \leq 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{n} q_k,$$

we obtain that

$$\lambda'f + \lambda''g \in T(\{e_k\}, \{q_k\}_2^n)$$

with virture of Theorem 1.

References

- [1] V.P.Gupta and P.K.Jain, Certain classes of univalent functions with negative coefficients, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 44(1976), 409-416.
- [2] V.P.Gupta and P.K.Jain, Certain classes of univalent functions with negative coefficients II, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 15(1 976), 467-473.
- [3] I.S.Jack, Functions starlike and convex of order α , J. London Math. Soc. (2)3(1971), 469-474.
- [4] P.K.Jain and O.P.Ahuja, A class of univalent functions with

- negative coefficients, Rend. Mat. (7)1(1981), 47-54.
- [5] T.H.MacGregor, The radius of convexity for starlike functions of order $\frac{1}{2}$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 14(1963), 71-76.
- [6] S.Owa, On the starlike functions of order α and type β , Math. Japon. 27(1982), 723-735.
- [7] S.Owa, On the subclasses of univalent functions, Math. Japon. 28(1983), 97-108.
- [8] S.Owa, On new classes of analytic functions with negative coefficients, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. 7(1984), 719-730.
- [9] S.Owa, On a class of analytic functions with fixed second coefficient II, Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège 53(1984), 143-157.
- [10] S.Owa, Fixed coefficients for certain class of univalent functions with negative coefficients, Ranchi Univ. Math. J. 15(1984), 11-22.
- [11] S.Owa and H.M.Srivastava, A class of analytic functions with fixed finitely many coefficients, J. Fac. Sci. Tech. Kinki Univ. 23(1987), 1-10.
- [12] B.Pinchuk, On starlike and convex functions of order α , Duke Math. J. 35(1968), 721-734.
- [13] M.I.S.Robertson, On the theory of univalent functions, Ann. of Math. 37(1936), 374-408.
- [14] S.M.Sarangi and B.A.Uralegaddi, The radius of convexity and starlikeness for certain classes of analytic functions with negative coefficients. I, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. fis. Mat. Natur. (8)65(1978), 38-42.
- [15] A.Schild, On starlike functions of order α , Amer. J. Math. 87

(1965), 65-70.

- [16] T.Sekine, On new generalized classes of analytic functions with negative coefficients, Report of the Research Institute of Science and Technology Nihon University 32(1987), 1-26.
- [17] Sekine and Owa, Certain classes of analytic and unvalent functions with fixed finitely many coefficients, J. Korean Math. Soc. 23(1986), 127-134.
- [18] H.Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51(1975), 109-116.
- [19] H.Silverman and E.Silvia, Fixed coefficients for subclasses of starlike functions, Houston J. Math. 7(1981), 129-136.