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THE EFFECT OF VARICOCELE LIGATION ON OOCYTE FERTILIZATION AND PREGNANCY AFTER FAILURE OF FERTILIZATION IN IN VITRO FERTILIZATION-EMBRYO TRANSFER

Masanori Yamamoto, Hatsuki Hibi, Yoshikazu Tsuji and Koji Miyake
From the Department of Urology, Nagoya University School of Medicine

Although varicocelectomy is generally believed to improve semen quality and the hamster egg penetration assay, these parameters do not correlate well with in vitro fertilization (IVF) success. The effect of varicocelectomy on IVF of human oocytes was examined on thirteen couples with normal female fertility, but severe oligozoospermia and the presence varicocele in the males with failure of fertilization preoperatively in in vitro fertilization-embryo of transfer (IVF-ET) attempts. The couples were readmitted for the IVF-ET procedure following varicocelectomy. A 31% pregnancy rate was achieved after the operation, while no pregnancies occurred before surgery. Sperm density and motility improved significantly after operation, resulting in a coinciding improved fertilization and pregnancy rates.
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INTRODUCTION

Varicocele, abnormal dilatation of the spermatic vein is a common pathological finding in human males with the clinical complaint of infertility. Abnormal testicular histology and seminal aberrations associated with varicocele have been well described, but the cause-effect relationship between varicocele and human infertility remains a matter of controversy. Nevertheless, many infertile patients with varicocele undergo a surgical repair procedure in the hope of removing the putative ill-effects of the usually unilateral, left-sided varicocele. There are conflicting reports about whether or not varicocele repair actually improves semen quality. Often the criteria for the evaluation of semen quality are not well defined. Furthermore, these criteria do not depict the most important qualification of the sperm; namely, its fertilizing ability.

Rodgers et al. reported the relationship between the improved result of hamster egg penetration test and an increased pregnancy rate after varicocelectomy. However, semen quality and hamster egg penetration assay do not correlate well with in vitro fertilization (IVF) success. Thus, the effect of varicocelectomy on IVF of human oocytes is unknown. After failure of fertilization preoperatively in in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer (IVF-ET), the infertile men with varicoceles received surgical repair of the varicocele. Then they were readmitted for the IVF-ET procedure. This is the first report providing evidence of the positive effect of varicocelectomy on IVF success.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was performed on 13 couples with over 2 years duration of infertility, in whom the male partner had a clinical varicocele. Most of them were severe oligozoospermic (<5 x 10⁹/ml), asthenospermic (motility of <40%) or teratospermic (<30% normal morphology). In all cases, the presence of varicocele was confirmed by scrotal thermography. The female partners were found to be fertile in an evaluation including hormonal, mechanical, immunological and cervical
factors. The couples were subjected to IVF and embryo transfer therapeutic cycles, without success, before varicocelectomy. In view of the failure of the treatment or poor fertilization rates, the male partners were referred for an infertility evaluation and were found to have varicocele with several degree. They underwent an operation for repair of a varicocele. This procedure was done according to the high retroperitoneal ligation of internal spermatic vein with preservation of the testicular artery. One month after surgery, the women underwent additional IVF-ET treatment cycles. IVF-ET before and after varicocelectomy was performed by the same IVF team.

IVF-ET induction of ovulation protocol consists of pure follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) or human menopausal gonadotropin (hMG) starting on the third day of the cycle, with administration of 3 ampules daily of either. Ovulation was induced with 5,000 IU HCG when the leading follicle had reached a mean diameter of 21 mm. Transvaginal follicle puncture, which was carried out 35 h later under local anesthesia. Insemination was performed 3 to 6 hours after oocytes were obtained at pickup. Fertilization was identified by the presence of 2 pronuclei and/or a second polar body, as well as 2 equal blastomeres. Pregnancy was considered to have been established only after the identification of a fetal heart at ultrasound scan.

At least three semen samples were obtained within 4 months preoperatively and from 3 months to 1 year postoperatively. Sperm penetration assay for assessment of sperm function was not performed because of lack of research equipment. The significance of postoperative seminal data changes was analyzed by Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Chi square test was used to compare the fertilization rate or pregnancy rate before and after varicocelectomy.

RESULTS

The results of 13 IVF-ET cycles were compared before and after the operation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before operation</th>
<th>After operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of IVF cycles</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of oocytes retrieved</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of embryos</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of embryos transferred</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilization rate (%)</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy rate (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No pregnancies occurred before surgery, whereas a 31% success rate was obtained after the operation (Table 1). In 13 treatment cycles prior to operation, 82 oocytes were retrieved and fertilizations resulted in only 8 (9.8%). This fertilization rate was low when compared with that observed in parallel IVF cycles done for couples with a normal male factor, during the same period (60% to 80%). After operation, 31 of 76 oocytes were fertilized (41%). Of these, 27 embryos were transferred into the uterus. Subsequently, four pregnancies occurred (31%).

Table 2 shows the results of semen quality before and after varicocelectomy. Sperm density increased from 2.1±0.5×10⁶/mL to 4.6±0.4×10⁶/mL. The percent of forward progressive motility also increased from 22±1.9% to 31±1.3%. There was significant improvement in sperm density (p =0.001) and motility (p=0.001) after varicocelectomy, but the percent of normal morphology did not reach the level of statistical significance. Consequently, it was obvious that the four men who impregnated their wives had improved sperm density and motility after varicocelectomy.

