ON THE STRUCTURE OF EXPONENT SEMIGROUPS

Yuji Kobayashi

1. <u>Introduction</u>. Let S be a semigroup and let P be the multiplicative semigroup of all positive integers. The subset

$$E(S) = \{n \in P \mid (xy)^n = x^n y^n \text{ for all } x, y \in S\}$$

of P forms a subsemigroup of P and is called the <u>exponent</u> <u>semigroup</u> of S (Tamura [9]). If $m \in E(S)$ for some $m \ge 2$, we say S is an E-m semigroup. The structure of E-m semigroups has been studied by Nordahl [7] and Cherubini and Varisco [1]. However, the structure of E(S) itself had been veiled until a recent date except for the original results on order-bounded groups by Tamura [9]. Only recently, Clarke, Pfiefer and Tamura have proved that if $2 \in E(S)$, E(S) is equal to either P or $P \setminus \{3\}$ ([3]). Inspired by their work, Kobayashi [6] studied the case $3 \in E(S)$ and has determined the structure of such E(S) up to modulo 6.

On the analogy of the results on the case $3 \in E(S)$, we present in this paper two conjectures which describe the structure of the exponent semigroups containing m up to modulo m(m-1). Several results which support the validity of the conjectures are given. Above all, the structure of the exponent semigroups of finite semigroups is described. The exponent semigroups of separative (=right and left separative) semigroups, of 0-simple semigroups and of regular semigroups are completely determined.

Detailed proofs of the results will appear elsewhere.

2. <u>Conjecture</u> I. In this section an integer m≥2 is fixed and S is always an E-m semigroup. In view of [6, Theorem 1], it is not unnatural to make the following conjecture:

Conjecture I. If $k \in E(S)$ for some $k \ge 2$, then $\alpha m(m-1) + k \in E(S)$ for all $\alpha \ge 0$.

For some special k the conjecture is true, for example,

<u>Proposition</u> 1. If $k \in E(S)$ for some $k \ge 2$ such that $k \equiv 1$ (mod m-1), then $\alpha m(m-1)+k \in E(S)$ for all $\alpha \ge 0$.

Corollary (Cherubini and Varisco [1]). $\alpha m(m-1)+m \in E(S)$ for all $\alpha \ge 0$.

Proposition 2. $\alpha m(m-1)+1 \in E(S)$ for all $\alpha \ge 2$.

Using the propositions above, we can prove that the following weakened forms of Conjecture I are true.

Theorem 1. If $k \in E(S)$ for some $k \ge m^2$, then $\alpha m(m-1) + k \in E(S)$ for all $\alpha \ge 0$.

Theorem 1'. If $k \in E(S)$, then $\alpha m(m-1)+k \in E(S)$ for all $\alpha \ge 2k$.

3. <u>Conjecture</u> II. S continues to be an E-m semigroup in this section. Naturally, Theorem 1' urges us to define the subset $\overline{E}_m(S)$ of $\mathbf{Z}_{m(m-1)}$ (the residue class ring modulo m(m-1) of the integers \mathbf{Z}) associated with S by

$$\overline{E}_{m}(S) = \{\overline{n} \in \mathbf{Z}_{m(m-1)} \mid n \in E(S), n \ge 2\},$$

where \overline{n} denotes the class of n modulo m(m-1). $\overline{E}_m(S)$ is a multiplicative subsemigroup of $\mathbf{Z}_{m(m-1)}$ and we call it the exponent semigroup mod m(m-1) of S. For an integer $n \ge 1$ we define two subsets M(n) and N(n) of P by

$$M(n) = \{kn+1, kn+n \mid k=0,1,2,...\},$$

$$N(n) = \{kn+1 \mid k=0,1,2,...\},\$$

and two subsets $\overline{M}_m(n)$ and $\overline{N}_m(n)$ of $\mathbf{Z}_{m(m-1)}$ by

$$\overline{M}_{m}(n) = \{\overline{kn}, \overline{kn+1} \mid k=0,1,2,\ldots\},$$

