

On Realization of Kirby-Siebenmann's

Obstructions by 6-manifolds

Shigeo ICHIRAKU

1. Introduction

Let  $M^n$  be a closed topological manifold. By Kirby-Siebenmann ([5], [6]), an obstruction to triangulate  $M^n$  is defined as an element of  $H^4(M^n; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , provided  $n \geq 5$ . We will denote this obstruction by  $k(M)$ . In this paper, we will consider the following problem.

Problem. Let  $M_0^n$  be a closed PL manifold. For a given non-zero element  $\eta \in H^4(M_0^n; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , do there exist a non-triangulable manifold  $M^n$  and a homotopy equivalence  $f : M_0^n \rightarrow M^n$  such that  $f^*k(M^n) = \eta$ ? Here,  $f^* : H^4(M^n; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^4(M_0^n; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is the isomorphism induced by  $f$ .

Since there exists a non-triangulable manifold  $M^6$  which is homotopy equivalent to  $S^4 \times S^2$  ([5], Introduction p.v), this problem for  $M_0^n = S^4 \times S^2$  has an affirmative answer. In some cases, however, the problem has a negative answer. For example, Dr. S.Fukuhara has proved the following ([3]); let  $M^5$  be a closed (possibly non-triangulable) topological

manifold which is homotopy equivalent to  $S^4 \times S^1$ , then  $M^5$  is really homeomorphic to  $S^4 \times S^1$ .

When  $M_0^6$  is a closed manifold with  $\pi_1(M_0^6)$  is free and  $H^3(M_0^6; Z_2) = 0$ , the problem will be answered affirmatively. And the problem for  $M_0^n = S^4 \times S^{n-4}$  will be solved, provided  $n \geq 9$ . (See Corollary 2.)

The method of this paper can be found in [5] and [9]. The author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Professor K. Kawakubo who showed him a construction of non-triangulable manifold having the homotopy type of  $CP^3$ .

## 2. Six-dimensional case

In dimension six, our results are as follow.

Theorem 1. Let  $M_0^6$  be a closed PL 6-manifold with  $H^3(M_0^6; Z_2) = 0$  and  $\eta$  a non-zero element of  $H^4(M_0^6; Z_2)$  whose Poincare dual  $\bar{\eta}$  is spherical. Then there exist a non-triangulable manifold  $M^6$  and a homotopy equivalence  $f : M_0^6 \longrightarrow M^6$  such that  $f^*k(M) = \eta$ , where  $f^* : H^4(M^6; Z_2) \longrightarrow H^4(M_0^6; Z_2)$  is the isomorphism induced by  $f$ .

Corollary 1. Let  $M_0^6$  be a closed PL 6-manifold. Suppose  $H_2(\pi_1(M_0^6); Z_2) = 0$  and  $H^3(M_0^6; Z_2) = 0$ . Then, for any non-zero element  $\eta$  in  $H^4(M_0^6; Z_2)$ , there exist a non-

triangulable manifold  $M^6$  and a homotopy equivalence  $f : M_0^6 \longrightarrow M^6$  such that  $f^*k(M) = \eta$ , where  $f^* : H^4(M^6; Z_2) \longrightarrow H^4(M_0^6; Z_2)$  is the isomorphism induced by  $f$ .

In Theorem 1, we cannot drop the assumption that the Poincare dual  $\bar{\eta}$  of  $\eta$  is spherical. Hence, in Corollary 1, we cannot drop the assumption about the fundamental group of  $M_0^6$ . The following proposition shows both.

Proposition 1. Let  $M^6$  be a closed topological manifold. Suppose  $M_0^6$  has the same homotopy type of  $S^4 \times S^1 \times S^1$ , then  $M^6$  is triangulable.

First, we prove Corollary 1 assuming Theorem 1.

Proof of Corollary 1. By the theorem of Hopf (see [1], p.356), the fact that  $H_2(\pi_1(M_0^6); Z_2) = 0$  implies that any element of  $H_2(M_0^6; Z_2)$  is spherical. This reduces Corollary 1 to Theorem 1.

To prove Theorem 1, we need some lemmas. The following is proved in [5].

Lemma 1. Let  $E^{n-1}$  be a closed simply-connected PL manifold such that  $H^3(E^{n-1}; Z_2) \neq 0$  and that the Bockstein

homomorphism  $\beta : H^3(E^{n-1} : Z_2) \longrightarrow H^4(E^{n-1} : Z)$  is trivial. If  $n \geq 6$ , then there exists a homeomorphism  $h_0 : E^{n-1} \longrightarrow E^{n-1}$  which is homotopic to the identity but never isotopic to a PL homeomorphism.

For completeness, we supply the proof of Lemma 1.

