

ON HOLOMORPHIC EQUIVALENCE OF BOUNDED DOMAINS  
IN COMPLETE KÄHLER MANIFOLDS OF NEGATIVE CURVATURE

Seiki NISHIKAWA\*

1. Introduction

Suppose  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are two bounded domains in the complex  $n$ -space  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , with  $C^\infty$  boundaries  $\partial D_1$  and  $\partial D_2$ , respectively. One of the fundamental problems in several complex variables is to determine geometric conditions which imply that  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are biholomorphically equivalent. It has been known from Bochner[1]-Hartogs' theorem that if  $\partial D_1$  and  $\partial D_2$  are CR-diffeomorphic, then  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are biholomorphic. The same is true even for those domains in a Stein manifold (Shiga[5]).

In this note we are concerned with the problem for domains in complete Kähler manifolds of negative curvature. Our result is stated as follows.

THEOREM. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be complete Kähler manifolds of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $D_1 \subset M$  and  $D_2 \subset N$  be relatively compact domains in  $M$  and  $N$  with  $C^\infty$  boundaries  $\partial D_1$  and  $\partial D_2$ , respectively. Suppose that (i) there exists a CR-diffeomorphism  $f : \partial D_1 \rightarrow \partial D_2$  which extends to a homotopy equivalence of  $D_1$  to  $D_2$ , (ii)  $N$  has adequately negative curvature in the sense of Siu[6], and (iii) the boundary  $\partial D_2$  is convex. Then  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are biholomorphically equivalent.

---

\* Partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 574018.

It should be noted that the curvature hypothesis (ii) is assumed only on the target manifold  $N$ . According to Siu[7], the classical bounded symmetric domains with their invariant Kähler metrics, hence their quotient Kähler manifolds also, have adequately negative curvature. The convexity hypothesis (iii), assumed on the boundary  $\partial D_2$  of  $D_2 \subset N$ , requires that the second fundamental form of  $\partial D_2$  in  $N$  with respect to the inward unit normal vector is positive semidefinite everywhere. Hopefully this hypothesis may be weakened.

Some part of our theorem can be seen in Wood[7]. I wish to thank him for making his manuscript available during the preparation of this note.

## 2. Preliminaries

First we fix some concepts in the theorem. Let  $D_1 \subset M$  and  $D_2 \subset N$  be as in the theorem. Let  $J$  denote the complex structure of  $M$ . A  $C^\infty$  mapping  $f : \partial D_1 \rightarrow \partial D_2$  is said to be a CR-mapping if the differential  $df$  of  $f$  restricted to the complex subspace  $T_p(\partial D_1) \cap JT_p(\partial D_1)$  of the real tangent space  $T_p(\partial D_1)$  is complex linear at each point  $p \in \partial D_1$ . Note that  $f : \partial D_1 \rightarrow \partial D_2$  is a CR-mapping if and only if it satisfies the tangential Cauchy-Riemann equation  $\bar{\partial}_b f = 0$ , where  $\bar{\partial}_b f = \bar{\partial} f \circ \pi$ ,  $\pi$  being the orthogonal projection  $\pi : T_p(D_1) \rightarrow T_p(\partial D_1) \cap JT_p(\partial D_1)$  for each  $p \in \partial D_1$  (cf. [2]). A CR-diffeomorphism is one for which  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are CR-mappings.

We need the notion of adequate negativity, defined by Siu[6], of the curvature of a Kähler manifold. The curvature

tensor of a Kähler  $n$ -manifold  $N$  is said to be adequately negative at  $q \in N$  if the following hold: Let  $h : U \rightarrow N$  be a  $C^\infty$  mapping of an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  to  $N$  with  $h(0) = q$ . Let  $(z^i)$  denote a local complex coordinates of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  around  $0$  and  $(w^\alpha)$  that of  $N$  around  $q$ . Then the curvature tensor  $(R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}})$  of  $N$  enjoys the

properties that (a)  $\sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} \xi_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \overline{\xi_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^{\delta\bar{\gamma}}} \geq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , where  $\xi_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = (\partial_{\bar{i}} h^\alpha)(0) \overline{(\partial_{\bar{j}} h^\beta)(0)} - (\partial_{\bar{i}} h^\alpha)(0) \overline{(\partial_{\bar{j}} h^\beta)(0)}$ ,  $\partial_{\bar{i}} h^\alpha = \partial h^\alpha / \partial \bar{z}^i$  etc., and (b) if  $h$  is a local diffeomorphism

around  $0$  and  $\sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} \xi_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \overline{\xi_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^{\delta\bar{\gamma}}} = 0$  at  $q$ , then either  $\partial h = 0$  or  $\bar{\partial} h = 0$  at  $0$ . If the curvature tensor of  $N$  is adequately negative everywhere, we simply say that  $N$  has adequately negative curvature. The adequate negativity of curvature is stronger than requiring nonpositive sectional curvature. For examples of Kähler manifolds having adequately negative curvature, see Siu[6].

