### A Difference Set Of A Cantor Set

#### Atsuro Sannami

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science

Hokkaido University

## Sapporo 060 Japan

Abstract. An example of a regular Cantor set whose self-difference set is a Cantor set with a positive measure is given. This is a counter example of one of the questions related to the homoclinic bifurcation of surface diffeomorphisms.

#### §.0 Introduction.

In [2], Palis-Takens investigated the homoclinic bifurcations of surface diffeomorphisms in the following context. Let M be a closed 2-dimensional manifold. We say a  $C^r$ diffeomorphism  $\phi : M \to M$  is persistently hyperbolic if there is a  $C^r$ -neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$ of  $\phi$  and for every  $\psi \in \mathcal{U}$ , the non-wandering set  $\Omega(\psi)$  is a hyperbolic set (refer [1] for the definitions and the notations of the terminologies of dynamical systems ). Let  $\{\phi_{\mu}\}_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}}$  be a 1-parameter family of  $C^2$ -diffeomorphisms on M. We define  $\{\phi_{\mu}\}_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}}$  has a homoclinic  $\Omega$ -explosion at  $\mu = 0$  if:

- i) For  $\mu < 0$ ,  $\phi_{\mu}$  is persistently hyperbolic;
- ii) For  $\mu = 0$ , the non-wandering set  $\Omega(\phi_0)$  consists of a (closed) hyperbolic set  $\overline{\Omega}(\phi_0) = \lim_{\mu \uparrow 0} \Omega(\phi_{\mu})$  together with a homoclinic orbit of tangency  $\mathcal{O}$  associated with a fixed saddle point p, so that  $\Omega(\phi_0) = \overline{\Omega}(\phi_0) \cup \mathcal{O}$ ; the product of the eigenvalues of  $d\phi_0$  at p is different from one in norm;
- iii) The separatrices have quadratic tangency along O unfolding generically; O is the only orbit of tangency between stable and unstable separatrices of periodic orbits of  $\phi_0$ .

Let  $\Lambda$  be a basic set of a diffeomorphism on M.  $d^{*}(\Lambda)$  ( $d^{u}(\Lambda)$ ) denotes the Hausdorff dimension in the transversal direction of the stable (unstable) foliation of stable (unstable) manifold of  $\Lambda$  (refer [2] for the precise definition), and is called the stable (unstable) *limit capacity*. B denotes the set of values  $\mu > 0$  for which  $\phi_{\mu}$  is not persistently hyperbolic.

The result of Palis-Takens is;

THEOREM [2]. Let  $\{\phi_{\mu}; \mu \in \mathbf{R}\}$  be a family of diffeomorphisms of M with a homoclinic  $\Omega$ -explosion at  $\mu = 0$ . Suppose that  $d^{s}(\Lambda) + d^{u}(\Lambda) < 1$ , where  $\Lambda$  is the basic set of  $\phi_{0}$  associated with the homoclinic tangency. Then

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0}\frac{m(B\cap [0,\delta])}{\delta}=0$$

where m denotes Lebesgue measure.

This result says that if  $d^{\bullet}(\Lambda) + d^{u}(\Lambda) < 1$ , then the measure of the parameters for which bifurcation occurs is relatively small.

Now the case of  $d^{s}(\Lambda) + d^{u}(\Lambda) > 1$  comes into question as the next step. In the proof of the theorem above, one of the essential points is a question of how two Cantor sets in the line intersect each other when the one is slid. In [3], Palis proposed the following questions.

- (Q.1) For affine Cantor sets X and Y in the line, is it true that X Y either has measure zero or contains intervals ?
- (Q.2) Same for regular Cantor sets.

For two subset X, Y of **R**,

$$X-Y = \{ x - y \mid x \in X, y \in Y \}.$$

This can also be written as;

$$X - Y = \{ \mu \in \mathbf{R} \mid X \cap (\mu + Y) \neq \phi \},\$$

namely X - Y is the set of parameters for which X and Y have a intersection point when Y is slid on the line.

Cantor set  $\Lambda$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  is called *affine*, *regular* or  $C^*$  for  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$  if  $\Lambda$  is defined with finite number of expanding affine,  $C^2$  or  $C^*$  maps respectively (see §2 Definition 5 for the rigorous definition ).

Our result in this note is that there is a counter example of (Q.2), namely;

THEOREM. There exists a  $C^{\infty}$ -Cantor set  $\Lambda$  such that (i)  $m(\Lambda - \Lambda) > 0$ ,

(ii) 
$$\Lambda - \Lambda$$
 is a Cantor set.

