

# Asymptotic Analysis for the Emden-Fowler Equation $-\Delta u = \lambda e^u$

Takashi SUZUKI (鈴木 貴)

Tokyo Metropolitan Univ. (都立大・理)

## §1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a bounded domain with the smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , and  $\lambda$  be a positive constant. For the classical solution  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$  of the Emden-Fowler equation

$$(1.1) \quad -\Delta u = \lambda e^u \quad (\text{in } \Omega), \quad u = 0 \quad (\text{on } \partial\Omega)$$

we have proven the following theorem in [3], where

$$(1.2) \quad \Sigma = \int_{\Omega} \lambda e^u dx.$$

Theorem 1. As  $\lambda \downarrow 0$ , the values  $\{\Sigma\}$  accumulates to  $2\pi m$  for some  $m = 0, 1, \dots, +\infty$ . The solutions  $\{u\}$  behave as follows:

- (a) If  $m = 0$ , then  $\|u\|_{\infty(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$
- (b) If  $0 < m < +\infty$ , then there exists a set of  $m$ -points  $\mathcal{S} = \{x_1^*, \dots, x_m^*\} \subset \Omega$  such that  $\|u\|_{\infty(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \mathcal{S})} \in O(1)$  and  $u|_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow +\infty$ .
- (c) If  $m = +\infty$ , then  $u(x) \rightarrow +\infty$  ( $x \in \Omega$ ).

In the case (b), the limiting function of  $\{u\}$ , the singular limit, is given as

$$(1.3) \quad u_0(x) = 2\pi \sum_{j=1}^m G(x, x_j^*)$$

and the blow-up points  $\mathcal{S}$  is located as

$$\frac{1}{2} \nabla R(x_j^*) + \sum_{e \neq j} \nabla_x G(x_e^*, x_j^*) = 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq m),$$

where  $G(x, y)$  denotes the Green function of  $-\Delta$  in  $\Omega$  under the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition and  $R(x) = [G(x, y) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \log|x-y|]_{y=\alpha}$  is the Robin function. In other words,  $(x_1^*, \dots, x_m^*) \in \Omega \times \dots \times \Omega$  ( $x_e \neq x_{e'}$  for  $e \neq e'$ ) is a critical point of the function

$$(1.4) \quad K(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m R(x_j) + \sum_{e \neq e'} G(x_e, x_{e'})$$

in  $\Omega \times \dots \times \Omega$ .

The purpose of the present article is to study the inverse problem. The following theorem can be proven:

Theorem 2. Suppose that  $\Omega$  is simply connected, and let  $(x_1^*, \dots, x_m^*)$  be a non-degenerate critical point of  $K(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ . Then there exists a family of classical solutions  $\{u\}$  of (1.1) with sufficient small  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$(1.5) \quad u(x) \rightarrow u_0(x) \quad (x \in \Omega)$$

as  $\lambda \downarrow 0$ , where  $u_0(x)$  is the function in (1.3).

Thus, we have almost classified the solutions of (1) when  $\lambda > 0$  is sufficiently small. As for the global bifurcation diagram, see

[4].

§2. Outline of Proof

Theorem 2 with  $m=1$  has been proven for a generic case by V. H. Weston and J. L. Moseley by means of a Newton's iteration scheme ([5], [2]). What we shall do is that their fixed point equation can be solved by a simple iteration scheme without Newton's one, reducing their assumptions, and that the same argument on a Riemannian surface with  $m$ -leaves produces the multi-point blow-up solutions.