### 3. Proof of the theorem

Let  $D_1 \subset M$  and  $D_2 \subset N$  be as in the theorem. By hypothesis (i), we have a CR-diffeomorphism  $f : \partial D_1 \rightarrow \partial D_2$  which extends to a homotopy equivalence  $\tilde{f} : D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ , which may be assumed to be  $C^\infty$ . Since the sectional curvature of  $N$  is nonpositive everywhere by hypothesis (ii) and the boundary  $\partial D_2$  of  $D_2$  is assumed to be convex by hypothesis (iii), it then follows from a theorem of Hamilton[3] that there exists a harmonic mapping  $h : D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  which is homotopic to  $\tilde{f}$

relative to  $\partial D_1$ . We refer to Eells-Lemaire[2] for the definition and the fundamental properties of harmonic mappings. Note that  $h$  is  $C^\infty$  up to the boundary.

In consequence, we may assume that there exists a harmonic homotopy equivalence  $h : D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  such that  $h|_{\partial D_1} : \partial D_1 \rightarrow \partial D_2$  is a CR-diffeomorphism. We are going to prove that  $h$  is a desired biholomorphic equivalence of  $D_1$  to  $D_2$ .

Assertion 1.  $h$  is holomorphic on  $D_1$ .

Let  $g$  and  $\omega$  denote the Kähler metric and the Kähler form of  $N$ , respectively. Let  $(z^i)$  and  $(w^\alpha)$  denote respectively the local complex coordinates of  $M$  and  $N$ , and let  $(R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}})$  denote the curvature tensor of  $N$ . Denote by  $\langle , \rangle$  contraction of tensors and consider the (1,1)-form  $\langle g, \bar{\partial}h \wedge \partial\bar{h} \rangle$  on  $D_1$  defined in terms of local coordinates by

$$\langle g, \bar{\partial}h \wedge \partial\bar{h} \rangle = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \bar{\partial}h^\alpha \wedge \partial\bar{h}^{\bar{\beta}}.$$

It is then known in Siu[6] that by harmonicity of  $h$ , at all points  $p \in D_1$  we have

$$(1) \quad d\{\bar{\partial}\langle g, \bar{\partial}h \wedge \partial\bar{h} \rangle \wedge \omega^{n-2}\} = \partial\bar{\partial}\langle g, \bar{\partial}h \wedge \partial\bar{h} \rangle \wedge \omega^{n-2} = \sigma\omega^n - \chi\omega^n,$$

where, with respect to a local complex coordinates orthonormal at  $p$ ,

$$(2) \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq n}} R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} \xi_{i\bar{j}}^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \overline{\xi_{i\bar{j}}^{\delta\bar{\gamma}}},$$

$\xi_{i\bar{j}}^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \partial_{i\bar{j}} h^\alpha \cdot \overline{\partial_j h^\beta} - \partial_j h^\alpha \cdot \overline{\partial_{i\bar{j}} h^\beta}$ , and  $\chi$  is some nonpositive function on  $D_1$ . Note that the adequate negativity of the curvature of  $N$  implies that  $\sigma \geq 0$ .

On the other hand, at each point  $p \in \partial D_1$  we have

$$(3) \quad \bar{\partial} \langle g, \bar{\partial} h \wedge \bar{\partial} \bar{h} \rangle \wedge \omega^{n-2} = - \langle g, \bar{\partial} h \wedge \bar{D} \bar{\partial}_b \bar{h} \rangle \wedge \omega^{n-2} .$$

