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A 61-year-old man presented to our hospital with a 1.5-year history of a gradually enlarging mass in the left scrotum. The mass was apart from the testis and fixed to the spermatic cord. The firm consistency and heterogeneous expression on ultrasonography suggested a malignant tumor. Orchiectomy with high ligation of the spermatic cord was performed and a histological examination revealed leiomyosarcoma of the spermatic cord. Distant metastases were not observed. Because the incidence of local recurrence has been reported to be high, we performed irradiation to control the disease. At 32 months post-surgery he was alive with no evidence of disease.
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INTRODUCTION

Sarcomas of the spermatic cord are rare. We report a case of leiomyosarcoma of the spermatic cord, which was treated by orchiectomy with high ligation of the spermatic cord (radical orchiectomy) and local adjuvant radiotherapy. Since the reports on leiomyosarcomas of the spermatic cord were mostly of a small series or single cases it has been difficult to collect a sufficient number of cases to document the nature and draw any firm conclusions regarding treatment. We briefly discuss about the management of leiomyosarcoma of the spermatic cord.

CASE REPORT

A 61-year-old man was admitted to our hospital with a 1.5-year history of a gradually enlarging mass in the left scrotum. Physical examination revealed a well-defined, smooth, firm, nontender mass of 5 by 5 cm in the left scrotum. The mass was apart from the testis and fixed to the spermatic cord. The results of laboratory examinations were normal. Ultrasonography showed a heterogeneous mass with a hyper-echoic lesion in the center. The firm consistency and heterogeneous expression on ultrasonography suggested a malignant tumor. Pelvic and abdominal computed tomography revealed no metastases.

Left radical orchiectomy was performed. Macroscopically, the testis and epididymis appeared to be normal and the surgical stump of the spermatic cord was free from disease. A well-circumscribed tumor, measuring 6.0 by 5.5 by 4.0 cm, was found in the spermatic cord. The cut surface was white with...
hemorrhage in the center (Fig. 1). Microscopically, elongated cells with central nuclei, arranged in fascicles, were observed (Fig. 2). The tumor showed no necrotic change, had a 1.4 mitoses/10 high-power field (HPF), and had occasional pleomorphic nuclei. There was a strongly positive immunostaining for smooth muscle actin and desmin on the paraffin sections. The tumor was diagnosed as leiomyosarcoma of the spermatic cord. The tumor grade according to the National Cancer Institute (NCI) system was grade 1.

Because the incidence of local recurrence is known to be high, we gave the patient local adjuvant radiotherapy with a dose of 5,040 cGy in 28 fractions, using 6 MV X-ray beam with bolus to the ipsilateral iliac and inguinal lymph nodes, the spermatic cord bed and the hemiscrotum. Although the patient has been suffering from moderate lymphedema in the left thigh induced by radiotherapy, he had no evidence of disease at 32 months post-surgery.

**DISCUSSION**

Neoplasms arising in the spermatic cord are uncommon, and most differentiate from the mesenchyma. If one excludes lipomas, most neoplasms are sarcomas. Sarcomas occur mostly in the scrotal part of the spermatic cord while benign tumors are nearly always located in the inguinal lesion. Firm consistency and multilobulation are also indicators favoring sarcoma. Sarcomas of the spermatic cord should be removed by radical orchietomy because the incidence of local recurrence following simple excision is very high. The role of frozen section is not established as the differential diagnosis between benign and malignant lesions may be difficult. In our case, as the tumor was strongly suspected to be sarcoma, radical orchietomy was performed without frozen section analysis.

Leiomyosarcomas of the spermatic cord are rare and, to our knowledge, 23 cases have been reported in Japan. Because of the low incidence, the natural course has been unsettled. Fisher et al. reported the largest series of leiomyosarcomas of the spermatic cord, 14 cases with clinical follow-up information. The median follow-up was 53 months (range: 3–124 months). All ten patients with grades 1 and 2 tumors were alive with no evidence of disease at a mean of 46.5 months, but all four patients with grade 3 tumors died of disease at a mean of 71 months. They claim that histological grading provides useful prognostic information.

Although all investigators agree that radical orchietomy is an essential component in the management for sarcomas including leiomyosarcoma, the role of adjuvant therapy remains controversial. Concerning the dissemination, the following three patterns have often been pointed out: 1) local recurrence in the scrotum, inguinal canal or pelvis along the path of the vas deferens, 2) spread to pelvic and para-aortic lymph nodes, and 3) distant hematogenous metastasis, usually to the lung.

Local recurrence is the most common pattern of failure. In the report by Ballo et al., the actuarial local recurrence rate after surgery alone was 30% at 10 years and 42% at 15 years. Several reports suggest that adjuvant radiotherapy may reduce the incidence of local recurrence. Ballo et al. claim that sarcoma of the spermatic cord, regardless of its grade and size, has a high propensity for local recurrence after surgery alone and should be managed with surgery and adjuvant radiotherapy.

In the randomized prospective trial on extremity soft tissue sarcoma, adjuvant radiotherapy was shown to result in a statistically significant reduction in local recurrence in patients with either high-grade or low-grade tumor. Therefore, we gave our patient adjuvant radiotherapy although the pathological grade was low.

There has been controversy with respect to prophylactic treatment for lymph nodes metastases. Recent reports showed a low incidence of lymph node metastasis. The true incidence of para-aortic and pelvic nodal metastasis has not been reported, and the benefits of prophylactic nodal treatment remain unclear. Systemic chemotherapy may have a role in eliminating the hematogenous metastatic potential in high-grade tumors. However, so far this modality has not been defined as adjuvant therapy for soft tissue sarcoma except for pediatric embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma.

In conclusion, adjuvant radiotherapy seems to be highly effective in preventing local recurrence for leiomyosarcoma of the spermatic cord. The role of prophylactic nodal treatment and systemic chemotherapy has yet to be defined.
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症例は61歳、男性。1年半前より左陰囊内に腫瘍を自覚。次第に増大してきたため当科を受診した。触診上、腫瘤は精巣と離れており、精索に付着していた。弾性硬であること、超音波検査で内部エコーが不均一なことより悪性が疑われ、高位精巣切開を施行した。病理組織学的検査結果は精索原発の平滑筋肉腫であった。画像的に遠隔転移はみとめなかった。局所再発率が高いことが報告されているため、術後に放射線療法を行った。術後32か月を経過した現在、再発は認めていない。
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