First, we observe that the singular limit  $u_0(x)$  in (1.3) with  $m=1$ , is related to the conformal mapping  $h: \Omega \rightarrow D \equiv \{ |z| < 1 \}$  satisfying  $h(x_1^*) = 0$  when  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is simply connected. In fact we have

$$(2.1) \quad e^{-u_0} = |R|^2.$$

The condition  $\nabla R(x_1^*) = 0$  is equivalent to  $R''(x_1^*) = 0$ . Here, we deduce the equation satisfied the function  $v = e^{-u}$ , that is,

$$(2.2) \quad v \Delta v - |\nabla v|^2 = \frac{\lambda}{2} \quad (\text{in } \Omega), \quad v = 1 \quad (\text{on } \partial\Omega).$$

We want to construct a family of non-negative solutions  $\{v\}$  for small  $\lambda > 0$  so that

$$(2.3) \quad v \rightarrow |R|^2 \quad \text{as } \lambda \downarrow 0.$$

Introducing the inverse mapping  $g = h^{-1}: D \rightarrow \Omega$ , we pull-back these relations into  $D$  so that  $V = g^*v$  satisfies

$$(2.4) \quad V\Delta V - |\nabla V|^2 = \frac{1}{2}|g'|^2 \quad (\text{in } D), \quad V = 1 \quad (\text{on } \partial D)$$

with

$$(2.5) \quad V \rightarrow |z|^2 \quad \text{as } \lambda \downarrow 0.$$

We note the relation

$$(2.6) \quad g''(0) = 0$$

implied by  $h''(\lambda_1^*) = 0$ .

The left-hand side of (2.4) is a quadratic form of  $V$ , which we write as  $Q(V)$  to define

$$(2.7) \quad Q\{V, W\} = \frac{1}{2}(V\Delta W + W\Delta V) - \nabla V \cdot \nabla W.$$

A calculation yields

$$(2.8) \quad Q\{|p|^2, |g|^2\} = 2|\omega_z(p, g)|^2$$

for holomorphic functions  $p(z)$  and  $g(z)$ , where  $\omega_z(p, g)$  denotes the Wronskian;

$$(2.9) \quad \omega_z(p, g) = pg' - p'g.$$

In particular we have  $Q(|p|^2) = 0$ .

Now we can introduce the integral for the first relation of (2.4), which was essentially discovered by J. Liouville [1]:

$$(2.10) \quad V = |z/g|^2 + \frac{1}{8}|M/g|^2,$$

where  $G(z)$  and  $M(z)$  are holomorphic functions. From (2.8) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} Q(V) &= \frac{\lambda}{4} Q\{|z/G|^2, |M/G|^2\} = \frac{\lambda}{2} |\omega_z(z/G, M/G)|^2 \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{2} |\omega_z(z, M)/G^2|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have only to solve that

$$(2.11) \quad \omega_z(z, M) = g'G^2 \quad (\text{in } D) \quad \text{with} \quad |G|^2 = 1 + \frac{\lambda}{8} |M|^2 \quad (\text{on } \partial D).$$

Given  $K(z)$ , the solvability of

$$(2.12) \quad \omega_z(z, M) = K$$

is equivalent to  $K'(0) = 0$ . Then the solution  $M(z)$  is given as

$$(2.13) \quad M = d(K) + az,$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  denotes the integral constant and  $d(K) = \sum_{n \neq 1} \frac{p_n}{n-1} z^n$

for  $K(z) = \sum p_n z^n$ . The problem (2.11) is reduced to

$$(2.14) \quad |G|^2 = 1 + \frac{\lambda}{8} |d(g'G^2) + az|^2 \quad \text{on } \partial D \quad \text{with} \quad G'(0) = 0.$$

The relation (2.5) is realized by

$$(2.15) \quad G \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \rightarrow 0.$$

Here, we put  $G = 1 + \lambda H$  to deduce

$$(2.16) \quad H + \bar{H} = \frac{1}{8} |d(g') + az|^2 + \lambda \Phi(H, a, \lambda) \quad \text{on } \partial D \quad \text{with} \quad H'(0) = 0,$$

where

$$(2.17) \quad \Phi(H, a, \lambda) = -|H|^2 + \frac{1}{8} \{ (d(g') + az) \overline{(2d(g'H) + \lambda d(g'H^2))} \}$$

$$+ \overline{(\alpha(g') + az)} (2\alpha(g'H) + \lambda \alpha(g'H^2)) + |2\alpha(g'H) + \lambda \alpha(g'H^2)|^2 \}.$$

