

SPIN-COBORDISM INVARIANTS OF SOME S^1 -MANIFOLDS

By

Haruo Suzuki

Introduction.

Let Y and Y' be differentiable manifolds which have S^{2n-1} -bundle structures associated to differentiable complex n (≥ 2) vector bundles over S^2 and also have unique spin-structures. By computing the Atiyah-Hirzebruch invariants [2, 3] for some natural S^1 -actions of Y and Y' , we conclude differentiable isomorphisms of the bundle structures of Y and Y' including S^1 -actions, from spin-cobordisms of the S^1 -manifolds (cf. Theorem (2. 2) and Corollary (2. 3)). By the Reidemeister torsion invariants [4], G. de Rham [7] proved that diffeomorphic rotations of the p dimensional sphere S^p are isomorphic. Our conclusion seems to be an analogy of this result in a certain sense.

1. Constructions of manifolds.

Let S^p be the standard p dimensional sphere and $\xi = (E, \pi, S^p)$ be differentiable n dimensional complex vector bundles over S^p , where $p \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2$. We denote by X_ξ the $2n$ -disk bundle space associated to ξ and by Y_ξ the $(2n-1)$ -sphere bundle space associated to ξ . X_ξ, Y_ξ are compact connected oriented differentiable manifolds, $\dim X_\xi = 2n+p$, $\dim Y_\xi = 2n+p-1$ and Y_ξ is the boundary manifold of X_ξ ; $\partial X_\xi = Y_\xi$.

We present S^1 as the unit circle of the complex number plane;

$S^1 = \{z \mid |z| = 1\}$. Let

$$F: S^1 \times E(\xi) \longrightarrow E(\xi)$$

be differentiable S^1 -actions such that $F(z, \cdot): E(\xi) \longrightarrow E(\xi)$ are differentiable vector bundle maps for each $z \in S^1$. On each fibre, $F(z, \cdot)$ are non-singular linear maps with characteristic roots $\{z^{m_i} \mid m_i, \text{ positive integers for } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. F define differentiable S^1 -actions on the manifolds X_ξ, Y_ξ and clearly these S^1 -actions are compactible. The set of n positive integers (admitting repetitions), $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ is called a type of the S^1 -action.

Lemma (1. 1). $H^1(X_\xi; Z_2) = H^1(Y_\xi; Z_2) = 0$.

Proof. It is clear that $H^1(X_\xi, Z_2) = 0$. Since $n \geq 2$, it follows that $H^2(X_\xi, Y_\xi; Z_2) = 0$. By the exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^1(X_\xi; Z_2) \longrightarrow H^1(Y_\xi; Z_2) \longrightarrow H^2(X_\xi, Y_\xi; Z_2) \longrightarrow \dots,$$

we have also $H^1(Y_\xi; Z_2) = 0$.

Lemma (1. 2). If $c_1(\xi) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then we have

$$W_2(X_\xi) = W_2(Y_\xi) = 0.$$

Proof. We denote tangent bundles by τ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(X_\xi) &\cong \tau^*(\tau(S^P)) \oplus \text{the tangent bundle along the fibre of } X_\xi \\ &\cong \tau^*(\tau(S^P) \oplus \xi), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_2(X_\xi) &= W_2(\tau(X_\xi)) = \tau^*(W_2(S^P) + W_2(\xi)) \\ &= \tau^*c_1(\xi) \pmod{2} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$\tau(Y_\xi) \cong \tau^*(\tau(S^p)) \oplus$ the tangent bundle along the fibre of Y_ξ ,

$$\tau(Y_\xi) \oplus 1 \cong \tau^*(\tau(S^p) \oplus \xi),$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_2(Y_\xi) &= W_2(\tau(Y_\xi)) = W_2(\tau(Y_\xi) \oplus 1) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

2. The case where the base space of ξ is S^2 .

Let ξ be differentiable n dimensional complex vector bundles over differentiable manifolds M . The actions of $z \in S^1$ are commutative with the coordinate transformations $g_{\alpha\beta}(x)$ of ξ (for $x \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$, where U_α, U_β are the coordinate neighborhoods of ξ) and hence, for the characteristic vectors v_i of characteristic values z^{m_i} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} z \circ (g_{\alpha\beta}(x)v_i) &= g_{\alpha\beta}(x)(z \circ v_i) \\ &= g_{\alpha\beta}(x)(z^{m_i} v_i) \\ &= z^{m_i} (g_{\alpha\beta}(x)v_i), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $g_{\alpha\beta}(x)v_i$ are also characteristic vectors for z^{m_i} . If m_1, \dots, m_n are all different positive integers, the set of all characteristic vectors for z^{m_i} in each fibre of ξ makes differentiable complex line (sub)bundles ξ_i and gives a Whitney sum decomposition of ξ ;

$$\xi = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \xi_i.$$

The actions of $z \in S^1$ on ξ_i are the multiplications by z^{m_i} .

