ONE METHOD OF REPRESENTATIONS OF INVERSE SEMIGROUPS

Jan Kastl

MOTIVATION.

Any inverse semigroup is isomorphic with the inverse semigroup of partial injective transformations of a set. Generalizing this characterization we study categories of partial injective mappings between sets (closed also under inverse partial mappings). Such categories (called inverse categories) can be defined by the same way as inverse semigroups — categories with unique generalized inverses. But the feature of categories can conversely bring a new view of inverse semigroups.

ABSTRACT.

Analogously to the inverse semigroups, inverse categories are such categories with generalized inverses the idempotents of which commute. We may transform any inverse category (inverse semigroup, in particular) to the category of isomorphisms and build a representation of the inverse category from a functor F of this iso-category into sets. Such representation of inverse category by the injective mappings is called a canonical F-representation, or canonical regular representation for a special type of the functor F. It holds that any representation of the inverse category by partial injective mappings is a factorization of its canonical regular representation. This factorization is specified by the relations on sets < that we can roughly describe as quasiorderings preserved by the partial mappings from the canonical representation.

Section 1 of the control of the cont

I. INVERSE CATEGORIES

In this paper we shall use the nonobjective definition of category.

A category (X, .) is a class X with the partial operation . satisfying the following axioms.

- 1) For any $x,y,z \in X$ the whole equality (x.y).z = x.(y.z) is defined and holds true if either at least one side of it is defined or the both expressions x.y, y.z are defined.
- 2) For any $x \in X$ units d_x, r_x of partial operation exist such that $d_x \cdot x \cdot r_x$ is defined.
- 3) Only the set of elements $x \in X$ that $j_1 \cdot x \cdot j_2$ is defined exists for arbitrary units j_1, j_2 of . .

/ j is a unit of partial operation (X, .) iff for $\forall x, y \in X$ j.x is defined \Longrightarrow j.x = x , y.j is defined \Longrightarrow y.j = y .

The class of all units of (X, .) is denoted by J(X, .) . /

Note there exist unique units d_x, r_x for any morphism x of the category (X, .) .

For $j \in J(X, \cdot)$ $d_j = j = r_j$; for $\forall x, y \in X$ $x \cdot y$ is defined iff $r_x = d_y$; $d_{x \cdot y} = d_x$, $r_{x \cdot y} = r_y$ -- [5].

A morphism f is called an idempotent if f.f = f holds true. The class of all idempotents of (X, .) is denoted by I(X, .) / $J(X, .) \subseteq I(X, .)$ /.

DEFINITION.

A morphism z of the category (X,.) is called a generalized inverse of $x \in X$ provided that x.z.x = x

and z.x.z = z (are defined and) hold true.

A category (X,.) is called an inverse category provided that any morphism $x \in X$ has a unique generalized inverse \bar{x} .

/ Let us note
$$d_{\overline{x}} = r_{x}$$
, $r_{\overline{x}} = d_{x}$. /

The inverse categories (X, .) where . is a total operation on the set X are exactly the inverse semigroups with unit.

PROPOSITION 1.

A category (X, \cdot) is an inverse category if and only if any morphism $x \in X$ has a generalized inverse and the idempotents of (X, \cdot) commute.

<u>Proof.</u> Let (X, \cdot) be an inverse category. For any idempotent $f \in X$ $\overline{f} = f$ holds. Let the composition of two idempotents f.g be defined in (X, \cdot) . $/d_f = r_f = d_g = r_g$ /
The morphism $b = g.\overline{fg}.f$ is an idempotent. Namely, $g.\overline{fg}.f.g.\overline{fg}.f = g.\overline{fg}.f$. And $b.fg.b = g\overline{fg}ffgg\overline{fg}f = g\overline{fg}fg\overline{fg}f = g$ = b, $fg.b.fg = fgg\overline{fg}ffg = fg$, so b = fg is the unique generalized inverse of the idempotent b. fgfg = fg = gbf = gfgf. By the same reason gfgf = gf.

