Characteristics of Sediment Motion for Respective Grain Sizes of Sand Mixtures

by Hiroji NAKAGAWA, Tetsuro TSUJIMOTO and Susumu NAKANO

(Manuscript received December 23, 1981)

Abstract

In sediment transport of sand mixture, the characteristic quantities of sand motion are different for each grain size, and it follows that temporal and spatial changes of bed constitution must be caused thereby. Therefore, the characteristic quantities of sand motion for each grain size should be clarified in relation to bed constitution to describe sediment transport process and subsequent phenomena in alluvial beds composed of sand mixtures. At first, mechanical properties of rough bed composed of sand mixture are investigated in relation to grain size distribution, and particularly the statistical properties of relative height and angle of escape of exposed particles are inspected by a simulation method. Next, the so-called critical tractive force for each grain size, which is one of the most fundamental quantities, is here theoretically investigated. On its estimation, the equivalent sand roughness of mixed sand bed is necessary and a new model using the concept of equivalent size of non-uniform particulate materials is proposed. Moreover, the other characteristic quantities of sediment motion for each grain size are inspected based on a film analyzing method. These research projects must give important information to describe various kinds of alluvial phenomena observed in natural rivers of which beds are composed of widely distributed sands and gravels.

1. Introduction

In sediment transport of sand mixture, the difference of characteristic quantities for each grain size causes a change of bed constitution as well as the change of transport process itself. Such a complicated phenomenon cannot be explained by applying a sediment transport formula as an all-round expression, but the individual constituent elements of transport process must be investigated for each grain size in relation to bed constitution and the process as a whole must be described based on this relationship.

The most suggestive research for this problem is Egiazaroff's one, where the critical tractive force for each grain size has been derived, and this has been applied to the sediment transport rate formulae by Hirano and Ashida et al. However, gradation properties of sand mixtures have not been sufficiently considered in Egiazaroff's model, and a mere substitution of the critical tractive
force for each grain size given by Egiazaroff's model to bed load transport formula in equilibrium condition cannot be appropriate because a non-equilibrium situation is inevitably caused in any transport process of sand mixtures. Therefore, more detailed treatment is necessary for this kind of problem.

In this paper, the characteristics of grain size distribution of a sand mixture are at first inspected, particularly the relation between the characteristics of bed surface constitution and grain size distribution of an entire mixture is inspected because individual sediment motion and subsequent bed changes can only depend upon the top layer of the sand bed. On the other hand, sediment transport process can be divided into the two sub-processes: incipient motion and travelling process of moving particles. The former can be represented by the so-called critical tractive force, and a physical model is derived under consideration of mechanical properties of sand mixtures. Furthermore, the estimation of sediment pick-up rate for each grain size, which is a better representation of incipient motion than the critical tractive force, is investigated based on this model. As it is difficult to make a physical model for travelling sub-process, it is mainly investigated based on experimentally obtained data. Namely, so-called constituent elements of bed load transport formulae are measured by a flume experiment and their characteristics are inspected from a viewpoint of mechanics of particle motion. These measurements are performed by a film-analyzing method using a 16 mm movie camera and a dynamic frame.

2. Mechanical Properties of Bed Composed of Sand Mixture

2.1 Fundamental consideration on grain size distribution

The properties of an entire mixture are usually represented by a weight basis distribution of grain size, which is obtained by a sieve analysis. The density function of this weight basis distribution of the entire mixture is to be expressed by $p(d)$. By the way, sediment motion and subsequent bed changes are limited to the region near the bed surface, and only the materials exposed at the bed surface are significant. In case of sand mixtures, grain size distribution of the exposed materials must be different from that of the entire mixture. Particularly, a number basis distribution of the exposed materials is significant for an analysis of individual sediment motion, and its density function is to be represented by $\hat{p}(d)$. Moreover, in case of the sampling of bed surface materials using grease, wax or spatula, the weight basis distribution of the exposed materials is adopted, and its density function is to be represented by $\hat{\hat{p}}(d)$. In any study on sediment mixtures, the above-mentioned three distributions are often used, and it is necessary to clarify the basic relations among them.

When $\hat{\hat{p}}(d)$ is known, $\hat{\hat{p}}(d)$ can be calculated using the following equation, because a weight basis distribution is identical with a volume based one.
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\[ \bar{p}(d) = \hat{p}(d) \frac{d^2}{\int_{a}^{b} \eta^2 p(\eta) d\eta} \]  

(1)

where \( a \) is the maximum diameter and \( b \) is the minimum one of the sand mixture. Inversely, the following equation is valid.

\[ \hat{p}(d) = \frac{\{\bar{p}(d) / d^2\}}{\int_{a}^{b} \{\bar{p}(\eta) / \eta^2\} d\eta} \]

(2)

By the way, a sample of the thickness of one sand grain of exposed materials should be gathered. If, on the other hand, the gathering thickness of the sample becomes large enough, the sample would represent the entire mixture. When \( \bar{p}(d) \) and the number of sampled exposed sand grains \( N \) are given, the number of exposed grains of which diameter is in the interval \([d, d + \delta d]\) is \( N\bar{p}(d)\delta d \). If the sampling thickness is \( s \) and the gradation of the mixture is homogeneous in depth, the number of grains of this size-class involved in the sample is \( N\bar{p}(d)\delta d \cdot (s/A_d) \), where \( A_d \) is one dimensional geometrical coefficient of sand. Hence, \( p(d) \) can be calculated from \( \bar{p}(d) \) as follows.

\[ p(d) = N\bar{p}(d) \cdot (s/A_d) \cdot A_d^2 / \{(N/A_d) \int_{a}^{b} \eta^2 \bar{p}(\eta) d\eta\} \]

(3)

where \( A_d \) is three-dimensional geometrical coefficient of sand. According to the above equation, \( p(d) \) can be also regarded as the density function of the area occupied by the sand grains of diameter \( d \). Hence, when \( p(d) \) is known,

\[ \hat{p}(d) = \{p(d) / d^2\} / \int_{a}^{b} \{p(\eta) / \eta^2\} d\eta \]

(4)

The mean diameters are defined for the above three distributions; \( p(d) \), \( \hat{p}(d) \) and \( \bar{p}(d) \), respectively:

\[ d_m = \int_{a}^{b} \eta p(\eta) d\eta, \quad d_m = \int_{a}^{b} \eta \hat{p}(\eta) d\eta, \quad d_m = \int_{a}^{b} \eta \bar{p}(\eta) d\eta \]

(5)

These are the weight basis mean diameter of the entire mixture, the number basis and the weight basis mean diameters of the exposed materials, respectively. Similarly, several kinds of median diameters can be defined.

The above-mentioned relationships have been verified experimentally. In the experiments, three kinds of sand mixtures which were artificially prepared were used, and \( p(d) \) was predetermined for each mixture. The sample mixtures were colored by six kinds of volatile ink in order to distinguish the individual classes of grain size from one another. Sand beds composed of these mixtures were carefully prepared and the bed constitutions were inspected by photo to obtain \( \bar{p}(d) \). Moreover, the top layers of the test beds were carefully lifted off by a spatula, and sieve analyses of dried samples were done to get \( \hat{p}(d) \).
\[ \hat{\rho}(d) \text{ and } \hat{\rho}(d) \text{ are shown in Fig. 1 by accumulated probabilities, and they are compared with theoretically calculated curves. From this figure, it is recognized that } \hat{\rho}(d) \text{ can be measured by photo with good accuracy, and } \rho(d) \text{ and } \hat{\rho}(d) \text{ can be mutually converted. On the other hand, } \hat{\rho}(d) \text{ can be measured by the lifting-off method with less accuracy because of the difficulty of this technique, and thus a direct sampling method of the exposed materials must be improved in future.} \]

2.2 Some calculations for typical distributions

Grain size distribution of sand mixture is liable to show an asymmetric type, and a log-normal type is frequently adopted. In fact, most of the previous data in fields show the adaptability of a log-normal distribution. Moreover, in case of log-normal distribution, theoretical calculation is easy. However, the range of sand diameter in this case is \((0, \infty)\) against the fact. The simplest case where the maximum and the minimum are finite is a “log-linear” type of distribution, of which distribution curve is linear on a semi-logarithmic paper. Here, these two types of distribution are inspected.

