On the number of moduli
of certain algebraic surfaces
of general type

By Eiji Horikawa
University of Tokyo

0. Introduction. Let  $P^3$  denote the projective 3-space defined over the field of complex numbers, S an irreducible hypersurface of degree n=2r in  $P^3$ , defined by the equation

(1) 
$$g^2 + Agh + Bh^2 = 0$$

where g, h, A, and B are homogeneous polynomials of degree r, s, r-s, and 2(r-s), respectively, with two positive integers r>s. Clearly, the curve  $\Delta$ , defined by g=h=0, is contained in the singular locus of S.

We assume that S is generic in the following sense:

- 1) S has only ordinary singularities (see [4]) and is non-singular outside of  $\Delta$ .
  - 2)  $\Delta$  is non-singular.
- 3) The normalization X of S (which is non-singular by 1)) is a surface of general type.
  - In [4], Kodaira studied families of surfaces with

ordinary singularities in  $P^3$ . In particular, he proved that above S belongs to an effectively parametrized family  $\Re$  of surfaces  $S_t$ ,  $t\in M_1$ , with ordinary singularities in  $P^3$  whose characteristic system on each  $S_t$  is complete (see [4], Theorem 8 and §5.4). In our case, the number  $\mu(S)$  of effective parameters of the family  $\Re$  is given by

$$\mu(s) = C(r) + C(s) + C(2r-2s) - C(r-2s) - 2$$

where

$$C(m) = \begin{cases} (m+3)(m+2)(m+1)/6 & \text{for } m \ge 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } m < 0 \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, Kodaira-Spencer introduced the concept of the number of moduli m(X) of a compact complex manifold X (see [5], Definition 11.1).

Main Theorem. Let S be a generic hypersurface in P3

defined by the equation (1), X the normalization of S.

Then, the number of moduli m(X) is defined, and we have

$$m(X) = \dim H^{1}(X, \oplus_{X}) = \mu(S) - 15 - 4 \mathcal{F}_{r, s+1}$$

where  $\mathscr{D}_X$  denotes the sheaf of germs of holomorphic vector fields on X, and  $\mathcal{S}_{r,s+1}$  is Kronecker's delta.

Let  $f:X \to P^3$  denote the composition of normalization and the embedding. Then the difference of  $\mu(S)$  and

m(X) is the contribution of the number of parameters on which the holomorphic map f depends.

For (r, s) = (3,1) or (4,3), S is one of the examples of M. Noether [6].

For (r, s) = (3,1), X is a minimal algebraic surface with  $p_g = 4$ , q = 0, and  $c_1^2 = 6$ , where  $p_g$ , q, and  $c_1^2$  denote, respectively, the geometric genus, the irregularity, and the Chern number. We have

$$m(X) = 10(p_g - q + 1) - 2c_1^2 = 38,$$

$$H^2(X, \mathfrak{S}_X) = 0$$

(cf. Kodaira [8]).

For (r, s) = (4,3), X is a complete intersection of two hypersurfaces of degree 2 and 4 in  $P^{14}$ . We have also  $H^{2}(X, \mathfrak{D}_{X}) = 0$ .

1. Preliminaries. Let E be a hyperplane section of S,  $\widetilde{E} = f^*E$ ,  $\widetilde{\Delta} = f^{-1}(\Delta)$ . From the equation (1), we infer

Lemma 1.  $\widetilde{\Delta}$  is linearly equivalent to  $s\widetilde{E}$  on X.

We note that  $(n-\mu)\widetilde{E}-\widetilde{\Delta}$  is a canonical divisor on X, and that

 $H^{\vee}(X, \mathcal{O}(m\widetilde{E} - \widetilde{\Delta})) \cong H^{\vee}(S, \mathcal{O}(mE - \Delta))$  for  $\nu = 0, 1, 2$ 

(see [4]). By a standard computation (cf. [7]), we get

Lemma 2. dim  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{E})) = \mu + \delta_{r, s+1}$ ,  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{E})) = 0$ .

Lemma 3. 1) The canonical bundle K of X is ample.

In particular, X is minimal.

2) 
$$p_{g} = {2r-1 \choose 3} - (1/2)rs(3r - s - 4),$$

$$q = 0,$$

$$c_{1}^{2} = 2r(2r - s - 4)^{2}.$$

Remark. If r=s+1, then the <u>complete</u> linear system  $|\widetilde{E}|$  is very ample, and X is a complete intersection of two hypersurfaces of degree 2 and r in  $P^{\frac{1}{4}}$ .

2. Relation between deformations of S and X. Let  $\{S_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{M}}$  be a family of surfaces of degree n in  $P^3$  with ordinary singularities,  $S=S_0$ , 06M. Letting  $T_0(M)$  denote the tangent space of M at 0, we have the characteristic map

$$\sigma: \mathbb{T}_0(M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(S, \mathcal{N}_S^0)$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_{S}^{0}$  denotes the sheaf  $\phi(nE - \Delta - \Sigma \tau_{i}^{!})$  in the notation of [4]. We note that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Theta_{S} \longrightarrow \Theta_{P^{3}|S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{S}^{0} \longrightarrow 0$$
.