Here  $\bar{D}$  denotes covariant  $\bar{\partial}$  exterior differentiation of  $h^* \text{TNOE}$ -valued forms on  $M$ , which in terms of local coordinates is defined to be  $\bar{D} \bar{\partial} h^\beta = \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial} h^\beta - \sum_{\alpha, \gamma} \Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^\beta \bar{\partial} h^\alpha \wedge \bar{\partial} h^\gamma$ ,  $\Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^\beta$  being the Christoffel symbols of  $N$ .  $\bar{\partial}_b$  denotes the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator and  $\bar{\partial}_b \bar{h} = \bar{\partial}_b h$ . The proof of (3) is done by a straightforward calculation (cf. [7]). Note that  $\bar{\partial}_b \bar{h} = 0$ , because  $h|_{\partial D_1}$  is a CR-mapping. Hence we have

$$(4) \quad \bar{\partial} \langle g, \bar{\partial} h \wedge \bar{\partial} \bar{h} \rangle \wedge \omega^{n-2} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad D_1 .$$

Now we integrate (1) over  $D_1$ . Then it follows from Stokes' theorem and (4) that

$$\int_{D_1} (\sigma \omega^n - \chi \omega^n) = 0 ,$$

from which we obtain  $\sigma \equiv 0$  and  $\chi \equiv 0$ , for  $\sigma \geq 0$  and  $\chi \leq 0$  on  $D_1$ . As a result, we get from (2) that for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$

$$\sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \xi_{i\bar{j}}^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \overline{\xi_{i\bar{j}}^{\delta\bar{\gamma}}} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad D_1 .$$

Recall that  $h$  is a local diffeomorphism near  $\partial D_1$ . Then the adequate negativity of the curvature of  $N$  implies that  $\bar{\partial} h = 0$  or  $\bar{\partial} \bar{h} = 0$  at each point near  $\partial D_1$ . Since  $h$  is a harmonic mapping, it then follows as in Siu[6] from the unique continuation property that  $\bar{\partial} h \equiv 0$  on  $D_1$  or  $\bar{\partial} \bar{h} \equiv 0$  on  $D_1$ . But  $\bar{\partial}_b h = 0$  on  $\partial D_1$  and the rank of  $dh|_{\partial D_1}$  is  $2n-1$ , so

$\partial h \equiv 0$  is impossible. Hence we conclude that  $\bar{\partial} h \equiv 0$  on  $D_1$ , that is,  $h$  is holomorphic on  $D_1$ .

Assertion 2.  $h$  is a biholomorphic mapping of  $D_1$  to  $D_2$ .

Let  $V$  be the set of points of  $D_1$  where  $h$  is not locally diffeomorphic.  $V$  is a compact complex-analytic subvariety in  $D_1$  of pure complex codimension 1, for locally  $V$  is defined by  $\det(\partial w^\alpha / \partial z^i)$  and  $h$  is locally diffeomorphic near  $\partial D_1$ . Note that  $h$  is of degree 1 and hence maps  $D_1 - h^{-1}(h(V))$  bijectively onto  $h(D_1) - h(V)$ . Thus it suffices to prove that  $V$  is empty. Assume the contrary, namely assume that  $V \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $V$  defines a nonzero homology class  $[V]$  in  $H_{2n-2}(D_1; \mathbb{R})$ . Since  $h$  is a proper mapping, it follows from a theorem of Remmert[4] that  $h(V)$  is a compact complex-analytic subvariety of complex codimension at least 2. Hence  $[V]$  in  $H_{2n-2}(D_1; \mathbb{R})$  is mapped by  $h$  to the zero element in  $H_{2n-2}(D_2; \mathbb{R})$ , that is,  $h_*([V]) = 0$  in  $H_{2n-2}(D_2; \mathbb{R})$ , contradicting the fact that  $h$  is a homotopy equivalence of  $D_1$  to  $D_2$ .

The proof of the theorem is now complete.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Bochner, Analytic and meromorphic continuation by means of Green's formula, Ann. of Math., 44(1943), 652-653.
- [2] J. Eells and L. Lemaire, A report on harmonic maps, Bull. London Math. Soc., 10(1978), 1-68.
- [3] R.S. Hamilton, Harmonic maps of manifolds with boundary, Lecture Notes in Mathematics No.471, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1975.

- [4] R. Remmert, Holomorphe und meromorphe Abbildungen komplexer Räume, Math. Ann., 133(1957), 328-370.
- [5] K. Shiga, On holomorphic extension from the boundary, Nagoya Math. J., 42(1971), 57-66.
- [6] Y.-T. Siu, The complex-analyticity of harmonic maps and the strong rigidity of compact Kähler manifolds, to appear. (For research announcement, see Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 76(1979), 2107-2108.)
- [7] J.C. Wood, An extension theorem for holomorphic mappings, to appear.

Seiki NISHIKAWA  
Department of Mathematics  
College of General Education  
Nagoya University  
Nagoya, 464  
Japan