Putting  $\alpha(g') = c_0 + z^2 I_0(z)$  with  $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have

$$|\alpha(g') + az|^2 = |a|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \{ (a\bar{c}_0 + \bar{a} I_0(z)) z \} + |\alpha(g')|^2 \quad \text{if } |z|=1.$$

Therefore, the first relation of (2.4) is equivalent to

$$(2.18) \quad 2 \operatorname{Re} H = \frac{|a|^2}{8} + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Re} \{ (a\bar{c}_0 + \bar{a} I_0(z)) z \} + \frac{1}{8} |\alpha(g')|^2 + \lambda \Phi \quad (\text{on } \partial D).$$

Utilizing Schwarz's formula we have

$$(2.19) \quad H(z) = \frac{|a|^2}{16} + \frac{1}{8} \{ (a\bar{c}_0 + \bar{a} I_0(z)) z \} \\ + \frac{1}{2\lambda \Gamma_1} \int_{\partial D} \left\{ \frac{|\alpha(g')|^2}{8} + \lambda \Phi(H, a, \lambda) \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{5-z} + \frac{1}{25} \right\} d\zeta \quad \text{for } z \in D.$$

Hence  $H'(0) = 0$ , the second relation of (2.6), is realized by

$$(2.20) \quad a\bar{c}_0 + \bar{a} I_0(0) = \mathcal{F}(H, a, \lambda),$$

where

$$(2.21) \quad \mathcal{F}(H, a, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2\lambda \Gamma_1} \int_{\partial D} \left\{ \frac{|\alpha(g')|^2}{8} + \lambda \Phi(H, a, \lambda) \right\} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta^2}.$$

For given holomorphic function  $H(z)$  with  $H'(0) = 0$ , the equation (2.20) is a linear equation of  $(a, \bar{a})$  if  $\lambda = 0$ . In the case of  $|I_0(0)/c_0| \neq 1$ , which is equivalent to

$$(2.22) \quad |g'''(0)/g'(0)| \neq 2,$$

the equation (2.20) is solved with respect to  $a$  if  $\lambda = 0$ , and so is true for small  $\lambda > 0$  by the implicit function theorem.

Thus we obtain

$$(2.23) \quad a = a(H, \lambda) \quad \text{if} \quad 0 < \lambda < \lambda(\|H\|_{L^\infty(D)}) \ll 1.$$

Substituting this into the right-hand side of (2.19), we get the fixed point equation

$$(2.24) \quad H = N(H, \lambda).$$

Here,  $N(H, \lambda)'(0) = 0$  follows from  $H'(0) = 0$  as is imposed in (2.20).

$H \equiv 0$  solves (2.24) when  $\lambda = 0$ .

The formal consideration given above will be realized if we can prepare appropriate function spaces. Let  $H_L$  be the Hardy-Lebesgue class:

$$H_L = \left\{ f(z) \mid \text{holomorphic in } D \text{ with } \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta < +\infty \right\}.$$

We put

$$H_{L_0} = \{ f \in H_L \mid f'(0) = 0 \} \quad \text{and} \quad H_{L_0}^\perp = \{ f \in H_{L_0} \mid f' \in H_L \}.$$

The functional  $\alpha$  defined before is an isomorphism from  $H_{L_0}$  onto  $H_{L_0}^\perp$ . The inclusion  $H_{L_0}^\perp \subset X = \{ f(z) \mid \text{holomorphic in } D, \text{ continuous on } \bar{D}, \text{ and } f'(0) = 0 \}$  assures us of the nonlinear operator

$$N = N(\cdot, \lambda): B \rightarrow B$$

defined above, where  $B$  denotes the unit ball of the Banach space  $X$ , provided that  $\lambda > 0$  is sufficiently small. Furthermore, then the mapping  $N(\cdot, \lambda)$  is a contraction. Hence it has a fixed point.