In the succeeding sections, we give an outline of the proof of this theorem. The complete proof will appear elsewhere.

# §.1 Definition of the Cantor sets $\Lambda(s)$ , $\Gamma(s)$ .

First of all, we define two cantor set depending on a sequence of real numbers. DEFINITION 1. Let  $I = [x_1, x_2]$  be a closed interval and  $\lambda$  a real number with  $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$ . We define,

DEFINITION 2 ( CANTOR SET  $\Lambda(s)$  ). Let  $I^0 = [0, 1]$  and  $s = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \cdots)$  be a one sided sequence of real numbers with  $0 < \lambda_i < \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $i \ge 1$ . We define the Cantor set  $\Lambda(s)$  as follows.

Let  $I_0^1 = I_0(\lambda_1; I^0)$ ,  $I_1^1 = I_1(\lambda_1; I^0)$  and  $I^1 = I_0^1 \cup I_1^1$ .  $\Delta_n$  denotes the set of all sequences of 0 and 1 of length n. When  $I_{\beta}^{n-1}$ 's are defined for all  $\beta \in \Delta_{n-1}$ , we define;

$$egin{aligned} &I_{eta 0}^n = I_0(\lambda_n;I_eta^{n-1})\ &I_{eta 1}^n = I_1(\lambda_n;I_eta^{n-1})\ . \end{aligned}$$

Inductively, we can define  $I_{\alpha}^n$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta_n$  and for all  $n \ge 0$ . Define

$$I^n = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_n} I^n_{\alpha}$$

and

$$\Lambda(s)=\bigcap_{n\geq 0} I^n .$$

This is clearly a Cantor set by the definition.

Next, we define another Cantor set  $\Gamma(s)$ .

DEFINITION 3. Let  $J = [x_1, x_2]$  and  $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{3}$ . We define,

$$egin{aligned} &J_0(\lambda;J) = [m{x}_1,m{x}_1 + \lambda(m{x}_2 - m{x}_1)] \ &J_1(\lambda;J) = [rac{m{x}_1 + m{x}_2}{2} - rac{\lambda}{2}(m{x}_2 - m{x}_1), rac{m{x}_1 + m{x}_2}{2} + rac{\lambda}{2}(m{x}_2 - m{x}_1)] \ &J_2(\lambda;J) = [m{x}_2 - \lambda(m{x}_2 - m{x}_1), m{x}_2] \;. \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 4. Let  $J^0 = [-1, 1]$  and  $s = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \cdots)$  be a one sided sequence of real numbers with  $0 < \lambda_i < \frac{1}{3}$  for all  $i \ge 1$ . Let

$$J_0^1 = J_0(\lambda_1; J^0)$$
$$J_1^1 = J_1(\lambda_1; J^0)$$
$$J_2^1 = J_2(\lambda_1; J^0)$$

and  $\Pi_n$  denote the set of all sequences of 0, 1, 2 of length n. When  $J_{\delta}^{n-1}$ 's are defined for all  $\delta \in \Pi_{n-1}$ , we define;

$$J_{\delta 0}^{n} = J_{0}(\lambda_{n}; J_{\delta}^{n-1})$$
$$J_{\delta 1}^{n} = J_{1}(\lambda_{n}; J_{\delta}^{n-1})$$
$$J_{\delta 2}^{n} = J_{2}(\lambda_{n}; J_{\delta}^{n-1})$$

Inductively, we can define  $J_\gamma^n$  for all  $\gamma\in \Pi_n$  and for all  $n\geq 0$ . Define

$$J^n = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Pi_n} J^n_{\gamma}$$

and

$$\Gamma(s) = \bigcap_{n>0} J^n$$

These cantor sets have the following relation.

THEOREM 1. Let  $s = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \cdots)$  be a sequence of real numbers with  $0 < \lambda_i < \frac{1}{3}$  for all  $i \ge 1$ . Then,

$$\Lambda(s) - \Lambda(s) = \Gamma(s)$$
.

DEFINITION 5. Let  $\Lambda$  be a Cantor set on a closed interval I.  $\Lambda$  is called affine, regular or  $C^r$ -Cantor set for  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$  if there are closed disjoint intervals  $I_1, \dots, I_k$  on I and onto affine,  $C^2$  or  $C^r$ -maps  $f_i : I_i \to I$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$  such that;

- (i)  $|f'_i(x)| > 1 \quad \forall x \in I_i$
- (ii)  $\Lambda = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n^k} f_{\sigma(1)}^{-1} f_{\sigma(2)}^{-1} \cdots f_{\sigma(n)}^{-1}(I) \right\},$ where  $\Sigma_n^k = \left\{ \sigma : \{1, \cdots, n\} \to \{1, \cdots, k\} \right\}.$

Our main result is restated as follows.

