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RIGHT:
Cases of retrocaval ureter have been recognized and reported with increased frequency, along with the advance of urological examination. However retrocaval ureter associated with polycystic disease of kidneys is not found in the literatures to date. The forms of treatment of retrocaval ureter are classified into 2 methods, the plastic operation of the urinary tract and the surgical approach for vascular system. The plastic operation of urinary tract were most commonly used in these cases, but only 6 cases were treated by the surgical approach for the cava to date.

Cathro first reported ligation and section of the vena cava in 1952, and in 1954, Corbus described a case which was treated by ligation and section of the cava in 1948. Goodwin et al. presented the first case which was treated by surgical division of the vena cava, mobilization and relocation of the ureter, and reanastomosis of the vena cava in 1957. In Japan Inoue presented the first case which was treated by surgical division of the vena cava and reanastomosis of the vena cava in 1959. One after another 2 cases were reported which were treated by division and reanastomosis of the cava; Maekawa in 1962 and Sonoda 1963. These three authors were on the staff of Urological Department of Osaka University (Director : Prof. Kusunoki). This report deals with the fourth case in Japan of retrocaval ureter which was treated by division and reanastomosis of the cava.

**CASE REPORT**

A 33 years old Japanese man came under our observation on June 23, 1958 with complaint of the right flank pain and hematuria 10 days prior to consultation. In the palpation of the abdomen, large irregular and nodular masses were found in each renal region suggesting a diagnosis of polycystic renal disease. Cystoscopic examination revealed normal mucous and normal ureteral orifices. In the indigocarmine test the time from injection to the first appearance of the dye from the left orifice was 6 minutes and 48 seconds, but the concentration of the dye was poor. The excretion from the right orifice was not recognized in 10 minutes after injection.

The first admission was on June 24, 1958. Laboratory studies showed the urine loaded with blood cells and a trace of albumin. All other studies were within normal limits. Pneumoretroperitoneum and retrograde pyelography done on June 24, 1958 revealed typical pyelograms of the polycystic renal disease associated with right renal calculus (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2). In these pyelograms the slightly ectatic calyces of the right
kidney and the right ureter which hugs the
sinal column were found. By conservative
treatments the complaints were improved
by three weeks. The patient was discharged
on July 17.

After that he had occasional dull pains in
the right flank, but he went back to work
as a clerk in his office for 2 months. From
the middle of September he had recurrent
shaking chills followed by fever. On No-
vember 16, he had the attack associated
with colicky pains.

The second admission was on November
19, 1958. Intravenous pyelograms of No-
vember 21 revealed right ureteral calculus
at the level of second lumbar vertebra
(Fig. 3).

Ureterolithotomy was carried out on
November 24. The operation notes are as
follows:

The patient was placed in the right flank
position, and a conventional kidney incision
was made under the twelfth rib. It was
extended anteriorly along the abdominal
wall, downward to the Mc Burney's point.
Dissection was carried through all layers
of the abdominal muscles, and entered in
to the retroperitoneal space. The peritoneum
was pushed forward and dissection con-
tinued down-ward along the psoas muscle
until the right ureter and lower pol of the
right cystic kidney was identified. Dissec-
tion was extended medially, and the ureter
which curved behind the vena cava was
identified. Then the retrocaval ureter was
found. This anomaly was not expected
before the operation, and the plan of the
operation for the anomaly was not prepared.
So that only the ureterolithotomy was car-
rried out. The calculus was found in the
upper portion of the ureter which curved
behind the cava. A one centimeter length
incision was made at that portion and the
calculus was extracted with an extractor.
The wound in the ureter was closed with
interrupted two No. 000 catgut sutures. The
muscle layer and skin were closed with
interrupted silk sutures. One drain was
used. The postoperative course was entirely
benign. The patient was discharged on
December 25. After that he had occasional
dull pain in the right flank, but he went
back again to work for 5 years. On April
25, 1964 he again consulted our clinic be-
cause of general fatigue, and a scout film
of the abdomen disclosed the right renal
calculus. He was treated conservatively
and his progress was studied.

