A PEDIATRIC CASE OF URETEROPELVIC JUNCTION OBSTRUCTION TREATED WITH RETROGRADE ENDOPYELOTOMY
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To determine the feasibility of retrograde endopyelotomy in the management of pediatric ureteropelvic junction (UPJ) obstruction, we treated one girl aged 6 years with the Acucise® cutting balloon devise for symptomatic UPJ obstruction. The Acucise catheter (7 Fr, flexible) was placed by a cystoscope over a guide wire with fluoroscopic guidance under general anesthesia. After cutting the stenotic area electorically and dilatation until 24 Fr for 10 seconds, a 6/10 Fr endopyelotomy ureteral catheter was left in situ for 8 weeks after the operation. Total operating time was 45 minutes and the child was discharged 3 days after the operation. There were no acute complications and short-term, follow-up 8 months after the operative results were satisfactory as determined by intravenous pyelography and diuretic renogram. UPJ obstruction in children may be treated by retrograde endopyelotomy with the Acucise® catheter as well as adults. The principal potential advantage of this procedure is reduced morbidity as compared with antegrade endopyelotomy.
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INTRODUCTION

Ureteropelvic junction (UPJ) obstruction is identified frequently in children. Although they traditionally present with a history of urinary tract infections, flank pain, or failure to thrive, they are now diagnosed prenatally and are asymptomatic. Traditional treatment of UPJ obstruction has been by open plastic surgical techniques. Dismembered pyeloureteroplasty is the standard treatment, with a success rate of over 90%1,2). However, open pyeloplasty has several drawbacks, including significant postoperative pain, prolonged convalescence, and a prominent skin incision. In an effort to overcome the shortcomings of this procedure, several endoscopic techniques have been developed as alternative to open pyeloplasty3-11). Although percutaneous antegrade endopyelotomy has reduced the morbidity of treating UPJ obstruction in adults, it has not replaced open surgery in children. The morbidity of percutaneous nephrostomy drainage tubes, the relatively large caliber of the endoscopes and accessories used in treatment, and success rate of 64% to 89%8-11) have limited the enthusiasm for its use in children12).

Retrograde endopyelotomy with the Acucise® cutting balloon device (Acucise®, Applied Medical, Laguna Hills, CA) is a recently reported technique for the management of adult UPJ obstruction13) (Fig. 1). To determine if it is feasible in children, we treated one girl aged 6 years.

CASE REPORT

A 6-year-old girl (weight 18.0 kg) presented with episodes of abdominal pain associated with microhematuria. Abdominal ultrasonography revealed a markedly dilated right renal pelvis and calices. Voiding cystourethrogram revealed no reflux, furosemide diuretic renography and intravenous pyelography (IVP) were consistent with a UPJ obstruction. The child's parents expressed the wish to avoid an open surgical incision. Although, the Acucise® catheter is generally utilized for the operation of retrograde endopyelotomy, that is not approved by the Japanese Ministry of Public Welfare for the medical treatment, although it is approved in the U.S.A..

Fig. 1. Acucise® cutting balloon device is a flexible ureteral catheter (7 Fr) with a 3-cm long balloon (maximally dilated for 24 Fr), wide electrosurgical cutting wire (150 μm) and markers (cutting wire can be activated between them) for fluoroscopic guidance. For optimal efficiency, balloon inflation and incision of ureter are performed together.
An 13 Fr cystoscope was used to place an Amplatz stiff guide wire (0.035 inch, 150 cm, Applied Medical, Laguna Hills, CA). The Acucise® catheter was advanced over the guide wire and positioned across the UPJ under fluoroscopic control with the aid of radiodense markers on the balloon. The balloon was filled with 1 ml of 30% radiocontrast fluid to ensure satisfactory positioning at the level of the UPJ with a tight waist at the midpoint of the balloon. The balloon was distended progressively with an additional 1 ml of diluted radiocontrast fluid over 2 seconds period, during which incision was undertaken with a 75 W pure cutting current. Extravasation of contrast medium from the treated area was noted. The Acucise® catheter was withdrawn and a 6/10 Fr endopyelotomy ureteral stent was placed. The total operating time was 45 minutes and blood loss was negligible. A 14 Fr bag catheter was left in the bladder for 24 hours to optimized drainage (Fig. 2 and 3).

The child was discharged from our hospital three days after the operation. For few days, she experienced slightly urinary frequency, right lumbago and fever up, however, these symptoms resolved with anticholinergic agents and antibiotics. An otherwise uneventful recovery ensued and the stent was removed after 8 weeks after the operation. The child's initial complaints of abdominal pain were resolved, and an intravenous pyelogram and diuretic renal scan 8 months after the operation demonstrated reduction in the caliceal deformity and dilatation and suggested reduction of UPJ obstruction (Fig. 4).

**DISCUSSION**

The patient and surgeon have a variety of therapeutic options when faced with an UPJ obstruction. This has traditionally been treated by open pyeloplasty, which, although associated with a low complication rate, necessitates an abdominal or flank incision and a hospital stay of several days. Endoscopic procedures have substantially reduced the morbidity of treating UPJ obstruction in adults, but they have not been widely adopted in children. Through antegrade endoscopic incision at UPJ is technically more challenging in the pediatric kidney and ureter, accounting for a greater failure rate. Retrograde endopyelotomy recently has been investigated as an alternative for reducing the morbidity of treating UPJ obstruction. Chandhoke and associates reported objective improvement of the upper urinary tracts in 24 of 28 patients (85%) after Acucise® retrograde endopyelotomy, and Albala and Asbury successfully treated 21 of 27 patients (78%) with the Acucise® catheter. In other hands, antegrade endopyelotomy was successful in about 70 -80% of the treated cases, thus it appears that antegrade and Acucise® retrograde endopyelotomy offer similar success rates. Our early findings indicate that retrograde endopyelotomy using the Acucise® catheter is technically feasible in treating UPJ obstruction even in children (at least above 6 months).
normal upper urinary tracts in 71% of adult patients and an improved radiologic appearance in an additional 14%\(^1\). Since the patients treated in the series reported by Chandhoke et al.\(^2\) and Bolton et al.\(^3\) were between 16 and 81 years, our patient, at 6 years, is very young to be treated by this technique.

The potential advantages of retrograde endopyelotomy with the Acucise\(^\text{®}\) catheter are based on a well-studied principle. Transmural ureteral incisions provide long-term relief of obstruction by healing around a stent, a physiologic principle first used by Davis\(^4\). However, in contrast to Davis’s open incision from outside, the Acucise\(^\text{®}\) device allows for a transmural ureteral incision from the inside of the ureter. Histologic studies have not revealed any significant differences in tissue injury after ureteral incision produced by a variety of methods, including the Acucise catheter and the cold knife\(^1,5\). The potential for damaging a lower pole renal vessel during a blind incision, resulting in lower pole renal ischemia or uncontrolled hemorrhage. Appropriate informed consent, however, should alert patients and their families of this potential, yet unlikely event\(^2\).

Anecdotal reports have been made concerning significant hemorrhage after incising the UPJ, yet no description could be found to confirm this in the literature\(^1,5,12,16\). We believe this is likely to be a rare occurrence as the incision is performed only on the lateral aspect of the UPJ.

With further refinements in endopyelotomy, the renal challenge for the urologist may be diagnosing which patients with “asymptomatic” hydronephrosis will be followed conservatively\(^2\).}
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