Conversely, let us suppose that the category (X, .) has generalized inverses and if for any $f,g \in I(X,.)$ f.g is defined, then $f \cdot g = g \cdot f$. Notice, if z is a generalized inverse of x , xz , zx are idempotents. For generalized inverses z_1, z_2 of one morphism x we may write: $z_1 = z_1 x z_1 = z_1 x z_2 x z_1 x z_2 x z_1 = z_2 x z_1 x \cdot z_1 \cdot x z_1 x z_2 = z_2 x z_2 = z_2$.

It holds in the inverse category $\bar{x} = x$, $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} = \bar{y} \cdot \bar{x}$.

In arbitrary category (X,.) we can define Green's

relations $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{D}$ quite analogously to the semigroups. \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} -- morphisms of (X, .),

 \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{R} are equivalences on X which commute. (For any $a,b \in X$) $\exists c \in X$ $a\mathcal{L} c\mathcal{R} b \iff \exists d \in X$ $a\mathcal{R} d\mathcal{L} b$. Actually, $a\mathcal{L} c\mathcal{R} b$ signifies xa = c = bz, yc = a, cv = b, then ycv = yb = av, ycvz = ybz = a, xycv = xav = b signifies $a\mathcal{R} ycv\mathcal{L} b$. Analogously for the converse implication.

 $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}\cdot\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}\cdot\mathcal{L}$ is also an equivalence on X .

Let (X, \cdot) be an inverse category. For idempotents $f, g \in I(X, \cdot)$, $f \mathcal{L} g \iff f = g$. Namely, $f \mathcal{L} g \implies xf = g$, g = xff = gf, but also f = fg = gf.

Because for any morphism $a \in X$ $a \mathcal{L} \bar{a} \bar{a}$, it holds $a \mathcal{L} b \iff \bar{a} \bar{a} = b\bar{b}$. Analogously $a \mathcal{R} b \iff \bar{a} \bar{a} = b\bar{b}$. Then in the inverse category $a \mathcal{B} b \iff \exists d$ $a\bar{a} = d\bar{d}$, $\bar{d} d = \bar{b} b$.

We can generalize also the partial ordering of the inverse semigroup for an inverse category (X,.)

For a, b \in X a \leq b iff $a\bar{b} = a\bar{a}$ (is defined and holds).

Let us note $a\overline{b}=a\overline{a}\Longrightarrow \overline{b}a=\overline{a}a$ / $d_a=d_b$, $r_a=r_b$ /. $a\overline{b}=a\overline{a}=(\overline{a}\overline{b})=b\overline{a}$ and then $\overline{a}a=\overline{a}a\overline{b}b\overline{a}a=\overline{b}b\overline{a}a=\overline{b}a\overline{a}a=$ = $\overline{b}a$ (= $\overline{a}b$).

Then we can easily prove $a \le b \le c \implies a \le c$, $a \le b \le a \implies a = b$. \iff is a reflexive, transitive and antisymmetric relation on X.

For idempotents $f,g \in I(X,.)$, $f \leq g \iff fg = f$.

LEMMA 1.

The following holds for the elements of an inverse

- 1) $a \le b \implies \overline{a} \le \overline{b}$ 2) $a \le b$, $r_x = d_a$, $d_y = r_a \implies x.a.y \le x.b.y$ 3) $a \le f$, $f \in I(X,.) \implies a \in I(X,.)$ 4) For $\forall a \ge x\overline{x} \quad \exists ! \quad y \le x \quad \text{such that } a = y\overline{y}$

Proof. 3) a = aāa = affa = aāfa = aāaa = aa;

4) a $\in I(X, .)$ ax $\leq x\overline{x}x$, ax. $ax = ax\overline{x}a = a$ and any other y is $y = y\overline{y}y = y\overline{y}x = ax$.

The category of all partial mappings (PM,.) is the class of all tripls (f,A,B), where f is a partial mapping from the set A into the set B. The composition (f,A,B).(g,C,D) is defined if and only if B=C, and it is equal to (f.g,A,D). (f.g is the usual composition of relations.)

The subcategory of all injective partial mappings (PIM,.) is an inverse category -- $(f,A,B) = (f^{-1},B,A)$. Note that $(f,A,B) \leq (g,C,D)$ iff A = C, B = D, $f \subseteq g$.

