On the Crustal deformation due to Full Water and Accumulating Sand in the Sabo-dam

by

Michio TAKADA

Abstract

We have been observing crustal deformation by extensioneters and tiltmeters in the Ide Observatory of Disaster Prevention Research Institute of Kyoto University. As water filled the Sabo-dam near our observatory, some remarkable variations of ground-strrain and ground-tilt were noted in the extensionetric and tiltmetric observation. The mode of strain of the ground near the observatory due to this semi-artificial load is considered.

1. Introduction

Ide Observatory at Ide-cho Tsuzuki-gun, Kyoto-Pref., as shown in Fig. 1,



Fig. 1. Illustration of the observatory A recording room B : adit C : position set up the tele-metrical extensometer D Sabo-dam O_1 : the 1st observation room O_2 : the 2nd observation room

Mark	Azimuth	Sensitivity	Place	Mark		Azimuth	Sensitivity	place
1. Super-invar-bar Extensometer			5. Wise resistance strain meter (Tele-metrical Extensometer)					
		(10 ⁻⁸ /mm)					(10 ⁻⁸ /mm)	
1	Vertical	5.54	\mathbf{O}_1	Eι	,	N82°W	3.4	С
2	Horizontal N88°E	4.92	01	E	7	Horizontal N82°W	5.5	с
3	Horizontal N 2°W	10,74	01					ļ
4	Dip 50° N88°E	3.46	01	6. Ho	rizonta	l pendulum	type Tiltmete	r
5	Dip 66° N2°W	2.78	01		Α	N45°E	(10 ^{-2"} /mm) 2.0	01
6	Horizontal N77°W	2.30	O ₁	Т.М.	В	S45°E	2.0	01
3 ′	Horizontal N2°W	6.12	O 1	тм	A'	N45°W	3.0	02
2′	Horizontal N82°W	2.80	С		B′	N45°E	3.0	02
2. High magnification Extensometer			7. Photocell type Tiltmeter (Tele-metrical Eiltmeter)					
1	Vertical	0.329	O1	Т.М. р	A'p	N45°W	4.0	0 2
11	Horizontal N88°E	0.492	01		B′p	N45°E-	4.7	02
111	Horizontal N2°W	0.892	01	8. Dis	charge	meter 📉		
» CL						(water lev	vel mm/mm)	
5. CA (T	ele-metrical Extens	someter)	heter	D		5.3	×10 ⁻²	01
E1	Horizontal N82°W	7.5	С	D'		1.7	×10 ⁻²	С
4. Photocell type Extensometer (Tele-metrical Extensometer)			9. Thermometer					
Ep	Horizontal N82°Ŵ	3.7	с	T		0.034	`(°C/mm)	O ₁

Table. 1. List of instruments of Ide Observatory

is a reformed adit of an abandoned copper mine. In the 1st observation room situated at the most secluded place of the adit. 6 components of extensioneters,

3 components of high magnification extensometers, horizontal pendulum type tiltmeter and the discharge meter are set up as shown in Fig. 2. The constants of these instruments are indicated in Table 1. In front of the observatory, the Tama River runs without mach water except it rains. The river brings down sand, especially the quantity of washed-out sand has increased after Minamiyamashiro flood disaster. The Sabo-dam was constructed, as one of flood disas ter preventive measures, near our observatory. The water is pouring out through a weeping hole at the bottom of the dam, where the sand is not much accumlated usually but when the stream swells,



Fig. 2. Disposition of instruments interior the 1st observation room
1,2,3,4, 5, 6, 3' super-invar-bar-extensometer
I, II, III high magnification extensometer

Т. М.	tiltmeter
Т.	thermometer

D. discharge meter

the water and sand staies with the dam. We had rainfall amounting precipitation 180mm for 3 days from 26 Sept. 1956, the water began to overflow the dam at about 9 a. m. on 27 Sept. when the extensioneters and tiltmeters caught a specific variation on them. This is presumed that the foundation of the ground strained by the load of pooled water and accumulated sand. The writer tried to compare the result of observation with the result obtained theoretically. We will describe in detail of the above.

