TITLE:

Remarkable spatial memory in a migratory cardinalfish.

AUTHOR(S):

Fukumori, Kayoko; Okuda, Noboru; Yamaoka, Kosaku; Yanagisawa, Yasunobu

CITATION:

Fukumori, Kayoko ...[et al]. Remarkable spatial memory in a migratory cardinalfish.. Animal cognition 2010, 13(2): 385-389

ISSUE DATE:

2010-03

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/128950>

RIGHT:

The original publication is available at www.springerlink.com; This is not the published version. Please cite only the published version.; この論文は出版社版ではありません。引用の際には出版社版をご確認ご利用ください。

Remarkable spatial memory in a migratory cardinalfish

Kayoko Fukumori¹, Noboru Okuda¹, Kosaku Yamaoka² and Yasunobu Yanagisawa³

Affiliation:

¹Center for Ecological Research, Kyoto University, 509-3, 2-chome, Hirano, Otsu,

Shiga 520-2113, Japan ²Graduate School of Kuroshio Science, Kochi University,

200 Mononobe, Nangoku 783-8502, Japan ³Faculty of Science, Ehime University,

2-5 Bunkyo-cho, Matsuyama 790-8577, Japan

*Corresponding author: Kayoko Fukumori

Center for Ecological Research, Kyoto University, 509-3, 2-chome, Hirano, Otsu, Shiga

520-2113, Japan

E-mail: fuku@ecology.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Phone: +81-77-5498215 Fax: +81-77-5498201

Keywords: spatial memory, migration, homing behaviour, breeding territory, orientation

cue

Short title: Spatial memory of cardinalfish

Summary

The ability to orient and navigate within a certain environment is essential for all animals, and spatial memory enables animals to remember the locations of such markers as predators, home, and food. Here we report that the migratory marine cardinalfish *Apogon notatus* has the potential to retain long-term spatial memory comparable to that of other animals. Female *A. notatus* establish a small territory on a shallow boulder bottom to pair and spawn with males. We carried out field research in two consecutive breeding seasons on territory settlement by individually marked females. Females maintained a territory at the same site throughout one breeding season. After overwintering in deep water, many of them (82.1%) returned to their breeding ground next spring and most occupied the same site as in the previous season, with only a 0.56 m shift on average. Our results suggest that female *A. notatus* have long-distance homing ability to pinpoint the exact location of their previous territory, and retain spatial memory for as long as 6 months.

Introduction

Spatial learning and memory are essential properties for animals to forage, reproduce, avoid predators, and migrate. Studies suggest that fish are capable of spatial learning and can use information in various different environments (Odling-Smee et al. 2006). In fish, spatial memory can enhance foraging rate (Hughes and Blight 1999), territory defense (Lamanna and Eason 2003), and predator avoidance (Markel 1994). In mammals and birds, the hippocampus plays a crucial role in spatial memory (Healy et al. 2005). Fish also possess a brain structure (telencephalon) that is functionally equivalent to the hippocampus (Salas et al. 1996). Some fish species have the ability to integrate geometric and non-geometric information to orient themselves (redtail splitfin *Xenotoca eiseni*: Sovrano et al. 2002, 2005, 2007; Sovrano and Bisazza 2003; goldfish *Carassius auratus*: Vargas et al. 2004; see reviews by Chiandetti and Vallortigara 2008).

It has been reported that fish use a variety of cues for orientation and navigation. For example, coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*), using an olfactory cue, can return to their natal stream 18 months after migration to sea (Cooper and Hasler 1974). Nishi and Kawamura (2005) suggested that the Japanese eel *Anguilla japonica* could use geomagnetic field as their directional guide for long-distance migration. Sticklebacks can associate visual cues with the status of potential food sources and use memorized information to guide foraging behaviour (Hughes and Blight 2000). Furthermore, juvenile Atlantic salmon (parr), *Salmo salar*, can use a coloured visual landmark as a local cue (Braithwaite et al. 1996) and goldfish, *Carassius auratus*, can learn a simple visual discrimination (landmark versus no landmark) to find a hidden food reward efficiently (Warburton 1990).