II. CANONICAL REPRESENTATIONS

A functor F from the category (X,.) into the category (Y,.) is a mapping of the class X into Y satisfying:

- 1) For any $j \in J(X, .)$ (j) F is a unit of (Y, .) .
- 2) If x.y is defined, then (x.y)F = (x)F.(y)F is defined and holds ($x,y \in X$).

Let us note that the functor F satisfies $(d_x)F = d_{(x)F}$,

 $(r_x)F = r_{(x)F}$ / x ϵ X /. A functor from the inverse category into the inverse category preserves generalized inverses $(\bar{x})F = (\bar{x})F$, and also $x \leq y \implies (x)F \leq (y)F$.

If F is a functor from (X, \cdot) into (PM, \cdot) we can describe it fully by the pair of mappings Fm, Fo from X or from $J(X, \cdot)$, respectively, into sets such that

- 1) $\forall x \in X$ (x)Fm $\subseteq (d_x)$ Fo $\times (r_x)$ Fo ,
- 2) $\forall j \in J(X,.)$ (j) $Fm = id_{(j)}Fo$,
- 3) $\forall x,y \in X$, $x \cdot y$ is def. $(x \cdot y)$ Fm = (x)Fm $_{\circ}(y)$ Fm

$$(x)F = ((x)Fm, (d_x)Fo, (r_x)Fo)$$

Definition of iso-category (X,*)

Let (X, \cdot) be an inverse category. We will define a new partial operation \star on the class X .

x*y is defined if and only if $\overline{x} \cdot x = y \cdot \overline{y}$, and then $x*y = x \cdot y$ ($x,y \in X$) / $\overline{x}x = y\overline{y} \Longrightarrow r_X = d_y$ /.

The equalities $(x*y).(\overline{x*y}) = x.y.\overline{y}.\overline{x} = x\overline{x}x\overline{x} = x\overline{x}$, $(\overline{x*y}).(x*y) = \overline{y}y$ show that * is also a partial associative operation. $x\overline{x}*x = x$, $x*\overline{x}x = x$. It is to see that (X,*) is a category and J(X,*) = I(X,.). (X,*) is an iso-category because in (X,*), \overline{x} is an inverse morphism of x -- $x*\overline{x}$, $\overline{x}*x \in J(X,*)$.

From the previous we can easily conclude that for units $f,g\in J(X,*)$ there exists a morphism x that f*x*g is defined, if and only if $f\mathscr{D}g$. So, the Green's \mathscr{D} -classes devide (X,*) into connected subcategories.

PROPOSITION 2.

Let (X, \cdot) be an inverse category and F be a functor from (X, *) into (PM, \cdot) which satisfies

 $\begin{array}{lll} \forall f,g \in J(X,*) & (f) \text{Fo} \cap (g) \text{Fo} \neq \emptyset & \Longrightarrow f = g \\ &$

We call the functor ϕ a canonical F-representation of inverse category (X,.).

Note that ϕ satisfies the condition

$$\forall x,y \in X$$
 $(x) \varphi = (y) \varphi \implies (x)F = (y)F$.

<u>Proof.</u> Any (y)Fm is a bijection of the set $(y\overline{y})$ Fo onto the set $(\overline{y}y)$ Fo . According to Lemma 1 and disjunctive character of F , (x) ϕ m is a bijection of set $\bigcup_{z \leq x\overline{x}} (z)$ Fo onto $\bigcup_{u \leq \overline{x}x} (u)$ Fo .

For any $j \in J(X, \cdot)$ $(j) \oint m = \bigcup_{f \leq j} (f) Fm = \bigcup_{f \leq j} id_{(f)} Fo = id_{(j)} \oint o$

Let $x,y \in X$ now, and x,y be defined. $(x)\phi_{m,o}(y)\phi_{m} =$

= $\bigcup_{\substack{V = X \\ W = y}} (v) \text{Fm.}(w) \text{Fm.} \text{Because } (v) \text{Fm.}(w) \text{Fm} = \emptyset \text{ for any }$

$$v, w, \overline{v} \neq w\overline{w}$$
, $(x) \phi_{m_0}(y) \phi_{m} = \bigcup_{\substack{v \leq x, w \leq y, \\ v \neq w \text{ is def.}}} (v)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm} = \bigcup_{\substack{v \leq x, w \leq y, \\ v \neq w \text{ is def.}}} (v)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{Fm_0}(w)_{F$