2. Result of the Observation

Fig. 3 and 4 show the result of observation by the extension evens, tiltmeter and the discharge meter at this observatory and the precipitation measured after 5 Sept. 1956 at Kyoto-prefectural laboratory of gardening and seedlings which is located at Tanabe-cho, Tsuruki-gun, Kyoto-Pref. about 3km from our observatory. Both of them show the monotonous variation until 26 Sept. when the specific variation was manifest. Photo. 1 shows the records obtained by instruments before and after the full water. On the variatirn of the extensometer component "1" had been extending at the rate of 8.5×10^{-9} /day until



Fig. 3. The variation of strain, volume dilatation and discharge of water in the mine at Ide Observatory and the precipitation at Tanabe-cho about 3km from Ide Observatory, from 5 Sept. to 20 Oct. 1956.

teh time of rainfall on 26 Sept. but it was extended 7.2×10^{-7} suddenly, component "2" and "3" had been compressing at the rate of 11.5×10^{-9} /day and 15.0×10^{-9} /day, but they were compressed 2.4×10^{-7} and 12.6×10^{-7} respectively. On the variation of tiltmeter, it had been inclining at the rate of 0.0175"/day towards south, but it was inclined 0.335" towards the direction of the dam suddenly. Also it increased on the discharge meters. These variations on the instruments obviously caused by the load of the water and the sand but we can say that some part of the variation are caused by the rainfall itself. In order to see how much variation was due to the load of the water and the sand, the

annual variation and the variation caused by the rainfall must be eliminated. The elimination of the annual variation was mentioned in another report already. The variation of strain observed by extenso neters, eliminated of annual variation



Fig. 4. The tilting motion of ground at Ide Observatory from 5 Sept. to 15 Nov. 1956 and annual variation. Double arrow shows the theoretical tilting.

is indicated in Fig. 5. The annual variation of tilt for these period is illustrated in vector diagram in Fig. 4 left bottom. The effect of annual variation on variation for short period of 25 or 30 days seems to be so small that we left it uneliminated. Secondly on the elimination of variation caused by the rainfall, the variation affected by the rainfall can be obtained from the variation of discharge of water in the adit considering the relation between the discharge

Photo. 1. Records obtained with many types extensioneters and tiltmeters and discharge meter before and after the full water in the Sabo-dum.



(A) Super-inver-bar-extensometer (1, 2, 3, 5)



(B) Super-invar-bar-extensometer (4, 6, 3') and discharge meter (D)



(D) Tiltmeter (T. M. A, B)

18



- 4. (A'_P) : Photocell type tiltmeter (A'-composient)
- 5. $(B'_{\mathcal{P}})$: Photocell type tiltmeter (B'-component) (Hitting light runs away the photocell)

of the water and the strain, that is to say the relation of Fig. 6.

The variation curve of the strain which was caused by the rainfall for these periods is shown dotted line curve in Fig. 5. Therefore the difference



Fig. 5. The variation of strain and discharge of water in the mine, eliminated of annual variaction.

between—line and...line in Fig. 5 and the arrow in Fig. 4 are able to define the strain caused by the load of the water and sand. That is to say, it extended 4.1×10^{-8} to the direction of extensometer, component "1" (vertical) and com-



Fig. 6. The relation between the strain and the discharge of water in the mine.

pressed 22.8×10^{-8} to the direction of "2" (horizontal. E2°N. paralell to the river) and compressed 81.0×10^{-8} to the direction of "3" (horizontal. N2°W. almost perpendecular to the river) and inclined 33.5×10^{-27} to the direction of N64.6°W.

3. Study and examination of strain theoretically caused by the load of water and sand.

In the research of the earth tide, reports by many scholars have described how the load of sea water affects on the crust, most of them taking the crust as isotropic semi-infinite elastic solid and inquiring the crust deformation caused by the surface load on the stage of elastic theory by use of Bossinesq's problem. We have also studied how the load of water and sand effect on the crust on the same stage. In case the river water increases, the vertical force is presumed to be the main force affecting on the river-bed, but as there are more or less ups and downs on the river-bed, the horizontal forces must be be also in action. Let P₁ be the increase of vertical force when the water increased as high as Δh , P_2 and P_3 be the increase of *x*-component and the increase of *y*-component of horizontal force. If the feature of river-bed is z=h(x, y), P_1 , P_2 and P_3 are given as follows.

$$P_{1} = \frac{\rho \cdot g \cdot \Delta h}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}\right)^{2}}}$$

$$P_{2} = \frac{-\rho \cdot g \cdot \Delta h \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}\right)^{2}}}$$

$$P_{3} = \frac{-\rho \cdot g \cdot \Delta h \frac{\partial h}{\partial y}}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial y}\right)^{2}}}$$
(3.1)