When the weight basis distribution of the entire mixture follows a log-normal one, \(\rho(d)\) is expressed as follows:

\[
\rho(\eta) d\eta = \frac{1}{\log \sigma_g \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(\log \eta - \log d_{50})^2}{2 \log^2 \sigma_g} \right\} d(\log \eta) \quad (6)
\]

where

\[
\log d_{50} = \int_0^\infty \log \eta \rho(\eta) d\eta; \quad \log \sigma_g = \left\{ \int_0^\infty (\log \eta - \log d_{50})^2 \rho(\eta) d\eta \right\}^{1/2} \quad (7)
\]

\(d_{50}\) is the geometric mean diameter, which is identical with the median diameter, and \(\log \sigma_g\) is the geometric standard deviation. Moreover, \(\sigma_g\) is the log-standard geometric deviation, and

\[
\sigma_g = \sqrt{d_{50}/d_{10}} = d_{95}/d_{50} = d_{50}/d_{10} \quad (8)
\]
where $d_{k}$ is the size for which $k\%$ of the entire materials are finer by weight. The calculations using Eqs. (4) and (1) show that $p(d)$ and $P(d)$ become similar to Eq. (6). In other words, if a log-normal distribution is available, all distributions are also log-normal irrespectively of sample or basis, and the log-standard geometric deviation is invariant. Moreover, the above calculations give the following relations.

$$\ln (\tilde{d}_{50}/d_{50}) = -2 \ln \sigma_{g} \quad (9)$$

$$\ln (\tilde{d}_{50}/d_{50}) = \ln \sigma_{g} \quad (10)$$

where $\tilde{d}_{50}$ and $\tilde{d}_{50}$ are number basis and weight basis median diameters of the exposed materials, respectively. Furthermore, using Eq. (5), some mean diameters are calculated, and

$$\ln (d_{m}/d_{50}) = (1/2) \ln \sigma_{g} \quad (11)$$

$$\ln (\tilde{d}_{m}/d_{50}) = -(3/2) \ln \sigma_{g} \quad (12)$$

$$\ln (\tilde{d}_{m}/d_{50}) = (3/2) \ln \sigma_{g} \quad (13)$$

The relationships given by the above equations are illustrated in Fig. 2. The results of direct calculations based on Eqs. (1) $\sim$ (5) are also plotted there.

When the weight basis distribution of the entire mixture follows a log-linear one, its distribution function $P(d)$ and its density function $p(d)$ are expressed by the following equations.

$$P(\zeta) = 0.5 + (0.34/\ln \sigma_{g}) \cdot \ln \zeta \quad (14)$$

$$p(\zeta) = (0.34/\ln \sigma_{g}) \cdot (1/\zeta) \quad (15)$$

where $\zeta = d/d_{50}$, and $\sigma_{g} = \sqrt{d_{50}/d_{10}}$ for convenience' sake. The limits of the distributing range, $\zeta_{0}$ and $\zeta_{1}$ are given by

$$\zeta_{0} = \sigma_{g}^{1.47}; \quad \zeta_{1} = \sigma_{g}^{1.47} \quad (16)$$

In this case, the weight basis mean diameter of the entire mixture is analytically calculated, and

$$d_{m}/d_{50} = (\sigma_{g}^{1.47} - \sigma_{g}^{-1.47}) / 2.94 \ln \sigma_{g} \quad (17)$$

$p(\zeta)$ can be converted to $\tilde{p}(\zeta)$, and $\tilde{d}_{m}/d_{50}$ can be calculated also analytically.

$$p(\zeta) = \{1/(\sigma_{g}^{2.94} - \sigma_{g}^{-2.94})\} \cdot (1/\zeta^{2}) \quad (18)$$

$$\tilde{d}_{m}/d_{50} = 2/(\sigma_{g}^{1.47} + \sigma_{g}^{-1.47}) \quad (19)$$

Moreover, $\tilde{d}_{50}/d_{50}$ can be obtained by putting $\tilde{p}(\zeta) = 0.5$, and

$$\tilde{d}_{50}/d_{50} = 2 \sqrt{1/(\sigma_{g}^{1.47} + \sigma_{g}^{-1.47})} \quad (20)$$

The relationships between $\sigma_{g}$ and the characteristic diameters such as $d_{m}, \tilde{d}_{m}$ and $\tilde{d}_{50}$ are shown in Fig. 3, where some directly calculated values are also
plotted. These results are compared with the theoretical curves for log-normal type, and it is recognized that the changes of the characteristic quantities due to $\sigma_e$ in case of log-linear type are more gradual than those in case of log-normal type. This tendency may depend upon whether the range of distribution is restricted or not.

Furthermore, some specialized distributions are inspected. At first, two kinds of distributions schematically illustrated in Fig. 4(a) are compared to each other: Sand W, widely distributed along both edges of distributing range of sand diameter, and Sand N, narrowly distributed along both edges. The characteristic diameters calculated using the method similar to the above are shown in Fig. 4(b). Next, the asymmetry of the distribution curve on a semi-logarithmic paper has been inspected. Namely, Sand G and Sand F, distribution curves of which are illustrated in Fig. 4(c), are compared to each other. Here, apparent values of $\sigma_g$ corresponding to the coarser part of sand mixture and the finer part, $\sigma_{g_c} = \sqrt{D_60/D_8} $ and $\sigma_{g_f} = \sqrt{D_8/D_6} $ ($\sigma_{g_c} \cdot \sigma_{g_f} = \sigma_g$), and a parameter $\gamma_{cf} = \sqrt{\sigma_{g_c}/\sigma_{g_f}}$ are introduced. The calculated results for the characteristic diameters are shown in Fig. 4(d). From these analyses, it is recognized that the weight basis characteristics such as $d_m$ are much influenced by the distribution properties of the coarser part, while the number basis characteristics such as $d_n$ are by
those of the finer part.

2.3 The characteristics of rough bed composed of sand mixtures by simulation method

Though the most fundamental property of bed surface related to sediment motion is the number basis distribution of materials exposed at the bed surface, the relative position of individual exposed particle on the bed such as relative height and angle of escape must be clarified. However, an analytical treatment of this problem is difficult, and thus, the bed constitution is idealized and a numerical simulation is derived to inspect the fundamental properties as expressed by relative height and angle of escape.

For simplicity, an idealized two dimensional model is adopted here. The basic rough bed is considered at first, which is formed by non-uniform particles arranged for their centers to be connected in a straight line. It is assumed
that the exposed particles are placed on this basic rough bed under stable states, as shown in Fig. 5(a). The arrangement of non-uniform particles composing a basic rough bed and the patterns of stable states are probabilistic. These are here considered statistically using the number basis probability density function of exposed particles.

At first, \( \rho(d) \) is given and this is converted to \( \tilde{\rho}(d) \) by using Eq. (3). Next, random numbers with mean unity following to \( \tilde{\rho}(d) \) are produced by converting the uniformly random numbers, and a hypothetical rough bed is determined by arranging the particles whose diameter are equal to the produced random numbers.