$$\overline{N}_{m}(n) = \{\overline{kn+1} \mid k=0,1,2,...\}.$$

Conjecture II. A subset \overline{E} of $\mathbf{Z}_{m(m-1)}$ ($m \ge 2$) is an exponent semigroup mod m(m-1) of some E-m semigroup if and only if \overline{E} is expressed as

$$\overline{E} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{s} \overline{M}_{m}(n_{i}) \bigcap \overline{N}_{m}(n)$$

for a finite number of integers $n_1, \dots, n_s \ge 2$ and $n \ge 1$ such that

$$n_i \mid m$$
 or $n_i \mid (m-1)$ for $i=1,...,s$

and

$$n \mid (m-1)$$
.

The results [6] on E-3 semigroups support the conjecture. Cherubini and Varisco [2] have shown that the conjecture is true for $m \le 9$. The "if" part of the conjecture is true (see Theorem 3 in §5). For that reason we say "Conjecture II is true for S" to mean " $\overline{\mathbb{E}}_{m}(S)$ is expressible as (#) in Conjecture II for $m \in E(S)$ ($m \ge 2$)". One of the main results in this paper is that Conjecture II is true for finite semigroups (see Corollary 1 of Theorem 4 in §6).

4. <u>Separative semigroups</u>. Following Petrich [8], we call a semigroup S <u>separative</u> if $x^2=xy$ and $y^2=yx$ imply x=y, and $x^2=yx$ and $y^2=xy$ imply x=y, for all $x,y\in S$.

Theorem 2. Let S be a separative semigroup. Then E(S) is equal to either $\{1\}$ or

$$(##) \qquad \qquad \bigcap_{i=1}^{S} M(m_{i})$$

for a finite number of integers $m_1, \ldots, m_s \ge 2$. Conversely, for any subset E of P given as (##), there is a finite group G such that E = E(G).

We mention here only the second assertion of the theorem. In an elementary way it is shown that for the assertion we may only consider the case E=M(m), where m is either a prime power or a product of two distinct primes. The following examples show the existence of desired groups in this case.

Example 1. Let p be a prime and $e \ge 1$. Let G be the group of 3×3 matrices over \mathbf{Z}_{p^e} given by

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & c \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| a,b,c \in \mathbf{Z}_{p^e} \right\}.$$

Then we have

$$E(G) = \begin{cases} M(p^{e}) & \text{if } p \neq 2 \\ M(2^{e+1}) & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Example 2. Let p and q be distinct primes. Let G be a group defined by a set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_q, y\}$ of generators and the following defining relations:

(1)
$$x_1^p = \cdots = x_q^p = y^q = 1$$
,

- (2) $x_i x_j = x_j x_i$ (i,j=1,...,q),
- (3) $yx_1=x_2y$, ..., $yx_{q-1}=x_qy$, $yx_q=x_1y$.

Then we have E(G) = M(pq).

Corollary 1. Let S be a separative semigroup. If m,n $\in E(S)$ for some m,n ≥ 2 such that (m(m-1), n(n-1)) = 2, then S is commutative.

A semigroup S is called <u>finite-subdirectly irreducible</u> if for any congruences ρ and σ on S, $\rho \cap \sigma = \iota$ (the equality relation) implies $\rho = \iota$ or $\sigma = \iota$. The result [9, Proposition 6.1] on order-bounded groups is generalized as follows.

Corollary 2. Let S be a finite-subdirectly irreducible separative semigroup. Then E(S) is either {1} or M(m) for some $m \ge 2$.

Remark. Since an E-m inverse semigroup is separative by [7, Corollary 1.12], the same conclusions as in Theorem 2 and its corollaries hold for inverse semigroups.

5. 0-simple semigroups. Using Theorem 2 and [7, Proposition 1.6], we can get

Theorem 3. Let S be a 0-simple semigroup. Then E(S) is equal to either $\{1\}$ or

$$(###) \qquad \qquad \bigcap_{i=1}^{s} M(m_i) \bigcap N(m)$$

for a finite number of integers $m_1, \dots, m_s \ge 2$ and $m \ge 1$. Conversely,

for any subset E of P given as (###), there is a completely simple finite semigroup S such that E = E(S).

