

Title	The Godbillon-Vey class of codimension one foliations without holonomy (Topology of Foliations)
Author(s)	MORITA, SHIGEYUKI; TSUBOI, TAKASHI
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (1979), 347: 55-66
Issue Date	1979-02
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/104344
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

The Godbillon-Vey class of codimension one foliations
without holonomy

By Shigeyuki MORITA*) and Takashi TSUBOI

In this note we prove the following result.

THEOREM. Let F be a codimension one C^2 -foliation on a compact smooth manifold M and assume that F is without holonomy, namely the holonomy group of each leaf is trivial. Then the Godbillon-Vey characteristic class of F defined in $H^3(M; \mathbb{R})$ ([3]) vanishes.

For the proof of the above result, the argument of Herman used in [4] to prove the triviality of the Godbillon-Vey invariant of foliations by planes of T^3 and also the work of Novikov [7] and Imanishi [5] on codimension one foliations without holonomy play very important roles.

1. Codimension one foliations without holonomy.

Let M be a compact connected smooth manifold and let F be a codimension one C^2 -foliation without holonomy on M . We fix a base point x_0 , a flow $\Phi: M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ whose orbits are transverse to leaves of F and we denote $\varphi(t)$ for $\Phi(x_0, t)$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$). Following Novikov [7] (also see Imanishi [5]), we define a homomorphism

$$\chi : \pi_1(M, x_0) \longrightarrow \text{Diff}_+^2(\mathbb{R})$$

as follows, where $\text{Diff}_+^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of class C^2 of \mathbb{R} . Let ω be an element of $\pi_1(M, x_0)$ represented by a closed curve $p : (I, \dot{I}) \rightarrow (M, x_0)$

*) Supported in part by the Sakkokai Foundation.

and let t be a point of \mathbb{R} . Then $\chi(\omega)(t)$ is defined to be a point t_1 of \mathbb{R} such that there is a leaf curve l :
 $(I, 0, 1) \rightarrow (L, \varphi(t_1), \varphi(t))$ (L is the leaf passing through $\varphi(t)$) satisfying the condition: two curves p_+ and l_- are homotopic, where p_+ is the product of two curves p and $\varphi([0, t])$ (if $t \geq 0$) or $\varphi([t, 0])$ (if $t < 0$), while l_- is the product of two curves $\varphi([0, t_1])$ (or $\varphi([t_1, 0])$) and l .

χ is a well defined homomorphism (we define the product of two elements f and g of $\text{Diff}_+^2(\mathbb{R})$ to be $f \circ g$) and it is known that $\text{Image}(\chi)$ is abelian (see [5] [7]). Now using the homomorphism χ , we can construct a locally trivial foliated \mathbb{R} -bundle (or the suspension foliation) E over M as follows. Let \tilde{M} be the universal covering space of M . Then $\pi_1(M, x_0)$ acts on $\tilde{M} \times \mathbb{R}$ by the deck transformation on the first factor and through the homomorphism χ on the second. This action is free and preserves the trivial foliation on $\tilde{M} \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\{t = \text{constant}\}$. Therefore the quotient manifold $E = \tilde{M} \times \mathbb{R} / \pi_1(M, x_0)$ has the structure of a locally trivial foliated \mathbb{R} -bundle over M .

Now our first important step is the following.

PROPOSITION 1. Let E be the locally trivial foliated \mathbb{R} -bundle over M defined by the homomorphism χ . Then there is a cross-section $\sigma: M \rightarrow E$ such that $\text{Image}(\sigma)$ is transverse to the codimension one foliation on E and the induced foliation on M is the same as the original one F .