Proof of Lemma 1. Since  $H^3(E^{n-1} : Z_2) \neq 0$  and  $n \geq 6$ , there exists a PL structure  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $E^{n-1}$  which is not isotopic to the original PL structure on  $E^{n-1}$  ([5], [6]). Since  $E^{n-1}$  is simply-connected and the Bockstein homomorphism  $\beta : H^3(E^{n-1} : Z_2) \longrightarrow H^4(E^{n-1} : Z)$  is trivial, there exists a PL homeomorphism  $g : E^{n-1} \longrightarrow E_{\mathcal{H}}^{n-1}$  which is homotopic to the identity by D. Sullivan ([7], [10]). Put  $h_0 =$  "identity"  $\circ g$ , where "identity" :  $E_{\mathcal{H}}^{n-1} \longrightarrow E^{n-1}$  is a homeomorphism defined by "identity"(x) = x. Then clearly  $h_0$  is homotopic to the identity. If  $h_0$  is isotopic to a PL homeomorphism, then "identity" :  $E_{\mathcal{H}}^{n-1} \longrightarrow E^{n-1}$  is also isotopic to a PL homeomorphism, for  $g$  is a PL homeomorphism. This is a contradiction to the choice of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Therefore  $h_0$  is never isotopic to a PL homeomorphism. This proves the lemma.

Lemma 2. Let  $E^{n-1}$  be a PL manifold which is a fibration

with fibre  $S^3$  over a simply-connected closed manifold  $N^{n-4}$  such that  $H^4(N^{n-4} : Z) = H^4(N^{n-4} : Z_2) = 0$ . If  $n \geq 6$ , then there exists a homeomorphism  $h_0 : E^{n-1} \longrightarrow E^{n-1}$  which is homotopic to the identity but never isotopic to a PL homeomorphism.

Remark. If we put  $h = h_0 \times \text{id.} : E^{n-1} \times R \longrightarrow E^{n-1} \times R$ , then  $h$  is also never isotopic to a PL homeomorphism by stability  $\pi_3(\text{TOP}_m, \text{PL}_m) = \pi_3(\text{TOP/PL})$  ([5], [6]).

Proof of Lemma 2. Note that  $E^{n-1}$  is simply-connected. By Lemma 1, we need only prove that  $H^3(E^{n-1} : Z_2)$  is non-trivial and that the Bockstein homomorphism  $\beta : H^3(E^{n-1} : Z_2) \longrightarrow H^4(E^{n-1} : Z)$  is trivial.

Applying the generalized Gysin cohomology exact sequence to the fibration  $E^{n-1} \longrightarrow N^{n-4}$  with fibre  $S^3$ , we obtain the following exact sequence :

$$\begin{aligned} H^3(E^{n-1} : G) &\longrightarrow H^0(N^{n-4} : G) \longrightarrow H^4(N^{n-4} : G) \\ &\longrightarrow H^4(E^{n-1} : G) \longrightarrow H^1(N^{n-4} : G) \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficient group  $G$  is  $Z$  or  $Z_2$ . By hypothesis,  $H^4(N^{n-4} : Z) = H^4(N^{n-4} : Z_2) = 0$  and  $H^1(N^{n-4} : Z) = \text{Hom}(H_1(N^{n-4} : Z), Z) = 0$ .

Therefore,  $H^3(E^{n-1} : Z_2)$  is non-trivial and  $H^4(E^{n-1} : Z)$  is trivial. This proves the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since  $\bar{\eta}$  is spherical, there exists a continuous map  $S^2 \longrightarrow M_0^6$  representing  $\bar{\eta} \in H_2(M_0^6 : Z_2)$ . By general position, we can assume that this  $S^2$  is PL embedded in  $M_0^6$ . By Haefliger-Wall [4],  $S^2$  has a normal PL disk bundle  $D(\nu)$  in  $M_0^6$ .

Clearly,  $\text{Int } D(\nu) - S^2$  is PL homeomorphic to  $\partial D(\nu) \times R$ . Put  $\partial D(\nu) = E^5$ , then by Lemma 2 and Remark we can find a homeomorphism  $h : E^5 \times R \longrightarrow E^5 \times R$  which is homotopic to the identity but never isotopic to a PL homeomorphism. Clearly  $M_0^6 - S^2$  contains  $E^5 \times R$  as an open PL collar of the end at  $S^2$ . Then  $M_0^6$  can be written obviously as  $(M_0^6 - S^2) \cup_{\text{id}_{E^5 \times R}} \text{Int } D(\nu)$ .

Let  $M^6$  be a topological manifold  $(M_0^6 - S^2) \cup_h \text{Int } D(\nu)$  obtained by pasting  $\text{Int } D(\nu)$  to  $M_0^6 - S^2$  by the above homeomorphism  $h : E^5 \times R \longrightarrow E^5 \times R$ . Let  $H_0 : E^5 \times I \longrightarrow E^5$  be a homotopy connecting  $h_0$  to the identity. Put  $H = H_0 \times \text{id} : (E^5 \times R) \times I \longrightarrow E^5 \times R$ . Consider the adjunction space  $\mathcal{M} = ((M_0^6 - S^2) \times I) \cup_H \text{Int } D(\nu)$  obtained by pasting  $(M_0^6 - S^2) \times I$  to  $\text{Int } D(\nu)$  by the continuous map  $H : (E^5 \times R) \times I \longrightarrow E^5 \times R$ . Then, clearly,  $\mathcal{M}$  is homeomorphic to the adjunction space  $(M_0^6 - \text{Int } D(\nu)) \times I \cup_{H_0} D(\nu)$  obtained

by pasting together  $(M_0^6 - \text{Int } D(\vartheta)) \times I$  and  $D(\vartheta)$  by the continuous map  $H_0 : E^5 \times I \longrightarrow E^5$ . Then, we can see that  $\mathcal{M}$  has both  $M_0^6$  and  $M^6$  as deformation retracts. (see [8], p.21, Adjunction Lemma.) Define a homotopy equivalence  $f : M_0^6 \longrightarrow M^6$  to be the composition of the following maps.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_0^6 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M^6 \\ \text{inclusion} & & \text{deformation retraction} & & \end{array}$$

Next, we will show that  $M^6$  is non-triangulable. Suppose  $M^6$  is triangulable. Both  $(M_0^6 - S^2)$  and  $\text{Int } D(\vartheta)$  are open PL submanifolds of  $M^6$ . We denote these submanifolds with induced PL structures from  $M^6$  by  $(M_0^6 - S^2)_\alpha$  and  $(\text{Int } D(\vartheta))_\beta$ . Then the composition of

$$\text{"identity"} : (E^5 \times R)_\alpha \Big|_{E^5 \times R} \longrightarrow E^5 \times R,$$

$$h : E^5 \times R \longrightarrow E^5 \times R \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{"identity"} : E^5 \times R \longrightarrow (E^5 \times R)_\beta \Big|_{E^5 \times R}$$

is a PL homeomorphism. On the other hand, by the following diagram, we see that  $H^3(M_0^6 - S^2 : \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H_3(M_0^6 : Z_2) & \longrightarrow & H_3(M_0^6, S^2 : Z_2) & \longrightarrow & H_2(S^2 : Z_2) & \longrightarrow & H_2(M_0^6 : Z_2) \\
\Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\
H^3(M_0^6 : Z_2) & \longrightarrow & H^3(M_0^6 - S^2 : Z_2) & & Z_2 \cong 1 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \overline{\eta} \neq 0 \\
\Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & & & \\
0 & & & & & & 
\end{array}$$

where the horizontal sequence is exact and the vertical maps are Poincare and Alexander dualities. Therefore,  $\alpha$  is concordant to the original PL structure on  $M_0^6 - S^2$  and hence  $\alpha | E^5 \times R$  is concordant to the original PL structure on  $E^5 \times R$  ([5], [6]). This means that "identity" :  $(E^5 \times R)_{\alpha | E^5 \times R} \longrightarrow E^5 \times R$  is isotopic to a PL homeomorphism.

In a similar way, we have that "identity" :  $E^5 \times R \longrightarrow (E^5 \times R)_{\beta | E^5 \times R}$  is isotopic to a PL homeomorphism. Then  $h$  itself is isotopic to a PL homeomorphism which is a contradiction. Therefore  $M^6$  must be non-triangulable.

Note that  $M^6 - S^2 = M_0^6 - S^2$  is triangulable. Then the naturality of Kirby-Siebenmann's obstruction with respect to inclusion maps of open submanifolds and the following commutative diagram imply that  $S^2$  in  $M^6$  represents the Poincare dual of  $k(M)$  in  $H_2(M^6 : Z_2)$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H_2(S^2 : Z_2) & \longrightarrow & H_2(M^6 : Z_2) & \longrightarrow & H_2(M^6, S^2 : Z_2) & & \\
\Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \\
H^4(M^6, M^6 - S^2 : Z_2) & \longrightarrow & H^4(M^6 : Z_2) & \longrightarrow & H^4(M^6 - S^2 : Z_2) & & \\
\Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \\
Z_2 \cong 1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & k(M) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 & & 
\end{array}$$



where the horizontal sequences are exact and the vertical isomorphisms are Poincare and Alexander dualities. Now, it is clear that  $f^* k(M^6) = \eta$ , this proves the theorem.

