

58

On the Higman-Sims simple group of

$$\text{order } 44,352,000 = 2^9 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$$

$$= 176 \cdot 175 \cdot 10 \cdot 9 \cdot 8 \cdot 2$$

木村 浩 (北大理)

Let y be a field automorphism of $\text{PGL}(2, q^2)$ of order 2, where q is a power of an odd prime number.

Theorem. Let G be a 2-transitive group on $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, n is even. If $G_{1,2}$ is $\text{PGL}(2, q^2)\langle y \rangle$, then either

- (1) G has a RNS, or
- (2) $q = 3$, $n = 176$ and G is the Higman-Sims simple group.

I gave an outline of a proof of this theorem

Notation: Let X be a subset of a permutation group Y .

Let $F(X)$ denote the set of all fixed points of X and $\alpha(X)$ be the number of points in $F(X)$. $N_Y(X)$ acts on $F(X)$.

Let $\chi_1(X)$ and $\chi(X)$ be the kernel of this representation and its image, respectively.

1. Properties of $\text{PGL}(2, q^2)\langle y \rangle$.

Let t' be an element of $\text{PGL}(2, q^2)$ of order $q^2 - 1$ and x be an involution of $\text{PSL}(2, q^2)$ which normalizes $\langle t' \rangle$. Set $\langle s \rangle = O_2(\langle t' \rangle)$ and $\langle t \rangle = O(\langle t' \rangle)$. We may assume $\langle t' \rangle^y = \langle t' \rangle$ and $[x, y] = 1$. Let τ be a unique involution of $\langle s \rangle$.

- (1.1) $\langle y, s \rangle$ is quasi-dihedral if $4 \nmid q-1$ and $\langle yx, s \rangle$ is quasi-dihedral if $4 \mid q-1$

(1.2) $\text{PGL}(2, q^2) \langle y \rangle$ has 3 classes of involutions.

τ , y and xs are representatives of these classes.

2. Let I be an involution of G with the cycle structure $(1, 2) \dots$. Then I normalizes $G_{1,2}$ and we may assume $[I, G_{1,2}] = 1$. By (1,2) every involution of G is conjugate to I , $I\tau$, Ixs or Iy .

3. Conjugation of involutions.

Lemma 3.1. $\tau \sim y, xs$.

Lemma 3.2. $y \sim xs$

By Lemma 3.1 and a theorem of Witt $\chi(\tau)$ is 2-transitive on $F(\tau)$.

4. Structure of $\chi(\tau)$.

Let \bar{g} denote the image in $\chi(\tau)$ of an element g of $C_G(\tau)$.

Lemma 4.1. $\chi(\bar{x})$ is 2-transitive on $F(\bar{x})$.

Let T be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\chi_1(\tau)$ contained in $S = \langle x, s, y \rangle$.

By the structure of $\chi(\tau)_{1,2}$ we have the following cases.

(I) $s^2 \notin T \triangleleft \langle s \rangle$

(II) $s^2 \in T \triangleleft \langle s \rangle$

(III) $T \subset \langle s \rangle$.

Lemma 4.5. $\chi(\tau) \supset \text{RNS} \Rightarrow I \sim \tau$.

Lemma 4.6. $\chi(\tau) \supset \text{RNS} \Rightarrow q = 3$

$Iy \sim \tau$

Lemma 4.7. $\alpha(\tau)$ is a power of 2 $\Rightarrow IXs \sim \tau$.

Lemma 4.12. $q = 3$ or $\bar{t} \neq 1$.

Lemma 4.4. In the case (I) $T = \begin{matrix} \langle xys^j \rangle & \text{or} & \langle ys^j \rangle \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [s^j, xy]=1 & & [y, s^j]=1 \end{matrix}$

where $|s^j| = 4$, and $\chi(\tau) \supset \text{RNS}$.

5. The case (I)

Lemma 5.1. $q = 3 \Rightarrow G$ is H-S

Lemma 5.2. $q \neq 3 \Rightarrow G \supset \text{RNS}$.

6. The case (II)

There is no group satisfying the conditions in Theorem.

