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1. Introduction.

A sequence \((S_n)\) converging to a limit \(S\) is said to be logarithmically convergent if

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{S_{n+1} - S}{S_n - S} = 1.
\]

We denote by \(\mathcal{L}\) the set of all logarithmically convergent sequences (logarithmic sequences, for short). It has been proved that there is no algorithm which can accelerate all logarithmic sequences [5]. However almost all logarithmic sequences which occur in applied mathematics can be accelerated by suitable methods. C. Kowalewski [8] has studied what are the accelerable subsets of \(\mathcal{L}\). Smith and Ford [13] have reviewed and compared acceleration methods for various series, including logarithmically convergent series. They concluded that Levin's \(u\) transform is the best available across-the-board method. Subsequently some effective acceleration methods for logarithmic sequences have been proposed. These methods are faster than the \(u\) transform on logarithmic sequences.

In this report we shall test and compare acceleration methods, including new methods, on a wide range of logarithmic sequences.

2. Subsets of \(\mathcal{L}\).

Whether an acceleration method works effectively on a given sequence or not depends on the asymptotic expansion of the sequence. Conversely, when we know the type of asymptotic expansion
of a sequence we can choose a suitable acceleration method.

In order to test and compare acceleration methods we introduce six subsets of $\mathcal{L}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \{ (S_n) \in \mathcal{L} \mid S_n = \frac{a_0 + a_1 n + a_2 n^2 + \cdots + a_m n^m}{b_0 + b_1 n + b_2 n^2 + \cdots + b_m n^m} \quad \forall n \},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \{ (S_n) \in \mathcal{L} \mid S_n \sim S + n^{-1}(c_0 + c_1/n + c_2/n^2 + \cdots) \},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \{ (S_n) \in \mathcal{L} \mid S_n \sim S + n^{-k}(c_0 + c_1/n + c_2/n^2 + \cdots) \},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = \{ (S_n) \in \mathcal{L} \mid S_n \sim S + n^\theta(c_0 + c_1/n + c_2/n^2 + \cdots) \},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_4 = \{ (S_n) \in \mathcal{L} \mid S_n \sim S + c_0 n^{\alpha_0} + c_1 n^{\alpha_1} + c_2 n^{\alpha_2} + \cdots \},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_5 = \{ (S_n) \in \mathcal{L} \mid S_n \sim S + \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j} \frac{(\log n)^i}{n^j} \},$$

where $m,k$ are natural numbers, $a_j,b_j,c_j,c_{i,j},\theta,\alpha_i (i = 1,2,\cdots; j = 0,1,\cdots)$ are constants with $c_0 \neq 0$, $\theta < 0$ and $0 > \alpha_0 > \alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \cdots$.

Remark. $\mathcal{L}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_3 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_4$ and $\mathcal{L}_6 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{L}_5$.

3. Acceleration methods.

Until now various acceleration methods for logarithmic sequences have been proposed. In this report we take up following methods, and divide them into two groups:

I The exponents in the asymptotic expansion are not required. Lubkin's W transform [10]; the $\rho$-algorithm (Wynn [15]); the $\Theta$-algorithm (Brezinski [3]); Levin's $u,v$ transforms [9]; the generalized $\epsilon$-algorithm (Vanden Broeck et al. [14]); the automatic generalized $\rho$-algorithm (Osada [11]); the automatic modified Aitken $\delta^2$-formula.

II The exponents in the asymptotic expansion are required. The Richardson extrapolation applying to the subsequence $(S_m) \quad m = 1,2,4,8,\cdots$; the $E$-algorithm (Schneider [12]; Hâvie [7]; Brezinski [4]); the modified Aitken $\delta^2$-formula (Drummond [6]; Bjørstad et al. [2]); the generalized $\rho$-algorithm (Drummond [6]; Osada [11]).