On the other hand, the normalization  $X_t$  of  $S_t$  form a family  $\pounds = \bar{1}{1}X_t\bar{1}_{t\in M}$  of deformations of  $X = X_0$  and the holomorphic map  $f: X \longrightarrow P^3$  extends to a holomorphic map  $\Phi: X \longrightarrow P^3 \times M$  over M. Let  $\Phi_X$  and  $\Phi_{P^3}$  denote the sheaves of germs of holomorphic vector fields on X and  $P^3$  respectively, and let  $\mathcal{F}_{X/P^3}$  denote the cokernel

of the canonical homomorphism  $F: \mathfrak{B}_X \longrightarrow \mathfrak{f}^* \mathfrak{D}_{p3}$ . Then we have the characteristic map

$$\tau: T_0(M) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{T}_{X/P3})$$

(see [3], §1).

Lemma 4. There is a canonical isomorphism

$$\psi: \mathcal{N}_{S}^{0} \longrightarrow f_{*}\mathcal{T}_{X/P^{3}}$$

which induces an isomorphism

$$f: H^0(S, \mathcal{N}_S^0) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{T}_{X/P^3})$$

such that  $-\tau = 4.5$ .

We have two exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{S}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{P}^3|\mathbf{S}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{S}}^0 \longrightarrow 0 ,$$

$$0 \to f_* \oplus_{X} \to f_* f^* \oplus_{P3} \to f_* \mathcal{I}_{X/P3} \to 0.$$

Moreover, there exists a canonical homomorphism

$$f^*: \mathcal{Q}_{P^3|_S} \longrightarrow f_*f^* \mathcal{Q}_{P^3}$$
.

One can easily see that f\* induces a disired isomorphism.

3. Vanishing of obstructions.

Lemma 5. The coboundary map

$$\delta: H^0(X, \mathcal{T}_{X/P^3}) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{D}_X)$$

## is surjective.

Proof. Let  $\beta \in H^1(X, \bigoplus_X)$ . Then  $\beta$  corresponds to a deformation  $X_{\beta}$  of X over  $I = \operatorname{Spec} \mathfrak{C}[t]/(t^2)$ .

By Lemma 1, we have  $K = (n-s-4)[\widetilde{E}]$ . It follows that the line bundle  $[\widetilde{E}]$  extends to a line bundle on  $X_{\rho}$ . Then, by Lemma 2, the holomorphic map f extends to a holomorphic map  $X_{\rho} \to P^3 \times I$  over I. This means that  $\delta$  is surjective.

By the result of Kodaira cited in Introduction, and by Lemma 4, we obtain a family  $\mathfrak{F}_1=\{x_t\}_{t\in M_1}$  of deformations of  $X=X_0$  such that

$$\tau:T_0(M_1) \longrightarrow H^0(X, S_{X/P^3})$$

is surjective. By Lemma 5 and [3], Proposition 1.4, the infinitesimal deformation map

$$p:T_0(M_1) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathfrak{D}_X)$$

is surjective.

This implies the existence of an effectively parametrized complete family of deformations of X and the equality  $m(X) = \dim H^1(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$ .

On the other hand, we have

dim 
$$H^0(X, f^*_{P_3}) = 15 + 45_{r.s+1}$$

by Lemmas 2 and 3. Finally it follows that

dim  $H^1(X, \Theta_X) = M(S) - 15 - 4 \delta_{r, s+1}$ 

by Lemma 5.

## References

- 1. Akizuki, Y. and Nakano, S., Note on Kodaira-Spencer's

  Proof of Lefschetz theorems, Proc. Japan Acad., 30,

  266-272 (1954).
- 2. Grothendieck, A., Techniques de construction en géométrie analytique, Sém. Cartan, 13 (1960/61).
- 3. Horikawa, E., On deformations of holomorphic maps I,

  J. Math. Soc. Japan, 25, (1973).
- 4. Kodaira, K., On characteristic system of families of surfaces with ordinary singularities in a projective space, Amer. J. Math., 87, 227-255 (1965).
- 5. Kodaira, K. and Spencer, D. C., On deformations of complex analytic structures I, II, Ann. of Math., 67, 328-466 (1958).
- 6. Noether, M., Anzahl der Moduln einer Classe algebraischer Flächen, Sitz. Königlich Preuss. Akad. der Wiss. zu Berlin, erster Halbband, 123-127 (1888).
- 7. 小平邦秀, 代数曲面論, 東大セミナケー )ート 20.
- 8. 小平邦名 , 福季曲面についてのいくつかの未解決の問題、 数理研講究録 .