Proof. We define a mapping $\psi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows. Let \tilde{q} be a point of \tilde{M} represented by a path $q: (I, 0) \rightarrow (M, x_0)$. Then $\psi(\tilde{q})$ is defined to be a point of \mathbb{R} such that there is a leaf curve $l: (I, 0, 1) \rightarrow (M, \varphi \circ \psi(\tilde{q}), q(1))$, so that two curves q and l_- are homotopic where l_- is the product

of two curves $\varphi([0, \psi(\tilde{q})])$ (or $\varphi([\psi(\tilde{q}), 0])$) and l . Now we define an imbedding $\tilde{\sigma} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M} \times \mathbb{R}$ by $\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{q}) = (\tilde{q}, \psi(\tilde{q}))$. Then it can be checked that $\tilde{\sigma}$ is equivariant with respect to the $\pi_1(M, x_0)$ -actions. Moreover $\tilde{\sigma}$ is transverse to the trivial foliation on $\tilde{M} \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\{t = \text{constant}\}$ and the induced codimension one foliation on \tilde{M} coincides with the lift to \tilde{M} of the original foliation F . Therefore the induced mapping $\sigma : M \rightarrow E$ satisfies the required conditions.

q.e.d.

REMARK 2. In the construction above, suppose that the orbit $\text{Image}(\varphi)$ is periodic, namely for some k the equality $\varphi(t+k) = \varphi(t)$ holds for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for any element ω of $\pi_1(M, x_0)$, $\chi(\omega)$ is a periodic diffeomorphism of \mathbb{R} ; $\chi(\omega)(t+k) = \chi(\omega)(t)$. Thus χ induces a homomorphism $\chi' : \pi_1(M, x_0) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+^2(S^1)$ where we identify $\mathbb{R} \text{ mod } k\mathbb{Z}$ with S^1 . Imanishi [5] has proved, among other things, that $\text{Image}(\chi')$ is topologically conjugate to rotations. Now the same proof as that of Proposition 1 gives the following.

PROPOSITION 1'. Let E' be the foliated S^1 -bundle over M defined by the homomorphism χ' . Then there is a cross-section $\sigma' : M \rightarrow E'$ such that $\text{Image}(\sigma')$ is transverse to the codimension one foliation on E' and the induced foliation on M is the same as the original one F .

2. The Godbillon-Vey class of foliated S^1 and \mathbb{R} -bundles.

Let E be a foliated S^1 -bundle of class C^2 over a smooth manifold M defined by a homomorphism $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+^2(S^1)$. For such object, the Godbillon-Vey class (integrated over the fibres)

is defined as an element of $H^2(\text{Diff}_+^2(S^1); \mathbb{R})$ (the 2-dimensional cohomology group with trivial coefficients \mathbb{R} of $\text{Diff}_+^2(S^1)$ considered as an abstract group). According to Thurston (cf. [1] [4]), this element is represented by the following cocycle $\alpha \in C^2(\text{Diff}_+^2(S^1); \mathbb{R})$.

DEFINITION 3. Let u, v be elements of $\text{Diff}_+^2(S^1)$.

Then

$$\alpha(u, v) = \int_{S^1} \log Dv(t) D \log D(u)(v(t)) dt.$$

Now let E be a locally trivial foliated \mathbb{R} -bundle over a smooth manifold M defined by a homomorphism $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then similarly as above, the Godbillon-Vey class for such objects is defined as an element of $H^3(\text{Diff}_+^2(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{R})$ as follows.

Let f, g, h be elements of $\text{Diff}_+^2(\mathbb{R})$ and we set

$$A = \log Df^{-1}(t)$$

$$B = \log Dg^{-1}(f^{-1}(t))$$

$$C = \log Dh^{-1}(g^{-1}f^{-1}(t)).$$

Let $\Delta^3 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3; x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0, x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \leq 1\}$ be the 3-simplex and let $s : \Delta^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function defined by

$$s(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{cases} (x_1 + x_2 + x_3) f\left(\frac{x_2 + x_3}{x_1 + x_2 + x_3} g\left(\frac{x_3}{x_2 + x_3} h(0)\right)\right), & x_2 + x_3 \neq 0 \\ x_1 f(0), & x_2 + x_3 = 0. \end{cases}$$

s is C^∞ on the interior of Δ^3 , $\overset{\circ}{\Delta^3}$, and continuous on Δ^3 .

Let $S : \Delta^3 \rightarrow \Delta^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $S(x_1, x_2, x_3) =$

$(x_1, x_2, x_3, s(x_1, x_2, x_3))$. Now we define a cochain $\beta \in$

$C^3(\text{Diff}_+^2(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{R})$ by the formula

DEFINITION 4.

$$\beta(f, g, h) = \int_{\Delta^3} S^* \{ A dx_1 + (A+B) dx_2 + (A+B+C) dx_3 \} \{ A' dt dx_1 + (A'+B') dt dx_2 + (A'+B'+C') dt dx_3 \}.$$

Since the derivatives $\frac{\partial s}{\partial x_1}$, $\frac{\partial s}{\partial x_2}$, $\frac{\partial s}{\partial x_3}$ are bounded over Δ^3 , the integral exists. We can show

PROPOSITION 5. The cochain β is a cocycle.

Thus β defines an element $[\beta] \in H^3(\text{Diff}_+^2(\mathbb{R}); \mathbb{R})$.

A proof of Proposition 5 together with related topics will be given in [6]. This is because, for a proof of our THEOREM, the form of the cocycle β is not essential. We need only the fact that the Godbillon-Vey class of a locally trivial foliated \mathbb{R} -bundle can be calculated by group cohomology argument. More precisely, let $\rho : \pi_1(T^3) = \mathbb{Z}^3 \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+^2(\mathbb{R})$ be a homomorphism defined by three mutually commuting diffeomorphisms f, g, h of \mathbb{R} and let E be the locally trivial foliated \mathbb{R} -bundle over T^3 defined by ρ . Then the Godbillon-Vey class of this foliation on E is an element of $H^3(E; \mathbb{R}) \cong H^3(T^3; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$. Let us denote $GV(f, g, h)$ for the corresponding real number. Under these situation, we have

PROPOSITION 6. Let f, g, h be mutually commuting elements of $\text{Diff}_+^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then $z = (f, g, h) - (f, h, g) + (g, h, f) - (g, f, h) + (h, f, g) - (h, g, f)$ is a cycle (of the group $\text{Diff}_+^2(\mathbb{R})$) and the equality

$$GV(f, g, h) = \beta(z)$$

holds.

A proof of this Proposition will also be given in [6].

3. Foliated S^1 and \mathbb{R} -bundles over tori.

In [4], Herman has proved the following

THEOREM 7. Let E be a foliated S^1 -bundle of class C^2 over T^2 . Then the Godbillon-Vey invariant of the codimension one foliation on E is zero.

In this section, we prove the following results which can be considered as generalizations of Theorem 7.

THEOREM 8. Let E be a foliated S^1 -bundle of class C^2 over a torus T^k ($k \geq 2$). Then the Godbillon-Vey class of the codimension one foliation on E vanishes.

THEOREM 9. Let E be a locally trivial foliated \mathbb{R} -bundle over a torus T^k ($k \geq 3$). Then the Godbillon-Vey class of the codimension one foliation on E vanishes.

Before proving the above Theorems, let us recall the argument of Herman [4] briefly. Let E be a foliated S^1 -bundle over T^2 defined by commuting diffeomorphisms $u, v \in \text{Diff}_+^2(S^1)$. Then $c = (u, v) - (v, u)$ is a cycle of the group $\text{Diff}_+^2(S^1)$ and by Thurston (cf. [1] [4]), the Godbillon-Vey invariant of E , denoted by $Gv(u, v)$, is given by

$$Gv(u, v) = \alpha(c).$$

Herman has proved $\alpha(c) = 0$ by an elegant argument using known properties of elements of $\text{Diff}_+^2(S^1)$. Now we prove Theorems 8 and 9.