In the above methods, $\rho$, $\Theta$-algorithms and $u,v$ transforms were taken up by Smith and Ford [13]. Thus we describe the others.
(1) Lubkin's W transform.
The defining equations for Lubkin's W transform are as follows:
Initialization: \( T_0, j = 0 \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots \).
For \( i = 1, 2, \ldots \),
\[
T_i, j = \begin{cases} 
S_0 & (i = 1) \\
S_i - S_{i-1} & (i \geq 2),
\end{cases}
\]
\[
a_i, j = \frac{T_{i+2}, j-1}{a_i+2, j-1} - \frac{2T_{i+1}, j-1}{a_i+1, j-1} + \frac{T_{i}, j-1}{a_i, j-1} \\
1 - \frac{2}{a_i+1, j-1} + \frac{1}{a_i, j-1}
\]
\( a_i, j = T_i, j - T_{i-1}, j \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots \).
Lubkin [10] has proved that the W transform accelerates each sequence of \( z \).

(2) The generalized \( \varepsilon \)-algorithm.
Vanden Broeck and Schwartz have introduced a one-parameter family of non-linear transformations defined by the following:
For \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \),
\[
\varepsilon_n^{(-1)} = 0,
\]
\[
f_n^{(0)} = S_n.
\]
For \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \) and \( m = 0, 1, \ldots \),
\[
\varepsilon_n^{(m)} = \alpha \varepsilon_n^{(m-1)} + \frac{1}{f_{n+1}^{(m)} - f_n^{(m)}}
\]
\( n > m \),
\[
f_n^{(m)} = f_n^{(m)} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n^{(m)} - \varepsilon_{n-1}^{(m)}}
\]
\( n > m + 1 \).
When the parameter \( \alpha = 1 \), this algorithm agrees with the \( \varepsilon \)-algorithm of Wynn. They considered the case \( \alpha = -1 \). Barber and Hamer [1] have proved that when the generalized \( \varepsilon \)-algorithm is applied to a sequence satisfying
\[
S_n - S = An^\theta + o(n^\theta) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,
\]
where \( \theta < 0 \), then
\[
\{ f_n \} - S = o(n^\theta) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.
\]

(3) The modified Aitken \( \delta^2 \)-formula.
Suppose that a sequence \((S_n)\) belongs to \( L_3 \). The defining equations for the modified Aitken \( \delta^2 \)-formula are as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
s_0 &= 0, \\
s_n &= S_n, \\
s_n^{k+1} &= s_n^k - \frac{2k+1-\theta}{2k-\theta} \frac{(s_{n+1}^k - s_n^k)(s_n^k - s_{n-1}^k)}{s_{n+1}^k - 2s_n^k + s_{n-1}^k}, \quad \text{for} \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots
\end{align*}
\]
Bjørstad, Dahlquist and Grosse [2] have proved that when the modified Aitken \( \delta^2 \)-formula is applied to \((S_n)\) which belongs to \( L_3 \),
\[
s_n^k - S = O(n^{\theta - 2k}) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.
\]

(4) The generalized \( \rho \)-algorithm.
For a sequence \((S_n)\) which belongs to \( L_3 \), the generalized \( \rho \)-algorithm is defined as follows:
Put \( S_0 = 0 \).
For \( n = 0, 1, \ldots \),
\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_0 &= 0, \\
\rho_{n+1} &= \rho_{n-1} + \frac{j - 1 - \theta}{\rho_{n+1} - \rho_{n-1}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots
\end{align*}
\]
It is obvious that, when \( \theta = -1 \), the generalized \( \rho \)-algorithm agrees with Wynn’s \( \rho \)-algorithm. It has been proved [11] that
\[
\rho_{2k} - S = O((n+K)^{\theta - 2k}) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.
\]

(5) The Richardson extrapolation.
Suppose that a sequence \((S_n)\) satisfies
\[
S_n \sim S + c_1 n^{\alpha_1} + c_2 n^{\alpha_2} + \cdots.
\]
Then it is known that the subsequence \((S_m)\) \((m = 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, \ldots)\) converges linearly to \(S\), hence the Richardson extrapolation can be applied to the subsequence. Two dimensional array \((T_{i,j})\) is defined as follows:
For $i = 0, 1, \ldots$,
\[ T_i, 0 = S_k, \quad \text{where} \quad k = 2^i, \]
\[ T_i, j + 1 = T_i, j + \frac{T_i, j - T_{i-1}, j}{2^{-a_j - 1}} \quad j = 0, \ldots, i - 1. \]

(6) The $E$-algorithm.