THEOREM 2. There exists a sequence of real numbers  $s = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \cdots)$  with  $0 < \lambda_i < \frac{1}{3}$  for all  $i \ge 1$  such that;

- (i)  $\Lambda(s)$  is a  $C^{\infty}$ -Cantor set,
- (ii)  $m(\Lambda(s) \Lambda(s)) > 0$  ,

where m() denotes the Lebesgue measure.

From now on, we shall give the outline of the proof of this Theorem 2. Let  $\{r_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  be a sequence of positive real numbers such that

(1) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n < 1.$$

We define  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  using this  $\{r_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  as follows.

(2)  
$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{3}(1-r_0) \\ \lambda_{n+1} = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1-\sum_{i=0}^n r_i}{1-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} r_i} \right) \end{cases}$$

It is easily seen that

(3)

$$0 < \lambda_n < rac{1}{3} \qquad orall n \geq 1 \; .$$

These numbers has the following relations.

LEMMA 3.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} r_i = 1 - 3^{n+1} \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j \qquad \forall n \ge 0$$

LEMMA 4.

$$r_n = 3^n (1 - 3\lambda_{n+1}) \prod_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \qquad \forall n \ge 0 \; .$$

where, we assume  $\prod_{j=1}^{0} \lambda_j = 1$  for the simplicity of notation.

Using these lemmas, we can show (ii) of Theorem 2. In fact, the following lemma holds.

LEMMA 5. Let  $\{r_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  be a sequence of positive real numbers such that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n < 1$ , and  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  be the sequence defined by (2). Then,  $m(\Gamma(s)) > 0$ .

## §.3 The regularity of $\Lambda(s)$ .

We define a sequence  $\{r_n\}_n \ge 0$  (and so  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\ge 1}$ ), and prove that  $\Lambda(s)$  is  $C^{\infty}$ . First of all, we fix a  $C^{\infty}$ -function h(t) on [0,1] with the following properties.

- (i)  $h(t) \ge 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\int_0^1 h(t) dt = 1$  ,
- (iii) for all  $n \ge 0$  ,

$$egin{array}{lll} \displaystyle \lim_{t\downarrow 0}h^{(n)}(t)=0\;, \ \displaystyle \lim_{t\uparrow 1}h^{(n)}(t)=0\;. \end{array}$$

To define  $\{r_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ , we define the following sequences. For each integers  $n\geq 0$ , let

$$q_n = \max\{q_0, q_1, \cdots, q_{n-1}, 1, \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |h^{(n)}(t)|\}$$

For  $n \ge 0$ , we define,

$$r_n = \frac{4^{-(n^2+2)}}{q_n}$$

Since  $r_n \leq 4^{-(n^2+2)} \leq 4^{-(n+2)}$ , we have,

(4) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n < \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^n} = \frac{1}{12} .$$

Therefore,  $\{r_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  satisfy (1). We define another sequence of positive real numbers;

$$m_n = rac{3(3r_{n-1}-r_n)}{2^{n-1}(1-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}r_i)} \qquad orall n \geq 1 \; .$$

Since  $\{r_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  is monotonically decreasing and by (4),  $m_n > 0$  for all  $n\geq 1$ .  $U^0$  denotes the open interval between  $I_0^1$  and  $I_1^1$ , namely;

$$U^{\mathbf{0}} = I^{\mathbf{0}} \setminus (I_{\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{1}} \cup I_{\mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{1}}) .$$

In general,  $U_{\alpha}^{n-1}$  ( $\alpha \in \Delta_{n-1}$ ) denotes the open interval between  $I_{\alpha 0}^{n}$  and  $I_{\alpha 1}^{n}$  in  $I_{\alpha}^{n-1}$ , namely;

$$U_{\alpha}^{n-1} = I_{\alpha}^{n-1} \setminus (I_{\alpha 0}^n \cup I_{\alpha 1}^n) .$$

Let  $\ell_n = \ell(I^n_{lpha}).$  Then, by the definition,

$$\ell_n = \lambda_n \ell_{n-1} \; .$$

Let  $u_n = \ell(U^n_{lpha})$ , and  $U^n_{lpha} = [m{x}_{lpha}, y_{lpha}]$ . Then,

$$u_n = \ell_n - 2\ell_{n+1} ,$$

and

$$u_n=y_\alpha-x_\alpha.$$

We prove the smoothness of  $\Lambda(s)$  as follows. We define a non-negative  $C^{\infty}$ -function f(t) on  $[0, \lambda_1]$  and define;

$$g(t)=\int_0^t (f(s)+3)ds \; .$$

We put;

$$egin{array}{ll} g_0(t) = g(t) & on \; [0,\lambda_1] \ g_1(t) = g(t-1+\lambda_1) & on \; [1-\lambda_1,1] \; . \end{array}$$

and prove that these  $g_0$  and  $g_1$  define  $\Lambda(s)$ .

