Locally finite higher

derivations and its applications

Yoshikazu Nakai

§1. Definition.

Let A be a reduced ring with identity element. A higher derivation \underline{D} is a system of additive endomorphism D_i of A $(i=0,1,2,\cdots,D_0=id)$ such that they satisfy

(1)
$$D_n(ab) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} D_i(a) D_{n-i}(b)$$
 (n = 1,2,...)

for any element a, b of A. This is equivalent to saying that the mapping $\, \varphi \,$ of A into a formal power series ring A[[T]] defined by

(2)
$$\phi(a) = a + \sum_{i \geq 1} D_i(a) T^i$$

is a ring homomorphism of A into A[[T]] such that $\epsilon \phi = id$, where ϵ is an augmentation A[[T]] — A defined by $\epsilon(a) = a$ and $\epsilon(T) = 0$. A higher derivation D of A is called iterative if they satisfy moreover the identity

(3)
$$D_{i}D_{j} = {i+j \choose i}D_{i+j}$$
.

A higher derivation D is called locally finite if $I_m(\phi)$ is contained in a polynomial ring A[T].

Lemma 1. Let A be a reduced ring and let D be a locally finite higher derivation (ℓ fhd). Then any unit in A is killed by D, i. e., if a is a unit of A then D_i(a) = 0 for $i \ge 1$.

Corollary. The following rings have no non-trivial lefthd.

(1) A field: (2) A local ring (3) An integral domain with non-zero Jacobson radical.

§2. Application to invariance of rings.

A ring A is called a strongly invariant ring whenever the relation $A[X_1, \dots, X_n] = B[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$ implies A = B where X_1, \dots, X_n are indeterminates and Y_1, \dots, Y_n are independent variables over B.

A ring A is called an invariant ring whenever the relation $A[X_1, \dots, X_n] = B[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$ implies A and B are isomorphic.

Theorem 2. Let A be a reduced ring, then A is strongly invariant if A has no non-trivial lfhd. A is not strongly invariant if A has a non-trivial lfihd.

Theorem 3. Let A be an affine domain over a field k such that Spec(A)(k) is dense in Spec(A). If A has a non-trivial lfhd, then Q(A) is rational over k. If, moreover, A is normal A is isomorphic to a polynomial ring k[t].

§3. An integral domain with non-trivial lfihds.

Let A be an integral integral domain and let D be a $\ensuremath{\text{\$lind}}$. Let $\ensuremath{\text{A}}_i$ be defined by

$$A_i = \{a \in A \mid D_n(a) = 0 \text{ for all } n > i\}.$$

An integer n such that $A_{n-1} \subseteq A_n$ is called a jump index.

Proposition 4. Let A, D, A_i be as above. If the characteristic of an integral domain A is zero. Then the first jump index is 1. If the characteristic of A is a positive prime integer p, then we have the following:

- (i) The first jump index is a power of p, say, $q = p^{S}$.
- (ii) The m-th jump index is mp^{s} (m = 1,2,...).
- (iii) Let a be an element of $A_q \setminus A_{q-1}$. Then Supp(a) consists of powers of p where Supp(a) = $\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid D_k(a) \neq 0\}$. Moreover if $k \in \text{Supp}(a)$, then $D_k(a)$'s are D-constant, i. e., $D_k(a) \in A_0$.

Let a (\in A) be an element of A. Then ℓ (a) is, by definition, the largest inteter m such a \in A_{mq} \ A_{(m-1)q}. In this case m is called the index of a and will be denoted by i(a).

Let A be an integral domain. Let $\underline{D}^{(1)},\cdots,\underline{D}^{(n)}$ be n-lfhd of A. We say that $\underline{D}^{(1)},\cdots,\underline{D}^{(n)}$ are independent if

$$B_1 \cap \cdots \cap B_i \cap \cdots \cap B_n \not\subseteq B_i$$
 (i = 1, ..., n)

where $B_i = (\underline{D}^{(i)})^{-1}(0) = \{a \in A \mid D_j^{(i)}(a) = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \cdots \}.$ They are said to be commutative if we have

$$D_{j}^{(i)}D_{\ell}^{(k)} = D_{\ell}^{(k)}D_{j}^{(i)}$$
 (for all j, $\ell = 1, 2, \cdots$).