 $= \bigcup_{\substack{V \leq X, W \leq Y \\ V \neq W \leq X \cdot Y}} (v * w) Fm$

But any $t \le x.y$ can be composed from $t\overline{y} * \overline{x}t$, where $t\overline{y} \le xy\overline{y} \le x$ and $\overline{x}t \le y$. Namely, $\overline{x}t\overline{y}.\overline{x}t\overline{y} = \overline{x}t\overline{t}t\overline{y} = \overline{x}t\overline{y} \in I(X,.)$, then we can easily compute $\overline{(t\overline{y})}t\overline{y} = y\overline{t}t\overline{y} = y\overline{y}\overline{x}t\overline{y} = \overline{x}t\overline{y} =$

Consequently $(x)\phi_{m\circ}(y)\phi_{m} = \bigcup_{t \leq x \cdot y} (t)F_{m} = (x \cdot y)\phi_{m}$.

 ϕ is a functor of (X, \cdot) into (PIM, \cdot) . Let us suppose now that $\exists x, y \in X$ such that $(x)\phi = (y)\phi$, $(x)F \neq (y)F$. The equality of partial bijections gives $\bigcup_{z \leq x\bar{x}} (z)Fo = \bigcup_{u \leq y\bar{y}} (u)Fo$, $\bigcup_{z \leq x\bar{x}} (z)Fo = \bigcup_{u \leq y\bar{y}} (u)Fo$.

Because $\emptyset \neq (x\bar{x})$ Fo $\subseteq \bigcup_{u \leq v\bar{v}} (u)$ Fo , there exists $u' \leq y\bar{y}$ $(x\bar{x})$ Fo \cap (u')Fo \neq \emptyset , $u'=x\bar{x} \leq y\bar{y}$; symmetrically $y\bar{y} \leq x\bar{x}$. Analogously also $\bar{x}x = \bar{y}y$. It shows $(x)Fm \neq (y)Fm$, which gives the contradiction $(x)\Phi m \neq (y)\Phi m$.

DEFINITION.

A functor $G:(X,.) \longrightarrow (PM,.)$ is a factorization of a functor $F:(X,.) \longrightarrow (PM,.)$ if there exist partial mappings b_{j} from (j)Fo onto (j)Go (j \in J(X,.)) that satisfy $\forall x \in X$ (d)(x)Gm is defined (in the point $d \in (d_x)$ Go) iff $\exists f \in (d_x)$ Fo $(f)b_{d_x} = d$ and (f)(x)Fm is defined, and then $(\mathcal{L})(x)Gm = ((\xi)(x)Fm)b_{r_x}$ holds (and has since).

Notice that the definition of factorization is indepen-and F'∼F"

It is also easy to show the relationship of factorization between two functors is transitive.

PROPOSITION 3.

Let ϕ be a canonical F-representation of an inverse category (X, .) . Let \ll be a relation on the sets (j) ϕ_0 satisfying

- 0) $f_1 < f_2 \Longrightarrow \exists j \in J(X,.)$ $f_1, f_2 \in (j) \phi_0$,
- 1) $\xi_1 \ll \xi_2$, $\xi_2 \ll \xi_3 \Longrightarrow \xi_1 \ll \xi_3$, 2) $\xi_1 \ll \xi_2$, $\xi_3 \ll \xi_2 \Longrightarrow \exists \xi_4 \xi_4 \ll \xi_1$, $\xi_4 \ll \xi_3$
- 3) $\xi_1 \ll \xi_2$ and if for some $x \in X$ $(x) \phi m$ is defined in the point $f_2 \implies (x) \phi_m$ is defined also for f_1 and $(\xi_1)(x)\phi_m \ll (\xi_2)(x)\phi_m$.

On any set $(j)\phi o$ we can define a partial equivalence

 f_j by $\eta_1 f_j \eta_2$ iff $\exists f f \leqslant \eta_1, f \leqslant \eta_2$ ($\eta_1, \eta_2 \in (j) \neq 0$).