7. The case (III).

Lemma 7.10. $T = \langle \tau \rangle$.

Lemma 7.11. $\chi(\tau) \supset \text{RNS} \Rightarrow q \neq 3$.

Lemma 7.12. $\chi(\bar{x}) \supset \text{RNS}$.

From these lemmas we have that G has a RNS.

8. By the proof of Theorem we have the following.

Corollary. Let G be as in Theorem. If G has a RNS, the following conditions are satisfied.

(1) $n = \alpha(\tau)^2 = \alpha(y)^2$.

(2) $\bar{e} \neq 1$, and

(3) $\chi_1(\tau) = \langle xys' \rangle$ if $\langle y, s \rangle$ is quasi-dihedral,

$\chi_1(\tau) = \langle ys' \rangle$ if $\langle xy, s \rangle$ is quasi-dihedral

or

$\chi_1(\tau) = \langle \tau \rangle$, where s' is an element of $\langle s \rangle$ of order 4.

Important theorems for the proof of Theorem are the following:

1. Theorems of Aschbacher.

- (i) Doubly transitive groups in which the stabilizer of two points is abelian, J. Alg. 18 (1971).

(ii) 2-transitive groups whose 2-point stabilizer has 2-rank 1, J. Alg. 36 (1975).

2. A theorem of Baer.

Engelsche Elemente noethersche Gruppen, Math. Ann. 133 (1957).

3. A Theorem of Bender.

Endliche z weifach transitive Permutationsgruppen, deren Involutionen keine Fixpunkte haben, Math. Z. 104 (1968).

4. A theorem of Huppert.

Z weifach transitive, auflösbare Permutationsgruppen, Math. Z. 68 (1957).

5. Degree formula (Ito-Nagao-Kimura).

Let G be a 2-transitive group on $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let ζ be an involution of $G_{1,2}$. Let $\beta(\zeta)$ be the number of involutions of G with cycle structures $(1,2)\dots$ which are conjugate to ζ and $\alpha(\zeta)$ be the number of involutions of $G_{1,2}$ which are conjugate to ζ . Then

$$n = \frac{\beta(\zeta)}{\alpha(\zeta)} \alpha(\zeta)(\alpha(\zeta)-1) + \alpha(\zeta), \text{ and}$$

$$|C_G(\zeta)| = \alpha(\zeta)(\alpha(\zeta)-1) \frac{|G_{1,2}|}{\alpha(\zeta)}.$$

Degree formula is useful in a proof of Theorem.

As an example we shall prove Lemma 3.1. and the case (I).

Proof of Lemma 3.1.

	τ	y	xs
$ G_{1,2}: C_{G_{1,2}}(\zeta) $	$\frac{q^2(q^2+1)}{2}$	$q(q^2+1)$	$\frac{q^2(q^2-1)}{2}$
($q = 3$)	45	30	36)

(1) Assume $\tau \sim y \not\sim xs$. Since $\gamma(\tau) = |G_{1,2}|(1/4(q^2 - 1) + 1/2q(q^2 - 1)) = |G_{1,2}|(q + 2)/4q(q^2 - 1)$,

$$|C_G(\tau)| = 4i(i - 1)q(q^2 - 1)/(q + 2) \text{ by the degree formula.}$$

We next prove $\chi(\tau)$ is a rank 3 permutation group on $F(\tau)$ with subdegrees 1, $q(i - 1)/q + 2$ and $2(i - 1)/q + 2$. Consider the length of the $C_{G_1}(\tau)$ -orbit containing the point 2.

$$|2^{C_{G_1}(\tau)}| = |C_{G_1}(\tau) : C_{G_{1,2}}(\tau)|$$

$$= |C_G(\tau) : C_{G_{1,2}}(\tau)| / |C_G(\tau) : C_{G_1}(\tau)|$$

$$= i(i - 1)q/i'(q + 2), \text{ where } i' = |C_G(\tau) : C_{G_1}(\tau)|. \text{ Similarly}$$

$$|2^{C_{G_1}(y)}| = 2i(i - 1)/i''(q + 2), \text{ where } i'' = |C_G(y) : C_{G_1}(y)|.$$

Set $y^g = \tau$ with g in G . If $1^{C_G(\tau)}$ and $(1^{C_G(y)})^g$ are different $C_G(\tau)$ -orbits, then $i - 1 \leq i(i - 1)q/i'(q + 2)$

$+ 2i(i - 1)/i''(q + 2) \leq i - 2$, a contradiction. Thus

$$1^{C_G(\tau)} = (1^{C_G(y)})^g (= 1^{gC_G(\tau)}) \text{ and } i' = i''. \text{ Since } |2^{C_{G_1}(\tau)}|$$

$\neq |2^{C_{G_1}(y)g}|$, $C_{G_1}(\tau)$ has at least three orbits of length 1,

$$|2^{C_{G_1}(\tau)}| \text{ and } |2^{C_{G_1}(y)}|. \text{ Thus } i - 1 \leq i(i - 1)q/i'(q + 2)$$

$+ 2i(i - 1)/i'(q + 2) \leq i - 1$. Hence $i = i'$ and $\chi(\tau)$ is of

rank 3. $C_{G_{1,2}}(y)$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $C_{G_1}(\tau)$. Since

$\langle s, x, y \rangle$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $C_{G_1}(\tau)$ and $u^2 = \tau$ for every element u in $\langle s, x, y \rangle$ of order 4, a square of every element in $C_{G_{1,2}}(y)$ of order 4 is y . On the other hand $C_{G_{1,2}}(y)$ is isomorphic to $\text{PGL}(2, q) \times \langle y \rangle$, a contradiction.

(2). Assume $\tau \sim xs \sim y$. As in the case (1), $\chi(\tau)$ is also of rank 3. Thus $C_{G_{1,2}}(xs)$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $C_{G_1}(\tau)$. A Sylow 2-subgroup of $C_{G_{1,2}}(xs)$ is $\langle xs \rangle \times Z_4$, which is a contradiction.

(3). Assume $\tau \sim y \sim xs$. As in the case (1), $\chi(\tau)$ is of rank 4 and we have also a contradiction. This proves the lemma.

The case I

Lemma 5.1. In the case I G is the Higman-Sims simple group if $\bar{t} = 1$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.12 $q = 3$. By Lemma 4.4 T is $\langle xys^2 \rangle$, $\langle \bar{x}, \bar{s} \rangle$ is dihedral of order 8 and $\chi(\tau)$ has a RNS. Since $\chi(\tau)_{1,2}$ is non-abelian, $\chi(\tau)$ is not solvable by [7]. By Lemma 4.1 $\chi(\tau)_1$ has two classes of involutions. By [5. Theorem 7.7.3] $\chi(\tau)_1$ has a subgroup \bar{X} of index 2 and $\bar{X}_{1,2}$ is a four-group. By [1] $\chi(\tau)$ is a semi-direct product of V by $\text{PSL}(2, 4)$, where V is a 2-dimensional vector space over the field $\text{GF}(4)$ of 4 elements, and $i = 16$. By Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.7 τ is not conjugate to I or Ixs .

By Lemma 3.3 $\chi(y)$ is a rank 3 group on $F(y)$ with subdegrees 1, $5(\alpha(y) - 1)/11$ and $6(\alpha(y) - 1)/11$. Set $\alpha(y) = 11m + 1$. If $\tau \sim I\tau \sim Iy$, $\gamma(\tau) = \beta(\tau)$ and $n = 16^2$. Since $\gamma(y) = 66$, by the degree formula $11m(11m + 1) \beta(y)/66 + 11m + 1 = 16^2$, where $\beta(y)$ is a sum of elements in a subset of $\{1, 30, 36\}$. A calculation yields that there exists no integer m satisfying the above condition. Similarly we have a contradiction in the case $\tau \sim I\tau \sim Iy$. Thus $\tau \sim Iy \not\sim I\tau$, $y \sim I \not\sim I\tau \sim Ixs$, $\chi(y) = 12$ and $n = 30 \cdot 16 \cdot 15/45 + 16 = 176$.