Three months later he had colicky pain
in the right flank and fever. Intravenous
pyelogram (Fig. 4) showed right ureteral
calculus at the same portion as found at the
last operation.

The third admission was on July 28, 1964.
He was treated by ureterolithotomy and
division of the vena cava, mobilization, and
relocation of the ureter, and reanastomosis
of the cava on August 4. The operation
notes are as follows:

Under general anesthesia the patient was
placed in the dorsal position, and lateral
rectus incision was made beginning under
the costal margin, extending down about 5
centimeters below the level of the umbilicus
and the peritoneal cavity was opened. The
intestine was divided to the left side and
the retroperitoneum was indentified and an
incision of about 10 centimeters was made
at the paravertebral area to reach the retro-
peritoneal space. Dissection was extended
medially, and the right ureter and the vena
cava were identified. A calculus was found
at the same portion where calculus was
extracted at the last operation. Uretero-
lithotomy was made. And then blunt dissection freed the vena cava and ureter (Fig. 5, 6). After freeing the cava from its fascia, coarctation clamps were placed 2 to 3 centimeters apart where the ureter coursed behind it. When the vena cava was divided with scissors, the ureter was pulled into its normal lateral position. Following this procedure, the cava was reanastomosed with bilateral stitches; a continuous suture of teflon-treated dacron suture (No. 5-0) was placed between two primary sutures in such a way that there was a tight closure. When the occluding clamps were removed, there was no bleeding (Fig. 7, 8). The vena cava was occluded approximately 30 minutes and during the occluding time the blood pressure continued at normal levels. The wound was closed in layers with interrupted silk sutures. One drain was used in the retroperitoneal space. The skin was closed with interrupted silk. The postoperative progress was good. Kimopsin was given 2 times in the first 48 hours. He left the hospital on the forty-first postoperative day. Figure 9 shows a postoperative retrograde pyelogram 3 1/2 months after the operation. In this pyelogram the right ureter was in an almost normal position, and the stricture of the ureter was not found.

The intravenous pyelography was made 11 months after the original operation. This pyelogram (Fig. 10) showed the improved hydrocalyces of the right polycystic kidney. He remains well a year after surgery.

DISCUSSION

In 1960 Rowland et al. found over 90 cases of retrocaval ureter in Europe and America. After that Blundon, Voelkel and Lange each reported a case. In Japan, Yamamoto reported the first case in 1941. So far 36 cases including our case have been reported (Table 1). As the complications of these cases, hydronephrosis was found most commonly, and calculi of the urinary tract and renal tuberculosis were found in some cases. However polycystic disease of the kidneys has not reported as complications except in our case. These days preoperative diagnosis of retrocaval ureter can be easily made by an advanced urological examinations. The typical curve (S form) of the right ureter in the pyelogram is found in most cases, but our case was not diagnosed preoperatively for that typical deformity of the right ureter was hidden by the complication of polycystic disease of the kidneys.

After the first conservative operation in this case, we considered what methods of treatment are best for these cases with serious complication if this case should arise again. Conservative treatment would consist in the following: (1) section and reanastomosis of (a) the ureter or (b) the renal pelvis after removing the ureter from its position behind the vena cava; (2) ligation and division or division and reanastomosis of the vena cava.

Goodwin expressed that the section and reanastomosis of the ureter or the renal pelvis (Harrill’s operation) may not always be applicable or completely successful, and there are many cases which have required some postoperative treatment (nephrectomy or permanent nephrostomy) for postoperative complications.

The postoperative progress was good in all six cases which were treated by ligation and division of the vena cava in Cathro’s and Corbus’ cases and division and reanastomosis of the cava in Goodwin’s, Inoue’s, Maekawa’s and Sonoda’s cases.

For these reasons we chose the surgical
treatment of division and reanastomosis of the vena cava, and the patient had no complaints and the postoperative result was excellent. The results of division of the urinary tract with reanastomosis in cases of retrocaval ureter have not been uniformly good. In some cases, division of the vena cava may be a good choice of treatment. This procedure is particularly true when there is absence of the left kidney or disease in the left kidney.