By the second assertion of Theorem 3, we can say that every type of exponent semigroups supposed in Conjecture II comes from completely simple finite semigroups.

Corollary. Let S be a simple semigroup. Then

- (1) If $m,n \in E(S)$ such that (m-1, n-1) = 1, then S is a rectangular group.
- (2) If $m,n \in E(S)$ such that (m(m-1), n(n-1)) = 2 and $2 \mid mn$, then S is a rectangular abelian group.
- 6. <u>Finite semigroups</u>. To prove that Conjecture II is true for finite semigroups, we need to study the exponent semigroups of two special ideal extensions.

<u>Proposition</u> 3. Let N be a null semigroup and T be any semigroup with 0. Let S be an ideal extension of N by T. If S is an E-m semigroup, then $\overline{E}_m(S) = \overline{E}_m(T)$.

Theorem 4. Let U be a [0-]simple semigroup and T be any semigroup with 0. Let S be an ideal extension of U by T. Then, either $E(S) = \{1\}$ or

$$E(S) = E(U) \bigcap E(T) \bigcap N(\ell)$$

for some $l \ge 1$.

The proof of Theorem 4 is done by a calculation using the normalized expression of the translational hull of a completely simple semigroup due to Clifford and Petrich [4].

By a <u>principal series</u> of a semigroup S, we mean a finite chain

$$s=s_1 \subseteq s_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq s_r \subseteq s_{r+1} = \emptyset$$

of ideals S_i of S such that there is no ideal of S strictly between S_i and S_{i+1} . The Rees quotient S_i/S_{i+1} is either [0-]simple or null. If S_i/S_{i+1} is [0-]simple (resp. completely [0-]simple) for every i, then we say S is <u>semisimple</u> (resp. [0-]simple) (see [5], Chapter 2.6 and Chapter 6.6]).

Corollary 1. Conjecture II is true for semigroups with principal series, especially for finite semigroups.

Corollary 2. Let S be a semisimple semigroup with a principal series. If $E(S) \neq \{1\}$, then S is completely semisimple and E(S) is expressed as (##) in Theorem 3 in §5.

7. Regular semigroups. Using Corollary 2 of Theorem 4, we can prove

Theorem 5. Let S be a semilattice of simple semigroups. Then E(S) is either equal to $\{1\}$ or expressed as (##) in Theorem 3.

Since an E-m regular semigroup is a semilattice of simple semigroups by [7, Corollary 1.11], we get

Corollary. Let S be a regular semigroup, then E(S) is either {1} or expressed as (##) in Theorem 3.

References

- [1] A.Cherubini Spoletini and A.Varisco, Some properties of E-m semigroups, Semigroup Forum 17 (1979), 153-161.
- [2] ——, The exponent semigroup of an E-m semigroup, preprint.
- [3] J.Clarke, R.Pfiefer and T.Tamura, Identities E-2 and exponentiality, Proc. Japan Acad. 55 (1979), 250-251.
- [4] A.H.Clifford and M.Petrich, Some classes of completely regular semigroups, J. of Algebra 46 (1977), 462-480.
- [5] A.H.Clifford and G.B.Preston, The algebraic theory of semigroups, Math. Surveys 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Vol.I (1961), Vol.II (1967).
- [6] Y.Kobayashi, The exponent semigroup of a semigroup satisfying $(xy)^3=x^3y^3$, Semigroup Forum 19 (1980), 323-330.
- [7] T.Nordahl, Semigroups satisfying $(xy)^m = x^m y^m$, Semigroup Forum 8 (1974), 332-346.
- [8] M.Petrich, Introduction to semigroups, Merrill Publishing Co., Columbus, 1973.
- [9] T.Tamura, Complementary semigroups and exponent semigroups of order bounded groups, Math. Nachr. 49 (1973), 17-34.

Faculty of Education Tokushima University Tokushima, Japan