Theorem 5. Let A be an integral domain and let $\underline{D}^{(i)}$ $i=1,2,\cdots,n$ be n-independent, mutually commutative, non-trivial lfihd, and let $\underline{B}^i=(\underline{D}^{(i)})^{-1}(0)$. Then there exists an element ω in $\underline{A}_0=\bigcap_{i=1}^n \underline{B}_i$, such that

$$A[\omega^{-1}] = A_0[\omega^{-1}][x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

where x_1, \dots, x_n are independent variables over $Q(A_0)$.

Corollary 6. Let A be an affine domain of transcendence degree n over a field k such that $\overline{k} \cap A = k$. Assume that A has n-independent, mutually commutative, non-trivial lefihd \underline{D}^i (i = 1,...,n). Then A is a polynomial ring in n-variables over k. Moreover if x_1, \dots, x_n are elements of A such that

(1) x_i is an element of index 1 with respect to \underline{D}^i .

(2)
$$x_i \in \bigcap_{j \neq i} (\underline{D}^j)^{-1}(0)$$
.

Then $A = k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$.

Corollary 7. Let F_1, \dots, F_n be polynomials in n-variables x_1, \dots, x_n over a field k of characteristic zero. Assume

$$\frac{\partial (F_1, \dots, F_n)}{\partial (x_1, \dots, x_n)} \in k^*.$$

If the derivation D; defined by

$$D_{i}(g) = \frac{\partial (F_{1}, \dots, g, \dots, F_{n})}{\partial (x_{1}, \dots, x_{i}, \dots, x_{n})}, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

is locally nilpotent for any i, i. e., any element is killed by some power of D_i , then we have $k[F_1, \dots, F_n] = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Corollary 8. Separable forms of affine n-spaces (n = 1, 2) are trivial.

§4. Characterization of a polynomial ring k[x,y].

Theorem 9. Let k be an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic and let A be an integral domain satisfying conditions:

- (1) There exists a non-trivial ℓ fihd Δ over k.
- (2) The constant ring A_0 of $\underline{\Lambda}$ is either (2,1) a principal ideal domain finitely generated over k or
- (2,2) a DVR whose residue field is k.
- (3) Any prime element of A_0 remains prime in A. Then A is a polynomial ring in one variable over A_0 .

As application of Theorem 9 we have the following two theorems.

Theorem 10 (T. Kambayashi). Let (D,M) be a DVR with algebraically closed residue field k = D/M. Let K be the field of fractions of D. Let A be a flat D-algebra of finite type. Assume that $A \otimes K = K[t]$ and $A \otimes K$ is an D integral domain. Then A is isomorphic to a polynomial ring D[T].

Theorem 11. Let k be an algebraically closed field and let A be a normal affine domain over k such that

- (i) $\dim A = 2$.
- (ii) $A^* = k^*$ where * denote the set of units.

(iii) Either A is UFD or Q(A) is unirational. Let Δ be a non-trivial lefthd of A over k. Then the constant ring A_0 of Δ is a polynomial ring over k.

Theorem 12 (M. Miyanishi). Let k be an algebraically closed field and let A be a finitely generated integral domain over k. Assume the following:

- (i) $\dim A = 2$.
- (ii) $A^* = k^*$.
- (iii) A is UFD.
- (iv) A has a non-trivial ℓ fihd. Then $A \cong k[x,y]$ where x, y are independent variables.

§5. Lines in an affine 2-space.

An affine plane curve C defined over k by the equation: f(x,y) = 0 is called a quasi-line if the coordinate ring A = k[x,y]/(f) is isomorphic to a polynomial ring k[t]. C is called a line if there exists a curve Γ : g(x,y) = 0 such that k[x,y] = k[f,g].

Theorem 13. Let k be an algebraically closed field and let C:f(x,y)=0 be a curve defined over k. Then the following conditions are equivalent to each other

- (1) C is a line.
- (2) There exists a ℓ find Δ such that $\Delta(f) = 0$.
- (3) $C_u : f(x,y) u = 0$ is a quasi-line over k(u) where u is an indeterminate.

Theorem 14. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and let C:f(x,y)=0 be an irreducible curve over k. Then C is a line if and only if the derivation

$$D_{f} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

is locally nilpotent.

References

- [1] M. Miyanishi: An algebraic characterization of the affine plane, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 15(1975), 169-184.
- [2] M. Miyanishi and Y. Nakai: Some remarks on strongly invariant rings, Osaka J. Math., 12(1975), 1-17.
- [3] Y. Nakai: On locally finite iterative higher derivations, Osaka J. Math., 15(1978), 655-662.