Apogon notatus (Pisces: Apogonidae) is a marine gregarious cardinalfish inhabiting the coastal waters of the northwestern Pacific. Female *A. notatus* start establishing their territories on a boulder bottom more than two months prior to the breeding season, and maintain their territories throughout the breeding season (Okuda 1999) (see Figure 1). Females invite males shoaling above the boulder bottom to their territories to live in pairs for several weeks to months until spawning. After receiving a spawned egg mass in their buccal cavities, males leave the territories to mouthbrood in shoals. Female territorial behaviour is directed nearly exclusively toward potential egg predators (shoaling conspecifics) rather than toward mating competitors (Fukumori et al. 2009), suggesting that the primary function of the female territory is to avoid predation of the egg mass at the moment of spawning. After having several breeding cycles with different males, females abandon their territories in autumn to join large shoals in the water column (Okuda 1999). Thereafter, both males and females migrate to deep water to spend a couple of winter months there (Fukumori et al. 2008).

In the present study, we examined the homing ability of female *A. notatus* from their deep-water habitat to their neritic breeding habitat, by focusing on the positional shifts of territories occupied in two consecutive breeding seasons.

Methods

We conducted a field survey at Morode Beach, Shikoku Island, Japan, with the aid of SCUBA. We set a quadrat measuring 10 × 20 m on the boulder area at a depth of 3.6-8.5 m and censused *A. notatus* there four or five times per month from April 2000 to

March 2001 (but only once in June 2000). In each census, we counted the number of *A. notatus*, discriminating between territorial females and other fishes based on their positions and behaviour.

To assess how accurately females return to their territories over consecutive breeding seasons, we conducted a follow-up survey of individually marked females from 1999 to 2000. At the beginning of the breeding season in 1999, we caught 139 females in and around the quadrat using seine and hand nets, and marked them with visible implant elastomer (VIE) tags (see Okuda 1999 for details and ethical notes). After marking, we released them at their capture sites.

We plotted the locations of marked territorial females on the quadrat map in 15 weekly censuses conducted from June to October, 1999. To estimate territory size, we measured the area of a minimum convex polygon covering all locations plotted for each female whose locations were plotted at least three times. We also converted these locations into x and y coordinates and averaged the values of each coordinate to determine the centroid of the territory. In the following breeding season, we conducted 21 censuses for marked females found in the quadrat to determine the centroid of each territory again. We used the distance between the two centroids as an index of their homing accuracy.

Results

Breeding behaviour

Female *A. notatus* started to establish their territories in March, and the number of territorial females increased until May when the earliest spawning was observed (Figure 2). Thereafter, the number of territorial females was relatively constant until August but declined drastically in September, the final month of the breeding season. After the last spawning, females abandoned their territories to join shoals consisting of both sexes in the water column. Shoals were near the breeding ground from September to November. However, in December when the water temperature drastically decreased (Fukumori et al. 2008), most of *A. notatus* disappeared from the breeding ground (Figure 2).

Homing Behaviour

Of 139 marked females, 118 established territories and their locations were repeatedly plotted on the quadrat map during the 1999 breeding season. Their breeding territories were $0.27 \pm 0.38\text{SE m}^2$ ($N = 118$). Of 117 marked females found at the last census of 1999, 75 (64.1%) were found again in and around the same quadrat in the following breeding season. Most of them (82.1%) occupied the same site as in the previous season, with only a $0.57 \pm 0.06\text{SE m}$ shift (Figure 3). This means that most fish returned to 20-30 cm of their previous breeding territory. The longest shift observed was 3.0 m.

Discussion

Spatial memory ability has been reported in a variety of animal species. Some studies have suggested that memory capacity is determined by particular ecological conditions and life history demands (Mackney and Hughes 1995; Clayton 1998; Healy

et al. 2005; Odling-Smee et al. 2006). Grey squirrels can remember the precise location of their food storage using a visual cue, and their spatial memory lasts for 62 days at most (Macdonald 1997). The nutcrackers are able to accurately relocate the caches they had made using visual cues and memory persists for 9-11 months (Balda and Kamil 1998; Gibson and Kamil 2009). In fish, spatial memory duration usually ranges from 8 to 330 days (Aronson 1971; Milinski 1994; Brown 2001). Lindauer (1963) reported that bees remember the color of a feeding place over several months. Furthermore, several species of wood ants (genus *Formica*) have been shown to exhibit high degrees of site or route fidelity based mainly on visual memories of environmental landmarks (Rosengren 1971; Rosengren and Fortelius 1986).

Homing behaviour has been observed in some cardinalfishes. The Banggai cardinalfish, *Pterapogon kauderni*, have the ability to home 40 m away from the original location of their group within 24 h of experimental translocation (Kolm et al. 2005). In three Australian cardinalfishes, *Apogon doederleini*, *Cheilodipterus artus*, and *Cheilodipterus quinquelineatus*, adult fish were able to return to their reefs within 3 days after being experimentally moved 2 km away (Marnane 2000). An isotopic study revealed that *A. notatus* overwinter in a deep-water habitat more than 600 m away from their breeding ground (Fukumori et al. 2008). This means that *A. notatus* also have long-distance homing ability.

Fish use several cues for orientation during migration: e.g., olfactory cue (coho salmon *O. kisutch*: Nevitt et al. 1994; five-lined cardinalfish *C. quinquelineatus*: Døving et al. 2006), the earth's magnetic field (blue shark *Prionace glauca*; stingray *Urolophus halleri*: Kalmijn 2000), and polarized light stimulus (juvenile rainbow trout

Oncorhynchus mykiss: Parkyn et al. 2003). A magnetic cue is useful for long-distance cruising during ocean migration, while olfactory and visual cues provide migrators spatial information on local environments. It is well known that salmonids use the earth's magnetic field as an orientation cue during ocean migration, while they also use olfactory and visual cues when approaching their natal stream and breeding ground (Atlantic salmon *S. salar*: Hansen et al. 1993). In the Australian cardinalfish, *C. quinquelineatus*, individuals can discriminate between conspecifics from their own reef and those from other reefs by scent, suggesting that their homing behaviour is based on an olfactory cue (Døving et al. 2006). Fukumori et al. (2009) indicated that female *A. notatus* establish their territories on the basis of the physical characteristics of the breeding ground, such as boulder size and structural complexity. Female *A. notatus* seem to use visual cues for pinpoint homing, based on the memory of detailed spatial structure around their territories, although they may use magnetic and/or olfactory cues to navigate in open water.

Recently, it has been reported that the medial and lateral pallia of teleost fish have functions analogous to the hippocampal pallium and pallial amygdala of mammals (Broglia et al. 2005). Some fish may have the potential to retain long-term spatial memory, as suggested in the present study.

In conclusion, in *A. notatus*, the period during which females are away from their territories is approximately 6 months: 3 months of shoaling after territory abandonment and 3 months in deep-water habitat in winter. Such long-term spatial memory is **high** among hitherto reported fish. In addition, females possess the ability to pinpoint the exact location of their previous territory. Future work will address the mechanistic basis

for this kind of spatial memory.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Sosuke Oguri for providing a photograph of *A. notatus* habitat. This study was financially supported by a Grant for Biodiversity Research of the 21st Century COE (A14).

References

- Aronson LR (1971) Further studies on orientation and jumping behavior in the gobbied fish, *Bathygobius soporator*. Ann N Y Acad Sci 188:378-392.
doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.1971.tb13110.x
- Balda RP, Kamil AC (1998) The ecology and evolution of spatial of spatial memory in corvids of the southwestern USA: the perplexing pinyon jay. In: Balda RP, Pepperberg, IM, Kamil AC. (Eds.), Animal Cognition in Nature. Academic Press, London, UK, pp. 29–64
- Braithwaite VA, Armstrong JD, McAdam HM, Huntingford FA (1996) Can juvenile Atlantic salmon use multiple cue systems in spatial learning? Anim Behav 51:1409-1415. doi:10.1006/anbe.1996.0144
- Broglio C, Gómez A, Durán E, Ocaña FM, Jiménez-Moya F, Rodríguez F, Salas C (2005) Hallmarks of a common forebrain vertebrate plan: Specialized pallial

