

§1. Brieskorn involutions on 5-sphere.

We consider the Brieskorn 5-sphere  $\Sigma_d^5$   $d \geq 1$  odd integer.

This is the submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}^4$  described by equations,

$$z_0^d + z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 = 0$$

$$z_0 \bar{z}_0 + z_1 \bar{z}_1 + z_2 \bar{z}_2 + z_3 \bar{z}_3 = 1 .$$

Then  $\Sigma_d^5$  is a homotopy 5-sphere and therefore diffeomorphic to the standard 5-sphere  $S^5$ . The involution  $T_d : \Sigma_d^5 \rightarrow \Sigma_d^5$  given by  $T_d(z_0) = z_0$ ,  $T_d(z_i) = -z_i$ ,  $i > 0$ , is a fixed point free involution on  $\Sigma_d^5$ . We denote an orbit space  $\Sigma_d^5/T_d$  by  $\Pi_d^5$ . Then  $\Pi_d^5$  is a homotopy projective 5-space. For  $h\mathcal{L}(P^5)$  — homotopy smoothing of  $P^5$  —, it is known that  $h\mathcal{L}(P^5) = \{\Pi_d^5\}_{d=1,3,5,7}$  and  $\Pi_{d+8}^5$  is diffeomorphic to  $\Pi_d^5$ .

§2. A spinnable structure on  $\Pi_d^5$ .

Let  $T_0$  be the standard involution on

$$S^5 = \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid z_1 \bar{z}_1 + z_2 \bar{z}_2 + z_3 \bar{z}_3 = 1\},$$

that is,  $T_0(z_i) = -z_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Define  $f = z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2$

$$K_0 = \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in S^5 \mid f(z_1, z_2, z_3) = 0\}$$

$$F_0 = \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in S^5 \mid f(z_1, z_2, z_3) \leq 0\}$$

$$K_d = \{(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \Sigma_d^5 \mid z_0 = 0\}$$

$$F_d = \{(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \Sigma_d^5 \mid z_0 \geq 0\}$$

where for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  " $z \geq 0$ " means "the imaginary part of  $z = 0$  and  $z \geq 0$ ".

Let  $\psi_d : \Sigma_d \rightarrow S^5$  be a map defined by  $\psi_d(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) = \left( \frac{z_1}{1-z_0\bar{z}_0}, \frac{z_2}{1-z_0\bar{z}_0}, \frac{z_3}{1-z_0\bar{z}_0} \right)$ . Then  $\psi_d$  is a  $d$ -fold branched covering map with  $K_0 = \psi_d(K_d)$  as branched <sup>ing</sup> locus. The restriction map  $\psi_d|_{F_d}$  give a diffeomorphism between  $F_d$  and  $F_0$ .

Define

$$\varphi_0 : F_0 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S^5$$

by

$$\varphi_0((z_1, z_2, z_3), t) = (z_1 \exp(\pi it), z_2 \exp(\pi it), z_3 \exp(\pi it))$$

$$\varphi_d : F_d \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \Sigma_d$$

by

$$\varphi_d((z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3), t) = (z_0 \exp(2\pi it), z_1 \exp(d\pi it), z_2 \exp(d\pi it), z_3 \exp(d\pi it)).$$

It is easy to observe that  $\psi_d, \varphi_0, \varphi_d$  are equivariant with respect to  $T_0$  and  $T_d$ , that is, the following diagrams commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma_d & \xrightarrow{T_d} & \Sigma_d \\ \downarrow \psi_d & & \downarrow \psi_d \\ S^5 & \xrightarrow{T_0} & S^5 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F_0 \times [0, 1] & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} & S^5 \\ \downarrow (T_0|_{F_0}) \times \text{id}_{[0, 1]} & & \downarrow T_0 \\ F_0 \times [0, 1] & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} & S^5 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_d \times [0, 1] & \xrightarrow{\varphi_d} & \Sigma_d \\ \downarrow (T_d|_{F_d}) \times \text{id}_{[0, 1]} & & \downarrow T_d \\ F_d \times [0, 1] & \xrightarrow{\varphi_d} & \Sigma_d \end{array}$$