Let (j) To be the set of all nonempty equivalence classes of f_j / $j \in J(X, \cdot)$ /. Then we can define for every $x \in X$ a partial mapping f_x from f_x from f_x or into f_x by following:

 Γ is a functor from the inverse category (X,.) into (PIM,.) and it is a factorization of the canonical F-representation φ .

Any factorization of φ can be obtained (up to a natural equivalence) by the described method.

Proof. The partial mapping (x) is defined correctly. If (x) is defined for $\xi', \xi'' \in [\xi]$, there exists ξ_4 $\xi' > \xi_4 < \xi''$ and $(by 3)) (\xi')(x)$ $(\xi_4)(x)$ $(\xi')(x)$ $(\xi')(x)$ especially $[(\xi')(x)$ is a nonempty class of the partial equivalence $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{x}}}$.

Moreover, (x) is an injective partial mapping. If we have $(\xi')(x)$ of f(x) is an injective partial mapping. If we have $(\xi')(x)$ of f(x) o

From the definition of $\lceil m \rceil$ it is easy to see that $(j)\lceil m = id_{(j)}\lceil o \rceil$ for any $j \in J(X, .)$ and $(x.y)\lceil m \subseteq (x)\lceil m_o(y)\lceil m \rceil$ for $x,y \in X$, x.y - def. To prove that $\lceil is$ a functor into (PIM, .) we need only to show $(x)\lceil m_o(y)\lceil m \subseteq (x.y)\lceil m \rceil$.

Let us consider $[\xi](x)\lceil m = [(\xi)(x)\phi m] = [\eta]$, $[\eta](y)\lceil m = [(\eta)(y)\phi m] . \text{ There exists } \eta' \quad (\xi)(x)\phi m > \eta' < \eta .$ Then $(\eta')(y)\phi m < (\eta)(y)\phi m$, $(\eta')(\overline{x})\phi m < ((\xi)(x)\phi m)(\overline{x})\phi m = \xi$

and it is defined $((m')(\bar{x}) \varphi_m)(x \cdot y) \varphi_m = ((m')(\bar{x}) \varphi_m)((x) \varphi_m \cdot (y) \varphi_m) = (m')(y) \varphi_m$. Actually $[\xi](x \cdot y) \Gamma_m = [\xi]((x) \Gamma_m \cdot (y) \Gamma_m) .$

Finally, Γ was defined as a factorization of φ with b_j from (j) φ 0 onto (j) Γ 0 sending $\xi \mapsto [\xi]$.

Conversely, if the functor Γ' is a factorization of the canonical F-representation φ , we may define on every set (j) φ o the relation \swarrow as follows.

We shall show firstly that for any $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in (j)$ ϕ_0 $(\eta_1)b_j = (\eta_2)b_j$ iff $\exists \xi \quad \eta_1 > \xi < \eta_2$.

It is to prove the implication when $(\eta_1)b_j = (\eta_2)b_j = \mathcal{L}$. In this case $(\eta_1)(f_{\eta_1})\phi m = \eta_1$ and $(\eta_2)(f_{\eta_2})\phi m = \eta_2$. By the definition of factorization we have $(\mathcal{L})(f_{\eta_1})\Gamma_m' = \mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L})(f_{\eta_2})\Gamma_m'$. Consequently, $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L})(f_{\eta_1}\cdot f_{\eta_2})\Gamma_m'$. But it shows: $f = (f)(f_{\eta_1}\cdot f_{\eta_2})\phi m$ must exist that $(f)b_j = \mathcal{L}$. So $f \in f_{\eta_1}\cdot f_{\eta_2}$ and actually $f \in f_{\eta_2}$.

We see easily that the relation \checkmark satisfies the conditions 0),1) and also 2). We shall prove 3). If $\not \in \not \cap$ and $(\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m$ is defined, it means $f_{\not \in} f_{\not \cap} = y \overline{y}$, $(\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m = (\not \cap)(y) \not \circ m$ where $y \not \in x$. By Lemma 1 there exists $v \not \in y$, $v \overline{v} = f_{\not \in}$ and then $(\not \in)(v) \not \circ m = (\not \in)(x) \not \circ m \cdot (\not \in)(x) \not \circ m \in (\overline{v}v) \not \circ m$, $(\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m \in (\overline{y}y) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m$ because $(\not \in) \not \circ m \not \circ m$ it must be $((\not \in)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = ((\not \cap)(x) \not \circ m) \not \circ m = (($

According to the proved part of the proposition, \prec defines factorization Γ of φ . We have also shown that a_j

defined by $[\xi] \longmapsto (\xi) b_j$ is a bijection of $(j) \Gamma o$ onto $(j) \Gamma o'$ / $j \in J(X,.)$ /. Comparing the definitions we see that Γ is the functor Γ' with "renamed" morphisms $(x)\Gamma$ -- for $\forall x \in X$ $(x)\Gamma$. $(a_{r_X},(r_X)\Gamma o,(r_X)\Gamma o')$ = $= (a_{d_X},(d_X)\Gamma o,(d_X)\Gamma o') . (x)\Gamma'.$

This brings the proof to a close.

PROPOSITION 4.

Any functor from inverse category (X,.) into (PIM,.) is the factorization of some canonical F-representation.

<u>Proof.</u> Let H be a functor from (X,.) into (PIM,.). We shall define the functor F from the iso-category (X,*) into (PM,.) by following:

- 1) if $(x)Hm \neq \emptyset$,
- (x)F = ((x)Fm, Im(x \bar{x})Hm×{x \bar{x} }, Im(\bar{x} x)Hm×{ \bar{x} x}), where ImA means { η ; $\exists \xi$ (ξ)A = η } and (x)Fm is defined by (ξ ,x \bar{x}) \longmapsto ((ξ)(x)Hm, \bar{x} x).
 - 2) if $(x)Hm = \emptyset$,

(x)F = ((x)Fm, $\{\xi\}\times\{x\overline{x}\},\{\xi\}\times\{\overline{x}x\}$), where (x)Fm maps $(\xi,x\overline{x})$ to $(\xi,\overline{x}x)$ and ξ is one fixed element such that $\xi\not\in \text{Im}(f)\text{Hm}$ for every $f\in I(X,\cdot)$.

Let us note to this definition that $(x)Hm = \emptyset$ iff $(x\overline{x})Hm = \emptyset$.

The equality $x = x.\overline{x}.x$ also gives $Im(x)Hm = Im(\overline{x}x)Hm$. Consider $(x)Hm^{-1} = (\overline{x})Hm$ / and $\overline{x} = x$ /, we see that (x)Hm is a bijection of the set $Im(x\overline{x})Hm$ onto $Im(\overline{x}x)Hm$ (identity, especially, for $x \in I(X,.)$). It shows easily that F is a functor from (X,*) into (PM,.).

The functor F satisfies the condition from Proposition 2.

We can build the functor φ -- canonical F-representation of (X, \cdot) and its factorization will be H · Let us define on the sets $\bigcup_{z \leftarrow j} (z)$ Fo relation \prec as

(f,f) < (g,g) iff $f = g \neq E$ and $f \leq g$. < evidently satisfies assumptions 0) and 1) from Proposition 3.

Consider that $f,g \in I(X,.)$ are idempotents, f.g is defined. If there is $f \in Im(f)Hm \cap Im(g)Hm$ then $f \in Im(f.g)Hm$. Namely, $(f.g)Hm = (f)Hm \cdot (g)Hm$ is the equality for partial identities warranted by property of the functor H. Then $(\xi,f) \triangleright (\xi,f.g) < (\xi,g)$.

This proves the assumption 2) (and will show that a j is injective).

Assumption 3). Suppose $(\xi,f) \ll (\xi,g)$, $(\xi,g)(x) \Leftrightarrow m$ is defined, $/x \in X$ /, i.e. $(\xi,g)(x) \Leftrightarrow m = (\xi,g)(gx) \Leftrightarrow m = ((\xi)(gx) + m, \overline{x}gx) / g \ll x\overline{x}$ /. Because $(\xi)(f) + m = \xi$, $(\xi)(gx) + m = (\xi)(f \cdot gx) + m = (\xi)(fx) + m$ (and also $= (\xi)(x) + m$). Hence $(\xi,f)(x) \Leftrightarrow m = ((\xi)(fx) + m, \overline{x}fx)$ is defined and $(\xi,f)(x) \Leftrightarrow m \ll (\xi,g)(x) \Leftrightarrow m$.