When a particle placed on the basic rough bed is put in contact with two particles composing the basic rough bed as shown in Fig. 5(a), the coordinate of the center of the placed particle \((x_j, y_j)\), and its angle of escape \(\beta_j\) can be expressed by

\[
\begin{align*}
x_j & = X_j + \sqrt{\left(\frac{D_j}{2} + \frac{R_j}{2}\right)^2 + (X_{j+n} - X_j)^2 - \left(\frac{R_j}{2} + \frac{D_{j+n}}{2}\right)^2} / 2(X_{j+n} - X_j) \\
y_j & = \sqrt{\left(\frac{D_j}{2} + \frac{R_j}{2}\right)^2 - (x_j - X_j)^2} \\
\beta_j & = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arcsin \{2y_j/(R_j + D_{j+n})\}
\end{align*}
\]

where \(X_j\) and \(X_{j+n}\) are \(x\)-coordinates of the centers of the two particles contacted with the placed particle, and \(D_j\) and \(D_{j+n}\) are the diameters of these two particles respectively; and \(R_j\) is the diameter of the placed particle. By Eqs. (21) and (22), \((x_j, y_j)\) is calculated in succession from the condition \(j=1\) and \(n=1\). But it is necessary that the obtained point satisfies a condition that the three points \((X_j, 0)\), \((x_j, y_j)\) and \((X_{j+n}, 0)\) can form a triangle. This condition is written as

\[
x_j \geq X_j + \sqrt{\left(\frac{D_j}{2} + \frac{R_j}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{R_j}{2}\right)^2}
\]

The points which do not satisfy the above condition must be rejected. Moreover, even if the above condition is satisfied, impossible states as shown in
Fig. 6 Grain Size Distribution (Log-Normal and Log-Linear Distributions).

Fig. 5(b), where the particle in the downstream side of the \((j+n)\)-th particle obstructs a particle placed on the bed from contacting the \(j\)-th and the \((j+1)\)-th particles, may be involved in the results. These must also be rejected. Its criterion is given by

\[
\sqrt{(x_j - X_{j+n})^2 + y_j^2} \geq R_t + D_{j+m} \quad (m: \text{arbitrary number})
\]  

(25)

Lastly, even if the above conditions are satisfied, unstable states as shown in Fig. 5(c) may be involved in the results, and these must also be rejected. This criterion is given by

\[
X_j < x_j < X_{j+n}
\]  

(26)

Under the above conditions, \((x_j, y_j)\) and \(\beta_j\) \((j=1 \sim 2000)\) have been calculated for each \(R_t\) by computer, and their distributions have been inspected. The inspections have been carried out for several kinds of grain size distribution curves as shown in Fig. 6.

In Fig. 7, the average height of the center of exposed particle (the height from the level of the line connecting the centers of particles composing a basic
rough bed) for each grain size, $y_i$, is shown against $d_i/d_{50}$. The characteristics of $y_i$ are almost invariant among a log-normal type and a log-linear type, and the relationship between $y_i$ and $d_i/d_{50}$ is almost consistent with the broken line in the figure. This broken line indicates the theoretical result for a basic rough bed composed of uniform particles. In such an idealized case, the height of any exposed particle and the angle of escape for each grain size are deterministically given by the following equation.

$$y_i/d_{50} = \sqrt{\left( (d_i/d_{50}) + 1 \right)^2 - 1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

$$\beta_{50} = \arcsin \left( \frac{d_i}{(d_{50} + d_i)} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

On the other hand, the standard deviation of the height of exposed particle for each grain size, $\sigma_{y_i}$, is shown in Fig. 8. When $d_i/d_{50}=1.0$, the variation coefficient of $\{y_i\}$ is about 0.06–0.2, and the distribution of $\{y_i\}$ is comparatively narrow.

The average value of angle of escape for each grain size, $\tilde{\beta}_i$, is shown in Fig. 9. According to this figure, the effect of $\sigma_{x}$ in case of log-normal type is more appreciable than that in case of log-linear type. The broken line in this figure indicates Eq. (28), and this corresponds to the case $\sigma_x \rightarrow 1$. When all the particles have the same diameter, $\beta_0 = \pi/6$, which is given by putting $d_i/d_{50} = 1$ in Eq. (28). Of course, it is the value for an idealized condition, and in fact the measured value for sand is larger than this because of the three dimensional effect. However, the ratio $\tilde{\beta}/\beta_0$ may be significant, and the value of $\beta_0$ should be determined experimentally or theoretically after considering the three dimensional effect (see Appendix A).

The result for the variation coefficient of angle of escape for each grain size is shown in Fig. 10. According to this figure, the variation coefficient of angle of escape for coarser sand is about 0.5–4.8, and the distribution of $\{\beta_i\}$ is more significant than that of $\{y_i\}$.

Furthermore, the correlation between $\{y_i\}$ and $\{\beta_i\}$ is also inspected. The correlation coefficient between $\{y_i\}$ and $\{\beta_i\}$, $r_{y\beta}$, has been calculated and the
results are shown in Fig. 11. According to this figure, 0<γ<0.5, and it can be concluded that the height and the angle of escape of individual exposed particles on bed surface for each grain size have a weakly positive correlation, though the average values, 〈γ〉 and 〈β〉, have a negative correlation because 〈γ〉 is larger and 〈β〉 is smaller for coarser sand. A part of data, which are the calculated results for the case of log-normal distribution, is shown in Fig. 12, and it is concluded that {γ} and {β} can be regarded as being almost independently distributed. In Fig. 12, the correlation between 〈γ〉 and 〈β〉 is also indicated by a broken line.

3. Theoretical Consideration on Critical Tractive Force for Each Grain Size

3.1 Criterion of incipient motion

It can be assumed that particles, except for much finer ones, are dislodged from a bed as rolling motion around the particle respectively contacting in downstream side in case of weak sediment transport under the condition of nearly critical tractive force. Considering the drag force D, the lift force L, and the submerged weight of sand W (see Fig. 13), the following equation is obtained for the critical condition for a particle of diameter d_i.
\[
\frac{u_{\text{cet}}^2}{(\sigma/\rho - 1) gd_i} = \frac{2A_3 \cdot \sin \beta_i}{C_D A_3 (k_i \sin \beta_i + \cos \beta_i)}
\]

(29)

where \(C_D\): drag coefficient, \(C_L\): lift coefficient, \(\sigma\): density of sand, \(\rho\): density of fluid, \(g\): gravity acceleration, \(u_{\text{cet}}\): local flow velocity near the sand particle, \(A_3\): three-dimensional geometrical coefficient of sand, and \(\beta\): angle of escape. Moreover, the subscripts, \(i\) and \(c\) represent the values corresponding to sand of diameter \(d_i\) and to the critical situation, respectively. In Eq. (29), it is assumed that \(C_D\) and \(C_L\) are constant irrespectively of sand diameter, and \(k_i\) represents the ratio of \(C_L\) to \(C_D\). Applying the logarithmic law for flow velocity profile in case of hydraulically rough regime, the dimensionless critical tractive force for each grain size, \(\tau_{*\text{cet}}\), can be obtained. Similarly, the dimensional critical tractive force for uniform sand \(\tau_{*\text{co}}\) can be obtained. The obtained value of \(\tau_{*\text{co}}\) by this method does not necessarily coincide with that widely accepted because of additional effects due to turbulence and sheltering. However, the ratio of \(\tau_{*\text{cet}}\) to \(\tau_{*\text{co}}\) validly indicates the effect of sand mixture. Hence, it is important to estimate this ratio, and the value of \(\tau_{*\text{co}}\) should be separately estimated by the previously established method for uniform sand. The ratio of \(\tau_{*\text{cet}}\) to \(\tau_{*\text{co}}\) is written by

\[
\frac{\tau_{*\text{cet}}}{\tau_{*\text{co}}} = f_E(\beta_i) \cdot \left[ \frac{\ln \{30.1 (z_i/k_{\text{s}})\}}{\ln \{30.1 (z_i/k_s)\}} \right]^2
\]

(30)

where

\[
f_E(\beta_i) = \frac{k_i \sin \beta_0 + \cos \beta_0}{\sin \beta_0} \cdot \frac{\sin \beta_i}{k_i \sin \beta_i + \cos \beta_i}
\]

(31)

\(z\): the representative height of sand particle from the theoretical wall of velocity profile, \(k_i\): the equivalent sand roughness, and the subscript 0 represents the value in case of uniform sand.