For a space X with an action of a group G , the set of points which are left fixed by all elements of G is denoted by X^G . For S^1 -actions of X_ξ and Y_ξ defined in §1, $(X_\xi)^{S^1}$ is diffeomorphic to S^p , and $(Y_\xi)^{S^1} = \phi$. For the Whitney sum decompositions of ξ determined by S^1 -actions, it is natural to consider the case where the base space of ξ is S^2 .

Theorem (2. 1). Let ξ be a differentiable n (≥ 2) dimensional complex vector bundle over S^2 such that $c_1(\xi) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Suppose that Y_ξ has an S^1 -action of the type $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ where m_i are all different positive integers and $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i = 2m$. If we denote by $\xi = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \xi_i$ the decomposition of ξ into the Whitney sum of differentiable complex line bundles, induced by the S^1 -action, then we have the Atiyah-Hirzebruch invariants,

$$\rho(z, Y_\xi) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2} z^m \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1-z^{m_i}} \right) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1+z^{m_i}}{1-z^{m_i}} \right) c_1(\xi_i) [S^2],$$

for any $z \in S^1$ which are not m_i th roots of unity ($1 \leq i \leq n$).

Proof. Since we have $H^1(X_\xi; Z_2) = H^1(Y_\xi; Z_2) = 0$ and $W_2(X_\xi) = W_2(Y_\xi) = 0$ by (1. 1) and (1. 2), X_ξ and Y_ξ have spin-structures which are unique upto isomorphisms (cf. [1]). It is clear that

$$(X_\xi)^{S^1} \cong S^2.$$

We have, therefore, the Atiyah-Hirzebruch invariants [2, 3],

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(z, Y_\xi) &= \text{spin}(z, (X_\xi)^{S^1}) \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} \hat{\sigma}(S^2) \prod_{i=1}^n \left(z^{\frac{-m_i}{2}} e^{\frac{c_1(\xi_i)}{2}} \frac{m_i}{-z^{\frac{m_i}{2}} e^{\frac{-c_1(\xi_i)}{2}}} \right)^{-1} [S^2]. \end{aligned}$$

By straight forward calculations of the right side of this equation, we obtain the formula of the theorem and completes the proof.

Theorem (2. 2). Let ξ and ξ' be differentiable n (≥ 2) dimensional complex vector bundles over S^2 such that $c_1(\xi) \equiv c_1(\xi') \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Let m_1, \dots, m_n be positive integers such that any m_i are not sums of other m_j and $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i = 2m$.

Suppose that Y_ξ and $Y_{\xi'}$ have S^1 -actions of type $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$. If we have

$$\rho(z, Y_\xi) = \rho(z, Y_{\xi'})$$

for any $z \in S^1$ which are not m_i th roots of unity ($1 \leq i \leq n$), then there is a differentiable bundle isomorphism between ξ and ξ' , including S^1 -actions.

Proof. Let

$$\xi' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \xi'_i$$

be the decomposition of ξ into the Whitney sum of complex line bundles as that of ξ in Theorem (2. 1). For any $z \in S^1$ which are not m_i th roots of unity ($1 \leq i \leq n$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n (1-z^{-1})^{m_1} \cdots (1-z^{-i-1})^{m_{i-1}} (1+z^{-i})^{m_i} (1-z^{-i+1})^{m_{i+1}} \cdots (1-z^{-n})^{m_n} c_1(\xi) \\ = \sum_{i=1}^n (1-z^{-1})^{m_1} \cdots (1-z^{-i-1})^{m_{i-1}} (1+z^{-i})^{m_i} (1-z^{-i+1})^{m_{i+1}} \cdots (1-z^{-n})^{m_n} c_1(\xi'), \end{aligned}$$

because of the equality $\rho(z, Y_\xi) = \rho(z, Y_{\xi'})$. From the assumption on m_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n c_1(\xi_j) &= \sum_{j=1}^n c_1(\xi'_j), \\ -c_1(\xi_1) + \sum_{j=2}^n c_1(\xi_j) &= -c_1(\xi'_1) + \sum_{j=2}^n c_1(\xi'_j), \\ &\dots \\ -c_1(\xi_i) + \sum_{j \neq i} c_1(\xi_j) &= -c_1(\xi'_i) + \sum_{j \neq i} c_1(\xi'_j), \\ &\dots \\ -c_1(\xi_n) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_1(\xi_j) &= -c_1(\xi'_n) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_1(\xi'_j). \end{aligned}$$

Since $H^2(S^2; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ (torsion free), we obtain the equations

$$c_1(\xi_i) = c_1(\xi'_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

and, therefore, bundle isomorphisms

$$\xi_i \cong \xi'_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Moreover, by differentiable approximations [5] of homotopies of classifying maps and by the method of parallelisms for connections in principal fibre bundles [6], we have differentiable isomorphisms between ξ_i and ξ'_i .