According to Proposition 3, \prec defines factorization Γ of φ . This functor Γ is natural equivalent with H .

Define the bijections a_j / $j \in J(X, .)$ / of $(j) \Gamma o$ onto (j) H o by $[(\xi, f)] \mapsto \xi$. Then $[(\xi, g)] ((x) \Gamma m \cdot a_{r_X}) = ((\xi)(x) H m, \bar{x} g' x) a_{r_X} = (\xi)(x) H m$ — defined iff $\exists g' \leq x \bar{x} \cdot g$ and $\xi \in Im(g') H m$; equivalently $\xi \in Im(x \bar{x}) H m$ (and $(\xi, g) \in (g) F o$).

But under the same condition, it is defined $[(\xi,g)] (a_{d_{x}} \circ (x) Hm) = (\xi)(x) Hm .$

We have verified (x) m \circ $a_{r_x} = a_{d_x} \circ (x)$ Hm and the proof is complete.

III. CANONICAL REGULAR REPRESENTATIONS

A special case of inverse category is a connected category of isomorphisms. Small connected iso-category is a Brandt's groupied; thin connected iso-category is the category (X,.) satisfying $\forall j_1, j_2 \in J(X,.) \exists ! x \in X$ $d_x = j_1, r_x = j_2$.

LEMMA 2.

Let (X,.) be a category. (X,.) is a connected isocategory iff it is isomorphic with the cartesian product of a group and a thin connected iso-category.

Proof. Let us fix one unit q of the connected isocategory (X, \cdot) . Choose for any $j \in J(X, \cdot)$ one morphism $m_j \in X$ satisfying $d_{m_j} = q$, $r_{m_j} = j$. The category (X, \cdot) is isomorphic with cartesian product of the group (G, \cdot) , $G = \{x \in X \; ; \; d_x = r_x = q\}$, . is the operation of (X, \cdot) , and of the thin connected iso-category (T, \cdot) , where $T = \{(j_1, j_2) \; ; \; j_1, j_2 \in J(X, \cdot)\}$ and $(j_1, j_2) \cdot (j_3, j_4) = (j_1, j_4)$ is defined iff $j_2 = j_3$.

Injective functor H of (X,.) onto $(G,.)\times(T,\cdot)$ can be defined by $(X,)H = (m_{d_X}.x.m_{r_X}^{-1},(d_X,r_X))$.

Conversely, it is trivial to conclude that the cartesian product of connected iso-categories is also a connected iso-category.

Call the representation C of a group (G,.) by inner right translations the Cayley's representation of group -- $(g)C = (f_g,G,G)$ / f_g maps $g_1 \mapsto g_1 \cdot g$ /. Let S be a functor from thin connected iso-category (T,.) into (PM,.)

which is injective (injective on $J(T, \cdot)$) and (ι)So $\neq \emptyset$ / $\iota \in J(T, \cdot)$ /.

We can define a product functor $C \times S$ from the category $(G,.) \times (T,\cdot)$ into (PM,.) by following

for units $(1, l)(C \times S)o = G \times (l)So$ / $l \in J(T, \cdot)$ /, for morphisms $(g,T)(C \times S)m = g \times (T)Sm$ / $g \in G, T \in T$ /.

DEFINITION.

Let H be an isomorphism of connected iso-category (X, onto product $(G, \cdot) \times (T, \cdot)$ of a group and a thin iso-category. The composition of H and the described product functor -- $R = H \cdot (C \times S)$ -- is called a regular representation of (X, .)

LEMMA 3.

Any functor F from a connected iso-category (X, .) into (PM, .) is factorization of a regular representation of (X, .).

<u>Proof.</u> Let us suppose that the iso-category (X,.) is directly $(G,.)\times(T,^{\bullet})$ and that F satisfies (1,j)Fo $\neq \emptyset$.

Choose one unit $\mathscr{U}\in J(\mathbb{T},^{\bullet})$ and define an equivalence m on the set $(1,\mathscr{U})$ Fo by

We shall define an injective functor S from (T,*) into (PM,.) as (ι)So = Y×{ ι } for $\iota \in J(T, \cdot)$ and ($\mathcal T$)Sm , for $\mathcal T \in T$, will map $(y, d_{\mathcal T}) \longmapsto (y, r_{\mathcal T})$.