If it is assumed that \(z=0.63d\), \(\beta_0=\beta_0\) and \(k_i=d_m\), Eq. (30) becomes almost identical with the well-known Egiazaroff's equation. In Egiazaroff's original paper, \(k_i\) is not always identical with \(d_m\), but \(k_i\) is frequently assumed to be \(d_m\) for convenience' sake. In this case, the effect of sand mixture is represented only by \(\beta_i=d_i/d_m\), and it has been pointed out that the shape of grain size distribution cannot be considered.

### 3.2 Statistical situation of exposed particles for each grain size (Estimation of \(z_i\) and \(f_E(\beta_i)\))

Here, the results obtained in the preceding chapter are applied, where the statistical properties of height of the center and angle of escape of a particle resting on a rough bed composed of non-uniform particles as shown in Fig. 14(a) have been investigated. Although the actual situations of river bed surface and exposed particles may be much different from this model, the critical trac-
Characteristics of Sediment Motion for Respective Grain Sizes of Sand Mixtures 13
tive force in case of uniform sand has also been investigated under an idealized condition as shown in Fig. 14(b) in almost all of the previous works. Hence, the information obtained from such a simplified model can be significant at least on estimating the ratio of the critical tractive force for each grain size of sand mixture to that of uniform sand.

According to the preceding chapter, the average height of particles resting on an idealized bed for each grain size is approximately expressed by the following equation irrespectively of grain size distribution as shown in Fig. 7.

\[ \bar{y}_i / \bar{d}_{50} = \sqrt{(d_i / \bar{d}_{50})^2 + 1} - 1/2 \] (32)

By the way, \( z_i \) in Eq. (30) is the height of the center of the exposed particle from the theoretical wall of velocity profile, and then this may be slightly smaller than \( \bar{y}_i \). However, the theoretical wall cannot be easily determined analytically, and \( z_i \) may be approximately estimated by the following equation.

\[ z_i / \bar{d}_{50} = a (d_i / \bar{d}_{50}); \quad a = 0.5 \] (33)

The relationship between \( \sin \beta_i \) and \( z_i = d_i / \bar{d}_{50} \) is obtained as shown in Fig. 15 based on Fig. 9. From this figure, the relationship between \( \sin \beta_i \) and \( z_i \) varies with \( \sigma_g = \sqrt{d_{50} / d_{16}} \) in case of a log-normal type of size distribution, and in case of \( \sigma_g \rightarrow 1 \), \( \sin \beta_i \rightarrow \{1 / (1 + z_i)\} \). Meanwhile in case of a log-linear type, the relation between \( \sin \beta_i \) and \( z_i \) may not vary appreciably with respect to \( \sigma_g \) as mentioned in the preceding chapter.

As for the angle of escape for uniform sand, the one dimensional model gives that \( \sin \beta_i = 0.5 \). Moreover, it is assumed that \( k_i = 0.85 \) after the experimental result by Chepil,\(^9\) and then, \( f_E(\beta_i) \) is calculated as a function of \( \sin \beta_i \). The relationship between \( f_E(\beta_i) \) and \( z_i \) for a log-normal type of size distribution is shown in Fig. 16. In case of a log-linear type, the properties of \( f_E(\beta_i) \) are almost similar to that for \( \sigma_g \sim 2 \) of a log-normal type. As the relationship between \( f_E(\beta_i) \) and \( z_i \) cannot be represented by any appropriate mathematical expression, Fig. 16 should be used in the following.
If a model, where sliding dislodgement is assumed as an incipient motion, is adopted, \( f_E(\beta_i) \) corresponds to the ratio of the static friction coefficient for each grain size of sand mixture to that of uniform sand, \( \mu_{sl}/\mu_{su} \). The variation of the average static friction angle of sand mixtures due to the parameter \( \bar{\sigma}_f \) was measured by Tsuchiya\(^a\) for sand mixtures almost following log-normal type of size distribution. Such an averaged value may correspond to \( f_E(\beta_m) \), where \( \beta_m \) is the average angle of escape for sands of \( d_m \) in mixture. Theoretical relationship between \( f_E(\beta_m) \) and \( \bar{\sigma}_f \) can be obtained by Fig. 16 and the following equation.

\[
\bar{\sigma}_f = \frac{d_m}{\bar{d}_{50}} = \exp \left\{ \frac{5}{2} \ln \bar{\sigma}_f \right\} \quad (34)
\]

The above equation is valid for a log-normal distribution and is obtained from Eqs. (9) and (11). The comparison between theoretical result and experimental data is shown in Fig. 17, and it shows a good agreement. \( f_E(\beta_m) \) is a decreasing function of \( \bar{\sigma}_f \), and from this result it can be concluded that sand mixtures move more easily than uniform sand at least from the gravitational or frictional aspect.

### 3.3 Equivalent sand roughness of a bed composed of sand mixture

When the flow over a rough bed is represented by the so-called logarithmic law, the equivalent sand roughness should be estimated. Its estimation, however, is difficult particularly in case of sand beds composed of sand mixtures. In the previous study, \( d_m, d_{50} \) and others were adopted as \( k_s \) for convenience sake without any physical background. Then, using the concept of “equivalent size of sand mixture”, a physical model to determine \( k_s \) is proposed.

Now, the flow properties as expressed by velocity profile over a mixed sand bed is to be equivalent to that over a uniform sand bed of diameter \( d_e \). Then, tractive forces acting on both beds per unit area must be identified. Hence, the following equation must be valid.

\[
\frac{\varepsilon_s}{2} C_d \rho u^2_{0A_t} A_t d_e^2 \frac{1}{A_t d_e^2} = \sum_{i} \frac{\varepsilon_i}{2} C_d \rho u^2_{0A_t} A_t d_i^2 \frac{p_i}{A_t d_i^2} \quad (35)
\]
where $p_i$ is relative frequency of particles of $d_i$ by weight basis in an entire sand mixture, and $\varepsilon_i$ is the so-called sheltering coefficient. It is assumed that $\varepsilon_i$ is constant irrespectively of sand diameter and is equal to $\varepsilon_0$. Applying the logarithmic law for flow velocity profile in case of hydraulically rough regime, the following equation is obtained because the friction velocity is common for both beds.

$$\ln^i(30.1a/\alpha) = \sum [\ln^i(30.1a\eta_i/\alpha\eta_0) \cdot p_i]$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)

where $k_s = \alpha d_e$, $\eta_i = d_i/d_{50}$, $\eta_e = d_e/d_{50}$, and $\alpha$ is a correction factor because $k_s$ is not necessarily equal to grain size even in case of uniform sand. Here, the logarithmic law is significant only when

$$d_i > (\alpha d_e/30.1a)$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

Hence, it is assumed here that the acting forces in the direction tangential to the bed upon the particles finer than $(\alpha d_e/30.1a)$ must be zero. Rewriting the right hand side of Eq. (36) by continuous size distribution $p(\eta)$, the following equation with respect to $\eta_e$ is obtained.

$$A(\eta_e) \ln^i \eta_e - 2B(\eta_e) \ln \eta_e + C(\eta_e) = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)

where

$$A(\eta_e) = 1 - P(\alpha \eta_e/30.1a)$$

$$B(\eta_e) = \{1 - P(\alpha \eta_e/30.1a)\} \ln(30.1/\alpha) + E'[\ln \eta]$$

$$C(\eta_e) = 2(\ln(30.1a/\alpha)) \cdot E'[\ln \eta] + E'[\ln^2 \eta] - P(\alpha \eta_e/30.1a) \cdot \ln^i(30.1a/\alpha)$$

$$P(x) = \int_0^x p(\eta) \, d\eta$$

$$E'[x] = \int_0^\infty x p(\eta) \, d\eta = E[x] - \int_0^{\alpha \eta_e/30.1a} x p(\eta) \, d\eta$$

$$E[x] = \int_0^\infty x p(\eta) \, d\eta$$

Though Eq. (38) is difficult to solve analytically, the first approximation of its solution can be easily obtained, where the condition given by Eq. (37) is removed, as follows.

$$\ln \eta_e = \ln(30.1a/\alpha) + E[\ln \eta]$$

$$- \sqrt{\ln^i(30.1a/\alpha) - \ln(30.1a/\alpha) E[\ln \eta]} - Var[\ln \eta]$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

where

$$Var[x] = E[x^2] - \{E[x]\}^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

If the distributing range of grain size is narrow enough, the above approximation is effective. When a log-normal distribution is adopted,
\[ \ln \eta_\alpha = \ln (30.1a/\alpha) - \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 (30.1a/\alpha) - \ln^2 \sigma_q \]  

(44)

On the other hand, when \( p(\gamma) \) follows a log-linear type,

\[ \ln \eta_\alpha = \ln (30.1a/\alpha) - \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 (30.1a/\alpha) - 0.72 \ln^2 \sigma_q \]  

(45)

By the way, the higher order approximation of the solution of Eq. (38) can be obtained by the following method, in succession. When the \( j \)-th approximation, \( \eta_{e,j} \), is known, the \( (j+1) \)-th approximation, \( \eta_{e,j+1} \), is a solution of the following equation.

\[ A(\eta_{e,j}) \ln^2 \eta_{e,j+1} - 2B(\eta_{e,j}) \ln \eta_{e,j+1} + C(\eta_{e,j}) = 0 \]  

(46)

Therefore,

\[ \eta_{e,j+1} = B(\eta_{e,j}) - \sqrt{B^2(\eta_{e,j}) - A(\eta_{e,j})C(\eta_{e,j})} \]  

(47)

The calculated results for \( \eta_\alpha \) and \( \eta_\theta \) are shown in Fig. 18 by solid and dotted lines respectively, and \( d_m/d_{50} (= \eta_m) \) and \( d_{50}/d_{50} \), which have been almost identified with \( k_s/d_{50} \) to date, are also shown in this figure. On the calculation to obtain this figure, it has been assumed that \( \alpha = 0.5 \) and \( \alpha = 1.0 \). Comparing the present estimation of \( k_s \) with the experimental data,\(^{18,40}\) the scatterings of experimental data are so appreciable that one cannot conclude which estimation is good, but the present estimation is at least more reasonable than those adopted previously. Moreover, the idea mentioned here to estimate the equivalent sand roughness is so general that it can be applied to a kind of artificial roughness (see Appendix B).
3.4 Critical tractive force for each grain size of sand mixture

According to the above arguments, the critical tractive force for each grain size of sand mixture is obtained based on the following equation.

\[
\frac{\tau_{wcl}}{\tau_{wco}} = f_E(\beta_i) \cdot \left[ \ln \frac{15.05}{\ln \left\{ \frac{15.05}{\frac{\eta_m}{\eta_a}} \xi_i \right\} \right] \quad (48)
\]

The above equation is written for the case that \(a = 0.5\) and \(a = 1.0\). On calculation of Eq. (48), \(f_E(\beta_i)\) is given by Fig. 16, and \(\eta_e\) by Fig. 18. Moreover, as for \(\eta_m\) and \(\xi_m\), which is necessary to convert \(\xi_i\) in Fig. 16 to \(\xi_f\), and the variation of \(\eta_m/\eta_e\) and \(\xi_m\) against \(\sigma_g = \sqrt{d_{50}/d_{16}}\) are shown in Fig. 19 for a log-normal and a log-linear (OPQR) types of grain size distribution.

Based on the above results, Fig. 20 is obtained for a log-normal distribu-

---

![Fig. 20](image)

**Fig. 20** The Ratio of Dimensionless Critical Tractive Force for Each Grain Size of Sand Mixture to That for Uniform Sand.

![Fig. 21](image)

**Fig. 21** The Ratio of Critical Tractive Force for Each Grain Size of Sand Mixture to That for Uniform Sand of Which Diameter is Equal to \(d_m\).
tion, and the experimental results rearranged from the data by Tsuchiya⁰ and Hirano⁰ are also shown. The theoretical result and the experimental data show good agreement. Particularly, the present model gives a better estimation for coarser sands than Egiazaroff's equation.⁷

Additionally, Eq. (48) can be rewritten in another version. Namely, it is \( \tau_{ci}/\tau_{com} = (\tau_{sec}/\tau_{sc0}) \zeta_i \), and the calculated curve is shown in Fig. 21, where \( \tau_{ci} \) is the critical tractive force for sand \( d_i \) in sand mixture and \( \tau_{com} \) is that for uniform sand whose diameter is equal to \( d_m \). According to Fig. 20 and 21, the present model can explain the difference of the critical tractive force for each grain size due to the difference of grain size distribution, and particles of \( d_m \) in sand mixture are easier to be dislodged than uniform sand which has diameter \( d_m \), if the present model is applied. The experimental data collected by Tsuchiya⁰ and Hayashi et al.⁹ are shown in Fig. 22, and the fact explained above is certified roughly. Although in the present model only particles resting on the rough bed have been considered as done in almost all of the previous models for uniform sand, the bed constitution cannot always be such an idealized one and it must change during sediment transportation. Therefore, the present model cannot be universally applied, and the actual sand bed condition and its change by sediment transport should be carefully investigated in future, though the present model suggests a favorable approach to this kind of problem.

Another problem is concerned with incipient motion of much finer particles. These particles existing with coarser particles may be lifted up by turbulence strongly distorted by coarser sands. By considering this effect and using a simplified model for pressure fluctuation,⁶ Fig. 23 has been obtained. The result of this model is somewhat similar to the modification of Egiazaroff's equation by Ashida and Michiue.⁹ However, it involves many ambiguous assumptions and it should be further improved in future. Additionally, some experimental data of critical tractive force for each grain size⁶, 9, 10, 11 are also plotted in Fig. 23.

![Fig. 22 Experimental Data for \( \tau_{sec}/\tau_{sc0} \).](image1)

![Fig. 23 The Ratio of \( \tau_{sec} \) to \( \tau_{sc0} \).](image2)
4. Experimental Study on Characteristics of Sediment Motion for Each Grain Size of Sand Mixture

In order to solve the sediment transport problem of sand mixtures reasonably, it is necessary to clarify the characteristic quantities of sand motion for each grain size. Therefore, the constituent elements of bed load transport process are experimentally investigated for each grain size.

Experiments were conducted in a tilting flume 9m long and 33 cm wide. Five kinds of sand mixtures, grain size distributions of which are shown in Fig. 24, were prepared, and alluvial bed for each sand mixture was carefully laid in the experimental flume. The alluvial bed was 3 m long, and its upstream and downstream parts were made of fixed rough beds in order to keep the same hydraulic roughness throughout the flume.