Proof of Theorem 9. Since the cohomology group $H^3(T^k; \mathbb{R})$ ($k \geq 3$) is generated by 3-dimensional cohomologies of various 3-dimensional subtori of T^k , we have only to prove the case $k = 3$. Thus let $f, g, h \in \text{Diff}_+^2(\mathbb{R})$ be mutually commuting diffeomorphisms and let E be the locally trivial foliated

\mathbb{R} -bundle over T^3 defined by them. We have to prove $GV(f, g, h) = 0$. We consider two cases.

Case 1. All of f, g, h have fixed points.

In this case it can be proved that f, g, h have a common fixed point. In fact this follows from the following general statement.

PROPOSITION 10. Let f_1, \dots, f_r be mutually commuting homeomorphisms of \mathbb{R} and assume that all of f_i have fixed points. Then there is a common fixed point of f_1, \dots, f_r .

Proof. If f is an orientation reversing homeomorphism of \mathbb{R} , then f has a unique fixed point p and for any homeomorphism g of \mathbb{R} such that $f \circ g = g \circ f$, clearly $g(p) = p$ holds. Therefore if at least one of f_1, \dots, f_r reverses the orientation, then the assertion is clear. Hence we assume that all of f_1, \dots, f_r preserve the orientation. Now first assume that at least one of f_1, \dots, f_r , say f_1 , has a maximum (or minimum) fixed point p . Then since any f_j ($j = 1, \dots, r$) leaves the fixed point set of f_1 , $F(f_1)$, invariant, we have $f_j(p) = p$. So p is a common fixed point. Next assume the contrary and let (a, b) be a maximal open interval contained in $\mathbb{R} - F(f_1)$, thus $a, b \in F(f_1)$. Let (a_1, b_1) be the maximal open interval containing (a, b) such that (a_1, b_1) is contained in $\mathbb{R} - F(f_i)$ for some i . We claim that a_1 and b_1 are common fixed points of f_1, \dots, f_r . For from the definition, either $(a_1, b_1) \subset \mathbb{R} - F(f_j)$ or f_j has a fixed point on (a_1, b_1) . But in either case we should have $f_j(a_1) = a_1$ and $f_j(b_1) = b_1$. This completes the proof of Proposition 10.

REMARK 11. In Proposition 10, if we assume that f_1, \dots, f_r are orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of class C^2 , then

we can obtain a stronger statement that if (a, b) is a maximal open interval contained in $\mathbb{R} - F(f_1)$, then a and b are common fixed points of f_1, \dots, f_r (cf. [4] Lemma 1).

Now we go back to the proof of Theorem 9, Case 1.

We have just proved that f, g, h have a common fixed point p . Then this fixed point defines a cross-section $\sigma: T^3 \rightarrow E$ such that $\text{Image}(\sigma)$ is a compact leaf of the foliation on E . Since the restriction of the Godbillon-Vey class to any leaf is trivial and since $\text{Image}(\sigma)$ generates the 3-dimensional homology group of E , we conclude that $\text{GV}(f, g, h) = 0$.

Case 2. At least one of f, g, h has no fixed point.

