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URETERAL STENOSIS SECONDARY TO COMMON ILIAC ANEURYSM: A CASE REPORT AND REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE IN JAPAN

Kazuo Gohji, Hideki Uehara, Shinsuke Takagi, Soichi ARAKAWA, Osamu MATSUMOTO and Sadao KAMIDONO

A case of right ureteral obstruction secondary to right common iliac aneurysm presenting as right hydronephrosis is reported and the literature is reviewed. A 66-year-old man was admitted to our hospital with lower abdominal pain and was treated by bypass operation with artificial vessel and uretero-ureterostomy of right ureter under the diagnosis of right ureteral stenosis secondary to right iliac aneurysm. Histological examination showed arteriosclerotic aneurysm and a fibrillary inflammatory change in peri-ureteral tissue without any hemosiderin-laden macrophages. Intravenous pyelography showed improvement of right hydronephrosis 45 days after operation.

To date 11 cases including our case have been reported in Japan, 10 in males and the other in a female. Initial symptoms were either lumbago or an abdominal mass with palpitation in many cases. The treatment was by resection of aneurysm with bypass grafting or ureterolysis.
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INTRODUCTION

The iliac aneurysm is commonly complicated with abdominal aortic aneurysm, but solitary iliac aneurysm which tends to be ruptured is relatively rare1,2). The symptoms of iliac aneurysm were severe abdominal pain and shock following its rupture. Without rupture, it induced obstruction to adjacent organs3). Ureteral obstruction, which is induced by iliac aneurysm, is rare. To date, only ten cases have been reported in the literature in Japan. We describe a 66-year-old man with right ureteral stenosis induced by right common iliac aneurysm. This is the 11th case documented in Japan.

CASE REPORT

A 66-year-old man was admitted to our hospital with lower abdominal pain. There was no evidence of hematuria, proteinuria, weight loss or fever up. Physical examinations and laboratory data were within the normal limits. Drip infusion pyelography did not show any abnormal calcification in urinary tract and demonstrated right hydronephrosis (Fig. 1). Retrograde pyelography showed a right ureteral stenosis at the level of the fifth lumbar vertebra (Fig. 2). Abdominal computerized tomography showed a mass of peri-vascular area, which was enhanced as well as aorta.

Fig. 1. Drip infusion pyelography showed moderately right hydronephrosis.
Digital subtraction angiography showed an aneurysm of right common iliac artery (Fig. 4). Replacement of the aneurysm by artificial vessel between aorta and right external iliac artery and resection of narrow segment and uretero-ureterostomy of right ureter were performed under the diagnosis of right ureteral stenosis induced by right common iliac aneurysm (Fig. 5, A, B). Intravenous pyelogram showed improvement of right hydronephrosis 45 days after the operation (Fig. 6). Histological examination

---

**Fig. 2.** Retrograde pyelography showed a right ureteral stenosis at 5th lumbar vertebra.

**Fig. 3.** Abdominal computerized tomography showed a mass of peri-vascular area.

**Fig. 4.** Digital subtraction angiography showed a right common iliac aneurysm.

**Fig. 5.** Pre- and post-operative status of our case (schema). A: pre-operative schema. B: post-operative schema. Replacement of aneurysm by artificial vessel, 10 mm. Goatex, between aorta and right external iliac artery and resection of narrow segment and uretero-ureterostomy of right ureter were performed. Right internal iliac artery was ligated.
Table 1. Ureteral obstruction and stenosis secondary with common or internal iliac aneurysm reported in Japan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Age of patient (year)</th>
<th>Sex of patient</th>
<th>Site of Hydronephrosis</th>
<th>Site of Aneurysm</th>
<th>Initial Symptom</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Survival after diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>Hiekata et al(^{4})</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>M(^{a})</td>
<td>rt (^{c})</td>
<td>rt-IIA (^{b})</td>
<td>lower abdominal pain</td>
<td>resection of aneurysm</td>
<td>alive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>Gotoh et al(^{5})</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>lt (^{d})</td>
<td>lt-CIA (^{e})</td>
<td>lt-lumbago</td>
<td>resection of aneurysm bypass grafting</td>
<td>alive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>Hayashi et al(^{6})</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>bil (^{f})</td>
<td>Ao (^{b})</td>
<td>abdominal mass with palpitation</td>
<td>bypass grafting</td>
<td>alive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>Nishida et al(^{11})</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>Ao</td>
<td>abdominal pain appetite loss</td>
<td>resection of aneurysm bypass grafting</td>
<td>alive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Yoshihara et al(^{8})</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>F(^{b})</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>rt-CIA</td>
<td>lumbago</td>
<td>no therapy</td>
<td>alive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>Nishimura et al(^{9})</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>lt</td>
<td>bil-CIA</td>
<td>lumbago</td>
<td>ureterolysis</td>
<td>alive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>Takahashi et al(^{10})</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>lt</td>
<td>bil-CIA</td>
<td>lumbago</td>
<td>bypass grafting</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>Tukamoto et al(^{11})</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>lt</td>
<td>Ao</td>
<td>abdominal mass with palpitation</td>
<td>bypass grafting</td>
<td>alive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>Yazawa et al(^{12})</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>bil-CIA</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>bypass grafting rt-nephrectomy, TUR-Bt</td>
<td>alive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>Okabe et al(^{13})</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>bil</td>
<td>bil-CIA</td>
<td>lumbago</td>
<td>ureterolysis</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>Goji et al(^{14})</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>rt-CIA</td>
<td>lower abdominal pain</td>
<td>bypass grafting uretero-ureterostomy</td>
<td>alive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) M : male, \(^{b}\) F : female \(^{c}\) rt : right \(^{d}\) lt : left \(^{e}\) bil : bilateral \(^{f}\) IIA : internal iliac artery \(^{g}\) CIA : common iliac artery \(^{h}\) Ao : aorta
Fig. 6. Intravenous pyelography showed improvement of right hydronephrosis 45 days after operation.

Fig. 7. Histological findings of peri-ureteral tissue was fibrillary inflammatory change (H & E. x 40).

showed an arteriosclerotic aneurysm and fibrillary inflammatory changes in peri-ureteral tissue without any hemosiderin-laden macrophages (Fig. 7).

DISCUSSION

Ureteral obstruction or stenosis induced by common or internal iliac aneurysm is rare. Eleven cases including our case have been reported in the literature in Japan (Table 1). Among the 7 cases treated by bypass grafting, one patient underwent nephrectomy and transurethral resection of bladder tumor in addition, because ureter and bladder tumors were suspected. Only ureterolysis was performed in two cases. The other one case was not treated. In our case, resection of aneurysm, bypass operation with artificial vessel and ureteroureterostomy of right ureter were performed. Rather than a function of the size of the aneurysm in itself, obstruction usually is caused by retroperitoneal fibrosis which encases the ureters. In formation of fibrous tissue, two different ways have thus far been described. First, microscopic perforations of the arteriosclerotic plaques allow blood to leak into the retroperitoneum resulting in an inflammatory process. Second, inflammatory changes usually seen in the wall of aneurysm may extend to the adventitia and perivascular structure. Although in our case, periaortic fibrosis and chronic inflammatory change were seen, we could not detect any hemosiderin-laden macrophages. Therefore, the etiology of our case suggested the above second process.
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