Where ρ is the density of the river water and g the gravity acceleration. Secondly in case a force acts on a point of surface of isotropic semi-infinite elastic solid, we look about the displacement on another point. Let the origin be the point where the force acts. Let x, y and z be Cartisian rectangular co-ordinates, the axis of z being directe downwards and let u, v and w be x, y and zcomponent of displacement respectively and that putting the suffixes of 1, 2 and 3 on them to show displacements caused by P_1 , P_2 and P_3 are given in following equations.

$$\begin{cases} u_{1} = \frac{P_{1}}{4\pi\mu} \frac{zx}{R^{3}} - \frac{P_{1}}{4\pi(\lambda+\mu)} \frac{x}{R(R+z)} \\ v_{1} = \frac{P_{1}}{4\pi\mu} \frac{yz}{R^{3}} - \frac{P_{1}}{4\pi(\lambda+\mu)} \frac{x}{R(R+z)} \\ w_{1} = \frac{P_{1}}{4\pi\mu} \frac{z^{2}}{R^{3}} + \frac{P_{1}}{4\pi\mu} \frac{\lambda+2\mu}{\lambda+\mu} \frac{1}{R} \\ \\ u_{2} = \frac{P_{2}}{4\pi\mu} \left(\frac{1}{R} + \frac{x^{2}}{R^{2}}\right) + \frac{P_{2}}{4\pi(\lambda+\mu)} \left\{\frac{1}{R+z} - \frac{x^{2}}{R(R+z)^{2}} \\ v_{2} = \frac{P_{2}}{4\pi\mu} \frac{xy}{R^{3}} - \frac{P_{2}}{4\pi(\lambda+\mu)} \frac{xy}{R(R+z)^{2}} \\ w_{2} = \frac{P_{2}}{4\pi\mu} \frac{zx}{R^{3}} + \frac{P_{2}}{4\pi(\lambda+\mu)} \frac{xy}{R(R+z)^{2}} \\ \\ w_{3} = \frac{P_{3}}{4\pi\mu} \frac{xy}{R^{3}} - \frac{P_{3}}{4\pi(\lambda+\mu)} \frac{xy}{R(R+z)^{2}} \\ v_{3} = \frac{P_{3}}{4\pi\mu} \left(\frac{1}{R} + \frac{y^{2}}{R^{3}}\right) + \frac{P_{3}}{4\pi(\lambda+\mu)} \left\{\frac{1}{R+z} - \frac{y^{2}}{R(R+z)^{2}}\right\} \\ \\ w_{3} = \frac{P_{3}}{4\pi\mu} \frac{yz}{R^{3}} + \frac{P_{3}}{4\pi(\lambda+\mu)} \frac{y}{R(R+z)} \end{cases}$$

Where $R^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$, λ and μ are Lamé's constants. The extension and the tilt of x direction and the extension of z direction are given by following equations from above mentioned equations on the surface z=0.

$$\left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x}\right)_0 = \frac{P_1}{4\pi(\lambda+\mu)} \frac{x^2 - y^2}{r^4}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial w_1}{\partial x}\right)_0 = -\frac{P_1}{4\pi\mu} \frac{\lambda+2\mu}{\lambda+\mu} \frac{x}{r^3}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial w_1}{\partial z}\right)_0 = 0$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x}\right)_0 = \frac{P_2}{4\pi\mu} \frac{x(r^2 - 3x^2)}{r^5} - \frac{P_2}{4\pi(\lambda+\mu)} \frac{3xy^2}{r^5}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial w_2}{\partial x}\right)_0 = -\frac{P_2}{4\pi(\lambda+\mu)} \frac{x^2 - y^2}{r^4}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial w_2}{\partial z}\right)_0 = \frac{P_2}{4\pi\mu} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu} \frac{x}{r^3}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial w_3}{\partial x}\right)_0 = -\frac{P_3}{4\pi\mu} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu} \frac{y(r^2 + 3x^2)}{r^5}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial w_3}{\partial x}\right)_0 = -\frac{P_3}{4\pi\mu} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu} \frac{y}{r^3}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial w_3}{\partial z}\right)_0 = \frac{P_3}{4\pi\mu} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu} \frac{y}{r^3}$$

where $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$.

As the strain on the point, when P_1 , P_2 and P_3 act, is thus obtained, if we integrate the natural feature of the river-bed actually, the strain on the observation point can be obtained. As this integral is, in fact, pretty complicated, we adopt the graphical method. As an example, extension of x direction, in case P_1 acts, can be obtained as below. At first the strain $\left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x}\right)_0$ caused by the load of a section encircled by $r = r_n \sim r_{n+1}$, $\phi = \phi_m \sim \phi_{m+1}$ is given in following equations by use of polar co-ordinate where the origin is the observation point.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} \\ \end{pmatrix}_0 = \iint \frac{P_1}{4\pi(\lambda+\mu)} \frac{x^2 - y^2}{r^4} dx \cdot dy = \frac{P_1}{4\pi(\lambda+\mu)} \iint \frac{x^2 - y^2}{r^4} dx dy$$

$$= \frac{P_1}{4\pi(\lambda+\mu)} \int_{\gamma_n}^{\gamma_{n+1}} \frac{dr}{r} \int_{\phi_m}^{\phi_{m+1}} (\cos^2\phi - \sin^2\phi) d\phi$$

$$= \frac{P_1}{4\pi(\lambda+\mu)} \left(\log \frac{r_{n+1}}{r_n} \right) \frac{1}{2} (\sin 2\phi_{m+1} - \sin 2\phi_m)$$

Therefore suming up the all strains caused by all load of the sections encircled

22

by all $r_n \sim r_{n+1}$, $\phi_m \sim \phi_{m+1}$, the strain can be obtained. In this case, on all mand n, if we divide these sections the value of $\log \frac{r_{n+1}}{r_n}$, $\frac{1}{2}(\sin 2\phi_{m+1} - 2\phi_m)$ keeps the same value that is to say to $k = \left(\log \frac{r_{n+1}}{r_n}\right) \frac{1}{2}(\sin 2\phi_{m+1} - \sin 2\phi_m)$ become the constant value, the strain on the observation point is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial u_1 \\ \partial x \end{pmatrix}_0 = \frac{K}{4\pi(\lambda+\mu)} \sum_{S_2} P_1.$$

 \sum_{s_2} means to sum up all values of P_1 on every section. If we follow the dividing as in Table 2, each strain is obtained by following equations.

	k_1	k2
S ₁		$\sin\phi_{m+1} - \sin\phi_m$
C ₁		$-(\cos\phi_{m+1}-\cos\phi_m)$
S ₂	$\log \frac{r_{n+1}}{r_{n+1}}$	$\frac{1}{2}(\sin 2\phi_{m+1} - \sin 2\phi_m)$
C ₂	r_n	$-\frac{1}{2}(\cos 2\phi_{m+1}-\cos 2\phi_m)$
S ₃		$\frac{\frac{1}{3}(\sin 3\phi_{m+1} - \sin 3\phi_m)}{1-\sin 3\phi_m}$
S ₃		$-\frac{1}{3}(\cos\phi_{m+1}-\cos\phi_m)$

Table 2. List of integration sections

$\int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x}\right)_{0} = \frac{K}{4\pi(\lambda+\mu)} \sum_{S_{2}} P_{1}$	
$\left(\frac{\partial w_1}{\partial x}\right)_0 = \frac{K}{4\pi\mu} \frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{\lambda + \mu} \sum_{S_1} P_1$	
$\left(\frac{\partial w_1}{\partial z}\right)_0 = 0$	
$\left(\left(\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} \right)_0 = \frac{K}{16\pi\mu} \frac{5\lambda + 8\mu}{\lambda + \mu} \sum_{S_1} P_2 + \frac{K}{16\pi\mu} \frac{3\lambda}{\lambda + \mu} \sum_{S_3} P_2 \right)$	
$\left(\left(\frac{\partial w_2}{\partial x} \right)_0 = - \frac{K}{4\pi (\lambda + \mu) S_2} P_2$	(3.4)
$\left(\left(rac{\partial w_2}{\partial z} ight)_{\! 0} = -rac{K}{4\pi\mu} rac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu} \sum\limits_{S_1} P_2$	

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\left(\frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial x}\right)_{0} = -\frac{K}{16\pi\mu\lambda+\mu}\sum_{C_{1}}P_{s} + \frac{K}{16\pi\mu\lambda+\mu}\sum_{C_{3}}P_{s} \\
\left(\frac{\partial w_{s}}{\partial x}\right)_{0} = -\frac{K}{4\pi(\lambda+\mu)}\sum_{C_{2}}P_{s} \\
\left(\frac{\partial w_{s}}{\partial z}\right)_{0} = -\frac{K}{16\pi\mu\lambda+\mu}\sum_{C_{1}}P_{s}
\end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$K = k_1 \times k_2$$

Therefore the extension and the tilt of x direction and the extension of z direction, in case P_1 , P_2 and P_3 act, are obtained as follows

To obtain the strain applying this formula, making up the divided sections as shown in Table 2 on the tracing paper and putting this on the diagram of distribution of P_1 (distribution of the depth of water and accumulated sand) as shown in Fig. 7, also putting the oringin on the observation point, we count the number of sections which are included in each distribution and integrate



Fig. 7. The diagram of distribution of the depth of water and accumulated sand when the Sabo-dam.was filled up with the water on 27 Sept. 1956. (Unit : m)

24

it. Thus we can obtain the extension and the tilt of x direction and the extension of z direction. But the extension and the tilt of y direction also can be seen to have an axis of the section diagram, used above and to rotate properly. In this case K is also a constant value which was defined by dividing sections as mentioned above, but if we define the dividing sections that K is the constant value beforehand, it is convenient to calculate the value. So we divided the sections that k_1 and k_2 become 0.05 that is to say K=0.0025. In obtaining the horizontal forces of P_2 and P_3 , we decided to divide them into 14 small sections $(a) \sim (n)$ which seemed that the value of $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}$, $\frac{\partial h}{\partial y}$ keep the same value, and to take the load within 100 m from the observation point. In this way, we obtained the strain dividing it into 2 ways that is to say strains caused by the water and the sand. Secondly, on making such a distribution diagram, as we have been surveying the river-bed twice a year in Apr. & Oct. periodically putting the rainy season in between in order to obtain the relation between accumulated sand and the strain, we made it on the basis of the survey conducted in the middle of Aug. 1956, the measurement of water level when the water filled, on 27 Sept. and the resurvey on river-bed in the middle of Oct., and that value of $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}$, $\frac{\partial h}{\partial y}$ obtained by the survey in Aug. on the sand and in Oct. on the water and we made the distribution of accumulated said from the difference between those above 2 surveys. The distribution of water depth was made from the deta of Oct. survey and the measurement of water level when the dam was filled with water. It is natural that these diagrams are different from the fact, especially the diagram of heaped sand distribution when the dam was filled with water seems to show some difference, but in fact as it is very difficult to measure the quantity of the sand when the dam is filled with water we used such method for the sake of convenience. The error accompanied utirizing this convenient method seems small when this is compared with the accuracy which we are going to obtain from now on. On the other hand, as the density of water we adopted the measurement value 1.01 of the density of river water when the dam was filled with the water and as the density of sand we adopted 1.875, assuming that the density of sand is 75% of mean density 2.5 of pebble at river-bed nearby dam. On a basis of such assumptions and these values, we obtained the values of $\sum_{S_1} P_1$, $\sum_{S_2} P_1$ ect, which are needed on the calculation and these values are shown in Table 3. In this table, we divided them into two parts, as the value caused by the water and the value caused by the sand and the marks 2 and 3 stood for the

		By the load	l of water	By the lo	oad of sand.	
(×10 ⁵)		2	3	2	3	
\sum_{S_1}	P_1 P_2	— 135.907 — 4.565	+ 270.393 - 8.700	- 48 174 - 3.421	+ 78.146 - 2.675	
$\sum_{\mathcal{S}_2}$	P_1 P_2	- 110.837 - 4.948	+ 110.837 + 5.341	- 36.446 - 1.568	+ 36.446 + 0.918	
\sum_{S_3}	P ₂	+ 7,360	+ 7.886	+ 0.896	+ 2.337	
\sum_{C_1}	P ₃	- 8.700	- 4,565	- 2,675	- 3.421	
\sum_{C_2}	P_3	+ 10.427	- 6.672	+ 3.525	- 0.996	
\sum_{C_3}	P_3	- 7.886	- 7.360	- 2.337	- 0.896	

Table 3. The values of $\sum_{S_1} P_1$, $\sum_{S_1} P_2$etc.

case x axis is taken to the direction of extensometer "2" and the case x axis is taken to the direction of "3" Therefore if the value of λ and μ of the rock are given, each strain can be obtained by use of these values. Under an assumption that $\lambda = \mu$ (this is natural assumption.) denoting the extension of extensometer "1" (vertical) direction, "2" (E2°N. horizontal direction. parralell to the river) direction, "3" (N2°W. horizontal direction perpendecular to the river) direction and tilt of "2" and "3" directions as $\overline{1}$, $\overline{2}$, $\overline{3}$, \widehat{EW} and \widehat{NS} and putting the suffixes of w on the strain caused by the water and s on the strain caused by the sand, strains are shown in following equations.

$$\bar{1}_{w} = \frac{1.31}{\lambda} \times 10^{2} \qquad \bar{1}_{s} = \frac{1.12}{\lambda} \times 10^{2}
\bar{2}_{w} = -\frac{13.90}{\lambda} \times 10^{2} \qquad \bar{2}_{s} = -\frac{8.85}{\lambda} \times 10^{2}
\bar{3}_{w} = \frac{8.39}{\lambda} \times 10^{2} \qquad \bar{3}_{s} = \frac{5.50}{\lambda} \times 10^{2}
\bar{E}\widehat{W}_{w} = -\frac{8.16}{\lambda} \times 10^{8''} \qquad \bar{E}\widehat{W}_{s} = -\frac{5.44}{\lambda} \times 10^{8''}
\bar{N}\widehat{S}_{w} = \frac{16.32}{\lambda} \times 10^{8''} \qquad \bar{N}\widehat{S}_{s} = \frac{8.88}{\lambda} \times 10^{8''}$$
(3.6)

As described above, it is concluded as follows by the load of the water and the sand when the dam was filled up with the water.

(i) It extended $\frac{2.43}{\lambda} \times 10^2$ to the direction of component "1" (vertical). (ii) It compressed $\frac{22.75}{\lambda} \times 10^2$ to the direction of component "2" (E2°N, horizontal. paralell to the river).

(iii) It extended $\frac{13.89}{\lambda} \times 10^2$ to the direction of component "3" (N2°W, horizontal. perpendecular to the river).

(iv) It tilted $\frac{13.60}{\lambda} \times 10^{8''}$ to the direction of W2°S and $\frac{25.20}{\lambda} \times 10^{8''}$ to the direction of N2°W, that is it tilted $\frac{28.95}{\lambda} \times 10^{8''}$ to the direction of N32.5°W.

4. Study and examination on the observed values and the values obtained by theoretical method.

We tried to obtain each strain by use of Boussinesq's solution under various assumptions, and we could compare and examine the observed values with the values obtained by theoretical method, if the values of λ and μ on the rock are given. Both values of λ and μ can be obtained, if the velocity of elastic wave is known by seismic prospecting and by some other suitable method, but we tried to obtain the value of λ under an assumption that $\lambda = \mu$ and taking the advantage that the component "2" is not affected by the rainfall. In the Fig. 5 and Photo. 1 we can fined out that the strain increased suddenly at about 9 a.m. on 27 Sept. and it compressed as much as 22.8×10^{-8} for the period of a short time and resumed as far as 9.2×10^{-8} gradually until 12 Oct. then after that the strain increased again. This is meant that the strain increased by the load of water and sand in accordance with an increase of water then it resumed to decrease of water but the strain caused by thel oad of sand remained then afterwards another variation has come into being as the following

$$22.8\times10^{-8}=\overline{2}_w+\overline{2}$$

$$9.2 \times 10^{-8} = \overline{2}_{8}$$

That is to say

$$\frac{13.90}{\lambda} \times 10^2 = 13.7 \times 10^{-8} \tag{4.1}$$

$$\frac{8.85}{\lambda} \times 10^2 = 9.2 \times 10^{-8} \tag{4.2}$$

 λ is obtained as $\lambda = 1.022 \times 10^{10}$ from the equation (4.1) and as $\lambda = 0.973 \times 10^{10}$

