

Maximal avoidable sets of words

Yuji Kobayashi, Tokushima University

小林 麦治 (徳島大・総合科学)

We use the following notations.

Σ : an alphabet (a finite set of letters),

Σ^* : the set of words over Σ ,

Σ^ω : the set of infinite words (sequences),

$\Sigma^\# := \Sigma^* \cup \Sigma^\omega$,

$\Sigma^+ := \Sigma^* - \{1\}$, where 1 is the empty word.

For $x = a_1 a_2 \dots$, $y = b_1 b_2 \dots \in \Sigma^\#$ define the distance of x and y by

$$d(x, y) = \frac{1}{\min\{n \mid a_n \neq b_n\}}.$$

As is well-known ([4]), $(\Sigma^\#, d)$ is a compact totally disconnected metric space.

Let $x, y \in \Sigma^*$ and $X \subset \Sigma^\#$. We say y avoids x , if y does not contain x as subword, and y avoids X , if y avoids every x in X . X is called avoidable, if there is an infinite word y avoiding X , otherwise X is called unavoidable. Avoidability of sets of words called patterns were studied in [1].

Example 1. Let $X = \{v^2 \mid v \in \Sigma^+\}$. Then y avoids X if and only if y is square-free. It is a famous fact that X is avoidable if $|\Sigma| \geq 3$

([5]).

An avoidable set X is maximal, if any set properly containing X is unavoidable.

Theorem 1. For any avoidable set X , there is a maximal avoidable set containing X .

For a given $X \subset \Sigma^*$, the set

$$\text{Min}(X) = \{x \in X \mid \text{any } x' \in X \text{ is not a proper subword of } X\}$$

is called the base of X . Easily we see y avoids X if and only if y avoids $\text{Min}(X)$. X is finitely based if $\text{Min}(X)$ is a finite set. The base of a maximal avoidable set is called a critical set of words.

Corollary. For any avoidable set X which is factor-free, that is, any word in X is not a subword of another word in X , there is a critical set containing X .

Example 2. Let $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$. Then, $\{a^2, ab, ba\}$ and $\{a^2, b^2\}$ are critical sets.

An infinite word x is recurrent, if for any subword v of x , there is an integer $k(v) > 0$ such that any subword of length k of x contains v as subword. In this situation v is said to be recurrent in x . If $x = v^\omega$ for some $v \in \Sigma^*$, x is called periodic; the shortest such v is the period of x . A periodic infinite word is recurrent, but the converse is not true.

The shift transformation τ is a mapping from Σ^ω to itself defined by

$$\tau(x) = a_2 a_3 \cdots \quad \text{for } x = a_1 a_2 \cdots$$

Obviously, τ is a surjective continuous mapping.

A subshift S is a non-empty closed subset of Σ^ω invariant under τ . S is minimal, if it does not contain a subshift properly. For a given set $X \subset \Sigma^*$ of words, $S(X)$ is the set of infinite words avoiding X . For a given subshift $S \subset \Sigma^\omega$, $X(S)$ is the set of words which do not appear as subwords of elements of S .

Theorem 2. For an avoidable set X , $S(X)$ is a subshift. If X is maximal, then $S(X)$ is minimal. Conversely, if S is a subshift, then $X(S)$ is an avoidable set. If S is minimal, then $X(S)$ is maximal. This gives a 1-1 correspondence between maximal avoidable sets and minimal subshifts.

Lemma 1. An avoidable set X is maximal if and only if any word out of X is recurrent in any infinite word avoiding X .

Theorem 3 (Morse-Hedlund [4]). $S \subset \Sigma^\omega$ is a minimal subshift if and only if

$$S = \overline{\{ \tau^n(x) \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \}}$$

for some recurrent infinite word x . Moreover,

(1) S is perfect, if x is non-periodic. In this case every element in S is non-periodic.

(2) S is finite, if x is periodic. In this case every element in S is periodic.

Corollary (c.f. [3, Theorem 4.2]). Let X be an avoidable set such that for any $v \in \Sigma^+$, v^n does not avoid X for $x \gg 0$, then $S(X)$ contains a perfect subset

Theorem 4. Let X be a maximal avoidable set. Then, $S(X)$ is finite if and only if X is finitely based.

For an avoidable set X , the radical $\text{rad}(X)$ of X is the intersection of all the maximal avoidable sets containing X . X is called reduced, if $X = \text{rad}(X)$.

Lemma 2. A word v is in $\text{rad}(X)$, if and only if any recurrent infinite word avoiding X avoids v .

Corollary. Any word out of $\text{rad}(X)$ is extensible to a recurrent infinite word avoiding X .

Theorem 5. If X is a reduced avoidable set, then every isolated point of $S(X)$ is periodic.

Corollary. If X is a reduced avoidable set such that for any $v \in \Sigma^+$, v^n does not avoid X for $n \gg 0$, then $S(X)$ is perfect.

A set X of words is quasi-maximal, if $\text{rad}(X)$ is maximal.

Theorem 6. Let X be an avoidable set. Then following statements are equivalent.

(1) X is quasi-maximal.

(2) $S(X)$ contains a unique minimal subshift.

(3) For any $n > 0$, there is a word v of length n such that $X \cup \{v\}$ is unavoidable.

(4) For any word w such that $X \cup \{w\}$ is unavoidable and for any $n > 0$, there is a word v of length n such that $X \cup \{wv\}$ is unavoidable.

Example 3. Let $X = \{a^2, bab\} \subset \{a, b\}^*$. Then, b^ω and ab^ω are only infinite words avoiding X , and $X \cup \{b^n\}$ is unavoidable for any $n > 0$.

Thus X is quasi-maximal.

An unavoidable set X is said to be minimal, if $X - \{v\}$ is avoidable for any $v \in X$. As is easily seen ([2]), a minimal unavoidable set is finite.

Conjecture I (Ehrenfeucht, see [2]). For any unavoidable set X , there is a word $x \in X$ and a letter $a \in \Sigma$ such that $(X - \{x\}) \cup \{xa\}$ is unavoidable.

Conjecture II. For any minimal unavoidable set X , there is a word x in X such that $X - \{x\}$ is a quasi-maximal avoidable set.

Theorem 7. Conjecture I and Conjecture II are equivalent.

References

1. D.R.Bean, A.Ehrenfeucht and G.F.McNulty, Avoidable patterns in strings of symbols, Pacific J. Math. 85 (1979), 261-294.
2. C.Choffrut and K.Culik II, On extendibility of unavoidable sets, Discrete Applied Math. 9 (1984), 125-137.
3. Y.Kobayashi, Repetition free words, Theoret. Comp. Sci. 44 (1986), 175-197.
4. M.Morse and G.A.Hedlund, Symbolic dynamics I, Amer. J. Math. 60 (1938), 815-866.
5. A.Thue, Uber unendliche Zeichenreihe, Norske Vid. Selsk. Skr. I, Math. Nat. Kl. Christiana VII (1906), 1-22.