Suppose that a sequence $(S_n)$ satisfies
\[ S_n \sim S + c_0 g_0(n) + c_1 g_1(n) + c_2 g_2(n) + \cdots, \]
where $(g_j(n))$ are known sequences. The defining equations of the $E$-algorithm are as follows:

For $n = 1, 2, \ldots$,
\[ E_0 = S_n, \]
\[ g_0, i = g_i(n) \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots. \]

For $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ and $n = 1, 2, \ldots$,
\[ E_k = \frac{(n)(n+1)}{E_{k-1, k-1} - E_{k-1, k-1}} \frac{(n)(n)}{g_k, i - g_k, i} \frac{(n+1)(n)}{g_k, i - g_k, i} \frac{(n)}{g_k, i - g_k, i} \]
\[ g_k, i = \frac{(n+1)(n)}{g_{k-1, i} - g_{k-1, i}} \frac{(n)}{g_{k-1, i} - g_{k-1, i}} \]
\[ i = k, k+1, \ldots. \]

(7) Automatic methods.

Both the modified Aitken $\delta^2$-formula and the generalized $\rho$-algorithm require knowledge of $\theta$. However the value of $\theta$ can be estimated. Let
\[ T_n = \frac{1}{S_{n+1} - S_n} + 1, \]
\[ \Delta \left( \frac{S_{n+1} - S_n}{S_{n+1} - 2S_n + S_{n-1}} \right) \]
where $\Delta$ is the forward difference operator and $S_0 = 0$. Bjørstad et al. [2] showed that $T_n$ satisfies the asymptotic expansion
\[ T_n \sim \theta + n^{-2}(d_0 - \frac{d_1}{n} + \frac{d_2}{n^2} + \cdots) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty, \]
where $d_0 (\neq 0), d_1, d_2, \ldots$ are constants. This asymptotic expansion
shows that the modified Aitken $\delta^2$-formula and the generalized $\rho$-algorithm can be applied to $(T_n)$.

(7-1) The automatic modified Aitken $\delta^2$-formula.

Suppose that the first $n$ terms $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n$ of a sequence which belongs to $L_3$ are given. Then we define $(t_n^k)$ as follows:

For $m = 1$ to $n-2$,

$$t_n^m = T_m,$$

For $k = 1$ to $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor$,

$$t_n^{-k} = t_n^{-k-1} - \frac{2k+1}{2k} \frac{(t_{n-k+1}^{-k-1} - t_{n-k}^{-k-1})(t_{n-k}^{-k-1} - t_{n-k-1}^{-k-1})}{t_{n-k+1}^{-k-1} - 2t_{n-k}^{-k-1} + t_{n-k-1}^{-k-1}}.$$

Then we put

$$\theta_{n-2} = t_{n-k-2}^k,$$

where $k = \lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor$.

Substituting $\theta_{n-2}$ for $\theta$ in the definition of the modified Aitken $\delta^2$-formula, we can obtain the automatic modified Aitken $\delta^2$-formula:

For $m = 1$ to $n$,

$$s_n,m = S_m,$$

For $k = 1$ to $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor$,

$$s_n,m-k = s_n,m-k-1 - \frac{2k-1}{2k-2} \theta_{n-2}$$

$$\times \frac{(s_n,m-k+1^{-k-1} - s_n,m-k^{-k-1})(s_n,m-k^{-k-1} - s_n,m-k-1^{-k-1})}{s_n,m-k+1^{-k-1} - 2s_n,m-k^{-k-1} + s_n,m-k-1^{-k-1}}.$$

For a given tolerance $\varepsilon$, this scheme is stopped if $n$ is even and

$$|s_n,n-k^k - s_n,n-k^{-k-1}| < \varepsilon,$$

or if $n$ is odd and

$$|s_n,n-k^k - s_n,n-k^{-k|} | < \varepsilon,$$

where $k = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$.

(7-2) The automatic generalized $\rho$-algorithm.

Suppose that the first $n$ terms $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n$ of a sequence which belongs to $L_3$ are given. First we estimate the exponent $\theta$ by applying the generalized $\rho$-algorithm to $(T_n)$.
Initialization \( \rho^{(0)} \) \( \theta \rho = 0 \), \( S_n = 0 \).

For \( m = 1 \) to \( n - 2 \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho^{(m)} & = 1, \\
\rho^{(m)} & = \frac{S_m + S_{m+1} - S_n - S_{m-1}}{S_{m+2} - 2S_{m+1} + S_m} + 1, \quad \text{For } j = 1 \text{ to } m, \quad \\
\rho^{(m-j)} & = \rho^{(m-j+1)} + \frac{j+1}{\rho^{(m-j+1)} - \rho^{(m-j)}}. \\
\end{align*}
\]

Then the automatic generalized \( \rho \)-algorithm is as follows:

Let

\[
\theta^{(1)}_{n-2} = \begin{cases} 
\rho^{(0)}_{n-3}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}, \\
\rho^{(0)}_{n-2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even}.
\end{cases}
\]

For \( r = 0 \) to \( n \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho^{(r)}_{n,-1} & = 0, \\
\rho^{(r)}_{n,0} & = S_r, \quad \text{For } j = 1 \text{ to } r, \quad \\
\rho^{(r-j)}_{n,j} & = \rho^{(r-j+1)}_{n,j-2} + \frac{j-1 - \theta^{(1)}_{n-2}}{\rho^{(r-j+1)}_{n,j-2} - \rho^{(r-j)}_{n,j-1}}. \\
\end{align*}
\]

For a given tolerance \( \varepsilon \), this scheme is stopped if \( n \) is even and

\[
| \rho^{(0)}_{n,n} - \rho^{(1)}_{n,n-2} | < \varepsilon,
\]

or if \( n \) is odd and

\[
| \rho^{(1)}_{n,n-1} - \rho^{(0)}_{n,n-1} | < \varepsilon.
\]

4. Test problems.

All Smith and Ford's test series [13] for logarithmically convergent belong to \( \mathcal{L}_3 \). Similarly, almost logarithmic sequences and series which have been taken up by other authors belong to
In this report we use a wide range of logarithmic sequences or series.

Our logarithmically convergent test sequences are shown in Table 1 and test series are shown in Table 2.

### Table 1. Test sequences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>subset</th>
<th>sequence</th>
<th>limit</th>
<th>θ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \mathcal{L}_0 )</td>
<td>( - \frac{2n^2 + 4n + 2}{2n^2 + 4n + 1} )</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathcal{L}_1 )</td>
<td>( (1 + \frac{1}{n})^n )</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathcal{L}_2 )</td>
<td>( (1 + \frac{1}{n^3})^n )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathcal{L}_3 )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{(n+1)}} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathcal{L}_4 )</td>
<td>( (1 + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{n}})^{1/2} )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(*1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mathcal{L}_5 )</td>
<td>( (1+n)^{1/n} )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(*2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1  \( (1 + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{n}})^{1/2} \approx 1 + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n^{1/3}} + \frac{1}{n^{2/3}} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} + \cdots \)

*2  \( (1+n)^{1/n} \approx 1 + \frac{\log n}{n} + \frac{(\log n)^2}{2n^2} + \frac{1}{n^2} + \cdots \)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subset</th>
<th>Partial Sum of Series</th>
<th>Sum</th>
<th>$\theta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{L}_0$</td>
<td>$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{2j-1}{j(j+1)(j+2)}$</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{L}_1$</td>
<td>$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j^2}$</td>
<td>1.644934066848226</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{L}_2$</td>
<td>$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j^3}$</td>
<td>1.202056903159594</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{L}_3$</td>
<td>$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j^{1.5}}$</td>
<td>2.612375348685488</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{L}_4$</td>
<td>$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{j^{1.5}} + \frac{1}{j^2} \right)$</td>
<td>4.257309415533714</td>
<td>($\ast$3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{L}_5$</td>
<td>$\sum_{j=2}^{n} \frac{\log j}{j^2}$</td>
<td>0.9375482543158438</td>
<td>($\ast$4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\ast$3: $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{j^{1.5}} + \frac{1}{j^2} \right) \sim \zeta(1.5) + \zeta(2) - 2n^{-1/2} - n^{-1} + \ldots$

$\ast$4: $\sum_{j=2}^{n} \frac{\log j}{j^2} \sim -\zeta'(2) + \frac{\log n}{n} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\log n}{2n^2} - \ldots$,

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function and $\zeta'(s)$ is its derivative.

5. Results and conclusions.

For each acceleration method we show the maximum significant digits from 15 terms of each test sequence (partial sums of each test series) in table 3 (resp. table 4). We shall compare the diagonals in the accelerated arrays. For example, \{
$\rho^{(0)}_n\}, \{s_{n-1}\}$ with $j=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, \{\$^{(1)}_{E_{n-1}}\}$ and so on. Numerical computations have been carried out on the NEC personal computer PC-9801VM in double precision with approximately 16 digits.
Table 3. The maximum significant digits. (Group I)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(\rho) -algorithm NOT SD</th>
<th>aut gen (\rho) NOT SD</th>
<th>aut mod Ait NOT SD</th>
<th>gen (\varepsilon) -alg NOT SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\mathcal{L}_0) Q</td>
<td>5 15.13</td>
<td>15 13.36</td>
<td>11 13.95</td>
<td>13 9.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 4 16.56</td>
<td>14 13.82</td>
<td>15 11.60</td>
<td>12 6.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mathcal{L}_1) Q</td>
<td>15 11.58</td>
<td>15 10.34</td>
<td>14 10.19</td>
<td>12 7.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 14 12.49</td>
<td>14 12.53</td>
<td>15 11.58</td>
<td>13 8.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mathcal{L}_2) Q</td>
<td>13 11.81</td>
<td>13 11.29</td>
<td>13 8.10</td>
<td>15 7.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 13 11.80</td>
<td>13 12.60</td>
<td>12 13.06</td>
<td>15 10.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mathcal{L}_3) Q</td>
<td>15 1.91</td>
<td>15 10.74</td>
<td>15 11.13</td>
<td>15 7.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 15 1.32</td>
<td>14 11.03</td>
<td>15 10.86</td>
<td>12 6.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mathcal{L}_4) Q</td>
<td>15 1.36</td>
<td>15 2.79</td>
<td>14 2.90</td>
<td>6 2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 15 1.32</td>
<td>15 2.96</td>
<td>15 4.36</td>
<td>10 2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mathcal{L}_5) Q</td>
<td>15 2.71</td>
<td>15 3.52</td>
<td>14 3.02</td>
<td>14 2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 15 2.73</td>
<td>14 3.29</td>
<td>12 3.35</td>
<td>11 3.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Levin u NOT SD</th>
<th>Levin v NOT SD</th>
<th>Lubkin W NOT SD</th>
<th>(\theta) -algorithm NOT SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\mathcal{L}_0) Q</td>
<td>13 10.75</td>
<td>13 10.26</td>
<td>12 10.78</td>
<td>15 11.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 12 10.60</td>
<td>14 11.78</td>
<td>15 10.40</td>
<td>15 10.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mathcal{L}_1) Q</td>
<td>13 8.43</td>
<td>13 7.87</td>
<td>12 8.64</td>
<td>15 8.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 13 11.09</td>
<td>14 11.25</td>
<td>15 9.13</td>
<td>14 11.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mathcal{L}_2) Q</td>
<td>14 10.86</td>
<td>15 10.11</td>
<td>13 5.50</td>
<td>11 6.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 13 12.35</td>
<td>14 12.09</td>
<td>15 10.65</td>
<td>15 12.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mathcal{L}_3) Q</td>
<td>14 8.82</td>
<td>15 7.87</td>
<td>15 8.76</td>
<td>15 8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 12 10.50</td>
<td>13 8.97</td>
<td>12 9.29</td>
<td>15 8.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mathcal{L}_4) Q</td>
<td>15 2.48</td>
<td>15 2.72</td>
<td>6 2.56</td>
<td>14 3.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 15 2.33</td>
<td>15 2.73</td>
<td>10 2.65</td>
<td>7 2.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mathcal{L}_5) Q</td>
<td>15 2.82</td>
<td>15 3.12</td>
<td>14 2.84</td>
<td>15 3.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 15 2.76</td>
<td>15 3.09</td>
<td>10 2.13</td>
<td>13 2.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOT ... number of terms
SD ... significant digits
Q ... sequence in Table 1
R ... series in Table 2
Table 4. The maximum significant digits. (Group II)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\mathcal{L}_i$</th>
<th>gen $\rho$-alg</th>
<th>mod Aitken $\delta^2$</th>
<th>E-algorithm</th>
<th>Richardson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NOT SD</td>
<td>NOT SD</td>
<td>NOT SD</td>
<td>NOT SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{L}_0$</td>
<td>Q 14 13.68</td>
<td>11 12.55</td>
<td>15 11.60</td>
<td>512 15.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 4 16.56</td>
<td>15 11.95</td>
<td>15 9.67</td>
<td>2048 15.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{L}_1$</td>
<td>Q 15 11.58</td>
<td>14 11.29</td>
<td>12 9.86</td>
<td>4096 15.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 15 12.49</td>
<td>15 11.61</td>
<td>13 10.85</td>
<td>512 15.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{L}_2$</td>
<td>Q 13 11.92</td>
<td>9 5.63</td>
<td>13 12.28</td>
<td>512 16.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 13 12.72</td>
<td>14 12.80</td>
<td>13 12.22</td>
<td>256 15.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{L}_3$</td>
<td>Q 11 13.01</td>
<td>14 12.87</td>
<td>13 10.84</td>
<td>1024 17.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 12 11.51</td>
<td>12 11.57</td>
<td>13 10.12</td>
<td>2048 15.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{L}_4$</td>
<td>Q 15 2.87</td>
<td>15 3.23</td>
<td>12 7.29</td>
<td>4096 11.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 15 2.88</td>
<td>13 3.16</td>
<td>14 6.31</td>
<td>4096 12.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{L}_5$</td>
<td>Q 15 7.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4096 12.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 15 10.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By Table 3 and Table 4, we conclude that superior methods for $\mathcal{L}_i (i = 0 \sim 5)$ are as follows:

(1) $\mathcal{L}_0$ --- The $\rho$-algorithm of Wynn (exact).

(2) $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ --- The $\rho$-algorithm of Wynn; the generalized $\rho$-algorithm (more than 11 significant digits from 15 terms).

(3) $\mathcal{L}_3$ (\(\theta\) is known) --- The generalized $\rho$-algorithm; the modified Aitken $\delta^2$-formula (more than 11 significant digits from 15 terms).

(4) $\mathcal{L}_3$ (\(\theta\) is unknown) --- The automatic generalized $\rho$-algorithm; the automatic modified Aitken $\delta^2$-formula (more than 10 significant digits from 15 terms).

(5) $\mathcal{L}_4$ (\(\alpha\) are known) --- The E-algorithm (more than 6 significant digits from 15 terms); the Richardson extrapolation (more than 11 significant digits from 4096 terms).

(6) $\mathcal{L}_4$ (\(\alpha\) are unknown) --- The automatic generalized $\rho$-algorithm; the automatic modified Aitken $\delta^2$-formula; the generalized $\varepsilon$-algorithm; Levin $v$ transform; Lubkin $W$ transform; the $\Theta$-algorithm (more than 2.5 significant digits from 15 terms).
(7) $\mathcal{L}$ s (asymptotic expansion is known) ... The E-algorithm (more than 7 significant digits from 15 terms).

(8) $\mathcal{L}$ s (asymptotic expansion is unknown) ... The automatic generalized $\rho$-algorithm; the automatic modified Aitken $\delta^2$-formula; Levin v transform (more than 3 significant digits from 15 terms).

References