Instrument		Calculated value			Observed value
	Component	Variation of which caused by the load of water	Variation of which caused by the load of sand	Variation of which caused by the load of wat er and sand	Variation of which caused by the load of wat- er and sand
	1(Vertical)	Extension 1.31×10^{-8}	Extension 1.12×10^{-8}	Extension 2.43×10^{-8}	Extension 4.1×10^{-8}
Extenso- meter	2 (N88°E. Hori- 2 zontal. paral- lel with river	Contraction 13.90×10 ⁻⁸	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Contraction} \\ 8.85 \times 10^{-8} \end{array}$	Contraction 22.75×10 ⁻⁸	Contraction 28.8 ×10 ⁻⁸
	3 (N2°W. Hori- zontal.parpen- diculer to river)	Extension 8.39×10 ⁻⁸	Extension 5.50×10^{-8}	Extension 13.89×10-8	Contraction 81.0×10^{-8}
	A (N2°W)	0.1632"	0,0888"	0.2520"	0.150"
Tiltmeter	B (N88°E)	0.0816"	0.0544"	0.1360"	0,295"
í		,	·,	Direction of N32.5°W 0.2895''	Direction of N64.6°W 0.335''

Table 4. The values of strain and tilting of which observed and obtained by theoretical method.

from the equation (4.2). The mean of these 2 values is $\lambda = 0.998 \times 10^{10} = 1.0$ $\times 10^{10}$. Each strain and tilting obtained from (3.6) applying this mean value in it and each strain and tilting observed practically are shown in Table 4. In comparision of these values, it is natural that the value observed by using extensometer "2" agrees with the value calculated theoretically as we define the value of λ to do so, but the values observed by using extensioneter "1" and the tiltmeter seem to agree with the values calculated theoretically. On the contrary both values show the great difference on the direction of component "3" This is because extensometer "3" is set at the right angle to the river and also to the direction of a steep slope of the mountain. It is presumed, therefore, that the observed value show the difference with the value obtained by using Boussinesq's equation, and the rock is considered as isotropic body but it is unisotropic body in fact and values of λ , μ are not supposed to be constant value in every place on the rock. As we can find out by the dotted line of 3 in Fig. 5, this component "3" has a tendency to compress indicating a compression curve with convex upwards when it rains. On the other hand it had been compressing along the compression curve as described above at first but it has been changed discontinuously to extend about 9 A. M. on 27 Sept., as you see in Fig. 5 and photo. 1. This means that a certain kinds of extensible

variation is superposed on the specific variation of strain to the direction of "3" affected by the rainfall. We can deduce that is extended by the load of water and sand in accordance with an increase of river water. This variation of strain is beyond comparison with the value obtained by theoretical method, but both of them show the extension and they seem to agree on this point of extending phenomena. Secondly on the value of λ , it is presumed to be too small judging from the structure of the rock in the vicinity, however the difference of this kind is agreeable to be admitted, as it is located at 30 m depth from the ground surface and also the rock is affected by weather.

5. Conclusion

We have been studying the results obtained by both ways, observation and theoretical method as described. We wish to study periodically the relation between the quantity of water and sand and strain, but to our regret we have not minute deta concerning the measurement on river water level and quantity of accumulated sand. Therefore we only studied and described the report for this time in case the water filled the dam. We think that we must research more and more on this subject, but anyway we could obtain the satisfactory result.

At the conclusion of this report the writer sincerely wishes to express his cordial thanks to Prof. Kenzo Sassa for his kind guidance and instruction all the time throughout this study.

References

1) Hagiwara, T., Rikitake, T., Yamada, J. & Kasahara, K. . Studies on the Deformation of the Earth's Surface at Aburatsubo, Miura Peninsula (1), Prompt Report of Earthquake Research Institute (1949)

Hagiwara, T., Rikitake, T., Kasahara, K., & Yamada, J. Observation of the Deformation of the Earth's Surface at Aburatsubo, Miura Peninsula. Part Ill. Bulletine of Earthquake Research Institute Vol. 27. (1949). P. 39.

- 2) Ozawa, I. Observation of Tidal Strain of the Earth by the Extensometer (Part 11) Bull. Disaster Prev. Res. Inst. No. 3, 1952, p. 4.
- 3) Nishimura, E. : On the earth-tide Part 111. "Tikyubutsuri" Vol. 5. 1941. p.89
- 4) Nishimura, E. : On the Earth-tide Part IV. "Tikyubutsuri" Vol. 5. 1941 p.113
- 5) Takada, M. : On the Observation Instruments and Tele-metrical Devices of Extensometers and Tiltmeters at Ide Observatory. (Bull. Disaster Prev. Res. Inst. published in the near future.)
- 6) Takada, M. On the Observation of the Crustal Deformation and Meteorological Effect on it at Ide Observatory. Bull. Disaster Prev. Res. Inst. No.23, 1958, p.1
- 7) Takada, M. : On the Crustal Deformation due to Full-water and Accumulated Sand of Sabo-dam. "SHIN-SABO" No. 28. 1958. p. 1.

