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# THE DISPARITY BETWEEN THE RICH AND THE POOR IN THE BIG CITIES OF JAPAN 

## CHAPTER 1. <br> STATISTICS REGARDING THE DISTRIBUTION OF INCOMES

Is the disparity between the rich and poor daily growing in present-day society or is it gradually lessening? The component elements of society are diverse; there are farm villages, country towns and big cities. Any sweeping verdict on this problem would, therefore, be quite out of place. In the present article, I propose to make clear, by help of statistical figures, the disparity between the rich and the poor chiefly among the citizens of big cities, so as to furnish one datum contributory to the solution of one of the present-day social problems. Inasmuch as life in big cities is most up-to-date in present-day society, a good idea may be formed of the course which the social life is taking by studying the disparity between the rich and the poor in big cities.

As the big cities of Japan, we may mention Tokyo, Osaka, Nagoya, Kyoto, Kobe and Yokohama, but I have specially chosen Osaka as the object of study in the investigation of the disparity between the rich and the poor. Of the above mentioned six big cities, Tokyo and Osaka may be regarded as (representative, but as the economic life of Tokyo was considerably upset by the Kwanto earthquake, I have omitted in from the present article, and confined my attention to the study of the disparity between the rich and poor in Osaka. Various methods may be adopted for the study of the disparity between the rich and poor. As one
method, it may be suggested that on the occasion of censustaking, the investigation should be made of property and incomes as well as population. In the present state of Japan, however, a more pertinent method appears to be to study objects of taxation on the basis of the statistical figures of taxes of various kinds. As tax statistics which are of use in the investigation of the disparity between the rich and poor, we may point out those regarding the property tax and the income tax. Japan lacks a static property tax, the only property tax she has-which is dynamic in nature-being the succession tax. To make use of the statistics of the property tax, therefore, means the utilization of the statistics of the succession tax. The succession tax is collected in connection with the transfer of property for inheritance, and as progressive rates are adopted in this tax according to the amount of property, the statistical figures regarding the succession tax are of some value in making clear the disparity between the rich and poor. But seeing that the amount of the property inherited varies every year, and that, moreover, the method of levying the succession tax in this country leaves much to be desired, it is difficult to expect much from the study of the statistics of the succession tax in this country. In view of the absence of a static property tax in this country and of the very imperfect nature of the succession tax, which embodies a dynamic property tax, I have refrained from basing my present study on the statistical figures of property taxation. On the other hand, the income tax was introduced into this country as early as 1887 . It underwent far-reaching revisions in 1899 and in 1920, minor revisions being effected several times. Such being the case, the statistics of the income tax furnish materials too valuable to be ignored in the study of the disparity between the rich and poor. In the Japanese income taxation system, the Cclass income tax is graded so that progressive taxation rates may be levied according to the amount of incomes, so we are able to look into the disparity between the rich and poor by the help of the progressive grades of incomes.

In short, in my study of the disparity between the rich and poor in Osaka, I have made use of the distribution statistics of the C-class income tax in the city. I will, in the following chapters, first explain the methods of study adopted and then deal with the results of the investigation. The income tax was first imposed in Japan in 1887, but in the present article, the statistical figures of the tax between 1912 and 1931 only were made use of, as they are more complete than those of other years.

## CHAPTER 2.

## HOW TO MEASURE THE DISPARITY BETWEEN THE RICH AND POOR

As already mentioned, in the Japanese income taxation, progressive rates are adopted in regard to the C-class income tax, a fact which helps us to work out the number of families belonging to the various grades of progressive taxation, so that we can see the relative percentage of bigger and smaller income tax payers, or, in other words, the disparity between the rich and poor. Accordingly, I will first study the grades of incomes as one means of measuring the wide gap between the moneyed and the moneyless class, then analyse the details of the C-class incomes, and finally offer an explanation of the methods employed for finding the number of families belonging to each grade.

## (1) THE GRADES OF THE C-CLASS INCOMES

The most important factor in measuring the disparity between the rich and poor is the progressive grades of incomes. These grades and the untaxable limit have undergone the following changes since 1912 (page 36-37):-

By utilising information regarding the progressive grades of incomes and the untaxable limit, we can compare the number of those who have large incomes with that of those who have small incomes, thereby making clear the disparity
1st， 1905.
Income tax shall be le－ vied according to the following rates：－

## A－class：（ornitted）

B－class：（omitted）
C－class：The incomes not belong－ ing to the aforementioned two classes．

| $¥ 300$ | and over | $20 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $¥ 500$ | $"$ | $25.2 \%$ |
| $¥ 1,000$ | $"$ | $34.5 \%$ |
| $¥ 2,000$ | $"$ | $39.1 \%$ |
| $¥ 3,000$ | $"$ | $46 \%$ |
| $¥ 5,000$ | $"$ | $60 \%$ |
| $¥ 10,000$ | $"$ | $75 \%$ |
| $¥ 15,000$ | $"$ | $94.5 \%$ |
| $¥ 20,000$ | $"$ | $116 \%$ |
| $¥ 30,000$ | $"$ | $139.5 \%$ |
| $¥ 50,000$ | $"$ | $170 \% \%$ |
| $¥ 100,000$ | $"$ | $203.5 \%$ |

Article 6．The income tax shall not be levied on C－class incomes of less than ¥ 300 。

Law No．13，promulgated on April 8th， 1913.
vied according to the following rates ：－
A－class：（omitted）
B－class：（omitted）
C－class：Incomes not belonging to the aforementioned two classes． Incomes are divided into the following grades to which pro－ gressive rates shall be applied as follows：
Amount not exceeding $¥ 1,000 \quad 25 \%$
Amount exceeing Amount exceeing ＂
＂ $¥ 2,00045 \%$ $\underset{~}{¥ 3,000} 55 \%$ $¥ 5,000 \quad 70 \%$ $¥ 10,000100 \%$ $¥ 15,000120 \%$ $\Psi 15,000120 \%$
$\Psi 20,000140 \%$ $\Psi 30,000160 \%$ $¥ 50,000180 \%$ $\Psi 70,000200 \%$ $\mp 100,000220 \%$
Article $4-\mathrm{e}$ ．In case the amount worked out according to the provisions of C－class incomes does not exceed $¥ 500$ ， the sum of $¥ 150$ shall be deducted from it，while in case it does not exceed $¥ 700$ and $¥ 1,000$ ，a deduction of $¥ 100$ and $¥ 50$ respectively shall be made．
Article 6．No income tax shall be levied on C－class incomes of less than
$¥ 400$ ．

Law No．5，promulgated on March 23rd， $1918 . ~$ Income tax shall be Ie－ vied according to the following rates：－ A－class：（omitted）
B－class：tomitted）
C－class：Incomes not belonging to the aforementioned two classes． Incomes are divided into the fol－ lowing grades to which pro－ gressive rates shall be applied
as follows： as follows：
Amount not exceeding $\mathbf{Y} 1,00030 \%$ Amount exceeding $\quad ¥ 1,000 \quad 40 \%$ ＂ ¥2，000 55 $\begin{array}{ll}¥ 5,000 & 75 \% \\ & 85 \%\end{array}$ $\pm 7,000105 \%$ $\mathbf{¥ 7}, 000105 \%$
$\mathbf{¥ 1 0 , 0 0 0}$
$\mathbf{1 2 5 \%}$ $¥ 15,000145 \%$  $\pm 30,000195 \%$ $¥ 50,000220 \%$ $¥ 70,000245 \%$ $¥ 100,000270 \%$
$¥ 20000$
Article＂ 4 －e．In case the amount worked out according to the provisions of the foregoing two Articles in respect of C－class incomes does not exceed $¥ 700$ ，the sum of $¥ 150$ shall be deduct－ ed from it，while in case it does not shall be made．