Thus  $\psi_d, \varphi_0, \varphi_d$  induce maps  $\bar{\psi}_d, \bar{\varphi}_0, \bar{\varphi}_d$  of orbit spaces, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\psi}_d & : \Sigma_d/T_d \rightarrow S^5/T_0 \\ \bar{\varphi}_0 & : (F_0/T_0) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S^5/T_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{f}_d : (F_d/T_d) \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow \Sigma_d/T_d .$$

We denote the class of  $(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \Sigma_d$  in  $\Sigma_d/T_d$  by  $[z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3]$ , also we use  $[z_1, z_2, z_3] \in S^5/T_0$  similarly.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}_d([z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3], 1) &= [z_0, -z_1, -z_2, -z_3] \\ &= [z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3] = \bar{f}_d([z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3], 0), \end{aligned}$$

also

$$\bar{f}_0([z_1, z_2, z_3], 1) = \bar{f}_0([z_1, z_2, z_3], 0).$$

So, if we regard  $S^1$  as  $[0, 1]/0 \sim 1$ ,

$$f_0 : (F_0/T_0) \times S^1 \longrightarrow S^5/T_0$$

$$f_0([z_1, z_2, z_3], t) = [z_1 \exp(\pi it), z_2 \exp(\pi it), z_3 \exp(\pi it)]$$

$$f_d : (F_d/T_d) \times S^1 \longrightarrow \Sigma_d/T_d$$

$$f_d([z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3], t) = [z_0 \exp(2\pi it), z_1 \exp(d\pi it), z_2 \exp(d\pi it), z_3 \exp(d\pi it)]$$

are both well defined maps.

Simply we denote  $F_0/T_0$ ,  $K_0/T_0$  by  $F$ ,  $K$  where  $\partial F = K$ .

Easily we can observe that  $K$  is diffeomorphic to <sup>the</sup> 3-dimensional lens space of type  $(4, 1)$  and  $f_0|(K \times S^1) : K \times S^1 \longrightarrow K \subset S^5/T_0$

is the standard free  $S^1$ -action on  $K$ . Thus we use a simple notation

$$\rho : K \times S^1 \longrightarrow K \text{ instead of } f_0|(K \times S^1). \text{ Under the notation above,}$$

we obtain

$$S^5/T_0 = F \times S^1 \cup \{ \text{mapping cylinder of } \rho : K \times S^1 \longrightarrow S^1 \},$$

more exactly,  $S^5/T_0$  is diffeomorphic to  $F \times S^1 \cup_h K \times D^2$  where an attaching diffeomorphism  $h : K \times S^1 \longrightarrow K \times S^1$  is defined by

$$h(x, t) = (\rho(x, t), t) \quad \text{for } x \in K, t \in S^1.$$

For  $\Sigma_d/T_d$ , there is a similar decomposition. It is described

as follows. One can easily verify commutativity of the following diagrams,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (F_d/T_d) \times S^1 & \xrightarrow{f_d} & \Sigma_d/T_d = \Pi_d \\
 \downarrow \{\bar{\psi}_d | (F_d/T_d)\} \times \bar{d} & & \downarrow \bar{\psi}_d \\
 F \times S^1 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & S^5/T_0 \\
 \\ 
 (K_d/T_d) \times S^1 & \xrightarrow{f_d | \{(K_d/T_d) \times S^1\}} & K_d/T_d \\
 \downarrow \{\bar{\psi}_d | (K_d/T_d)\} \times \bar{d} & \cong \downarrow \bar{\psi}_d | (K_d/T_d) & \\
 K \times S^1 & \xrightarrow{f} & K
 \end{array}$$

where  $\bar{d} : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  is given by  $\bar{d}(t) = dt$  for  $t \in S^1$ .

We define  $h_d : K \times S^1 \rightarrow K \times S^1$  by  $h_d(x, t) = (\rho(x, dt), t)$ .

Then, observing that  $\rho \circ (\text{id}_K \times \bar{d})(x, t) = \rho(x, dt)$ , we have the following result.

Theorem 1.  $\Pi_d$  is diffeomorphic to  $F \times S^1 \cup_{h_d} K \times D^2$ .

This theorem means that, for any odd integer  $d > 0$ ,  $\Pi_d$  has a spinnable structure ~~is~~ with the same axis and generator, that is,  $K$  and  $F$ , and the trivial spinning bundle. Thus, for  $d' \neq d$ , the whole difference between  $\Pi_{d'}$  and  $\Pi_d$  is due to attaching diffeomorphisms  $h_{d'}$  and  $h_d$ .