First we claim that

$$\text{GV}(f, g, h) = \text{GV}(g, h, f) = \text{GV}(h, f, g).$$

This follows from the definition of GV . It also follows from Proposition 6. Therefore to prove our assertion $\text{GV}(f, g, h) = 0$, we may assume that h has no fixed points. Now let us define a \mathbb{Z} -action on \mathbb{R} by $n(t) = h^n(t)$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}, t \in \mathbb{R}$). Then since h has no fixed points, this action is free and the quotient manifold can be identified with S^1 by an orientation preserving diffeomorphism $k: \mathbb{R}/\{h^n\} \cong S^1$. Let $\tilde{k}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the lift of k such that $\tilde{k}(0) = 0$. It is a diffeomorphism of class C^2 . Now we set $f_1 = \tilde{k}^{-1}f\tilde{k}$, $g_1 = \tilde{k}^{-1}g\tilde{k}$, $h_1 = \tilde{k}^{-1}h\tilde{k}$. Then f_1, g_1, h_1 are mutually commuting diffeomorphisms of class C^2 of \mathbb{R} . Let $\mathcal{Z} = (f, g, h) - (f, h, g) + (g, h, f) - (g, f, h) + (h, f, g) - (h, g, f)$ and $\mathcal{Z}_1 = (f_1, g_1, h_1) - (f_1, h_1, g_1) + (g_1, h_1, f_1) - (g_1, f_1, h_1) + (h_1, f_1, g_1) - (h_1, g_1, f_1)$. Then the cycle \mathcal{Z}_1 is conjugate to \mathcal{Z} : $\mathcal{Z}_1 = \tilde{k}^{-1}\mathcal{Z}\tilde{k}$. Since inner automorphisms of a group induce the

identity on the homology groups ([2]), we have

$$\beta(z_1) = \beta(z).$$

Therefore from Proposition 6, we obtain

$$GV(f, g, h) = GV(f_1, g_1, h_1).$$

Now from the construction, h_1 is the translation of \mathbb{R} by 1 (denoted by T) or by -1 according as $h(0) > 0$ or $h(0) < 0$ respectively. By the definition of GV , clearly we have

$$GV(f_1, g_1, h_1) = -GV(f_1, g_1, h_1^{-1}).$$

Therefore we may assume that $h_1 = T$. Since f_1 and g_1 commute with $h_1 = T$, f_1 and g_1 are lifts of some diffeomorphisms f'_1 and g'_1 of S^1 . Now we claim

PROPOSITION 12. Let u, v be mutually commuting elements of $\text{Diff}_+^2(S^1)$ and let \tilde{u}, \tilde{v} be their arbitrary lifts to \mathbb{R} . Then we have

$$GV(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, T) = Gv(u, v).$$

Proof. We consider $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} = \{(x_1, x_2, t); x_i, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$,
 $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, t); x_i, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and let

$$\lambda(x_1, x_2, t) = (x_1+1, x_2, \tilde{u}(t)), \quad \lambda_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = (x_1+1, x_2, x_3, \tilde{u}(t))$$

$$\mu(x_1, x_2, t) = (x_1, x_2+1, \tilde{v}(t)), \quad \mu_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = (x_1, x_2+1, x_3, \tilde{v}(t))$$

$$\nu(x_1, x_2, t) = (x_1, x_2, t+1), \quad \nu_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = (x_1, x_2, x_3+1, t+1).$$

Then λ, μ, ν and λ_1, μ_1, ν_1 generate free \mathbb{Z}^3 -actions on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ respectively. These actions preserve the trivial foliations defined by $\{t = \text{constant}\}$. The quotient manifolds E and E_1 carry the structures of foliated S^1 -bundle over T^2 defined by u and v and locally trivial foliated

\mathbb{R} -bundle over T^3 defined by \tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, T respectively. Now define a mapping $\pi: \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ by $\pi(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = (x_1, x_2, t)$. Then π is equivariant with respect to the \mathbb{Z}^3 -actions. Therefore it induces a mapping $\pi': E_1 \rightarrow E$. Moreover it is easy to see that the pull back of the foliation on E by the submersion π' coincides with the given foliation on E_1 . Therefore from the naturality of the Godbillon-Vey class, we obtain

$$(\pi')^*(gv(E)) = gv(E_1),$$

where $gv(E)$ (resp. $gv(E_1)$) is the Godbillon-Vey class of the foliation on E (resp. E_1). Now since $(\pi')^*$ gives an isomorphism $H^3(E; \mathbb{R}) \cong H^3(E_1; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$GV(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, T_1) = Gv(u, v).$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 11.

Now by the above Proposition and the argument before it, we have

$$GV(f, g, h) = Gv(f'_1, g'_1).$$

But Herman's result (Theorem 7) implies

$$Gv(f'_1, g'_1) = 0.$$

Hence $GV(f, g, h) = 0$. This completes the proof of Case 2 and hence Theorem 9. q.e.d.