- 211 areas for spatial, temporal and emotional memory in actinopterygian fish. *Brain*
212 *Res Bull* 57:499-503. doi:10.1016/j.brainresbull.2005.03.021
213
- 214 Brown C (2001) Familiarity with the test environment improves escape responses in the
215 crimson spotted rainbowfish, *Melanotaenia duboulayi*. *Anim Cogn* 4:109-113.
216 doi:10.1007/s100710100105
217
- 218 Chiandetti C, Vallortigara G (2008) Spatial reorientation in large and small enclosures:
219 comparative and developmental perspectives. *Cogn Process* 9:229-238.
220 doi:10.1007/s10339-008-0202-6
221
- 222 Clayton NS (1998) Memory and the hippocampus in food-storing birds: a comparative
223 approach. *Neuropharmacology* 37:441-452.
224 doi:10.1016/S0028-3908(98)00037-9
225
- 226 Cooper JC, Hasler AD (1974) Electroencephalographic evidence for retention of
227 olfactory cues in homing coho salmon. *Science* 25:336-338.
228 doi:10.1126/science.183.4122.336
229
- 230 Døving KB, Stabell OB, Östlund-Nilsson S, Fisher R (2006) Site fidelity and homing in
231 tropical coral reef cardinalfish: are they using olfactory cues? *Chem Senses*
232 31:265-272. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8649.2000.tb02234.x
233
- 234 Fukumori K, Okuda N, Hamaoka H, Fukumoto T, Takahashi D, Omori K (2008) Stable
235 isotopes reveal life history polymorphism in the coastal fish, *Apogon notatus*.

- 236 Mar Ecol Prog Ser 362:279-289. doi:10.3354/meps07397
- 237
- 238 Fukumori K, Okuda N, Yanagisawa Y (2009) Female territoriality in a paternal
- 239 mouthbrooding cardinalfish to avoid predation against spawned eggs. Can J
- 240 Zool 87:508-514. doi: 10.1139-Z09-037
- 241
- 242 Gibson B, Kamil A (2009) The synthetic approach to the study of spatial memory: Have
- 243 we properly addressed Tinbergen's "four questions"? Behav Proc 80:278-287.
- 244 doi:10.1016/j.beproc.2008.11.013
- 245
- 246 Hansen LP, Jonsson N, Jonsson B (1993) Oceanic migration in homing Atlantic salmon.
- 247 Anim Behav 45:927-941. doi:10.1006/anbe.1993.1112
- 248
- 249 Healy SD, De Kort SR, Clayton NS (2005) The hippocampus, spatial memory and food
- 250 hoarding: a puzzle revisited. Trends Ecol Evol 20:17-22.
- 251 doi:10.1016/j.tree.2004.10.006
- 252
- 253 Hughes RN, Blight CM (1999) Algorithmic behaviour and spatial memory are used by
- 254 two intertidal fish species to solve the radial maze. Anim Behav 58:601-613.
- 255 doi:10.1006/anbe.1999.1193
- 256
- 257 Hughes RN, Blight CM (2000) Two intertidal fish species use visual association
- 258 learning to track the status of food patches in a radial maze. Anim Behav
- 259 59:613-621. doi:10.1006/anbe.1999.1351
- 260

- 261 Kalmijn AJ (2000) Detection and processing of electromagnetic and near-eld acoustic
262 signals in elasmobranch fishes. *Phil Trans R Soc Lond B* 355:1135-1141. doi:
263 10.1098/rstb.2000.0654
264
- 265 Kolm N, Hoffman EA, Olsson J, Berglund A, Jones AG (2005) Group stability and
266 homing behavior but no kin group structures in a coral reef fish. *Behav Ecol*
267 16:521-527. doi:10.1093/beheco/ari022
268
- 269 Lamanna JR, Eason PK (2003) Effects of landmarks on territorial establishment. *Anim*
270 *Behav* 65:471-478. doi:10.1006/anbe.2003.2095
271
- 272 Lindauer M (1963) Allgemeine Sinnesphysiologie. Orientierung im Raum. *Fortschr*
273 *Zool* 16:58-140
274
- 275 Macdonald IMV (1997) Field experiments on duration and precision of grey and red
276 squirrel spatial memory. *Anim Behav* 54:879-891. doi:10.1006/anbe.1996.0528
277
- 278 Mackney PA, Hughes RN (1995) Foraging behaviour and memory window in
279 sticklebacks. *Behaviour* 132:1241-1253. doi:10.1163/156853995X00559
280
- 281 Markel RW (1994) An adaptive value of spatial learning and memory in the blackeye
282 goby, *Coryphopterus nicholsi*. *Anim Behav* 47:1462-1464.
283 doi:10.1006/anbe.1994.1194
284
- 285 Marnane MJ (2000) Site fidelity and homing behaviour in coral reef cardinalfishes. *J*

