数理解析研究所講究録
342巻 1979年 1-4

Note on shape theory II : Problems

Yukihiro Kodama

Department of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba

Let Ⓜ be the class consisting of metrizable spaces. We define a shape category in M called a fine shape category as follows. Let M and N be spaces in Ⓜ and let X and Y be closed sets in M and N respectively. According to [7] a continuous map f : $M-X \longrightarrow N$ is said to be a <u>fine map</u> from M-X into N rel.X,Y if for every neighborhood V of Y in N there is a neighborhood U of X in M such that $f(U-X) \subset V$. Two fine maps f,g : $M-X \longrightarrow N$ rel. X,Y are <u>fine homotopic</u> (notation: $f \underset{F}{\simeq} g$ rel.X,Y) if there is a homotopy H : $(M-X) \times I \longrightarrow N$ satisfying the following condition: $H(x,0) = f(x)$, $H(x,1) = g(x)$ for $x \in M-X$, and for every neighborhood V of Y in N there is a neighborhood U of X in M such that $H((U-X) \times I) \subset V$. The following lemmas are obvious.

Lemma 1. If Y is an unstable closed set of N and f : $M-X \longrightarrow N$ is a fine map rel.X,Y, then there is a fine map f' : $M-X \longrightarrow N$ rel.X,Y such that $f'(M-X) \subset N-Y$ and $f \underset{F}{\simeq} f'$ rel.X,Y.

Lemma 2. Let $L \in$ Ⓜ and Z a closed set of L. If f : $M-X \longrightarrow N$ is a fine map rel.X,Y such that $f(M-X) \subset N-Y$ and g : $N-Y \longrightarrow L$ is a fine map rel.Y,Z, then gf : $M-X \longrightarrow L$ is a fine map rel.X,Z.

A fine map f : $M-X \longrightarrow N$ rel.X,Y is said to be a <u>fine equivalence</u> if there are fine maps f' : $M-X \longrightarrow N$ rel.X,Y and g : $N-Y \longrightarrow M$ rel.Y,X such that $f'(M-X) \subset N-Y$, $f' \underset{F}{\simeq} f$ rel.X,Y, and

(1) $$gf' \underset{F}{\simeq} 1_{M-X} \quad \text{rel.}X,X \quad ,$$

(2) $f'g \underset{F}{\simeq} 1_{N-Y}$ rel.Y,Y ,

where 1_{M-X} and 1_{N-Y} are the identity fine maps in M-X and N-y respectively. If only (1) is satisfied, then f is said to be a fine domination.

For X,Y ∈ Ⓜ, let M and N be AR's containing X,Y as unstable closed sets respectively. If there is a fine equivalence f : M-X⟶N rel.X,Y, then we say that X and Y are fine shape equivalent or have the same fine shape and write $Sh_F(X) = Sh_F(Y)$. If there is a fine domination f : M-X⟶N, then X fine shape dominates Y and we write $Sh_F(X) \geqq Sh_F(Y)$. By Lemmas 1 and 2, it is easy to see that there is a shape category consisting of spaces in M whose morphisms are the fine homotopy equivalence classes of fine maps. We call it a fine shape category. If spaces are compact then the fine shape defined here is the same as one defined in [9].

Problem 1. For X ∈ Ⓜ, what relation is there between Sh(X) and $Sh_F(X)$ or $Sh_W(X)$ and $Sh_F(X)$? If X and Y are locally compact and $Sh(X) \geqq Sh(Y)$ or $Sh_W(X) \geqq Sh_W(Y)$, is $Sh_F(X) \geqq Sh_F(Y)$ true ? Here Sh(X) is the shape of X in the sense of Fox [4] and $Sh_W(X)$ is the weak shape in the sense of Borsuk [1].

Problem 2. Characterize the space X such that $Sh_F(X) = 1$, that is, X is fine shape equivalent with a one point space.

The following are problems concerning the shape Sh(X) however many of them are interesting one's concerning the fine shape $Sh_F(X)$ too.