Over the alluvial part of the experimental flume, a movie camera was set to make a film of the alluvial bed and the sediment motion on it. The number of frames of cinefilm per second was about 37〜38. The precise value was obtained by taking pictures of a stop-watch for each experimental run. The pictured area on the alluvial bed was about 15 cm square. The pictured films were analyzed by a dynamic frame (a film motion analyzer) and a sonic digitizer.

![Fig. 24 Grain Size Distributions of Sand Mixtures Used in Experiments.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Experimental Conditions.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RUN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LO-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LO-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LO-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LO-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LO-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LO-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE-6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
to obtain instantaneous positions of individual sand particles. Sand particles were colored by 5~8 kinds of volatile ink in order that individual classes of grain size could be easily distinguished from one another.

The experimental conditions are indicated in Table 1, where $h$: flow depth, $U$: mean flow velocity, $Fr$: Froude number, $E$: energy gradient of flow, $R$: hydraulic radius only due to bed roughness and $v$: kinematic viscosity of water. The measurements were finished before an appreciable armor coat had been formed in the measuring section.

### 4.1 Sediment pick-up rate for each grain size

For uniform sand, a model to estimate the pick-up rate $p_s$ was derived by the authors previously, and an approximated formula is written as

$$
p_s = F_0 k_2 B_2 C_2 \left( k \sin \beta + \cos \beta \right) / \left( \sigma / \rho - 1 \right) g
$$

where $B_2 = (\sigma / \rho - 1) / (\sigma / \rho + C_m) (1 + k^2)$, $C_2 = (A_i / 2 A_3) \cdot A_2^2 \cdot C_D$, $A_i = u_i / u_*$, $k^2$: experimental constant, $C_m$: added mass coefficient of sand particle, $k d$: gyration radius for the gravity center of a sand particle and $u_*$: friction velocity. In case of spherical uniform sand,

$$
F_0 = F_0 k_2 B_2 C_2 \approx 0.03
$$

And, the pick-up rate for each grain size of sand mixture may be expressed by

$$
p_i = p_s \sqrt{d_i / (\sigma / \rho - 1) g}
$$

where Eqs. (30) and (31) have been used. The validity of the above equation will be verified here experimentally.

By the film analysis, the relationship between the measured dimensionless pick-up rate $p_{i*}$ and the dimensionless bed shear stress $r_{*i}$ has been obtained for each grain size, and it is shown in Fig. 25. In this figure, the experimental
data, which also involve the data rearranged from the experimental results obtained by Tsuchiya and Ashida et al., are compared with the theoretical estimation based on the present model, where it is assumed that $\tau_{s0} = 0.035$. According to this figure, the estimation of pick-up rate for each grain size based on the present model seems to be successful, though some scatterings of the experimental data are found.

### 4.2 Speed of moving particle for each grain size

In case of uniform sand, the average speed of bed load particles in motion can be comparatively well approximately by the equilibrium speed of sliding motion. This does not necessarily support the conjecture that most of particles are sliding along the bed, but in fact some particles are sliding, others are rolling or in saltation, and the instantaneous speed of a particle appreciably varies mainly due to collisions with bed protrusions as clarified by the authors' research. In case of sand mixture, if the above approximation is allowed for each grain size, the average speed of moving particles for each grain size can be given as follows.

$$
\overline{v_{si}} = \sqrt{\tau_{*i}} \left( \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln \left( \frac{15.05 d_{i}}{d_{m}, \eta_{e}} \right) - \frac{2\mu A_{1}}{C_{D} A_{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_{*i}}} \right) \quad (52)
$$

where the logarithmic law for hydraulically rough flow is applied and $\kappa$ is the Kármán constant. $\mu$ is the dynamic friction coefficient, which is assumed to be constant irrespectively of particle diameter, and the value of $\mu$ has been investigated in case of uniform sand to be about 0.35. The above equation will be here experimentally inspected.

By 16 mm film analysis, instantaneous particle speed for each grain size has been evaluated from the travelling distance during about 0.03 sec. Since this quantity is clearly a probabilistic one, about a hundred samples for each grain size have been extracted and statistically analyzed.

The results for the averaged speed of moving particles for each grain size obtained by a film analysis are compared with the theoretical estimation based on the above model in Fig. 26. Due to the narrow range of $\tau_{*i}$ in the experiments, the adaptability cannot be necessarily concluded but the tendency of the experimental data for each grain size can be comparatively well explained.

On the other hand, the experimental data for the variation coefficient of particle speed for each grain size, which is represented by $\alpha_{v_{si}}$, are shown in Fig. 27. Strictly speaking, $\alpha_{v_{si}}$ seems to decrease with $\tau_{*i}$ for each grain size, and $\alpha_{v_{si}}$ for finer particles seems larger for any value of $\tau_{*i}$. Roughly speaking, the value of $\alpha_{v_{si}}$ is about 0.3~0.6 and the difference of $\alpha_{v_{si}}$ due to $\sigma_{p}$, $\tau_{*i}$ and $d_{i}/d_{m}$ is not so appreciable. In other words, the normalized statistical distribution of particle speed is almost invariant though the mean value is different.
for each grain size. The above statement is supported by Fig. 28, where normalized probability density of particle speed for each grain size measured experimentally is shown. The solid line in this figure is the probability density function of gamma distribution, the shape parameter of which is 5, and it is consistent with the experimental results.

4.3 Number density of moving particles for each grain size

Though the concept of the number density of moving particles can be physically recognized, it is difficult to measure it by a film motion analysis because even a moving particle must be at rest instantaneously or in one frame of cinefilm. Therefore, the number density of moving particle, \( \nu_{\text{st}} \), has been obtained from the transport rate, \( q_{\text{st}} \), and the average speed of moving particles according to the following equation.

\[
\nu_{\text{st}} = q_{\text{st}} / \bar{v}_{\text{st}} A d_i^4
\]  

As the fraction of area on a bed occupied by the \( i \)-th size class particles can be represented by \( p_i \), the number of particles of diameter \( d_i \) exposed at the bed surface per unit area is \( p_i A_d d_i^2 \) and the probability of motion for a sand of \( d_i \) is given by \( n_{\text{st}} / p_i \), where \( n_{\text{st}} = \nu_{\text{st}} A_d d_i^2 \). The relationship between \( n_{\text{st}} / p_i \) and...
\( \tau_{*i} \) is shown in Fig. 29. Comparing this figure with Fig. 26, the response of \( n_{*i} \) to \( \tau_{*i} \) is more sensitive than that of \( \bar{v}_p \) to \( \tau_{*i} \), and then, it can be concluded that the increase of transport rate due to that of bed shear stress depends mainly upon that of the number density of moving particles. However, it is difficult to make a physical model to estimate the number density because the number density at any point cannot be always related to the bed shear stress at that point uniquely.

In case of equilibrium sediment transport, it is easily conjectured that \( n_* \) must be intimately related to \( p_{*i} \). As the data of the present experiments are expected to be those for equilibrium conditions, the relationship between \( n_{*i}/p_i \) and \( p_{*i} \) has been investigated based on them and it is shown in Fig. 30. According to this figure, the following linear relationship has been found out.

\[
\rho_{*i} = 0.037 n_{*i}/p_i
\]

4.4 Step length and its distribution for each grain size

The estimation of step length is also difficult because the step length may be determined by probabilistic repetition of collisions with bed protrusions.\(^{41,123}\) Therefore, based on the above results clarified mainly by experiments the characteristics of the mean step length will be investigated here.

From the equations to give the transport rate in equilibrium, the following equation can be obtained.

\[
n_{*i}/p_i = (\lambda_i/\bar{v}_{p*}) p_{*i}
\]

where \( \bar{v}_{p*} = \bar{v}_p/\sqrt{(\sigma/\rho - 1)g d_i} \) and \( \lambda_i = A_i/d_i \). If Eq. (54), which has been experimentally obtained, is approved,
$\lambda_t/\bar{\nu}_{g*} = \text{const.} \approx 1/0.037 \approx 27$ (56)

Meanwhile, the ratio of $\lambda_t$ to $\bar{\nu}_{g*}$ can be written as follows.

$$\lambda_t/\bar{\nu}_{g*} = (A_t/\bar{\nu}_p) / \{d_i/\sqrt{(\sigma/\rho - 1)g_d}\}$$  (57)

The numerator of the right hand of the above equation represents the time for a sand particle to travel a distance $A_t$, and this can be called the “moving period” of a step. On the other hand, the denominator of the right hand of Eq. (57) represents the time for a grain to move a distance equal to its diameter by its terminal velocity. When these two characteristic times are represented by $T_m (= A_t/\bar{\nu}_p)$ and $T_f (= d_i/\sqrt{(\sigma/\rho - 1)g_d})$ respectively, Eq. (56) is rewritten as

$$T_m/T_f = 27$$  (58)

Though this result is interesting, its universal applicability has not been certified because the present experiments do not cover all the possible conditions.

By the way, the step length cannot be directly measured by a film analysis, because it is usually longer than the pictured region. Thus, most data for mean step length have been obtained by an indirect method as explained below: After $q_{B*}(= q_{B*}/\sqrt{(\sigma/\rho - 1)g_d})$, $p_{g*}$ and $p_t$ have been measured by a film analysis, $\lambda_t$ has been indirectly obtained according to the following equation.

$$\lambda_t = (q_{B*}/p_t) \cdot (A_2/A_3) \cdot (1/p_{g*})$$  (59)

Moreover, a part of the data have been obtained by direct measurements by eyes, where about a hundred samples for step length have been extracted for each grain size, and their mean has been calculated. These experimental data of mean step length for each grain size are compared with Eq. (56) in Fig. 31, and it is concluded that the mean step length for each grain size can be approximately estimated by Eqs. (56) and (52).

Sediment transport of sand mixture easily degenerates non-equilibrium, and not only the mean step length but also its distribution must play important roles in such a case. Hence, the distribution of step length for each grain size has...
been investigated based on the experimental data obtained by direct measurements. The results are shown in Fig. 32, where \( F_X(\xi) \) represents the distribution function of step length for each grain size, and it is concluded that the distribution of step length for each grain size of sand mixture can be regarded as an exponential one as well as that for uniform sand. Namely, its density function can be expressed by \[ f_X(\xi) = \left( \frac{1}{A} \right) \cdot \exp \left( -\frac{\xi}{A} \right) \] (60)

As known from Fig. 31, however, the mean step length is not always about a hundred times sand diameter as recognized in case of uniform sand, but the dimensionless mean step length for each grain size of sand mixture is generally somewhat smaller than that of uniform sand.

5. Conclusion

The results obtained in this study are summarized below:

In Chapter 2, the mechanical properties of mixed sand bed have been investigated. At first, the relationship between the distribution of materials exposed at bed surface, which is intimately related to bed load motion and subsequent change of bed constitution, and that of entire mixture has been inspected, and some examples have been shown. Furthermore, more concrete properties such as relative height of individual exposed particle and its angle of escape have been investigated by a derived simulation method.

In chapter 3, incipient motion of bed particles for each grain size has been theoretically investigated, and it has been clarified that the so-called critical tractive force for each grain size is determined by the statistical situation of exposed particle and the so-called equivalent sand roughness of mixed sand bed. Here, a new model to estimate the equivalent sand roughness has been reasonably derived using the concept of “equivalent size of non-uniform particles.” The critical tractive force for each grain size obtained as a result of this chapter may give a better estimation than Egiazaroff’s model particularly in case of the...
coarser part of sand mixture. Moreover the present model can explain the effect of the shape of grain size distribution, which has not been considered to date.

In Chapter 4, experimental investigations on the characteristics of bed load motion for each grain size of sand mixture are explained. This chapter is based on the measurements of the constituent elements of bed load transport process for each grain size by a 16 mm film analysis. The sediment pick-up rate and the particle speed for each grain size can be well explained by physical models derived here. Furthermore, the number density of moving particles and the step length have been investigated and some instructive relationships have been experimentally obtained.

The information obtained in this study must be available for recognizing the internal structure of sediment transport process of sand mixtures, and they may be applied to analyses of some phenomena such as armoring process observed in alluvial streams composed of sand mixtures.
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Appendix A—Three-Dimensional Effect on Bed Constitution

Here, idealized three dimensional situations of bed constitutions are considered, and Eqs. (27) and (28) will be improved.

At first, two-dimensional arrangements of uniform size particles on a plane are considered as a basic rough bed, meanwhile in the section 2.3, one dimensional arrangement has been considered. Then, the following patterns of arrangements of identical spherical particles can be considered as shown in Fig. A. Next, a particle of diameter $c_k$ is considered to be placed on the particles of diameter $k$ arranged in the above patterns. The height of the center of the placed particle represented by $y$, and the other symbols are defined as shown in Fig. B. Consulting with Fig. B, the following can be derived:

$$\frac{y}{k} = \sqrt{(1+c)^2 - 4\left(\frac{A}{k}\right)^2}, \quad \frac{A}{k} = 1/(2 \sin \psi), \quad \psi = \pi/n$$

where $n$ is the number of angles of a polygon which forms a basic pattern of arrangement ($n=3, 4, 5$), and $\psi$ is an angle formed by a side of the polygon and the line $T-T'$, which is perpendicular to the line $P-O'$. The point $P$ is one of vertices of the polygon, and the point $O'$ is the foot of the perpendicular from the center of the placed particle to the plane involving the polygon. When the placed particle moves along the plane $DPO'$, the angle of escape becomes maximum. The point $D$ represents the position of the center of the placed particle. In fact, however, the moving plane of the placed particle is not always on $DPO'$ but it can revolve around an axis $DO'$. When the angle formed by the line $P-O'$ and the intersecting line between the moving plane and the plane involving the polygon is to be represented by the symbol $\theta$, the
angle of escape $\beta$ becomes a function of $\theta$.

For simplicity, the case of $n=3$ will be considered as shown in Fig. C(a). The moving plane of the placed particle is parallel with the $x$-$z$ plane, and the angle of escape $\beta(\theta)$ is equal to the revolving angle of a tetrahedron $E$-$OBC$ with respect to the $y$-axis in order to satisfy the condition where the trigon $ODB$ is perpendicular to the $x$-$y$ plane. The coordinates of the points $D'$ and $B$ are given as $D'(A \cos \theta, A \sin \theta, 0)$ and $B(k \sin (\psi-\theta), k \cos (\psi-\theta), 0)$, respectively. The point $D'$ is the foot of the perpendicular from the point $D$ to the plane $OBC$, and the situation where $0 \leq \theta < \phi$ is considered here. When the tetrahedron $D$-$OBC$ revolves by an angle $\beta$ around the $y$-axis, the points $B$, $C$, $D$ and $D'$ are to be translated to the points $B_d$, $C_d$, $D_d$ and $D_d'$, respectively (see Fig. C(b)). Namely, $D_d'(A \cos \theta \cos \beta, A \sin \theta, A \cos \theta \sin \beta)$, and $B_d(k \sin (\psi-\theta) \cos \beta, k \cos (\psi-\theta), k \cos (\psi-\theta) \sin \beta)$. When the coordinate of the point $D$ is to be represented by $(\alpha, A \sin \theta, \gamma)$, the following relation should be valid.