Proof of Proposition 1. By virtue of a topological version ([8]) of fibering theorem due to F.T. Farrell [2],  $M^6$  is a fibering over a circle, since  $\text{Wh}(\mathcal{L}_1(M^6)) = 0$ . Therefore there exists a submanifold  $N^5$  of  $M^6$  and a homeomorphism  $g : N^5 \rightarrow N^5$  such that the mapping torus of  $g$  is homeomorphic to  $M^6$ . Since  $N^5$  has the homotopy type of  $S^4 \times S^1$ ,  $N^5$  is really homeomorphic to  $S^4 \times S^1$  by S. Fukuhara [3]. Since  $H^3(S^4 \times S^1 : Z_2) = 0$ , any homeomorphism of  $S^4 \times S^1$  onto itself is isotopic to a PL homeomorphism ([5], [6]). Therefore  $M^6$  is triangulable. This proves the proposition.

### 3. Higher dimensional case

In higher dimensional case, we can only obtain a weaker result.

Theorem 2. Let  $M_0^n$  be a closed PL manifold of dimension  $n \geq 6$  with  $H^3(M_0^n : Z_2) = 0$ . Suppose  $\eta$  is a non-zero element of  $H^4(M_0^n : Z_2)$  whose Poincare dual  $\bar{\eta}$  in  $H_{n-4}(M_0^n : Z_2)$  is represented by a simply-connected  $(n-4)$ -submanifold  $N^{n-4}$

with  $H^4(N^{n-4} : Z) = H^4(N^{n-4} : Z_2) = H^3(N^{n-4} : Z_2) = 0$ . Then there exist a non-triangulable manifold  $M^n$  and a homotopy equivalence  $f : M_0^n \longrightarrow M^n$  such that  $f^*k(M^n) = \overline{\mathcal{N}}$ .

As an application of Theorem 2, we can obtain a number of non-triangulable manifolds which are homotopy equivalent to some PL manifolds.

Corollary 2. Let  $N^{n-4}$  be a closed 4-connected PL manifold and  $L^4$  a simply-connected 4-manifold. If  $n \geq 9$ , then there exists a non-triangulable manifold which has the homotopy type of  $L^4 \times N^{n-4}$ .

Proof of Theorem 2. By the assumption, there exists a  $(n-4)$ -submanifold  $N^{n-4}$  of  $M_0^n$  representing  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ . Let  $D(\mathcal{V})$  be a normal block bundle of  $N^{n-4}$  in  $M_0^n$ . Put  $E^{n-1} = \partial D(\mathcal{V})$ , then by Lemma 2 and Remark, there exists a homeomorphism  $h : E^{n-1} \times R \longrightarrow E^{n-1} \times R$  which is homotopic to the identity but never isotopic to a PL homeomorphism. As before, put  $M^n = (M_0^n - N^{n-4}) \cup_h \text{Int } D(\mathcal{V})$ . Then the rest of the proof is exactly same as that of Theorem 1.

Proof of Corollary 2. By the preceding arguments, we have only to show that  $H^3(L^4 \times N^{n-4} : Z_2) = 0$ . By the

Kunneth formula and the Poincare duality, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & H^3(L^4 \times N^{n-4} : Z_2) \\
 &= H^3(N^{n-4} : Z_2) \oplus [H^2(L^4 : Z) \otimes H^1(N^{n-4} : Z_2)] \oplus [H^2(L^4 : Z) * H^2(N^{n-4} : Z_2)] \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves the corollary.

Osaka University

## References

- [1] H. Cartan - S. Eilenberg: Homological Algebra, Princeton Univ. Press, 1956.
- [2] F.T. Farrell: Ph. D. Thesis, Yale University, New Heaven, U.S.A., 1967.
- [3] S. Fukuhara: On The Hauptvermutung of 5-dimensional Manifolds and s-Cobordisms, to appear.
- [4] A. Haefliger and C.T.C. Wall: Piecewise Linear Bundles in the Stable Range, *Topology*, 4 (1969), 209-214.
- [5] R.C. Kirby: Lectures on Triangulations of Manifolds, Lecture Notes, UCLA, 1969.
- [6] R.C. Kirby and L.C. Siebenmann: On the Triangulation of Manifolds and Hauptvermutung, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 75 (1969), 742-749.
- [7] C. Rourke: The Hauptvermutung according to Sullivan, mimeographed notes, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey, U.S.A.
- [8] L.C. Siebenmann: A Total Whitehead Torsion Obstruction to Fiberings over the Circle, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 45 (1970), 1-48.
- [9] L.C. Siebenmann: Are Non-triangulable Manifolds Triangulable?, *Proc. Athens, Georgia Topology Conference*, August 1969.
- [10] D. Sullivan: Geometric Topology Seminar Notes (Triangulating and smoothing homotopy equivalences and homeomorphisms), Princeton University (mimeographed), 1967.