We note that the condition (2.22) is equivalent to the non-degeneracy of the critical point  $\alpha_j^*$  of the Robin function  $R(z)$ .

The multi-point blow-up solutions can be constructed in the following way. As before, we first observe that the singular limit  $u_0(z)$  of (1.3) satisfies

$$(2.25) \quad e^{-g^* u_0} = |w|^2,$$

where  $w = \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{z - \delta_j}{1 - \bar{\delta}_j z}$  ( $|\delta_j| < 1$ ) is a finite Blaschke product and  $\alpha_j^* = g(\delta_j)$ . In view of this we introduce the integral

$$(2.26) \quad V = |w/G|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{8} |M/G|^2 \quad (\text{in } D), \quad V = 1 \quad (\text{on } \partial D)$$

for the first relation of (2.4). From

$$\begin{aligned} Q(V) &= \frac{\lambda}{4} Q\{|w/G|^2, |M/G|^2\} = \frac{\lambda}{2} \left| \omega_z \left( \frac{w}{G}, \frac{M}{G} \right) \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{2} \left| \omega_z(w, M) / G^2 \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

is deduced that

$$(2.27) \quad \omega_z(w, M) = g' G^2 \quad (\text{in } D) \quad \text{with} \quad |G|^2 = 1 + \frac{\lambda}{8} |M|^2 \quad (\text{on } \partial D)$$

Here, we introduce the Riemannian surface  $\hat{D}$  so that the mapping  $z \in D \mapsto w \in \hat{D}$  is a homeomorphism. It is an  $m$ -covering of  $D$ , and a similar formula to that of Schwarz holds on it. The holomorphic function  $g(z)$  in  $D$  induces that of  $\hat{g} = \hat{g}(w)$  of  $w$  in  $\hat{D}$  through the relation  $g(z) = \hat{g}(w(z))$ .



We can show that  $(x_1^*, \dots, x_m^*)$  is a non-degenerate critical point of  $K$  in (1.4) if and only if

$$(2.28) \quad \hat{g}''(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\hat{g}'''(0)/\hat{g}'(0)| \neq 2.$$

Then we can solve the equation

$$(2.29) \quad w_w(w, \hat{M}) = \hat{g}' \hat{G}^2 \quad (\text{in } \hat{D}) \quad \text{with} \quad |\hat{G}|^2 = 1 + \frac{\lambda}{8} |\hat{M}|^2 \quad (\text{on } \partial \hat{D})$$

to obtain holomorphic functions  $\hat{G}(w)$  and  $\hat{M}(w)$  of  $w$  in  $\hat{D}$ , satisfying

$$(2.30) \quad \hat{G}(w) \rightarrow 1 \quad (\text{as } \lambda \downarrow 0)$$

The holomorphic functions  $G(z) = \hat{G}(w(z))$  and  $M(z) = \hat{M}(w(z))$  satisfy (2.27). Thus the multi-point blow-up solutions have been constructed.

### References

- [1] Liouville, J., Sur l'équation aux différences partielles  $\delta^2 \log \lambda / \delta u \delta v \pm \lambda / 2a^2 = 0$ , J. de Math. 18 (1853) 71-72
- [2] Moxley, J. L., Asymptotic solutions for a Dirichlet problem with an exponential nonlinearity, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 14 (1983) 179-185
- [3] Nagasaki, K., Suzuki, T., Asymptotic analysis for two-dimensional elliptic eigenvalue problems with the exponentially-dominated nonlinearities, Asymptotic Analysis,

[4] Suzuki, T., Global analysis for the two-dimensional Fokker-Planck equations with the exponential nonlinearity, to appear in Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Nonlinear Analysis.

[5] Weston, V. H., On the asymptotic solution of a partial differential equation with an exponential nonlinearity, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 9(1978) 1030-1053.