Article 6.
vied on C－No income tax shall be $¥ 500$ ．

| Law No. 11, promulgated on July 31st, 1920. <br> Article 3. Income tax shall be levied on the following incomes: - <br> A-class: (omitted) <br> B-class: (omitted) <br> C-class: Incomes of individuals not belonging to the B -class. <br> Article 20. No income tax shall be imposed on C -class incomes of less than $¥ 800$. <br> Article 23. The C-class incomes shall be divided into the following grades to which the progressive rates shall be applied. | Law No. 8, promulgated in March, 1926. <br> Article 3. Income tax shall be levied on the following incomes:- <br> A-class: (omitted) <br> B-class: (omitted) <br> C-class: Incomes of individuals not belonging to the B-class. <br> Article 20 . No income tax shall be imposed on C-class incomes of less than $¥ 1,200$. <br> Article 23. C-class incomes shall be divided into the following grades to which the progressive rates shall be applied as follows: |
| :---: | :---: |

between the rich and poor. One noteworthy fact is that the tax laws enacted in 1913 (Article 4 -e, Law No. 13, promulgated on April 8th, 1913) and in 1918 (Article 4-e, Law No. 5 , promulgated on March 23rd, 1918) provide for the deduction of certain sums in assessment in favour of grades of incomes of less than $¥ 1,000$. The grades of incomes during the last seventeen years may be classified as follows on the basis of the clauses providing for deduction in assessment, progressive taxation and the untaxable limit:-


1913-1918: (a) Over $¥ 400$ inclusive and not exceeding $¥ 500$.
(b) Over $¥ 500$ and not exceeding $\quad ¥ 700$.
(c) $\# ¥ 700 \quad " \quad ¥ 1,000$.
(d) " $71,000 \quad$ ", 72,000 .
(e) " $¥ 2,000 \quad ¥ 3,000$.
(f) " $¥ 3,000 \quad \geqslant \quad ¥ 5,000$.
(g) " $\quad ¥ 5,000 \quad ¥ 7,000$.
(h) " $¥ 7,000 \quad ¥ 10,000$.
(i) " $¥ 10,000$ " $¥ 15,000$.
(j) " $¥ 15,000 \quad$ " $¥ 20,000$.
(k) " $¥ 20,000 \quad ¥ 30,000$.
(i) " $¥ 30,000$. " 750,000 .
(m) " $¥ 50,000 \quad ¥ 70,000$.
( n ) $" ¥ 70,000 \quad$ " $\mathbf{Y} 100,000$.
(o) " $¥ 100,000$.

1918-1920: (a) Over Y500 inclusive and not exceeding 700 .
(b) Over $¥ 700$ and not exceeding $¥ 1,000$.
(c) " $\Psi 1,000 \quad \geqslant \quad ¥ 2,000$.
(d) " $¥ 2,000 \quad " \quad ¥ 3,000$.
(e) " $¥ 3,000 \quad \Psi 5,000$.
(f) " $¥ \mathbf{Y} 5,000 \quad$ " $\mathbf{Y} 7,000$.


1920-1926: (a) Over $¥ 800$ inclusive and not exceeding $¥ 1,000$.
(b) Over $¥ 1,000$ and not exceeding $¥ 1,500$.
(c) " $¥ 1,500 \quad$ ", $¥ 2,000$.
(d) " $\because 2,000 \quad ¥ 3,000$.

(f) " $¥ 5,000 \quad ¥ 7,000$.
(g) " $¥ 7,000 \quad ¥ 10,000$.
(h) " $¥ 10,000 \quad ¥ 15,000$.
(i) " $¥ 15,000 \quad \geqslant \quad ¥ 20,000$.
(j) " $¥ 20,000 \quad \geqslant \quad ¥ 30,000$.
(k) " $¥ 30,000 \quad ¥ 50,000$.
(1) " $\quad ¥ 50,000 \quad ¥ 70,000$.
(m) " $¥ 70,000 \quad ¥ 100,000$.
(n) " $¥ 100,000 \quad$ " $¥ 200,000$.
(o) " $\ddagger 200,000$ " $¥ 500,000$,
(p) " $¥ 500,000 \quad " \quad 71,000,000$.
( q ) $\because ¥ 1,000,000 \quad ¥ 2,000,000$.
(r) $\# ¥ 2,000,000 \quad$ " $¥ 3,000,000$.
(s) $\quad$ " $¥ 3,000,000 \quad ¥ 4,000,000$.
( t$) \mathrm{\#} 4,000,000$.
1926-1931: (a) Over $Y 1,200$ inclusive and not exceeding $¥ 1,500$.

| (b) | Over $\Psi 1,500$ | exceeding | ¥ 2,000 . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (c) | $¥ 2,000$ | " | ¥3,000. |
| (d) | ¥ 3,000 | " | Y5,000. |
| (e) | $\Psi 5,000$ | " | 77,000. |
| ( f) | Y7,000 | " | $¥ 10,000$. |
| (g) | Y 10,000 | " | $¥ 15,000$. |
| (h) | $¥ 15,000$ | " | $¥ 20,000$. |
| (i) | Y 20,000 | " | $¥ 30,000$. |
| ( j$)$ | ¥30,000 | " | Y 50,000. |
| (k) | 750,000 | " | Y 70,000 . |
| (1) | ¥70,000 | " | Y 100,000. |
| (m) | 1) $¥ 100,000$ | " | $¥ 200,000$. |
| (n) | " $¥ 200,000$ | " | Y500,000. |
| (o) | " $\mathrm{Y}^{500,000}$ | " | $¥ 1,000,000$. |
| (p) | . $¥ 1,000,000$ | " | $¥ 2,000,000$. |

(q) Over $¥ 2,000,000$ and not exceeding $¥ 3,000,000$.
(r) " $¥ 3,000,000 \quad \geqslant 4,000,000$.
(s) " $¥ 4,000,000$.

The grading of incomes in 1912-13 was on the basis of "over so much inclusive and less than so much," while since 1913 it has been altered to the basis of "over so much and not exceeding so much." So, accurately speaking, the two methods of grading do not tally. For instance, the grade representing an income of "over $¥ 2,000$ inclusive and less than $¥ 3,000$ " is inclusive of the $¥ 2,000$ income but not inclusive of the $¥ 3,000$ income, while the grade defined as "over $¥ 2,000$ and not exceeding $¥ 3,000$ " includes the $¥ 3,000$ income, though not the $¥ 2,000$ income. For convenience' sake, however, I have made no discrimination in the treatment of both cases in the present article. I have by this means found out the number of families belonging to each grade of C -class incomes, thereby securing the data for measuring the disparity between the rich and the poor. To classify the grades more minutely than I have done was impossible. Again, as the grading, the untaxable limit and deduction clauses for assessment varied, the grouping was naturally affected.

## (2) CONTENTS OF C-CLASS INCOMES

Next, the contents of C-class incomes deserve attention. In other words, attention must be directed both to the kinds of objects on which the C-class income tax is imposed and to the modification clauses which are provided for taxing these objects.

Under the income Tax Law now (1931) in force, the incomes of individuals which do not belong to the B-class are defined as the C-class incomes, and assessment has been made in accordance with the following rules since 1926 :-

Article 14. C-class incomes shall be assessed according to the rules enumerated below:-
(a) The amount of revenue in the previous year, in the case of interest on loans of non-business nature, and the interest on public bonds, company
debentures and deposits not belonging to the B-class incomes.
(b) The amount of the total revenue in the previous year minus the necessary expenses, in the case of incomes from forests.
(c) The amount of revenue between March 1st of the previous year and the end of February of the current year, in the case of bonuses, and allowances partaking of the nature of bonuses.
(d) The amount received between March 1st of the preceding year and the end of February of the current year (the amount which was paid, in the case of dividends on unregistered shares) with a deduction of 40 per cent., in the case of dividends of the profit or interest, or the distribution of the surplus which one gets from juridical persons.
(e) The amount received in the preceding year, in the case of salaries, wages, annual allowances, annuities, pensions, retiring allowances, and other grants partaking of the nature of these. The estimated annual income of the current year, in cases where the incomes from these allowances do not date from January ist of the preceding year.
(f) The total revenue of the preceding year minus the necessary expenditure, in the case of incomes not falling under any of the items mentioned above. The estimated annual income of the current year, where the revenue from the property, business or occupation dit not date from January 1st of the preceding year.

It must, however, be noted that these rules were laid down in 1926. Before that year, various methods of assessment were adopted as follows:-

| Law No. 17, promulgated in 1901:- <br> Article 4. Incomes shall be assessed according to the following classification: <br> 1. (omitted) <br> 2. (omitted) <br> 3. The C-class income shall be assessed on the basis of the estimated annual amount representing the total revenue minus the necessary expendi- | Law No. 13, promulgated in 1913. <br> Article $4-\mathrm{c}$. C- <br> class incomes shall be assessed according to the following rules:- <br> 1. With regard to salaries, wages, grants, annual allowances, annuities, pensions, retiring allowances, interest on loans or deposits of a nonbusiness nature and interest on public loans and debentures not belonging to B -class |
| :---: | :---: |

Law No. 11, promulgated in 1920.
Article 14. C-class incomes shall be assessed according to the following rules:-

1. With regard to salaries, wages, annual allowances, annuities, pensions, retiring allowances, and other grants partaking of the nature of these, interest on loans of a nonbussiness nature, interest on public loans, and debentures not belonging to B -class incomes and interest on deposits, assessment shall be made on the estimated annual revenue.
2. With regard to incomes from farms and fields, assessment shall be made on the basis of the estimated annual revenue representing

| ture. With regard to the interest on public bonds and company debentures not paid in the territory where the present law opera. tes, interest on loans or deposits of a non-business nature the dividends, received from juridical persons which are not amenable to income taxation under the present law, salaries, wages, special allowances, annual allowances, annuities and pensions, assessment shall be made on the estimated annual amonnt of the revenue, and in regard to forestry incomes, assessment shall be on the basis of the earnings of the previous year, while assessment in respect of incomes from farms and fields shall be based on the average revenue for the preceding three years. | incomes, assessment shall be made on the basis of the estimated annual revenue. <br> 2. The revenue from farms and fields shall be assessed on the basis of the estimated annual revenue representing the average of the total in comes for the preceding three years minus the neccessary expenditure. <br> 3. Forestry incomes shall be assessed on the basis of the total revenue of the previous year minus the necessary expenditure. <br> 4. With regard to the dividends received from juridical personsin foreign countries or the territories where the present law is not in operation, the amount received in the previous year shall be taken as the basis of assessment. <br> 5. Assessment on all other incomes shall be made on the basis of the estimated annual amount of the total revenue minus the necessary expenditure. | the average of the total incomes for the preceding three years minus the necessary expenditure. In the case of farms and fields which were not cultivated continually during the preceding three years either by their owners or by tenants, the estimated annual revenue from neighbouring and kindred farms and fields shall be taken as the basis of assessment. <br> 3. Forestry incomes shall be assessed on the basis of the total revenue of the previous year minus the necessary expenditure. <br> 4. As to bonuses or allowances partaking of the nature of a bonus, the amount received between April 1st of the previous year and the end of March of the current year shall form the basis of assessment. <br> 5. Dividends of profit or interest or the distribution of surplus received from juridical persons shall be assessed with regard to the income accruing between April lst of the previous year and the end of March of the current year minus an amount equivalent to 40 per cent. of the income. As regards dividends received by persons holding unregistered shares, assessment shall be made on the income accruing during the period referred to minus a sum equivalent to 40 per cent. of the income. <br> 6. Assessment on incomes not falling under any of the foregoing items shall be made on the basis of the estimated annual amount of the total revenue minus the necessary expenditure. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

The new rules differ from the old ones in many respects. The most striking points of difference are the adoption of actual receipts, instead of estimated revenue, as the basis of assessment, and the imposition of the tax on bonuses and dividends, which were formerly free from taxation. Such being the case, the rates of the C -class income tax, even on the same objects of taxation, have undergone marked changes during the past seventeen years since 1912.

At first, assessment was made on the total amount of the C-class income, but subsequently various modification clauses were provided with a view to deducting certain specified sums before assessment, according to the source of revenue or the uses to which the revenue was put.

As to the modification clauses for assessment in regard to the sources of revenue, the system of deducting certain specified sums from incomes from service was adopted, and the nature of the modifications has been changed by degrees.

| Law No. 13, promulgated in 1913. <br> Article 4-d. <br> With regard to salaries, wages, special allowances and annual allo wances, which are among the items of C-class incomes, the estimated annual revenue minus 10 per cent. of the amount shall be regarded as the income. | Law No. 11, promulgated in 1920. <br> Article 15. In case the total income worked out according to the rules of the preceding Article does not exceed $¥ 1,200$, 10 per cent. of the amount shall be deducted from such incomes as salaries, wages, annual allowances, annuities, pensions, retiring allowances, bonuses and other allowances partaking of the nature of these, while a 20 per cent. deduction shall be made, in case the total income does not exceed $¥ 6,000$. | Law No. 8,promulgated in 1926. <br> Article 15. In case the total income worked out according to the rules of the foregoing Article does not exceed $¥ 12,000$, the following sums shall be deducted from the incomes from service. <br> 1. In case the total income does not exceed $¥ 6,000$, a 20 per cent. deduction shall be made from incomes from service. <br> 2. In case the total income includes receipts other than from service amounting to $\Psi 6,000$ and over, 10 per cent. of the incomes from service shall be deducted. <br> 3. In case the total income exceeds $¥ 6,000$ and the receipts from other than service are less than $¥ 6,000$, a 20 per cent. deduction shall be made from the income from service so far as it does not exceed $¥ 6,000$ combined with receipts from other than service, while from the remainder of the income from service a 10 per cent. deduction shall be made. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

As regards the modification clauses provided in favour of the uses to which the income is put, the deduction of certain sums on account of dependants and the deduction of the insurance premium from the income may be mentioned.

The clause providing for the deduction of certain sums on account of dependants was first created in 1920, and has been altered since as follows:-

Law No. Il, promulgated in 1920.
Article 16. In case the amount worked out according to the provisions of the foregoing two Articles does not exceed $¥ 3,000$, the sums given in the following items shall be deducted from the amount, on application by the earner of the income, provided his family has among its members living together, including the head of the family, a person or persons under 18 years of age or over 60 inclusive, or a deformed or disabled person or persons, on April lst of the current years:-

1. In case the income does not exceed $¥ 1,000: \Psi 100$ per head of persons of under 18 or over 60 inclusive or deformed or disabled persons.
2. In case the income does not exceed $¥ 2,000: ¥ 70 \quad "$
3. In case the income does not exceed $¥ 3,000: \neq 750 \quad$ "

Law No. 8, promulgated in 1926.

Article 16. In case the total amount of income worked out according to the provisions of the foregoing two Articles does not exeed $¥ 3,000$, the sums of $¥ 100$ shall be deducted, on application by the earner of the income, from the amount for each such mem. bers of the family, including the head of the family, living together on March Ist of the current year, as are under 18 years of age or over 60 inclusive, or deformed or disabled.

With regard to the deduction of the premium, Law No. 41, promulgated in April, 1923, has the following stipu-lation:-

Article 16-c. The premium paid on the contract of life insurance which has the earner of the income or a member of his or her family or the heir of such person as the beneficiary, shall be deducted from the income, according to a specified order, on application by the earner of the income, within the limit of $\Psi 200$ a year.

Such being the case, C-class incomes of the same amount are sometimes assessed variously, when the progressive rates
of taxation are applied. They are, in some cases, assessed as they are, and deductions are made for assessment, in other cases, in a variety of ways according to the sources of the incomes or the uses to which the incomes are put.

In short, it is an undeniable fact that the contents of C-class incomes have undergone much change through several revisions of the:Income Tax Law. Consequently, incomes of the same grades were differently taxed as years of assessment differed.

## (3) METHOD OF FINDING THE NUMBER OF INCOME-EARNING FAMILIES

The latest annual of statistics, that is, the 48th Statistical Year Book of Japan, gives a table showing the amount of C-class incomes of various grades. From this table, I reproduce the amount of incomes and the number of income tax payers, together with the ayerage amount of income per head of the income tax payers, worked out on the basis of these two factors, as follows:-

Table No. 1.
Amount of C.class inc mes in 1927. ${ }^{11}$

|  |  |  | Number of income-tax payers | $\begin{gathered} \text { Amount of } \\ \text { income } \\ \text { (In } ¥ 1,000 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Average amount of income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount of C -class incomes |  | Total | 1,002,616 | 2,405,679 | - |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { exceeding } \\ & \not ¥ 1,200 \end{aligned}$ | 32,072 | 34,265 | 1,068 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { exceeding } \\ & ¥ 2,000 \end{aligned}$ | 505,802 | 584,091 | 1,154 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { exceeding } \\ & ¥ 5,000 \end{aligned}$ | 322,513 | 706,383 | 2,190 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { exceeding } \\ & ¥ 10,000 \end{aligned}$ | 87,723 | 377,085 | 4,298 |

1) The 48th Statistical Year Book of Japan. p. 366-377.

|  | Not exceeding <br> $¥ 20,000$ | 33,901 | 250,925 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not exceeding <br> $¥ 50,000$ | 15,333 | 214,169 | 13,401 |
| Less than <br> $¥ 100,000$ | 3,521 | 96,920 | 27,526 |
| Over ¥100,000 <br> inclusive | 1,751 | 141,841 | 81,005 |

Inasmuch as an income of less than $¥ 1,200$ is exempted from taxation under the Income Tax Law in force, the grade in Table No. 1 described as " not exceeding $¥ 1,200$ " refers to those people whose income is exactly $¥ 1,200$. So, it may appear incongrous that the average amount of income for people getting $¥ 1,200$ is given as $¥ 1,068$. Equally incongruous will it appear that the average amount of income for those getting from $¥ 1,200$ to $¥ 2,000$ is given as $¥ 1,154$, that for those getting from $¥ 5,000$ to $¥ 10,000$ as $¥ 4,298$, that for those getting from $¥ 10,000$ to $¥ 20,000$ as $¥ 7,401$, that for those getting from $¥ 20,000$ to $¥ 50,000$ as $¥ 13,967$, that for those getting from $¥ 50,000$ to $¥ 100,000$ as $¥ 27,526$, and that for those getting over $Y 100,000$ inclusive as $¥ 81,005$, though the figure of $¥ 2,190$ given as the average income of those getting from $¥ 2,000$ to $¥ 5,000$ may be accepted. Such apparent incongruities are ascribable to the following stipu-lations:-

| Law No. 17, promulgated in 1899. <br> Article 3. <br> TheC-classincome of the head and other members of the family <br> living together shall be | Law No. 13, promulgated in 1927. <br> Article 3. ......... <br> In the application of the C-class income rates, the incomes of the head and other members of the family living together shall be put together, and the | Law No. 11, promulgated in 1920. <br> Article 23. <br> In the case of the foregoing paragraph, the incomes of the head and other members of the family living together shall be put together, and the rates be applied to the total. The amount thus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| ot cases where two or more members of the family live together separately from the head of the family. | pro rata to the incomes of members of the family for fixing the amount of assessment for each. This rule also applies to cases where two or more members of the family live together, separately from the head of the family. | tioned pro rata to the incomes of members of the family for fixing the amount of assessment for each. This rule also applies to the incomes of two or more members of the family living together, separately from the head of the family. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Supposing that the head of a family gets an income of $¥ 5,800$, and two other members of the family living with him get an income of $¥ 4,000$ and $¥ 1,200$ respectively, the total of their incomes being $¥ 11,000$, the statistical returns include this family in the grade with an income of from $¥ 10,000$ to $¥ 20,000$, though the number of tax payers in this case is given as three-the head and two members of the family -, whereas, as a matter of fact, the family consists of three tax payers, one with an income of $¥ 1,200$, another with an income belonging to the grade of from $¥ 2,000$ to $¥ 5,000$ and the other to the grade of from $¥ 5,000$ to $¥ 10,000$. Such being the case, the number of tax payers given in the statistical returns does not furnish a reliable datum for measuring the disparity between the rich and poor, though it may be of some value for finding the number of people from whom the income tax was collected. In order to correct these delusive figures properly, the number of the subordinate tax-paying members of the families must be deducted from the number of tax payers given in the table, as otherwise the number of taxpaying families will not be found out. Table No. 2 shows the number of tax payers, the number of subordinate tax-paying members of one and the same family, and the number of tax-paying families throughout the country in the fiscal year 1927-1928.

Table No. 2.
Number of families paying the C-Class income tax. ${ }^{2}$ )

| Grades of incomes | Number of tax payers | Subordinate tax-paying members of families | Number of tax-paying families |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,200 | 32,072 | 3,518 | 28,554 |
| $1,200-1,500$ | 277,242 | 62,376 | 214,866 |
| 1,500-2,000 | 228,560 | 56,859 | 171,701 |
| 2,000 3,000 | 175,281 | 44,993 | 130,288 |
| $3,000-5,000$ | 147,232 | 43,607 | 103,625 |
| $5,000-7,000$ | 52,321 | 18,314 | 34,007 |
| 7,000-10,000 | 35,402 | 14,005 | 21,397 |
| $10,000-15,000$ | 23,359 | 10,430 | 12,929 |
| 15,000-20,000 | 10,542 | 5,050 | 5,492 |
| 20,000-30,000 | 9,255 | 4,735 | 4,520 |
| 30,000-50,000 | 6,078 | 3,312 | 2,766 |
| 50,000-. 70,000 | 2,177 | 1,269 | 908 |
| 70,000-100,000 | 1,344 | 811 | 533 |
| 100,000 - 200,000 | 1,262 | 802 | 460 |
| 200,000 - 500,000 | 410 | 252 | 158 |
| 500,000-1,000,000 | 55 | 33 | 22 |
| 1,000,000-2,000,000 | 17 | 9 | 8 |
| 2,000,000-3,000,000 | 0 | 0. | 0 |
| 3,000,000-4,000,000 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4,000,000-x | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| Total | 1,002,616 | 270,380 | 732,236 |

In the past, Drs. Takano, ${ }^{3)}$ Takada ${ }^{4}$ and Hijikata ${ }^{5)}$ have made public the valuable results of their respective researches in regard to the statistics of the distribution of C-class incomes, but practically all of these scholars confined their

[^0]attention to the number of tax payers in their researches, entirely leaving out of consideration the number of tax-paying families. Researches conducted on the basis of the number of tax payers, which is little short of the imaginary quantity, cannot be expected to lead to any accurate measurement of the disparity between the rich and poor. In the Keizai Ronso (The Economic Review), therefore, I have often emphasised the necessity of deducting the subordinate tax-paying members of one and the same family from the total number of the tax payers given in the statistical returns. In the present article also, I have investigated the distribution of tax-paying families among the various grades of incomes, and on the basis of the figures thus obtained, I have endeavoured to measure the disparity between the rich and poor.

## CHAPTER 3. <br> DISTRIBUTION OF INCOMES IN THE CITY <br> OF OSAKA

For the above-mentioned reason, I made inquiries into the distribution of the C -class-income-tax-paying families in the city of the Osaka for the purpose of making clear the disparity between the rich and poor in big cities. The local statistics of the distribution of incomes in this country have been annually compiled since 1909 , but unfortunately such statistics are lacking in the case of Osaka in respect of the subordinate tax-paying members of families for 1909, 1910 and 1911, and consequently my inquiries have been made about the tax-paying families since 1912. In Table No. 3 is shown the state of distribution of tax-paying families not only in Osaka but in the whole country among the various grades of incomes (page 50-52).

Table No. 3 embodies the distribution list, but owing to the fact that the grading of incomes was very different in 1912-1913, 1913-1918, 1918-1920, 1920-1926, and 19261931, the table is ill-contrived as an indication of the general

| The amount of income |  | 300-500 |  | 500-1,000 |  |  | 1,000-2,000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,000 | 100 | 1,500 |  |
|  |  | 400 | 500 | $700$ | 700 800 | 800 1,000 | 1,000 1,200 | 1,200 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & 2,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 菏 } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | 1912 | $\begin{array}{r} 561,603 \\ \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l} 235,035 \\ 229,056 \\ 241,223 \\ 245,720 \\ 248,451 \end{array}\right. \end{array}$ |  | 300,117 |  |  | $100,286$ |  |  |
|  | 1913 |  |  | 194,994 | $\begin{aligned} & 120,114 \\ & 127,290 \end{aligned}$ |  | 104,771 |  |  |
|  | 1914 |  |  | 205,252 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1915 |  |  | 197,898 | $121,734$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1916 |  |  | 192,602 | 118,448 |  | 102,663 |  |  |
|  | 1917 |  |  | 209,544 | 132,385 |  | 116,020 |  |  |
|  | 1918 |  |  | 340,467 | 186,094 |  | 159,745 |  |  |
|  | 1919 |  |  | 383,812 | $\begin{aligned} & 298,849 \\ & \mid 365,584 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1920 |  |  |  |  |  | 303,546 |  | 121,964 |
|  | 1921 |  |  |  | 382,767 |  | 367,484 |  | 142,615 |
|  | 1922 |  |  |  | 388,157 |  | 414,242 |  | 165,595 |
|  | 1923 |  |  |  |  | 446,806 | 435,327 |  |  |
|  | 1924 |  |  |  | 4459,238446,208 |  | 424,049 |  | 175,873 171,598 |
|  | 1925 |  |  |  |  |  | 456,780 |  | 171,598 181,001 |
|  | 1926 |  |  |  | 446,208 |  |  | 286,057 | 185,186171,701 |
|  | 1927 |  |  |  |  |  | 243,420 171,701 |  |  |
|  | 1928 |  |  |  |  |  | 223,314 162,561 |  |  |
|  | 1929 |  |  |  |  |  | 223,279216,464 |  | 162,366158,283 |
|  | 1930 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1912 | 23,487 |  | 12,243 |  |  | 4,9045,033 |  |  |
|  | 1913 |  | 10,835 | 7,799 | 5,0875,455 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1914 |  | 11,060 | 7,675 |  |  | 4,954 |  |  |
|  | 1915 |  | 12,679 | 7,199 | 4,982 |  | 4,9255,183 |  |  |
|  | 1916 |  | 12,971 | 7,932 | 5,427 . |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1917 |  | 12,365 | 8,816 | 6,426 |  | 6,154 |  |  |
|  | 1918 |  |  | 13,742 | 8,36910,943 |  | 8,47511,200 |  |  |
|  | 1919 |  |  | 13,189 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1920 |  |  |  |  | 10,895 | 9,706 |  | 4,924 |
|  | 1921 |  |  |  |  | 11,005 | 12,99615,098 |  | 6,255 |
|  | 1922 |  |  |  |  | 12,296 |  |  | 7.292 |
|  | 1923 |  |  |  |  | 14,426 | 16,420 |  | 8,001 |
|  | 1924 |  |  |  |  | 16,017 | 17,695 |  | 8,505 |
|  | 1925 |  |  |  |  | 22,275 | 25,327 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11,766 \\ & 11,361 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1926 |  |  |  |  |  | 15,81415577 |  |  |
|  | 1927 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11,3613 |
|  | 1928 |  |  |  |  |  | 14,936 |  | 11,27111,281 |
|  | 1929 |  |  |  |  |  | 14,852 |  |  |
|  | 1930 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14,469 | 11,281 10,947 |

Table No. 3.
The distribution of tax-paying families belonging to the various grades

| $\begin{gathered} 2,000 \\ 3,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,000 \\ 5,000 \end{gathered}$ | 5,00-10,000 |  | $\begin{gathered} 10,000 \\ 15,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15,000 \\ 20,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20,000 \\ \text { \| } \\ 30,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,000 \\ & 50,000 \end{aligned}$ | 50,000 <br> 50,000 <br> 70,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 5,000 \\ 7,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,000 \\ 10,000 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25,459 | 15,685 |  | 581 | 1,578 | 581 | 381 | 197 |  |
| 25,803 | 16,078 | 5,126 | 2,884 | 1,696 | 639 | 423 | 205 | 46 |
| 26,883 | 16,897 | 5,289 | 3,104 | 1,809 | 687 | 467 | 206 | 57 |
| 25,623 | 15,865 | 5,066 | 2,925 | 1,676 | 637 | 428 | 190 | 48 |
| 25,506 | 16,312 | 5,083 | 3,024 | 1,747 | 663 | 444 | 211 | 80 |
| 30,116 | 19,411 | 6,255 | 3,874 | 2,418 | 943 | 734 | 441 | 147 |
| 42,026 | 27,584 | 9,740 | 6,031 | 3,687 | 1,574 | 1,191 | 731 | 232 |
| 63,825 | 41,574 | 14,328 | 8,722 | 5,155 | 2,118 | 1,663 | 1,014 | 295 |
| 98,914 | 59,810 | 18,944 | 11,735 | 6,820 | 2,785 | 2,255 | 1,233 | 342 |
| 119,978 | 86,103 | 28,200 | 18,052 | 10,722 | 4,574 | 3,737 | 2,357 | 712 |
| 138,204 | 96,529 | 31,372 | 20,307 | 12,416 | 5,240 | 4,236 | 2,723 | 848 |
| 149,196 | 104,992 | 35,320 | 23,088 | 13,871 | 5,803 | 4,865 | 2,997 | I,055 |
| 147,562 | 103,108 | 34,141 | 22,079 | 13,306 | 5,485 | 4,485 | 2,802 | 918 |
| 153,746 | 107,250 | 35,416 | 22,807 | 13,823 | 5,691 | 4,611 | 2,820 | 953 |
| 136,564 | 109,484 | 35,773 | 22,648 | 13,685 | 5,546 | 4,595 | 2,793 | 957 |
| 130,288 | 103,625 | 34,007 | 21,397 | 12,929 | 5,492 | 4,520 | 2,766 | 908 |
| 125,371 | 101,086 | 33,366 | 20,864 | 12,865 | 5,336 | 4,341 | 2,670 | 897 |
| 126,976 | 103,813 | 34,407 | 21,536 | 13,114 | 5,609 | 4,531 | 2,790 | 935 |
| 121,567 | 101,053 | 33,382 | 20,581 | 12,540 | 5,257 | 4,305 | 2,587 | 845 |
| 1,505 | 1,164 | 65 |  | 135 | 65 | 60 | 29 |  |
| 1,506 | 1,168 | 408 | 243 | 150 | 63 | 61 | 25 | 5 |
| 1,541 | 1,171 | 408 | 326 | 157 | 65 | 61 | 24 | 7 |
| 1,516 | 1,144 | 411 | 267 | 152 | 71 | 50 | 27 | 5 |
| 1,610 | 1,345 | 469 | 313 | 215 | 96 | 68 | 30 | 21 |
| 1,939 | 1,593 | 691 | 401 | 323 | 123 | 150 | 76 | 35 |
| 2,742 | 2,162 | 899 | 684 | 480 | 246 | 225 | 148 | 40 |
| 3,503 | 2,711 | 1,094 | 746 | 569 | 280 | 231 | 160 | 58 |
| 4,825 | 3,647 | 1,387 | 920 | 628 | 305 | 297 | 151 | 52 |
| 6,066 | 5,001 | 2,064 | 1,425 | 899 | 444 | 387 | 289 | 94 |
| 7,497 | 6,144 | 2,453 | 1,712 | 1,170 | 547 | 474 | 351 | 121 |
| 8,075 | 6,476 | 2,667 | 1,897 | 1,205 | 554 | 457 | 339 | 126 |
| 8,323 | 6,887 | 2,772 | 2,011 | 1,276 | 595 | 495 | 346 | 124 |
| 11,474 | 8,971 | 3,545 | 2,457 | 1,647 | 742 | 621 | 436 | 133 |
| 10,074 | 8,645 | 3,343 | 2,343 | 1,543 | 655 | 582 | 388 | 139 |
| 10,063 | 8,818 | 3,389 | 2,399 | 1,598 | 766 | 613 | 434 | 140 |
| 9,739 | 8,478 | 3,288 | 2,307 | 1,594 | 702 | 603 | 432 | 129 |
| 9,743 | 8,765 | 3,266 | 2,256 | 1,607 | 708 | 600 | 419 | 148 |
| 9,427 | 8,371 | 3,261 | 2,288 | 1,514 | 697 | 560 | 374 | 156 |


state of things. In Table No. 4 is given the totals of the tax-paying families belonging to the various grades (page 54-56).

On the basis of Table No. 3 and Table No. 4, I am going to make clear the disparity between the rich and poor in Osaka. It is necessary to take due note of the fact in connection with Table No. 3 that since 1920, 60 per cent. of the dividends have been included in C-class incomes for taxation throughout the country and that the area of Osaka was extended in 1925.

## CHAPTER 4.

## THE TENDENCY OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF INCOMES IN THE CITY OF OSAKA

One method of study sometimes adopted in analysing the statistics of the distribution of incomes is to fix a certain absolute amount as the standard. For instance, an income of $¥ 1,200$ and one of $¥ 15,000$ may be taken as forming the lines of demarcation, and the earners of incomes of less than $¥ 1,200$ may be regarded as low-class people, those possessing or making between $¥ 1,200$ and $¥ 15,000$ as middleclass, and those of more than $¥ 15,000$ as upper-class people. By this means, the number of the families belonging to these different classes may be compared to show the relative size of each class. This method is, however, too artificial. Moreover, these lines of demarcation require constant alterations, owing to revisions of the tax law, a rise or a fall in the prices of commodities and other causes. I did not, therefore, adopt the method. That which I did adopt was to compare the condition of the distribution of incomes in the city of Osaka with that of the whole country so as to discover how they differ from each other relatively. By this means I have tried to detect the features of the disparity between the rich and poor in Osaka. To this end, I have analysed the table of totals, in the first instance, and worked out the Pareto line in the second.


Table No. 4.
Totals of tax-paying families belonging to the various grades.

|  | $\begin{gathered} 3,000 \\ \vdots \\ x \end{gathered}$ | 5,000-x |  | $\underset{x}{10,000}$ | $\left.\right\|_{x} ^{15,000}$ | $\left.\right\|_{x} ^{20,000}$ |  | 50,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51,539 | 26,080 |  | 95 | 2,814 | 1,236 | 655 | 274 |  |
| 52,940 | 27,137 | 11,059 | 5,933 | 3,049 | 1,353 | 714 | 291 | 86 |
| 55,440 | 28,557 | 11,660 | 6,371 | 3,267 | 1,458 | 771 | 304 | 98 |
| 52,500 | 26,877 | 11,012 | 5,946 | 3,021 | 1,345 | 708 | 280 | 90 |
| 53,147 | 27,641 | 11,329 | 6,246 | 3,222 | 1,475 | 812 | 368 | 157 |
| 64,572 | 34,456 | 15,045 | 8,790 | 4,916 | 2,498 | 1,555 | 821 | 380 |
| 93,174 | 51,148 | 23,564 | 13,824 | 7,783 | 4,106 | 2,532 | 1,341 | 610 |
| 139,120 | 75,295 | 33,721 | 19,393 | 10,671 | 5,516 | 3,398 | 1,735 | 721 |
| 203,190 | 104,276 | 44,466 | 25,522 | 13,787 | 6,967 | 4,182 | 1,927 | 694 |
| 275,321 | 155,343 | 69,240 | 41,040 | 22,988 | 12,266 | 7,692 | 3,955 | 1,598 |
| 312,922 | 174,718 | 78,189 | 46,817 | 26,510 | 14,094 | 8,854 | 4,618 | 1,895 |
| 342,459 | 193,263 | 88,271 | 52,951 | 29,863 | 15,992 | 10,189 | 5,324 | 2,327 |
| 335,031 | 187,469 | 84,361 | 50,220 | 28,141 | 14,835 | 9,350 | 4,865 | 2,063 |
| 348,298 | 194,552 | 87,302 | 51,886 | 29,079 | 15,256 | 9,565 | 4,954 | 2,134 |
| 333,176 | 196,612 | 87,128 | 51,355 | 28,707 | 15,022 | 9,476 | 4,881 | 2,088 |
| 317,115 | 186,827 | 83,202 | 49,195 | 27,798 | 14,869 | 9,377 | 4,857 | 2,091 |
| 307,933 | 182,563 | 81,476 | 48,110 | 27,246 | 14,381 | 9,045 | 4,704 | 2,034 |
| 314,873 | 187,897 | 84,084 | 49,677 | 28,141 | 15,027 | 9,418 | 4,887 | 2,097 |
| 303,182 | 181,615 | 80,562 | 47,180 | 26,599 | 14,059 | 8,802 | 4,497 | .1,910 |
| 3,624 | 2,119 |  |  | 298 | 163 | 98 | 38 |  |
| 3,634 | 2,128 | 960 | 551 | 308 | 158 | 95 | 34 | 9 |
| 3,675 | 2,134 | 963 | 555 | 319 | 162 | 97 | 36 | 12 |
| 3,649 | 2,133 | 989 | 578 | 311 | 159 | 88 | 38 | 11 |
| 4,182 | 2,572 | 1,227 | 758 | 445 | 230 | 134 | 66 | 36 |
| 5,384 | 3,445 | 1,852 | 1,161 | 760 | 437 | 314 | 164 | 88 |
| 7,724 | 4,982 | 2,820 | 1,921 | 1,237 | 757 | 511 | 286 | 138 |
| 9,456 | 5,953 | 3,242 | 2,148 | 1,402 | 833 | 553 | 322 | 162 |
| 12,281 | 7,456 | 3,809 | 2,422 | 1,502 | 874 | 569 | 272 | 121 |
| 16,813 | 10,747 | 5,746 | 3,682 | 2,257 | 1,358 | 914 | 527 | 238 |
| 20,631 | 13,134 | 6,990 | 4,537 | 2,825 | 1,655 | 1,108 | 634 | 283 |
| 21,964 | 13,889 | 7,413 | 4,746 | 2,849 | 1,644 | 1,090 | 633 | 294 |
| 22,987 | 14,664 | 7,777 | 5,005 | 2,994 | 1,718 | 1,123 | 628 | 282 |
| 30,223 | 18,749 | 9,778 | 6,233 | 3,776 | 2,129 | 1,387 | 766 | 330 |
| 27,891 | 17,817 | 9,172 | 5,829 | 3,486 | 1,943 | 1,288 | 706 | 318 |
| 28,421 | 18,358 | 9,540 | 6,151 | 3,752 | 2,154 | 1,388 | 775 | 341 |
| 27,472 | 17,733 | 9,255 | 5,967 | 3,660 | 2,066 | 1,364 | 761 | 329 |
| 27,711 | 17,968 | 9,203 | 5,937 | 3,681 | 2,074 | 1,366 | 766 | 347 |
| 26,828 | 17,401 | 9,030 | 5,769 | 3,481 | 1,967 | 1,270 | 710 | 336 |



Table No. 5.
Ratio of the figures of Osaka to those of the whole country in the totals of
families belonging to the various grades of incomes (permillage)

| The amount of income | 300-2 |  | 500-x |  |  | 1,000-2 |  |  |  |  | 5,000-x |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 300-x | $400-x$ | 500-x | 700-x |  | 1,000-x |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{5,000}{\left.\right\|_{x}}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 700-x | 800-x | $\stackrel{\text { 1,000 }}{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | $\stackrel{1,200}{l_{x}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1912 | 44 <br> 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 81 | 92 |  | 106 |
| 1913 |  |  | 5655 | 69 | 78 |  | 87 | 93 | 101 |  |  |  |  |
| 1914 |  |  | 5552 | 66 | 75 |  | 83 | 87 | 98 |  |  |  |  |
| 1915 |  |  | 55 | 69 | 79 |  | 90 | 97 | 103 |  |  |  |  |
| 1916 |  |  | 60 | 79 | 93 |  | 108 | 121 | 138 |  |  |  |  |
| 1917 |  |  | 64 | 83 | 100 |  | 123 | 132 | 155 |  |  |  |  |
| 1918 |  |  | 64 | 83 | 97 |  | 120 | 139 | 159 |  |  |  |  |
| 1919 |  |  | 52 | 68 | 79 |  | 96 | 111 | 131 |  |  |  |  |
| 1920 |  |  | 4346 | 53 | 60 |  | 72 | 86 | 95 | 109 |  |  |  |
| 1921 |  |  | 55 | 61 | 69 |  | 83 | 90 | 98 |  |  |  |  |
| 1922 |  |  | 48 | 58 | 66 |  | 75 | 89 | 97 | 107 |  |  |  |
| 1923 |  |  | 49 | 58 | 64 |  | 72 | 84 | 90 | 95 |  |  |  |
| 1924 |  |  | 53 | 62 | 69 |  | 78 | 92 | 100 | 106 |  |  |  |
| 1925 |  |  | 68 | 79 | 87 |  | 96 | 112 | 120 | 130 |  |  |  |
| 1926 |  |  | 76 | 84 | 91 |  | 105 | 114 | 121 |  |  |  |  |
| 1927 |  |  | 76 82 | 90 | 98 |  | 115 | 125 | 135 |  |  |  |  |
| 1928 |  |  | 77.82 | 89 | 97 |  | 114 | 124 | 134 |  |  |  |  |
| 1929 |  |  | 77 82 | 88 | 96 |  | 109 | 120 | 131 |  |  |  |  |
| 1930 |  |  | 77 | 82 | 88 |  | 96 | 112 | 122 | 131 |  |  |  |


| The amount of income |  | $\underset{x}{20,000}$ | $\left.\right\|_{x} ^{30,000}$ | 50,000-x |  | 100,000-x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 70,000 \\ \underbrace{}_{x} \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 100,000 \\ \vdots \\ x \end{gathered}\right.$ | 200,000--x |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200,000 1 $x$ | ${ }^{500,000}$ | I, 0 ¢ ${ }_{2}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}2,000,000 \\ 1 \\ x\end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left.\right\|^{3,000,000}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}4,000,000 \\ l^{2}\end{gathered}\right.$ |
| 1912 | 132 | 150 | 139 |  |  |  | 00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1913 | 117 | 133 | 117 | 105 | 100 |  | 83 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1914 | 111 | 126 | 118 | 122 | 122 |  | 67 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1915 | 118 | 124 | 136 | 122 | 143 |  | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1916 | 156 | 165 | 179 | 229 | 195 |  | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1917 | 175 | 202 | 200 | 232 | 227 |  | 54 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1918 | 184 | 202 | 213 | 226 | 259 | 257 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| 1919 | 151 | 163 | 186 | 225 | 244 | 272 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 1920 | 125 | 136 | 141 | 174 | 196 | 218 | 235 | 750 |  |  | 1,000 | - |
| 1921 | 111 | 119 | 133 | 149 | 163 | 178 | 212 | 167 | 0 | - | - | - |
| 1922 | 117 | 125 | 137 | 149 | 155 | 187 | 196 | 231 | 0 | 0 | - | $\cdots$ |
| 1923 | 103 | 107 | 119 | 126 | 132 | 135 | 130 | 91 | 200 | 0 | - | - |
| 1924 | 116 | 120 | 129 | 137 | 138 | 144 | 136 | 95 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| 1925 | 140 | 145 | 155 | 155 | 167 | 170 | 152 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 1926 | 129 | 136 | 145 | 152 | 158 | 165 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| 1927 | 145 | 148 | 160 | 163 | 170 | 174 | 132 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1928 | 144 | 151 | 162 | 162 | 176 | 178 | 163 | . 71 | 0 | 0 |  | - |
| 1929 | 138 | 145 | 157 | 167 | 171 | 178 | 139 | 29 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| 1930 | 140 | 144 | 158 | 176 | 169 | 162 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |

The distribution totals of the C -class incomes will be seen from Table No. 4, which shows the totals of tax-paying families belonging to the various grades. By dividing the number of families earning incomes of over each standard in the city of Osoka by the number of such families in the whole country, I have obtained Table No. 5 showing permillage. Take the case of the number of families earning more than $¥ 1,200$ in 1930 , as given in Table No. 4, for instance. As the number of such families in Osaka is 52,244 against 677,869 in the whole country, the permillage given in Table No. 5 is 77 . As regards the families with an income of over $¥ 70,000$ in the same year, their number in Osaka is 180 against 1,065 in the whole country, and consequently a permillage of 169 is given in Table No. 5 (page 57-58).

Table No. 5 shows that the ratio of the tax-paying families in Osaka to those of the whole country was 38 to 51 per mille, prior to the extension of the area of the city, and 63 to 77 per mille, after the extension of its area. As the amount of the incomes increases, the ratio of the taxpaying families in Osaka to those in the whole country rises, and when a certain limit is reached, the ratio declines. That is to say, as compared with the whole country, the families with medium incomes are most numerous in Osaka, and then come the families with big incomes and those with small incomes in order. The following table shows the five grades of tax-paying families in Osaka embodying the high ratio in permillage to those of the whole country during the past nineteen years:-

| Year | Grade taking first rank | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grade } \\ \text { taking } \\ \text { second rank } \end{gathered}$ | Grade taking third rank | Grade taking fourth rank | Grade taking fifth rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1912 | $¥ 20,000-x$ | Y $30,000-x$ | $¥ 15,000-\mathrm{s}$ | ¥ $50,000-x$ | $¥ 10,000$-r |
| 1913 | $¥ 20,000-x$ | \% $30,000-x$ | $¥ 15,000-x$ | ¥ $50,000-x$ | Y $10,000-x$ |
| 1914 | $¥ 20,000-x$ | Y $50,000-\mathrm{x}$ | $¥ 70,000-x$ | $\Psi 30,000-x$ | $\bigcirc 15,000-x$ |
| 1915 | $¥ 70,000-x$ | Y $30,000-x$ | $¥ 100,000-x$ | ¥ $20,000-x$ | ¥ $50,000-x$ |
| 1916 | $¥ 50,000-x$ | Y $100,000-x$ | 于 $70,000-x$ | $¥ 30,000-x$ | $¥ 20,000-\boldsymbol{x}$ |


| 1917 | $¥ 100,000-x$ | ¥50,000-x | 于70,000-x | $720,000-x$ | $\Psi 30,000-\pi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1918 | \% 70,000 -s | ¥ $100,000-x$ | ¥ $200,000-x$ | Y50,000-x | $30,000-x$ |
| 1919 | $\Psi 100,000-x$ | 7 200,000 | Y70,000-6 | \% $50,000-x$ | $\Psi 30,000-x$ |
| 1920 | ¥3,000,000-x | Y $2.000,000-x$ | $¥ 1,000,000-x$ | $¥ 500,000-x$ | ¥ 200,000 - $x$ |
| 1921 | $¥ 200,000-x$ | $\Psi 100,000-x$ | $\stackrel{7}{ } 7000,000-x$ | $\Psi 70,000-x$ | 7 $50,000-x$ |
| 1922 | $7500,000-x$ | $¥ 200,000-x$ | צ $100,000-x$ | $\Psi 70,000-x$ | Y50,000-x |
| 1923 | Y $1,000,000-x$ | ¥ $100,000-2$ | $770,000-x$ | F200,000-x | $x$ |
| 1924 | $7100,000-x$ | $\Psi 70,000-x$ | $\Psi 50,000-x$ | $\Psi 200,000-x$ | Y $30,000-x$ |
| 1925 | $¥ 100,000-x$ | $\Psi 70,000-x$ | $\Psi 50,000-x$ | $\Psi 30,000-x$ | $¥ 200,000-x$ |
| 1926 | $¥ 100,000-x$ | ¥70,000-x | F50,000-x | $730,000-x$ | $x$ |
| 1927 | $¥ 100,000-x$ | ¥70,000-x | $750,000-x$, | $730,000-x$ | Y20,000-w |
| 1928 | 7 $100,000-x$ | ${ }^{\text {\% }} 70,000-x$ | Y200,000-x | Y50,000-x | Y $30,000-2$ |
| 1929 | $\Psi 100,000-x$ | $770,000-x$ | ¥50,000 - | 730,000-x | \%20,000-x |
| 1930 | $\Psi 50,000-x$ | $¥ 200,000-x$ | $\Psi 70,000-x$ | $¥ 100,000-x$ | 7 $30,000-x$ |

As will be seen from the above table, the highest ratio was at first recorded in respect of the families with incomes of between $¥ 10,000$ and $¥ 50,000$, but during the European war those with incomes of $¥ 20,000$ to $¥ 100,000$ became predominant, while towards the end of the war, the gravity shifted to the families with incomes of between $¥ 30,000$ and $¥ 200,000$. After the war, the families with incomes of over $¥ 200,000$ showed the highest ratio at one time, but there was subsequently a gradual levelling down, until the highest ratio is now shown in the families with incomes of from $¥ 20,000$ to $¥ 100,000$. One prominent feature of Osaka which was maintained during the last nineteen years is that the families with medium incomes have invariably stood at the head of the list.

The following table shows the comparison of the figures of Osaka with those of the whole country according to the Pareto line of the distribution of incomes:-

|  | $\log N=\log A+\alpha \log x$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\log A$ |  | $\alpha$ |  |
|  | The whole country | Osaka | The whole country | Osaka |
| 1912 | 10.9459381 | 9.0581420 | 1.9132 | 1.6935 |
| 1913 | 10.9386192 | 9.1872344 | 1,9026 | 1.7295 |
| 1914. | 10.9169886 | 9.1085535 | 1.8890 | 1.7031 |
| 1915 | 10.9009213 | 8.9965652 | 1.8908 | 1.6686 |
| 1916 | 10.5440197 | 8.4566736 | 1.7773 | 1.4792 |
| 1917 | 10.0022037 | 7.8592154 | 1.5785 | 1.2552 |
| 1918 | 10,2661961 | 7.9707298 | 1.5959 | 1.2357 |
| 1919 | 10.4520877 | 8.1336948 | 1.6117 | 1.2615 |
| 1920 | 11.4655369 | 8.9228733 | 1.8481 | 1.4545 |
| 1921 | 11.2265396 | 8.8056599 | 1.7388 | 1.3829 |
| 1022 | 11.3467462 | 8.9852186 | 1.7495 | 1.4099 |
| 1923 | 11.2836309 | 9.3619772 | 1.7230 | 1.5068 |
| 1924 | 11.3925494 | 9.2500270 | 1.7585 | 1.4726 |
| 1925 | 11.2565581 | 9.4130511 | 1.7141 | 1.4870 |
| 1926 | 11.3002069 | 9.2625479 | 1.7236 | 1.4459 |
| 1927 | 11.1329770 | 9.7182603 | 1.6813 | 1.5650 |
| 1928 | 11.0684800 | 9.5299860 | 1.6700 | 1.5168 |
| 1929 | 11.0620702 | 9.6574257 | 1.6649 | 1.5517 |
| 1930 | 11.0296850 | 9.1934241 | 1.6619 | 1.4294 |

The method of measuring the disparity between the rich and poor by means of the Pareto line was first introduced in this country by Dr. Takada. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ In the scientific world in Europe, this method is often used. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ (he practical value of the Pareto line to the present study consists in the fact that by the use of the $a$ the relative tendency of the disparity between the rich and poor in the city of Osaka and in the whole country can be made clear. The table of totals shows
6) op., cit.,
7) Moore, The Statistical Complement of Pure Economics, p. 26-27. (The Quarterly Journal of Economics 1909).

Shiomi, Die Entwicklung des Volkseinkommens in Japan in den Jahren 1903-1919. (Archiv. für Sozialwissenschaft und Sozialpolitik Band 53, Heft 1.)
that the $\%$ of Osaka is always smaller than that of the whole country. In other words, Osaka has comparatively many families with medium incomes and comparatively few families with small incomes. The difference existing between the a of the whole country and that of Osaka is not always the

same. For instance, the Pareto line for the whole country and for the city of Osaka in 1912 and in 1930 is shown in the foregoing diagram (page 62):-

## CHAPTER 5. <br> DISPARITY BETWEEN THE RICH AND POOR IN BIG CITIES

In the foregoing Chapters, I have described the results of inquiries made into the statistics of the distribution of C-class tax-paying families in Osaka, with comparison with those of the whole country, with the object of making clear the disparity between the rich and poor in the big cities. Through these inquiries, I have discovered prominent features of the disparity between the rich and poor in the big cities.

I must mention in this connection that the statistical materials that I have made use of are the statistics of the C-class income tax in Osaka, and this fact requires due attention to be given to the following points:-

1. Is it proper to take the city of Osaka as typical of the big cities? Up to 1924, the city of Osaka was confined to its old area, but in 1925, it annexed the neighbouring towns and villages to form the present Great Osaka. This extension of the area of the city must have affected the condition of the disparity between the rich and poor, and from this point of view, the choice of Osaka as the object of study may appear to lack pertinence. But it must be noted, on the other hand, that while Tokyo is a political city, Osaka is an economic city, pure and simple. Viewed from this standpoint, the choice of Osaka for the purpose of studying the disparity between the rich and poor in big cities may well be regarded as altogether apropos.
2. Again, the fact that the C-class income was taken as the materials for measuring the disparity between the rich and poor renders it necessary to take the following points into due consideration :-
(a) As the materials used are tax statistics, they do not include items which are either properly or improperly excluded from the scope of such statistics. For instance, the incomes on which taxation was illegally evaded are excluded, nor do the statistics include the incomes of less than the minimum taxable point or the interest on national bonds which is legally exempted from the income-tax.
(b) The incomes, which, though taxed as A-class or B-class incomes, are not taxed as C-class incomes, are also excluded from the statistics. The profits of juridical persons on which no dividend was declared, the interest on local loans, and the interest on fixed bank deposits are left out of consideration in the present study of the disparity between the rich and poor.
(c) Even in regard to C -class incomes, where only part of the incomes is taxed under the tax law, that part only is taken into account in the present study. Under the tax law in force, 40 per cent. of the dividends declared by juridical persons is excluded from the C -class incomes for taxation, while 20 or 10 per cent. is deducted from smaller incomes from services for assessment. The life insurance premium is also deducted to the amount of $¥ 200$, and a deduction of $¥ 100$ per head of dependants is made from smaller incomes.

When these points are taken into due consideration, it will be seen that the mere statistics of the distribution of C-class incomes in the city of Osaka do not necessarily furnish an accurate criterion by which to measure the disparity between the rich and poor in big cities, but in the absence of any other suitable statistical materials, they, no doubt, commend themselves as the best available.

It is very important for anybody who handles an economic problem of any kind to form an accurate idea of the disparity between the rich and poor in this country. Especially is it necessary to gain a good knowledge of the state of the disparity between them in big cities, which take the lead in modern social life. In spite of its great importance,
the matter has hitherto been almost entirely neglected. In the present article, I put this important problem before the scientific world of statisticians in hopes of receiving valuable suggestions from those interested in the study.

In conclusion, I wish to record my gratitude to Mr. Chotaro Takeda, of the Kyoto University Hall, who gave me much help in the present study.

Saburo Shomi


[^0]:    2) The 54th Statistical Annual of the Bureau of Taxation. p. 4.
    3) On the increase of the national income and its distribution in Japan, according to the statistics of the income tax.
    4) On the Pareto' line of the distribution of national income.
    5) Distribution of national income in Japan.