Finally we shall prove the simplicity of G . Let N be a minimal normal subgroup. If N is Frobenius group, G has

a RNS and n must be a power of 2. Therefore $N_{1,2}$ contains $\text{PSL}(2, 9)$. If $N_{1,2} = \text{PSL}(2, 9)$, the image in $\chi(\tau)_{1,2}$ of $C_N(\tau)_{1,2}$ is $\langle \bar{x}, \bar{s}^2 \rangle$ since $\chi_1(\tau) = \langle xys^2 \rangle$. We have $\bar{x} \sim \bar{s}^2$ since $\chi(\tau)_1 = \text{PFL}(2, 4)$. This contradicts Lemma 4.1. If $N_{1,2} = \text{PSL}(2, 9)\langle ys \rangle$, the image in $\chi(\tau)_{1,2}$ of $C_N(\tau)_{1,2}$ is isomorphic to $\langle x, s^2, ys \rangle / \langle \tau \rangle$, a contradiction. Thus $N_{1,2}$ contains y . Since $y \sim xs$, $N_{1,2} = G_{1,2}$. Since $\chi(\tau)$ is generated by \bar{x} and $\bar{s}\chi(\tau)$, the image in $\chi(\tau)$ of $C_N(\tau)$ is $\chi(\tau)$, that is, $C_N(\tau) = C_G(\tau)$. Since $Z(\langle x, s, y \rangle) = \langle \tau \rangle$, $N_{G_1}(\langle x, s, y \rangle)$ is contained in $C_{G_1}(\tau)$. By the Frattini argument $G_1 = N_1 N_{G_1}(\langle x, s, y \rangle) = N_1$. Thus $G = N$. By ^{Parrott-Wong} G is the Higman-Sims simple group. This completes a proof of Lemma 5.1.

Lemma 5.2. In the case I G has a RNS if $\bar{t} \neq 1$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.4 $\chi(\tau)$ has a RNS. Therefore by Lemma 4.5-Lemma 4.7 τ is not conjugate to I , Iy or Ixs and $\tau \sim I\tau$. By the degree formula $n = i^2$. By Lemma 3.2 $\gamma(y) = \text{even}$. Since $\alpha(y)$ is even, $\beta(y)$ must be even by the degree formula. Thus $y \sim I$ and $\alpha(I) = 0$. Assume $\langle y, s \rangle$ is quasis-dihedral. \bar{I} is contained in a RNS of $\chi(\tau)$. By Lemma 4.1 \bar{x} is not conjugate to \bar{s}^j . If $\bar{s}^j \sim \bar{x}$, $\chi(\tau)_1$ has three classes of involutions, it is solvable by [5, Theorem 7.7.3] and so is $\chi(\tau)$. This contradicts [8]. Thus $\bar{s}^j \not\sim \bar{x}$. Since $[\chi(\tau)_{1,2} : C_{\chi(\tau)_{1,2}}(\bar{u})]$ is

even, where \bar{u} is \bar{x} or \overline{xs} and it is 1 for $\bar{u} = \bar{s}^j$, $\gamma(\bar{s}^j)$ is odd and $\gamma(\bar{x})$ is even. By the degree formula $\beta(\bar{s}^j)$ is odd and $\beta(\bar{x})$ is even, that is, $\overline{\bar{s}^j} \sim \bar{s}^j$. Since $Is^jT = \{Is^j, Is^j\tau, Ixy, Ixy\tau\}$ and $s^jT = \{s^j, s^j\tau, xy, xy\tau\}$, $Ixy \sim xy$ and hence $Iy \sim y$. Since $xT = \{x, x\tau, ys^j, ys^j\tau\}$ and $IxsT = \{Ixs, Ixs\tau, Iys^{1+j}, Iys^{1+j}\tau\}$ $\bar{x} \sim \overline{Ixs}$. Therefore $\overline{Ixs} \sim \overline{\bar{s}^j}$ since $\alpha(\overline{Ixs}) > 0$, that is, $Iy \sim Ixs$. This prove that $IG_{1,2}$ contains a unique fixed point free involution I and n is a power of 2. By Lemma 2.2 G has a RNS. This completes a proof of the lemma.