ASYMPTOMATIC ADRENAL MEDULLARY HYPERPLASIA DETECTED WITH INTRAOPERATIVE HYPERTENSION : A CASE REPORT

Naoki Kura¹, Kazumasa Igarashi¹ and Hideaki Sekine²

¹The Departments of Urology, Nissan Tamagawa Hospital ²The Departments of Urology, University Hospital Mizonokuchi, Teikyo University School of Medicine

We report a rare case of asymptomatic adrenal medullary hyperplasia detected by chance with intraoperative hypertension during surgery for ipsilateral renal cell carcinoma. A 41-year-old male visited our hospital with a complaint of left flank pain. He had normal blood pressure and plasma catecholamine level was within normal limits. Ultrasonogram and CT scan revealed a left renal tumor but did not showed any abnormal masses in the left adrenal gland. The clinical diagnosis was renal cell carcinoma and we performed left total nephrectomy. In the process of operative manipulation, however, the blood pressure and pulse rate of this patient showed a marked increase. Pathological examination of the extirpated kidney revealed renal cell carcinoma, while the resected adrenal gland was diagnosed as adrenal medullary hyperplasia.

(Hinyokika Kiyo 51: 321-323 2005)

Key words : Adrenal medullary hyperplasia, Renal cell carcinoma

INTRODUCTION

Adrenal medullary hyperplasia (AMH) is relatively rare and accurate diagnosis before surgery is often difficult. We present herein a case of AMH detected by chance with intraoperative significant hypertension and tachycardia during tatal nephrectomy.

CASE REPORT

A 41-year-old male visited our hospital with a complaint of left flank pain. He had normal blood pressure and routine hematological study and plasma catecholamine level were all within normal limits. Ultrasonogram and CT scan revealed a left renal mass measuring 7.7×7.5 cm and a slight swelling of the left adrenal gland but its shape was within normal limits (Fig.1). The angiogram showed no abnormal masses in the left adrenal gland except a hypervascular mass in the upper pole of the left kidney.

The clinical diagnosis was renal cell carcinoma and we performed left total nephrectomy. However, during the process of removing the kidney with the adrenal gland en bloc, the blood pressure of the patient rose over 220 mmHg systolic and pulse rate also increased over 130 per minute. Therefore, we had continued drip infusion of antihypertensive drugs until the operation was over. After the extirpation of the left kidney and adrenal gland both blood pressure and pulse rate of the patient normalized immediately.

Pathological examination of the extirpated kidney showed a renal cell carcinoma (clear cell carcinoma, G1, INF α , pT2), while the resected adrenal gland (5.5×3.5 cm in size) had no mass lesion macroscopically. The cut surface of the adrenal gland was milky-white to yellow with admixed changes and cystic degeneration was partially revealed. Microscopically adrenal medulla was clearly separated from adrenal cortex and expanded diffusely but did not have either nodular formation or encapsulation. The ratio of medullary to cortical area had increased to be about 1:3 (normal ratio is 1:8 to 1:14.3^{1,2}) (Fig. 2A). Individual cells of medullary tissure were enlarged and consisted of spheroidal nucleus and granular cytoplasm (Fig. 2B). The catecholamine values of the content of cystic degeneration were extremely high(epinephrine 66,044 ng/ml, norepinephrine 9,134 ng/ml, dopamine 123 ng/ml). Based on these findings this case was diagnosed as AMH.

DISCUSSION

AMH is a disease that resembles pheochromocytoma in the clinical feature, but in most cases, the formation of any masses in the adrenal gland is absent on radiographic or pathological examinations. In most cases AMH has been reported that the patient admitted a hospital with complaints of poorly-controlled blood pressure or hypertensive encephalopathy and were operated with suspicion of small pheochromocytoma due to a high blood catecholamine level but were consequently diagnosed as AMH in the pathological examination¹

Only about twenty cases of AMH have been reported in Japan and, to our knowledge, this is the first case of AMH which was not suspected until the operative procedure and detected by chance with intraoperative hypertension. Medullary cells of this disease are similar to those of pheochromocytoma microscopically and the diffuse or rarely nodular³ expansion of adrenal medulla and increase of medulla/cortex ratio are the diagnostic criteria⁴




Fig. 1. CT scan showed a left renal tumor (arrow) and a slight swelling of the left adrenal gland but its shape was normal (arrow head).




Fig. 2. A, microscopically adrenal medulla expanded diffusely(arrow heads) and did not have either nodular formation or encapsulation. The ratio of medullary to cortical area was about 1:3. HE. Reduced from ×4. B, individual cells of medullary tissue were enlarged and consisted of spheroidal nucleus and granular cytoplasm. HE Reduced from ×400.

Since some cases associated with von Recklinghausen disease or multiple endocrine neoplasia, type II (MEN II) have been reported⁵⁾, some authors argue that adrenal medullary hyperplasia is a precursor of pheochromocytoma, but the development and the status of this disease have been still controversial. In the case presented here, the patient was asymptomatic until the operative procedure and plasma catecholamine level was normal, and both radiographic and pathological examination revealed no distinct mass lesions in the adrenal glands. These findings were not compatible with those of 'silent' pheochromocytoma, on the other hand, the extirpated adrenal gland microscopically revealed diffuse expansion of adrenal medulla and increase of medulla/cortex ratio which were characteristic of AMH.

In cases, in which blood pressure showed an abnormal increase duging the nephrectomy, we recommend an ipsilateral adrenalectomy because of the possibility of AMH.

REFERENCES

- Bailey J, Herle AJ, Giuliano A, et al.: Unilateral adrenal medullary hyperplasia: another form of curable hypertension? Int J Clin Pract 53: 149-151, 1999
- Visser JW and Axt R: Bilateral adrenal medullary hyperplasia: a clinicopathological entity. J Clin Pathol 28: 298-304, 1975
- Rudy FR, Bates RD, Cimorelli AJ, et al.: Adrenal medullary hyperplasia: a clinicopathologic study of four cases. Human Pathol 11: 650-657, 1980
- DeLellis RA, Wolfe HJ, Gagel RF, et al.: Adrenal medullary hyperplasia : a morphometric analysis in patients with familial medullary thyroid carcinoma. Am J Pathol 83: 177-196, 1976
- 5) Carney JA, Sizemore GW and Sheps SG: Adrenal medullary disease in multiple endocrine neoplasia, type 2: pheochromocytoma and its precursors. Am J Clin Pathol 66: 279-290, 1976

(Received on October 20, 2004) Accepted on February 5, 2005) 和文抄録

術中高血圧を契機に発見された副腎髄質過形成の1例

蔵 尚樹¹,五十嵐一眞¹,関根 英明² ¹日産厚生会玉川病院泌尿器科,²帝京大学溝口病院泌尿器科

術中高血圧を契機に発見された稀な副腎髄質過形成 の1例について報告する.症例は41歳,男性で,左側 腹部痛を主訴に来院した.血圧や血中カテコラミン値 は正常範囲であった.腹部エコー,CTスキャン,血 管造影で左腎腫瘍を認めたが,副腎の形態には異常を 認めなかった. 左腎細胞癌の診断で根治的腎摘術を施 行した. 腎摘中に著明な高血圧と頻脈を認めた. 病理 診断は腎細胞癌であったが, 同時に切除された同側副 腎に副腎髄質過形成を認めた.

(泌尿紀要 51:321-323, 2005)