Publications of the Disaster Prevention Research Institute

The Disaster Prevention Research Institute publishes reports of the research results in the form of bulletins. Publications not out of print may be obtained free of charge upon request to the Director, Disaster Prevention Research Institute, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan.

Bulletins:

No. 1 On the Propagation of Flood Waves by Shoitiro Hayami, 1951. No. 2 On the Effect of Sand Storm in Controlling the Mouth of the Kiku River

- No. 2 On the Entert of Sand Storm in Contoining the Mouth of the Kiku River by Tojiro Ishihara and Yuichi Iwagaki, 1952.
 No. 3 Observation of Tidal Strain of the Earth (Part I) by Kenzo Sassa, Izuo Ozawa and Soji Yoshikawa. And Observation of Tidal Strain of the Earth by the Extensioneter (Part II) by Izuo Ozawa, 1952.
- No. 4 Earthquake Damages and Elastic Properties of the Ground by Ryo Tanabashi and Hatsuo Ishizaki, 1953.
- No. 5 Some Studies on Beach Erosions by Shoitiro Hayami, Tojiro Ishihara and Yuichi Iwagaki, 1953.
- No. 6 Study on Some Phenomena Foretelling the Occurrence of Destructive Earthquakes by Eiichi Nishimura, 1953.
- No. 7 Vibration Problems of Skyseraper. Destructive Element of Seismic Waves for Structures by Ryo Tanabashi, Takuzi Kobori and Kiyoshi Kaneta, 1954.
 No. 8 Studies on the Failure and the Settlement of Foundations by Sakurö Murayama,
- 1954.
- No. 9 Experimental Studies on Meteorological Tsunamis Traveling up the Rivers and Canals in Osaka City by Shoitiro Hayami, Katsumasa Yano, Shohei Adachi and Hideaki Kunishi, 1955.
- No.10 Fundamental Studies on the Runoff Analysis by Characteristics by Yuichi Iwagaki, 1955.
- No.11 Fundamental Considerations on the Earthquake Resistant Properties of the Earth Dam by Motohiro Hatanaka, 1955. No.12 The Effect of the Moisture Content on the Strength of an Alluvial Clay by
- Sakurō Murayama, Kōichi Akai and Tōru Shibata, 1955.
- No.13 On Phenomena Forerunning Earthquakes by Kenzo Sassa and Eiichi Nishimura, 1956.

- No.14 A Theoretical Study on Differential Settlements of Structures by Yoshitsura Yokoo and Kunio Yamagata, 1956.
 No.15 Study on Elastic Strain of the Ground in Earth Tides by Izuo Ozawa, 1957.
 No.16 Consideration on the Mechanism of Structural Cracking of Reinforced Concrete Buildings due to Concrete Shrinkage by Yoshitsura Yokoo and S. Tsunoda, 1957.
 No.17 Onithe Stress Analysis and the Stability Computation of Earth Embankments here to the information of the Stability Computation of Earth Embankments
- by Kochi Akai, 1957. No.18 On the Numerical Solutions of Harmonic, Biharmonic and Similar Equations by
- he Difference Method not Through Successive Approximations by Hatsuo Ishizaki, 1957. No.19 On the Application of the Unit Hydrograph Method to Runoff Andysis for Rivers in Japan by Tojiro Ishihara and Akiharu Kanamaru, 1958. No.20 Analysis of statically Indeterminate Structures in the Ultimate State by Ryo
- Tanabashi, 1958. No.21 The Propagation of Waves near Explosion and Fracture of Rock (1) by Soji
- Yoshikawa, 1958
- No.22 On the Second Volcanic Micro-Tremor at the Volcano Aso by Michiyasu Shima, 1958 No.23 On the Observation of the Crustal Deformation and Meteorological Effect on it at Ide Observatory and On the Crustal Deformation due to Full Water and Accumu
 - lating Sand in the Sabo-dam by Michio Takada, 1958

Bulletin No. 23	Published July, 1958
昭和 33	年7月10日 印刷
昭和 33	年7月20日 発行
編 輯 兼 発 行 者	京都大学防災研究所
印刷者	山代多三郎
印刷所	京都市上京区寺之内通小川西入 山代印刷株式会社