DISCUSSION

The relationship between the presence of varicocele and infertility is still controversial, since this condition may be recognized in at least 10% to 15% of fertile males. The available medical literature suggests that treatment of a varicocele does improve testicular function. Johnsen found objective improvement in morphologic studies of testes biopsies after varicocele
Table 2. Semen parameters before and after operation in 13 men with varicocele and fertilization rate on in vitro fertilization before and after varicocelectomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Before operation</th>
<th>After operation</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sperm Density (x10^6/mL)</td>
<td>Motility (%)</td>
<td>Morphology (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1±0.5* 22±1.9* 30±1.3* 4.6±0.4* 32±1.3* 31±1.6*

* The values are expressed as mean values ± SE.
# Percentage of normal morphology.
FR: Fertilization rate on in vitro fertilization
P: Pregnancy
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repair. Kass and Bellman found catch-up growth in the testis of boys who had varicoceles repaired. The most difficult question, of course, is whether varicocele repair improves fertility. There are many studies which indicate good responses in semen quality and relatively high fertility rates after varicocele repair. Unfortunately, most of these studies were uncontrolled. Nilsson et al. did not show any favorable effect of repair of varicocele on sperm count or fertility. However, Gerris et al. found that men treated for varicocele had a significantly higher fertility rate (54%) than men with similar sperm counts treated medically (31%). Aujfjes et al. obtained similar findings with a pregnancy rate of 40% in the varicocele patients vs. 17% in medically treated patients. Rodgers et al. demonstrated the relationship between the improved result of the sperm penetration test and an increased pregnancy rate after varicocelectomy. Therefore, there is strong circumstantial evidence that varicocele repair does improve fertility, although this is yet to be documented in a well controlled study.

Such previous studies specifically demonstrated improved semen quality, sperm penetration assay test and fertility following varicocelectomy. However, semen quality and sperm penetration assay (SPA) do not correlate well with eventual IVF success in male factor infertility. For example, the correlation of a positive SPA with eventual IVF success was only 46% for couples with male factor infertility, while it was 94% for couples with female factor infertility. Because of this poor association between SPA and IVF results in oligoasthenospermic men, Margalioth et al. concluded that this bioassay should not be relied upon for predicting IVF outcome in male factor subfertility. Others have reported similar views. Ausmanas et al. reported a good correlation between SPA and human oocyte fertilization, except in cases of male factor infertility. Paradoxically, fertilization of human oocytes could be achieved even when there was very low or no penetration of zona-free hamster ova. Obviously, an SPA result can not predict IVF success in cases of male factor infertility. Therefore, neither improved semen quality nor SPA result can predict the ultimate success of IVF after varicocelecto-
Thus, the effect of varicocelectomy on IVF of human oocytes remained to be elucidated. We examined 13 men with severe oligoasthenospermia resulting in failure of fertilization in IVF, and who underwent varicocelectomy with preservation of the testicular artery. IVF was subsequently resumed with the same partner. Prior to varicocelectomy, 8 of 82 eggs (9.8%) were fertilized by the sperm of these men, but, no pregnancies occurred. Following varicocele repair, 31 of 76 eggs (41%) were fertilized by the sperm of these men, and furthermore, 4 pregnancies were obtained. Although oocyte quality and laboratory factors are known to be related to human IVF failure, defective sperm function is generally recognized as its main cause. Defective sperm function is determined by a range of anomalies, i.e., inadequate flagellar movement, increased peroxidative damage, changes in sperm activation, or abnormal oocyte-sperm interaction. Kazama et al. demonstrated evidence for the improvement of sperm function after varicocelectomy by using the hypoosmotic swelling test. They showed that the percentage of swollen sperm in the hypoosmotic swelling test elevated after operation in varicocele patients. On the basis of our clinical experience and their report, varicocelectomy is considered to affect some sperm functions which were not identified in this study. Varicocelectomy can enhance the fertilization in cases with reduced or failed IVF. These four successful pregnancies with IVF-ET following failure of fertilization in IVF, in which each male partner served as his own control, provide the first direct evidence of the positive effect of varicocelectomy on IVF success.

In conclusion, the infertile males with varicoceles were successfully treated with IVF-ET procedure after repair of varicocele. These findings suggest that varicocele repair may result in the enhanced human sperm fertilizing capability and in the improved ability of the fertilized embryo to transfer. Therefore, the patients who have multiple failure of IVF-ET should be searched for the presence of varicocele to amplify the possibility of IVF success.
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Editorial comment

精索靜脈瘤根治術が精液所見あるいはヘムスター卵貫通試験の成績を改善させることはひろく知られている。しかしこれらは、体外授精の成功率とは相関していない。そこで、精索静脈瘤根治術の体外授精におよぼす影響を調べる目的で、高度の乏精子症を呈する夫が精索静脈瘤を有し、かつ体外授精に失敗した13組の夫婦を追跡した。この13組は、夫の精索静脈瘤根治術終了後再び体外授精を試みた。その結果、術前は妊娠例が認められなかったが、術後4例（31%）に妊娠が確認された。この4例においては、精子濃度および運動率は上昇した。

（泌尿紀要 40 : 683-687, 1994）