\[(A \cos \theta \cos \beta - \alpha)^2 + (A \cos \theta \sin \beta - \gamma)^2 = \gamma^2 \quad (b)\]

Because the line $O-D_d'$ is perpendicular to the line $D_d-D_d'$,

\[\{(A \cos \theta \sin \beta - \gamma) / (A \cos \theta \cos \beta - \alpha)\} \cdot \tan \beta = -1 \quad (c)\]

From Eqs. (b) and (c), the coordinate of the point $D$ can be expressed by $\theta$ and $\beta$. Particularly,

\[\alpha = A \cos \theta \cos \beta - y \sin \beta \quad (d)\]

If $\beta$ is an angle of escape, the trigon $ODB$ is perpendicular to the $x$-$y$ plane.
and then the orthogonal projection of the point $D_\theta'$ to the $x$-$y$ plane, that of the point $B$ and the point $O$ must be on a line. Hence, the following equation should be valid.

$$
(A \cos \theta \cos \beta - y \sin \beta) / A \sin \theta = \sin (\psi - \theta) \cos \beta / \cos (\psi - \theta)
$$

Consequently, the following equation is obtained.

$$
\beta(\theta) = \arctan \left[ A \left( \cos \theta - \tan (\psi - \theta) \sin \theta \right) / y \right]
$$

In the above equation, $y$, $A$ and $\psi$ have already obtain in Eq. (a).

In Fig. D, the results for the height of the placed particles given by Eq. (a) are illustrated for the cases $n=3, 4$ and $5$. The result of one dimensional model, for which Eq. (27) is obtained in the section 2.3 of this paper, is also indicated. Moreover, the relation expressed by Eq. (f) is illustrated in Fig. E, where the experimental results using glass beads are also shown. Particularly in case of uniform particles, the distribution of $\beta$ after removing the condition for $\theta$, which is assumed to be distributed at random, is shown as an accumulated probability for each value of $n$, in Fig. F. On the other hand, the experimental data for a glass bead on a rough bed composed of similar particles are shown in Fig. G. In this experiment, the inclination of the rough bed was increased gradually and the probability that free particles on the bed start to move was measured. According to the experimental data, $30\sim40\%$ for $20^\circ<\beta<35^\circ$; $40\%$ for $35^\circ<\beta<45^\circ$; and $15\%$ for $\beta>50^\circ$, roughly. Meanwhile, the distribution of $\beta$ obtained by the present model is limited in its range for each value of $n$; $20^\circ<\beta<35^\circ$ for the case of $n=3$, $35^\circ<\beta<45^\circ$ for the case of $n=4$ and $52^\circ<\beta<58^\circ$ for the case of $n=5$. Consequently, if the pattern of the arrangement of particles composing the rough bed is any of the idealized states, $n=3$, 4 or 5, locally, the probability of arrangement of $n$-gon, $f_n$, has been estimated as follows.

$$
f_3\approx0.20, \ f_4\approx0.65, \ f_5\approx0.15
$$
Using the above values for $f_n$ and Fig. F, a semi-theoretical curve can be drawn on Fig. G, which is indicated by a solid line. According to the above consideration, the average value of angle of escape for uniform particle is about $36^\circ \sim 40^\circ$ and it is larger than that obtained in the section 2.3 by one-dimensional model. Additionally, for the case of actual sand bed, this value may be further larger due to the geometry of actual sand.

**Appendix B—Equivalent Sand Roughness of Artificial Roughness**

In the section 3.3 of this paper, a new method to determine the equivalent sand roughness of rough bed composed of sand mixture has been proposed, and this model will be here applied to artificial two-dimensional roughness, which is illustrated in Fig. H. The symbols $K$, $b$ and $t$ are defined as shown in Fig. H.

Applying the idea on the equivalent size, as mentioned in section 3.3 of this paper, to this case, the equivalent sand roughness $k_r = \alpha d_e$ can be obtained by solving the following equation:

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \frac{C_D \rho u_{2d}^2 A_d d_e}{A_2 d_d^2} = \frac{C_D \rho u_{2d}^2 (K-y_b)}{b+t}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (h)

where the subscript $A$ represents the value with respect to artificial roughness element, and $y_b$ is the height of the theoretical wall from the lowest bed surface. The theoretical wall is here assumed to be defined as the plane beneath which the volume equals that of the upper layer of the roughness elements, as suggested for the case of the closely-packed hexagonal arrangement of hemispheres by Benedict et al. Then, $y_b = Kt / (B+t)$ \hspace{1cm} (i)

If the local velocity $u_{2d}$ is assumed to be that at the height $a_d (K-y_b)$ given by the logarithmic law, $k_r$ is obtained as follows.

$$k_r/K = 30.1 a_d (1-y_b/K) / (30.1 a/\alpha)^{1/2}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (j)
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Fig. H Two-Dimensional Artificial Roughness.

Fig. I Separated Zone of Flow in Downstream of Roughness Element.

Fig. J Idealized Sand Bed.

Fig. K Equivalent Sand Roughness of Two-Dimensional Artificial Roughness.

where

$$B^2 = \left( \varepsilon_A C_{DA}/\varepsilon_0 C_D \right) \cdot \left( Kb/(b+t)^4 \right)$$

(k)

Though $\varepsilon_0$ and $C_D$ have been assumed to be identical irrespectively of sand diameter in case of sand mixture, $\varepsilon_A$ and $C_{DA}$ in this case must be quite different from $\varepsilon_0$ and $C_D$ respectively. It is assumed that $C_{DA} = 1.0$ according to the experiment by Hirano et al., where the representative velocity for drag coefficient has been regarded as the velocity at the top of the roughness element and then $a_A = 1.0$. On the other hand, the sheltering effect is considered by a simplified model as explained below. In the case of artificial roughness element shown in Fig. H, the separation zone of flow in the downstream of a roughness element may be assumed as illustrated in Fig. I. The length of such a separation zone is experimentally known to be about 7~8 times the roughness height, and then, $\varepsilon_A$ is assumed to be expressed by

$$\varepsilon_A = \begin{cases} 
(1/m) \cdot (b/K) & \text{for} \ b/K \leq m \\
1.0 & \text{for} \ b/K > m 
\end{cases}$$

(l)

where $m = 7~8$. Though the above estimation is too rough, it is the ratio of the sheltering coefficients to be considered, and then, $\varepsilon_0$ should be obtained by
the similar way. For simplicity, idealized conditions as shown in Fig. J are considered, where particle $A$ or $A'$ is the main particle. This particle $A$ or $A'$ is to be sheltered by only the particle $B$ or the particles $C$ and $D$, respectively. Considering the decrease of the projected area for flow of the particle $A$ or $A'$ and that $\varepsilon_c$ can be obtained by the similar way to the above discussion; $\varepsilon_c = 0.321$ or $0.278$, respectively for $A$ and $A'$. Therefore, the average value of $\varepsilon_c$ may be determined as $0.30$. Consequently, the relationship between $k_*=k_*/K$ and $b_*=b/K$ can be estimated with a parameter $t_*=t/K$ as shown in Fig. K. On calculation, it is assumed that $a_A=1.0$, $a=3.0$, $m=8.0$ and $\varepsilon=0.30$.

In Fig. K, the experimental data collected by Johnson, Powell, Adachi and Hirano et al. are also shown. According to this figure, the equivalent sand roughness is underestimated appreciably in the case where $b_*$ is large. The reason for this tendency is that the skin friction is not considered in the present model, but this effect is dominant when the number density of roughness elements is small. Considering this effect, the experimental data may be explained well by the method derived in this appendix.
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