The functor F will be a total factorization of the

regular representation R = C×S .

Let us define / for any $\iota \in J(T, .)$ / a mapping b_{ι} from $(1, \iota)$ Ro = $G \times (\iota)$ So into $(1, \iota)$ Fo as $(g, (y, \iota))b_{\iota}$ = = $(z_y)(g, \mathcal{T}_{\iota})$ Fm , where \mathcal{T}_{ι} is a (unique) morphism from T such that $d_{\mathcal{T}_{\iota}} = \mathcal{X}$, $r_{\mathcal{T}_{\iota}} = \iota$.

Any b_l is onto. Namely, if $u \in (1, l)$ Fo then $y_u = [(u)(1, \mathcal{T}_l^{-1})\text{Fm}] \in Y$ and there exists $g_u \in G$ $(z_{y_u})(g_u, \mathcal{X})\text{Fm} = (u)(1, \mathcal{T}_l^{-1})\text{Fm} . \text{ Consequently } (g_u, (y_u, l))b_l = ((u)(1, \mathcal{T}_l^{-1})\text{Fm})(1, \mathcal{T}_l)\text{Fm} = u .$

It holds for any morphism $(f,\omega) \in G \times T$ $b_{d\omega} \circ (f,\omega) \in F = (f,\omega)(C \times S) m \circ b_{r_{\omega}}$, which shows that F is a total factorization of $C \times S$. For any element (g,y,d_{ω}) namely $(g,y,d_{\omega})(b_{d\omega} \circ (f,\omega) \in F = (z_y)(g \cdot f, \gamma_r) \in F =$

DEFINITION.

A canonical F-representation φ of inverse category (X,.) is called a canonical regular representation provided that the restriction F_D of the functor F on every connected subcategory (D,*) is a regular representation of (D,*).

Note that it is easy, for any inverse category (X,.), to construct (injective) functor F from (X,*) into (PM,.) satisfying the condition of Proposition 2 and whose restrictions F_D are regular representations of (D,*). Because any canonical regular representation is injective (Proposition 2) every inverse category is isomorphic with an inverse category of partial bijections between sets. Easily, any inverse category is concrete.

By Proposition 4, any functor H from inverse category

 (X, \cdot) into (PIM,.) is a factorization of a canonical F-representation φ . According to Lemma 3, there exists (D, *)-regular representation R of (X, *) the total factorization of which is the functor F. It is easy to verify that the mappings b_f from the factorization R onto H build mappings $\bigcup_{f \neq j} b_f$ of $\bigcup_{f \neq j} (f)$ Ro onto (j) φ o factorizing the canonical R-representation onto φ . Consequently, H is a factorization of canonical regular representation. So, we have proved the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 5.

Any representation of inverse category (X, .) by partial injective mappings between sets is a factorization of canonical regular representation of (X, .).

According to Proposition 3 the factorizations of canonical regular representation are described by relations <. In particular, it describes any representation of the inverse semigroup with unit by partial injective transformations (characterized in [2] - §7.3) as a factorization of its canonical regular representation.

PROBLEM.

Is there a simple connection between relations \leq and closed inverse subsemigroups (for the effective transitive representations)?

REFERENCES

- 1. CLIFFORD, A.H. and G.B. PRESTON, The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups, Vol.1, Math. Surveys No.7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. (1961).
- 2. ---, The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups, Vol.2, Math. Surveys No.7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. (1967).
- 3. KASTL, J., Inverzní kategorie a jejich reprezentace, Thesis, Charles University, Prague (1975).
- 4. ---, Inverse Categories, /Preprint/, (1976).
- 5. MITCHELL, B., Theory of Categories, Academic Press, New York and London (1965).
- 6. PRESTON, G.B., Representations of Inverse Semigroups by One-to-One Partial Transformations of a Set, Semigroup Forum 6 (1973), 240-245.
- 7. TICHÝ, T. and J. VINÁREK, On the Algebraic Characterization of System of 1-1 Partial Mappings, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 13 (1972), 711-720.

Charles University

(MFF - KZAA)

Prague, Czechoslovakia