Since $\xi = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \xi_i$ and $\xi' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \xi'_i$, it follows that there is a differentiable isomorphism between ξ and ξ' , including S^1 -actions. Thus we complete the proof of the theorem.

Corollary (2. 3). Let ξ and ξ' be differentiable n (≥ 2) dimensional complex vector bundles over S^2 such that $c_1(\xi) \equiv c_1(\xi') \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Let m_1, \dots, m_n be positive integers such that any m_i are not sums of other m_j and $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i = 2m$. Suppose that Y_ξ and $Y_{\xi'}$ have S^1 -actions of type $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$. There is a differentiable bundle isomorphism between Y_ξ and $Y_{\xi'}$, including S^1 -actions if and only if they are spin-cobordant with respect to the S^1 -actions.

Proof. If Y_ξ and $Y_{\xi'}$ are spin-cobordant with respect to S^1 -actions, we have

$$\rho(z, Y_\xi) = \rho(z, Y_{\xi'})$$

by Atiyah-Hirzebruch [2]. The differentiable bundle isomorphism between Y_ξ and $Y_{\xi'}$ follows directly from Theorem (2. 2).

The converse is trivial.

3. The case where the base spaces of ξ are S^p for $p=1$ or $p>2$.

Proposition (3. 1). Let ξ be differentiable n (≥ 2) dimensional complex vector bundles over S^p ($p=1$ or $p>2$) and let m_1, \dots, m_n be all different positive integers. If Y_ξ have S^1 -actions of types $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$, then ξ and hence Y_ξ are differentially isomorphic to product bundles.

Proof. By the first part of the proof of Theorem (2. 1), ξ split into

Whitney sums of differentiable complex line bundles ξ_i ; $\xi = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \xi_i$. Since we have $H^2(S^p; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $p=1$ or $p>2$, ξ_i are all topologically trivial. By the last part of the proof of Theorem (2. 2), ξ_i are differentially trivial and hence ξ are differentially isomorphic to product bundles.

Corollary (3. 2). Let ξ be differentiable n (≥ 2) dimensional complex vector bundles over S^p ($p=1$ or $p>2$) and let m_1, \dots, m_n be all different positive integers. If Y_ξ admit S^1 -actions of type $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$, then we have

$$\rho(z, Y_\xi) = 0.$$

In the case, $p=2$, we obtain the following theorem by Theorem 2 [9]:

Theorem (3. 3). Let ξ and ξ' be differentiable n (≥ 2) dimensional complex vector bundles over S^4 . The bundle structures of Y_ξ and $Y_{\xi'}$ are differentially isomorphic if and only if they are spin-cobordant with respect to S^1 -actions of the type $\{2, \dots, 2\}$.

Remark. In the above Theorem, the type $\{2, \dots, 2\}$ of S^1 -actions on Y_ξ and $Y_{\xi'}$ can be replaced by the type $\{m, \dots, m\}$ for any positive integers m . (Cf. The proof of Theorem 2. 2 [8].)

References

- [1] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott, A Leshetz fixed point formula for elliptic complex II, Applications, Ann. of Math. (2) 88 (1968), 451-491.
- [2] M. F. Atiyah and F. Hirzebruch, Spin-manifolds and group actions, Essays on Topology and Related Topics (Memoires dédiés à Georges de Rham), Springer, New York 1970, 29-47.
- [3] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, Index of elliptic operators III, Ann. of Math. (2) 87 (1968), 546-604.
- [4] W. Franz, Über die Torsion einer Überdeckung, J. Reine Angew. Math. 173 (1935), 245-253.
- [5] A. Haefliger, Plongements différentiables de variétés dans variétés, Comment. Math. Helv. 36 (1961), 47-82.
- [6] K. Nomizu, Lie groups and differential geometry, Publ. Math. Soc. Japan 2, 1956.
- [7] G. de Rham, Reidemeister torsion invariant and rotations of S^n , Differential Analysis, Bombay Colloquium, 1964, 27-36.
- [8] H. Suzuki, A note on S^1 -acting cobordisms, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I 20 (1971), 177-183.

- [9] H. Suzuki, S^1 -acting spin-cobordisms of some sphere bundles, Proc. Japan Acad., to appear.

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY