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ABSTRACT—Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) sequences (574 bp) of 30 Vietnamese pigs (large and small) were examined and compared with those of 61 haplotypes from wild boars and domestic pigs from various locations in Asia. The large Vietnamese pigs had genetic links to Ryukyu wild boars in southern Japan. The small Vietnamese pigs were closely related to other East Asian domestic pigs. These results indicate that Vietnamese pigs are genetically diverse and may be descendents of wild and domestic pigs from other regions of Asia.
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INTRODUCTION

Wild boars (Sus scrofa) inhabit wide areas of Asia, Europe and North Africa, and include about 27 subspecies (Herre and Rohrs, 1977). Domestic pigs in Asia and Europe have been independently domesticated from different wild boar subspecies (Giuffra et al., 2000; Watanabe et al., 1985). Several wild boar subspecies inhabit East Asia, where domestication of pigs from local populations of wild boars occurred repeatedly from 6000 to 9000 years ago (Xu, 1950). In China and Vietnam, well-known domestic pigs such as Meishan, Jinhuas and Mong Cai have been established and used as a genetic source to develop pig breeds (Lan and Shi 1993; Watanabe et al., 1985). Vietnam is thought to be one of the points of origin of Asian domestic pigs. Vietnamese pigs show a remarkable diversity of serum amylase polymorphisms (Kurosawa et al., 1998).

Two subspecies of wild boar now inhabit Japan: the Japanese wild boar (S. s. leucomystax), on the Japanese main islands (Honsyu, Shikoku and Kyushu); and the Ryukyu wild boar (S. s. riukiuanus), found only on several islands of southwestern Japan and the Ryukyu Islands (Amami-Oshima, Kakeroma, Tokunoshima, Okinawa, Iriomote and Ishigaki Islands). These 2 subspecies are distinguishable by blood groups, protein polymorphisms (Kurosawa et al., 1984; Kurosawa and Tanaka, 1988), and restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) (Watanabe et al., 1985). Ryukyu wild boars are also distinguished from Japanese wild boars and East Asian domestic and wild pigs by analysis of mtDNA control and cytochrome b (cytb) regions (Watanobe et al., 1999). Despite the fact that the Ryukyu Islands are located between Taiwan and Kyushu Island, Japan, no wild boar genetically related to Ryukyu wild boars has been identified in Taiwan or the Asian continent (Watanobe et al., 1999, 2001). The origin of the Ryukyu wild boar is still controversial.

We examined pig skeletons stored at 2 Vietnamese research institutes. These pig skeletons were morphologically classified into 2 size groups: large and small. In the present study, to assess the genetic backgrounds of these skeletons, we examined their morphological characters and mtDNA sequence. We found that large and small Vietnamese pigs have genetic links to the Ryukyu wild boar and East Asian domestic pigs, respectively. Here, we describe the phylogenetic relationships among the Ryukyu wild boar, East Asian domestic pigs and Vietnamese pigs.
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**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Pig samples and morphological measurement**

Samples were taken from 30 pig skeletons stored at the Hanoi Agricultural University and at the Anthropology section of the Institute of Archaeology of the Academy of Science in Hanoi. The specimens were designated as large or small based on skeleton sizes. To compare the body size of pigs, the occlusal length and greatest breadth of the mandibular third molar (M3) were measured by digital calipers (Table 1). When M3 was broken or missing, other mandibular or maxillary molars were measured (samples 19 and 20, Al 2, 3, 7, and 8). Samples for DNA analysis were taken from the ramus of the mandibles. The specimens of the Hanoi Agricultural University were purchased on January 7, 1997, in Ba Vi Village, Ba Vi County, Ha Tay Province, near Hanoi, by a group of Japanese and Vietnamese researchers (Yamamoto et al., 1998). Although the exact origin of these bones is not known, they all appear to have been taken from recently hunted or slaughtered animals. Twenty sub-adult or adult animals (older than about 18 months) from this collection were used.

Samples stored at the Anthropology section of the Institute of Archaeology in Hanoi consisted of 10 pig skulls (7 native wild and 3 domestic) collected by one of the present authors (VTL). The wild boars (samples Al 1–5, 9, and 10) were hunted in various localities in northern Vietnam, and the 3 domestic pig skulls (sample Al 6–8) were collected near Hanoi.

Thirteen other pig samples (1 Turkish wild boar, 5 Taiwanese wild boars, and 7 Korean wild boars) were used in constructing the mtDNA database used in this study.

**DNA extraction**

DNA was extracted from a total of 43 pig specimens (20 from Hanoi Agricultural University, 10 from the Institute of Archaeology in Hanoi, and 13 from Turkey, Taiwan, and Korea). Genomic DNA was isolated from 0.5 to 1.0 g of bone powder, as described elsewhere (Watanobe et al., 2001). Extracted DNA was directly used as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) templates.

**PCR and direct sequencing of mtDNA**

The mtDNA control region was amplified by PCR using primer sets A, B, and C, designed from the mtDNA control region to amplify 258-, 305- and 229-bp segments, respectively, of the control region (Watanobe et al., 2001); primer set A, mtL76 (5′-AATATGCGACC-CCAAATATTAAACATT(35)) and mtH62 (5′-CCTGCAAGCGCCG-TTGGCTG(51)); primer set B, mtL119 (5′-CAGTCAACATGCG-TACACC(51)) and mtH124 (5′-ATGGCTGAGTCCAAGCATCC(51)); primer set C, mtL104 (5′-TGGACTAGTAGTAACAGCCCAT(51)) and mtH106 (5′-ACGTGTACGCACGTGTC(51)). DNA was first activated with AmpilTag Gold (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA); denaturing at 95°C for 10 min, annealing at 57°C for 1 min, and extension at 72°C for 1 min. This was followed by 50 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 sec, annealing at 57°C for 30 sec, and extension at 72°C for 1 min. The PCR products were purified using a Centrican 100 micro-concentrator (Millipore, Bedford, MA), and were sequenced on an Applied Biosystems 377 DNA sequencer with BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kits (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Nucleotide sequences of 574 bp were formed by connecting the 3 DNA fragments amplified by A, B, and C primer sets. The DNA sequences were deposited in the DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank database (accession nos. AB05306-AB05322).

**Alignment of DNA sequences and phylogenetic analysis**

The 574-bp DNA sequences from 30 Vietnamese pig samples were constructed from fragments A, B, and C, and aligned with 61 haplotypes from a total of 304 samples of wild boars and domestic pigs. The 61 haplotypes included 6 haplotypes from Turkish, Taiwanese and Korean wild boars sequenced in this study and 55 mtDNA haplotypes from a mtDNA database (Watanobe et al., 2001). The 304 samples included 122 Japanese wild boars, 13 Ryukyu wild boars, 77 East Asian domestic pigs, 73 European domestic pigs, 3 European wild boars, 3 Northeast Asian wild boars, 1 Turkish wild boar, 5 Taiwanese wild boars, and 7 Korean wild boars. All mtDNA sequences were aligned using GENETYXMAC software Version 10 (Software Development Co., Tokyo, Japan).

Phylogenetic trees were constructed by the neighbor-joining (NJ) method (Saitou and Nei, 1987) using the PHYLIP program package, version 3.572 (Felsenstein, 1995), and by the maximum parsimony (MP) method using MEAGA version 1.0. In the NJ tree, the numbers of nucleotide substitutions per site between haplotypes was estimated using the two-parameter method (Kimura, 1980). The confidence of each branch in the phylogeny was estimated after 1000 bootstrap replications (Felsenstein, 1985). The MP tree was constructed by the branch-and-bound searching method (Kumar et al., 1993), with bootstrap values calculated after 100 replications.

Corrected genetic differences (DX: Nei 1987) between pig groups were calculated using the equation DX=DXY/(DX+DY)/2, where DXY is the average pairwise nucleotide difference between pig groups X and Y, and DX and DY are average pairwise nucleotide differences within pig groups X and Y, respectively. The significance of differences between pig groups was tested using 1000 permutations in the ARLEQUIN program package, version 2000 (Schneider et al., 2000).

**RESULTS**

**Morphological analysis**

When the 30 Vietnamese pig skeletons were divided into groups of large and small pigs, the large pigs were found to be similar in size to wild boars found in East Asia. The large pigs had occlusal lengths of mandibular third molars (M3) ranging from approximately 34.6 mm to 44.5 mm (Table 1), which is similar in size to the wild boars of the Middle East (Flannery, 1983; Hongo and Meadow, 1998). The occlusal length of M3 of the smaller pigs ranged from approximately 23.5 mm to 39.2 mm. The size of M3 of the smaller Vietnamese pigs was similar to that of male and female Ryukyu wild boars (Table 1). However, the small Vietnamese pigs had narrow, straight frontal bones (Fig. 1). Reduction in body size and shortening of the cranium, especially of the teeth, is a characteristic of domestication (Flannery, 1983), suggesting that the small Vietnamese pigs are either primitive breed of domestic pigs or a small wild boar.

**Genetic relationship of Vietnamese pigs with other wild boars and domestic pigs**

DNA analysis of the 574-nucleotide sequences from a total of 43 individuals revealed 17 Vietnamese haplotypes (Viet 1 to 17), and 6 Korean, Taiwanese and Turkish wild boar haplotypes (Nos. 56 to 61). Fig. 2 shows nucleotide sequences of these haplotypes aligned with those of the representative haplotypes of domestic pigs and wild boars from various localities (Watanobe et al., 2001). Most haplotypes were found in 1 or 2 specimens, but haplotypes Viet 5 and Viet 17 were found in 8 and 4 specimens, respec-
The NJ relationship among the 17 Vietnamese haplotypes and 61 haplotypes from other parts of the world showed 2 major clusters: Asian (69.5% bootstrap value) and European (69.5% bootstrap value) (Fig. 3). The Asian cluster was divided into the Ryukyu lineage and East Asian lineage. The Vietnamese samples are identified by haplotypes in Fig. 3.

### Table 1. Pig bone samples from Vietnam

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location or Source</th>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>M3 length</th>
<th>M3 breadth</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Wild (W) or domestic (D) group</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>DNA haplotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hanoi Agricultural University (20 pig bones)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Viet 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Viet 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Viet 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Viet 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Viet 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Viet 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Viet 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Viet 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Viet 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Viet 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Viet 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Viet 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Viet 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Viet 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Viet 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>large</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Viet 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>large</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Viet 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>large</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Viet 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>large</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Viet 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Viet 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institute of Archaeology in Hanoi (10 pig bones)</td>
<td>AI1</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>large</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Viet 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AI2</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>large</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Viet 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AI3</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>large</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Viet 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AI4</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>large</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Viet 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AI5</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>large</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Viet 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AI6</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Viet 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AI7</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>F?</td>
<td>Viet 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AI8</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>small</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>M?</td>
<td>Viet 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AI9</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>large</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Viet 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AI10</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>large</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Viet 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modern S. s. riukiuanus</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modern S. s. riukiuanus</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Based on morphological measurements, bone samples were designated as belonging to Wild (W) or domestic pig (D) groups.
2) DNA haplotypes shown in Fig. 3.
3) Measurements of mandibular M2.
4) Measurements of maxillary M3.
5) ND, not determined.
6) Measurements of maxillary M2.
8) Measurements of modern Ryukyu wild boars are shown for comparison.
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Eages containing East Asian domestic pigs, Northeast Asian wild boars, Japanese wild boars, Taiwanese wild boars and Korean wild boars. Although bootstrap values for the Ryukyu wild boar (11.4%) and the East Asian lineage (10%) were very low (Fig. 3), the same cluster of Ryukyu wild boars with 10% bootstrap values was obtained by maximum parsimony (MP) analysis (data not shown). The separation of the Asian cluster into Ryukyu and East Asian lineages was also performed in our previous study (Watanobe et al., 2001). When the NJ tree was constructed from the present data set without the 17 Vietnamese haplotypes, the bootstrap value of the Ryukyu wild boar lineage was 68%.

The 17 Vietnamese haplotypes were distributed across 5 of the 6 groups in the Asian cluster: Viet 1 to Viet 9 in the East Asian domestic pig group; Viet 12 to Viet 16 in the Ryukyu wild boar group; Viet 17 in the Korean wild boar group; Viet 10 in the Northeast Asian wild boar group; and Viet 11 in the Taiwanese wild boar group. No Vietnamese haplotype was included in the Japanese wild boar group.

The DNA haplotypes of the large Vietnamese specimens were mostly in the Ryukyu wild boar lineage, whereas those of the small specimens were mostly in the East Asian domestic pig lineage (Fig. 3). To further examine this correlation, pairwise genetic differences between 7 pig groups (excluding Korean wild boar group) were compared (Table 2). The large Vietnamese pigs were most closely related to the Ryukyu wild boar group (2.926), whereas the small Vietnamese pigs were closest to the East Asian pig group (0.321).

**Fig. 1.** Photograph of pig skulls stored at Hanoi Agricultural University. Note the difference in overall size of large (L) and small (S) specimens.

**Fig. 2.** Variability of the partial mitochondrial DNA control region (574 bp). The 17 haplotypes (Viet 1 -17) from 30 Vietnamese pigs are aligned with the 6 representative haplotypes of Asian pig groups and 6 haplotypes from Korean, Taiwanese and Turkish wild boars identified in this study. Nucleotide positions are numbered according to the complete pig mtDNA described by Ursing and Arnason (1998). Dots indicate nucleotide identity with Japanese wild boar haplotype 1.
Fig. 3. Phylogenetic tree constructed by the NJ method using 574-bp fragments of the mtDNA control region for 17 Vietnamese pig haplotypes and 61 haplotypes from pig populations in various localities. Haplotype numbers (bold numbers) are the same as in Fig. 2 and a previous study (haplotypes 1 to 55 of Watanobe et al., 2001). The size of the Vietnamese pigs (L, Large; S, Small) is indicated in parentheses following the haplotype number. Bootstrap resampling was performed 1000 times, and resulting bootstrap probabilities greater than 50% are shown on the corresponding branches. Code numbers of the haplotypes are written in parentheses when they fall on the same branch as Vietnamese pig haplotypes.
Table 2. Average pairwise genetic differences between pig groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pig group</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Ryukyu wild boar</td>
<td>2.077</td>
<td>11.471*</td>
<td>9.515*</td>
<td>10.386*</td>
<td>11.269*</td>
<td>10.658*</td>
<td>7.201*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Taiwanese wild boar</td>
<td>8.933*</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>6.951*</td>
<td>4.720*</td>
<td>5.904*</td>
<td>6.358*</td>
<td>9.800*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Northeast Asian wild boar</td>
<td>7.647*</td>
<td>1.520*</td>
<td>1.964*</td>
<td>3.400</td>
<td>4.299</td>
<td>4.926*</td>
<td>8.855*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Japanese wild boar</td>
<td>8.247*</td>
<td>2.420*</td>
<td>3.232*</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>3.968</td>
<td>6.524*</td>
<td>10.102*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Vietnamese pig (S size)</td>
<td>8.128*</td>
<td>3.367*</td>
<td>0.321*</td>
<td>1.735*</td>
<td>3.049*</td>
<td>2.982</td>
<td>9.057*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Vietnamese pig (L size)</td>
<td>2.926*</td>
<td>5.064*</td>
<td>4.076*</td>
<td>3.918*</td>
<td>4.882*</td>
<td>4.330*</td>
<td>6.473</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Above diagonal: Average number of pairwise differences between pig groups (D_{XY})
Diagonal elements: Average number of pairwise differences within pig groups (D_{X})
Below diagonal: Corrected average pairwise difference between pig groups (D_{XY}−(D_{X}+D_{Y})/2)
Asterisks on the numbers indicate significant difference (P<0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

The large and small skeletons obtained from 2 research institutes in Hanoi were genetically classified into 2 groups by mtDNA sequence analysis: the large pigs were found to be wild boars related to Ryukyu (Viet 12–16) and Korean wild boars (Viet 17); and the small pigs were mostly related to East Asian domestic pigs, including 2 haplotypes of Northeast Asian wild boar (Viet10) and Taiwanese wild boar (Viet11) (Fig. 3). The large Vietnamese wild boars were genetically distinct from small Vietnamese pigs and East Asian domestic pigs that originated from Chinese domestic pigs and related pigs in our mtDNA haplotype database. In a mtDNA polymorphism study, Lan and Shi (1993) found that the genetic distance between Yunnan native pigs in southwest China and Vietnamese pigs is comparatively large, although southwest China and Vietnam are closely connected geographically. Their results are consistent with the present findings, indicating that Vietnamese pigs, including wild and domestic pigs, possess remarkable genetic diversity.

The present study has demonstrated for the first time that some Vietnamese wild boars are genetically linked to Ryukyu wild boars. The Ryukyu wild boars were once considered to be a feral population of early East Asian domestic pigs that had been brought to the Ryukyu Islands in prehistoric times (Naora, 1937; Semba, 1960; Hayashida, 1960). Based on morphological characters, Imaizumi (1973) reported that Ryukyu wild boars may be a relic of the continental pig population, as are some other endemic species on the Ryukyu Islands. The present results support Imaizumi’s hypothesis that Ryukyu wild boars are a unique species established on isolated Ryukyu Islands. The Ryukyu Islands were connected to the Chinese continent several times in the past (Ujiie and Saito, 1974; Kizaki and Ohshiro, 1980; Ujiie, 1986). Although the existence of the Pleistocene land bridge is still debated, some members of the continental fauna, including hominids, likely migrated into the Ryukyu Islands from the continent by way of a land bridge. A calculation of the genetic divergence of Ryukyu wild boars from Vietnamese pig populations could be a useful index for evaluating the zoogeographical connection between the Ryukyu Islands and the continent over time.

Most haplotypes of the small Vietnamese pigs were closely related to those of the East Asian domestic pig groups (Fig. 3, Table 2). This finding suggests that the small Vietnamese pigs are domestic pigs. If so, there are 2 possibilities: 1) they were domesticated locally in Vietnam; and 2) they were introduced from neighbouring areas such as southwest China. European and East Asian domestic pigs have been clearly shown to be derived from different wild populations (Giuffra et al., 2000). Vietnamese pigs, including wild and domestic pigs, may share a common ancestor with other East Asian pigs (Fig. 3). Further morphological and genetic analyses of Vietnamese pigs will provide important information about the history of domestication of pigs in East Asia.
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