DEFINITION OF f(t). Recall that we have already defined a  $C^{\infty}$ -function h(t) on [0,1]. We define f(t) using this h(t) as follows. Let  $[x'_{\alpha}, y'_{\alpha}]$  be the interval of length  $\frac{\ell_n}{3}$  in the middle of  $U^n_{\alpha}$  such that

$$[x'_{\alpha},y'_{\alpha}] = [x_{lpha} + rac{1}{2}(u_n - rac{\ell_n}{3}), y_{lpha} - rac{1}{2}(u_n - rac{\ell_n}{3})]$$

We define f(t) as follows.

- (i) On  $U_{\alpha}^{n}$   $(n \neq 0)$ ,  $\begin{cases}
  f(t) = m_{n}h(\frac{t - x_{\alpha}'}{\frac{t_{n}}{3}}) & t \in [x_{\alpha}', y_{\alpha}'] \\
  f(t) = 0 & otherwise.
  \end{cases}$
- (ii) On  $\Lambda(s)$ , f(t) = 0.

What we have to show are;

- (1) f(t) is a  $C^{\infty}$ -function on  $[0, \lambda_1]$ .
- (II)  $g_0$  and  $g_1$  define  $\Lambda(s)$ .

To show the smoothness of f(t), we define a function  $f_n(t)$  for any  $n \ge 0$  as follows. Since f(t) is  $C^{\infty}$  on  $U = \bigcup_{n\ge 1, \alpha\in\Delta_n} U^n_{\alpha}$  ( $= [0, \lambda_1] \setminus \Lambda(s)$ ),  $f^{(n)}(t)$  exists for all  $n \ge 0$  on U. We define,

$$\left\{egin{array}{ll} f_n(t)=f^{(n)}(t) & for \quad t\in U\ f_n(t)=0 & otherwise \ ( \ i.e. \quad t\in\Lambda(s) \ ). \end{array}
ight.$$

The smoothness is shown by proving that;

LEMMA 6. For any  $n \geq 0$ ,  $f_n$  is differentiable at any  $t \in [0, \lambda_1]$  and  $f'_n(t) = f_{n+1}(t)$ .

For the proof of (II), we need some lemmas. Let  $I_{\alpha}^{n} = [r_{\alpha}^{n}, s_{\alpha}^{n}]$ .

LEMMA 7. For all  $\alpha, \alpha' \in \Delta_n$ ,

$$\int_{I^n_{\alpha}} f(t)dt = \int_{I^n_{\alpha'}} f(t)dt \; .$$

LEMMA 8. For all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\int_0^{\ell_n} f(t)dt = \frac{1}{3}m_n\ell_n + 2\int_0^{\ell_{n+1}} f(t)dt \; .$$

LEMMA 9. For all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\ell_{n-1}=g_0(\ell_n).$$

We have to prove that,

$$\Lambda(s) = \bigcap_{n \ge 0} \left\{ \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n^2} g_{\sigma(1)}^{-1} g_{\sigma(2)}^{-1} \cdots g_{\sigma(n)}^{-1} (I^0) \right\} \,.$$

Recall that  $\Sigma_n^2 = \{0,1\}^{\{1,\dots,n\}}$  and  $I^0 = [0,1]$ . This is obtained by showing the following lemma.

LEMMA 10. For all  $n \ge 0$  and  $\alpha \in \Delta_n$ ,

11

$$g_0(I_{0\alpha}^{n+1}) = I_{\alpha}^n$$
,  $g_1(I_{1\alpha}^{n+1}) = I_{\alpha}^n$ 

#### References

- [1]. J.Palis, W.de Melo, Geometric Theory of Dynamical Systems, Springer-Verlag (1982).
- [2]. J.Palis, F.Takens, Hyperbolicity and the creation of homoclinic orbits, Annals of Math.
   125 (1987), 337-374.
- [3]. J.Palis, Fractional dimension and homoclinic bifurcations, Colloquium Hokkaido University (October, 1988).