Problem 3. Let $X, Y, X', Y' \in$ Ⓜ and let Y, Y' be locally compact. If $Sh(X) = Sh(X')$ and $Sh(Y) = Sh(Y')$, is $Sh(X \times Y) = Sh(X' \times Y')$ true ?

Problem 4. Are there $X \in$ Ⓜ and a locally compact space $Y \in$ Ⓜ such that $Fd(X \times Y) < Fd(X) + Fd(Y)$? Here $Fd(X) = \mathrm{Min}\{\dim Y : Y \in$ Ⓜ and $Sh(X) \leqq Sh(Y)\}$.

Cf. [5] and [6].

Problem 5. (S.Mardešić) Let $X \in$ Ⓜ and let Y be a locally finite simplicial polytope.

(1) Is there the product $Sh(X) \times Sh(Y)$?

(2) If $Sh(X) \times Sh(Y)$ exists, is $Sh(X) \times Sh(Y) = Sh(X \times Y)$?

Problem 6. Let $X, Y \in$ Ⓜ and $f : X \to Y$. If there is a locally finite open cover Ⓤ $= \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ such that for any finite set $\{\alpha_0, \cdots, \alpha_n\} \subset \Lambda$ $f \,|\, f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{\alpha_n}) : f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{\alpha_n}) \longrightarrow U_{\alpha_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{\alpha_n}$ is a shape equivalence, is f a shape equivalence ?

By the same way as in the proof of [8], the problem is solved affirmatively for the fine shape Sh_F.

Problem 7. If X is a compactum which is a 1-1 continuous image of a locally compact ANR, then:

(1) Is X an FANR ?

(2) Is X movable ?

Problem 8. Let X be a locally compact space in M. If X is movable in the sense of Kozlowski and Segal [10], is every metrizable compactification of X movable ?

Problem 9. Let X be a compactum. If there is a countable number of movable compacta X_i, i=1,2,... , such that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$, is X movable ? (Here X_i and X_j, $i \neq j$, are not necessarily disjoint.)

Problem 10. Let (X,x_0) and (Y,y_0) be pointed compacta. If $\underline{f} : (X,x_o) \longrightarrow (Y,y_o)$ is a shaping such that $\underline{f}_* : \underline{\underline{\pi}}_n (X,x_o) \xrightarrow{\approx} \underline{\underline{\pi}}_n (Y,y_o)$ for n = 0,1,2,... , is $Sh_F(X,x_o) = Sh_F(Y,y_o)$ true ? Here $\underline{\underline{\pi}}_*$ is the shape group defined by Quigley [11].

Problem 11. (Chapman) Is every weak proper homotopy equivalence a proper homotopy equivalence ?

If Problem 11 has an affirmative solution, the second part of Problem 1 is so. Cf. [2] and [3].

References

[1] K.Borsuk, Theory of shape, Warszawa 1975.

[2] T.A.Chapman, On some applications of infinite dimensional manifolds to the theory of shape, Fund.Math.76(1972), 181-193.

[3] D.A.Edwards and H.M.Hastings, Every weak proper homotopy equivalence is weakly properly homotpic to a proper homotopy equivalence, Trans.A.M.S. 221(1976), 239-248.

[4] R.H.Fox,On shape, Fund.Math. 74(1972), 47-71.

[5] Y.Kodama, On shape of product spaces, General Top.Appli. 8(1978), 141-150.

[6] ———, On product of shape and a question of Sher, Pacific J.Math. 72(1977), 115-134.

[7] ———, A characterization property of a finite dimensional pointed FANR, to appear in Japanese J.Math.

[8] ———, On tom Dieck's theorem on shape theory, to appear in Bull.Acad.Polon.Sci.

[9] Y.Kodama and J.Ono, On fine shape theory, to appear in Fund. Math.

[10] G.Kozlowski and J.Segal, Locally well-behaved paracompacta in shape theory, Fund.Math. 95(1977), 55-71.

[11] J.B.Quigley, An exact sequence from the nth to the (n-1)-st fundamental group, Fund.Math. 77(1973), 195-210.