Next we prove Theorem 8.

Proof of Theorem 8. Since the case $k = 2$ is just Theorem 7, we assume that $k \geq 3$ and let E be a foliated S^1 -bundle of class C^2 over T^k defined by mutually commuting diffeomorphisms $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \text{Diff}_+^2(S^1)$. Since E is a trivial bundle as a differentiable S^1 -bundle, there is a cross-section $\sigma: T^k \rightarrow E$.

σ defines an isomorphism $E \cong T^k \times S^1$. Now the Godbillon-Vey class of the foliation on E , $gv(E)$, lies in $H^3(E; \mathbb{R}) \cong H^3(T^k; \mathbb{R}) \oplus H^2(T^k; \mathbb{R}) \otimes H^1(S^1; \mathbb{R})$. However Herman's result (Theorem 7) implies that the second component of $gv(E)$ is zero. Now let $\tilde{E} = T^k \times \mathbb{R}$ be the covering space of $E = T^k \times S^1$ corresponding to the subgroup $\pi_1(T^k) \subset \pi_1(E)$. Then the projection $\pi : \tilde{E} \rightarrow E$ induces a codimension one foliation on \tilde{E} . In fact \tilde{E} has the structure of locally trivial foliated \mathbb{R} -bundle over T^k defined by mutually commuting diffeomorphisms $\tilde{u}_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_k \in \text{Diff}_+^2(\mathbb{R})$, where \tilde{u}_1 is a suitable lift of u_1 to \mathbb{R} defined by the cross-section σ . Hence $gv(\tilde{E}) = 0$ by Theorem 9. Therefore we obtain $\pi^*(gv(E)) = gv(\tilde{E}) = 0$. Now since $gv(E)$ lies in $H^3(T^k; \mathbb{R}) \subset H^3(E; \mathbb{R})$ as remarked before, we conclude $gv(E) = 0$. q.e.d.

5. Proof of THEOREM.

Let M be a compact smooth manifold, F a codimension one foliation of class C^2 over M and assume that F is without holonomy. Then by Proposition 1, there is a locally trivial foliated \mathbb{R} -bundle E over M defined by a homomorphism $\chi : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_+^2(\mathbb{R})$ and an imbedding of M in E transverse to the codimension one foliation on E such that the induced foliation on M coincides with the original one F . Moreover $\text{Image}(\chi)$ is abelian. Therefore by Theorem 9, we conclude that $gv(E) = 0$. Then by the naturality of the Godbillon-Vey class, we obtain $gv(F) = 0$. This completes the proof of THEOREM. We could also use Proposition 1' and Theorem 8 instead of Proposition 1 and Theorem 9. q.e.d.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bott, R., On some formulas for the characteristic classes of group-actions, Differential Topology, Foliations and Gelfand-Fuks Cohomology, Proceedings, Rio de Janeiro 1976, Springer Lecture Notes vol.652, Berlin, 1978.
- [2] Cartan, H. and S. Eilenberg, Homological Algebra, Princeton, 1956.
- [3] Godbillon, C. and J. Vey, Un invariant des feuilletages de codimension 1, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 273 (1971), 92-95.
- [4] Herman, M., The Godbillon-Vey invariant of foliations by planes of T^3 , Geometry and Topology, Rio de Janeiro 1976, Springer Lecture Notes vol:597, Berlin, 1977.
- [5] Imanishi, H., On the theorem of Denjoy-Sacksteder for codimension one foliations without holonomy, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 14 (1974), 607-634.
- [6] Morita, S., On characteristic classes of foliated S^1 and R -bundles, in preparation.
- [7] Novikov, S. P., Topology of foliations, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 14 (1965), A.M.S. Translation (1967), 268-304.

Department of Mathematics
 College of General Education
 University of Tokyo

Department of Mathematics
 Faculty of Science
 University of Tokyo