SUMMARY

A case of retrocaval ureter associated with polycystic disease of the kidneys and calculi of the urinary tract is presented and 35 cases of retrocaval ureter were reviewed in Japanese periodicals. This case was treated by surgical division of the vena cava, mobilization, and relocation of the ureter and reanastomosis of the cava. The final result was excellent.
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### Table 1. Cases of Retrocaval Ureter in Japan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Preoperative Diagnosis</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Complication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yamamoto</td>
<td>1941</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Nephrectomy</td>
<td>Renal tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horio</td>
<td>1943</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horio</td>
<td>1943</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Nephrectomy</td>
<td>Renal tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shinoda</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Ureteroplasty</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis &amp; Renal calculus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takeyama</td>
<td>1951</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Ureteroplasty</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis &amp; Renal calculus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namiki</td>
<td>1952</td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Nephrectomy</td>
<td>Renal calculi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inoue</td>
<td>1953</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Ureteroplasty</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis &amp; Renal calculi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nozaki</td>
<td>1953</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Nephrectomy</td>
<td>Renal calculi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momose</td>
<td>1955</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Ureteroplasty</td>
<td>Rupture of kidney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kawai</td>
<td>1956</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Nephrectomy</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kokubo</td>
<td>1957</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Ureteroplasty</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nishiura</td>
<td>1957</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Ureteroplasty</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanazawa</td>
<td>1958</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Ureteroplasty</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis &amp; Ureteral calculus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ogoshi</td>
<td>1958</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Nephrectomy</td>
<td>Renal &amp; Ureteral calculi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inoue</td>
<td>1959</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Division &amp; reanastomosis of Vena cava</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiba</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Nephrectomy</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takayasu</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Nephrectomy</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiga</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Ureteroplasty</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ichikawa</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Ureteroplasty</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakano</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Ureteroplasty</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis &amp; Renal calculi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omori</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Pyelo- &amp; ureteroplasty</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kususe</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Pyelolithotomy</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torigoe</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Pyelo- &amp; ureteroplasty (Nephrectomy)</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirose</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Ureteroplasty</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markawa</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Division &amp; reanastomosis of Vena cava</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonoda</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Division &amp; reanastomosis of Vena cava</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakatoku</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Nephrectomy</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kondo</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Ureteroplasty</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hidai</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Ureteroplasty</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis &amp; Ureteral calculus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omori</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aoki</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Uretero-vesical reanastomosis</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuchiya</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Ureteroplasty (Nephrectomy)</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis &amp; Ureteral calculus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuge</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Pyeloplasty</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakahira</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Pyeloplasty</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namiki</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Ureteroplasty</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fujii</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Division &amp; reanastomosis of Vena cave &amp; Ureterolithotomy</td>
<td>Hydronephrosis &amp; Ureteral calculi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Nephrectomy) = The second treatment for postoperative complications

Fig. 1. Simultaneous pneumoretroperitoneum and pneumoretrograde pyelogram. The arrow indicates the renal calculus.

Fig. 2. Simultaneous pneumoretroperitoneum and retrograde pyelogram.

Fig. 3. Intravenous pyelogram on November 21, 1958. The arrow indicates the ureteral calculus.

Fig. 4. Intravenous pyelogram on April 25, 1964. The arrow indicates the ureteral calculus in the same portion in Fig. 3.
Fig. 5. The surgical procedure: exposure of the field of operation.

Fig. 6. The drawing illustrating Fig. 5. The arrow indicates the position of ureterolithotomy.

Fig. 7. The surgical procedure anastomosis of the vena cava; lateralisation of the ureter.

Fig. 8. The drawing illustrating Fig. 7.

Fig. 9. The postoperative retrograde pyelogram 3 1/2 months after the second operation.

Fig. 10. The intravenous pyelogram 11 months after the second operation.