- 286 Fish Biol 57:1590-1600. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8649.2000.tb02234.x
- 287
- 288 Milinski M (1994) Long-term memory for food patches and implications for ideal free
- 289 distributions in sticklebacks. Ecology 75:1150-1156
- 290
- 291 Nevitt GA, Dittman AH, Quinn TP, Moody WJJ (1994) Evidence for a peripheral
- 292 olfactory memory in imprinted salmon. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 91:4288-4292
- 293
- 294 Nishi T, Kawamura G (2005) *Anguilla japonica* is already magnetosensitive at the glass
- 295 eel phase. J Fish Biol 67:1213-1224. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8649.2005.00817.x
- 296
- 297 Odling-Smee L, Simpson S, Braithwaite VA (2006) The role of learning in fish
- 298 orientation. In: Brown C, Laland K, Krause J. (Eds.), Fish Cognition and
- 299 Behavior. Blackwell Publishing, West Sussex, England, pp 119-138
- 300
- 301 Okuda N (1999) Sex roles are not always reversed when the potential reproductive rate
- 302 is higher in females. Am Nat 153:540-548. doi:10.1086/303196
- 303
- 304 Parkyn DC, Austin JD, Hawryshyn CW (2003) Acquisition of polarized-light
- 305 orientation in salmonids under laboratory conditions. Anim Behav 65:893-904.
- 306 doi:10.1006/anbe.2003.2136
- 307
- 308 Rosengren, R. (1971) Route fidelity, visual memory and recruitment behaviour in
- 309 foraging wood ants of genus *Formica* (Hymenopterus, Formicidae). Acta Zool
- 310 Fenn 133:1-106.

- 311
- 312 Rosengren R, Fortelius W (1986) Ortstreue in foraging ants of the *Formica rufa* group –
- 313 Hierarchy of orienting cues and long-term memory. *Insect Soc* 33:306-334.
- 314
- 315 Salas C, Broglio C, Rodríguez F, López JC, Portavella M, Torres B (1996)
- 316 Telencephalic ablation in goldfish impairs performance in a 'spatial constancy'
- 317 problem but not in a cued one. *Behav Brain Res* 79:193-200.
- 318 doi:10.1016/0166-4328(96)00014-9
- 319
- 320 Sovrano VA, Bisazza A, Vallortigara G (2002) Modularity and spatial reorientation in a
- 321 simple mind: encoding of geometric and non-geometric properties of a spatial
- 322 environment by fish. *Cognition* 85:B51–B59.
- 323 doi:10.1016/S0010-0277(02)00110-5
- 324
- 325 Sovrano VA, Bisazza A (2003) Modularity as a fish views it: conjoining geometric and
- 326 nongeometric information for spatial reorientation. *J Exp Psychol: Anim Behav*
- 327 *Process* 29:199–210. doi:10.1037/0097-7403.29.3.199
- 328
- 329 Sovrano VA, Bisazza A, Vallortigara G (2005) Animals' use of land-marks and metric
- 330 information to reorient: effects of the size of the experimental space. *Cognition*
- 331 97:121–133. doi:10.1016/j.cognition.2004.08.003
- 332
- 333 Sovrano VA, Bisazza A, Vallortigara G (2007) How fish do geometry in large and in
- 334 small spaces. *Anim Cogn* 10:47–54. doi:10.1007/s10071-006-0029-4
- 335

Vargas JP, Lopez JC, Salas C, Thinus-Blanc C (2004) Encoding of geometric and featural spatial information by goldfish (*Carassius auratus*). *J Comp Psychol* 118:206–216

Warburton K (1990) The use of local landmarks by foraging goldfish. *Anim Behav* 40:500-505. doi:10.1016/S0003-3472(05)80530-5

Figure legends

Fig. 1 Shallow boulder habitat of *A. notatus* at Morode Beach, Japan. There are three pairs (black arrows) in the photograph (Photo by S. Oguri). See text for details.

Fig. 2 Monthly changes in the total number of *A. notatus* (grey bars) and the number of territorial females (closed circles) in the study quadrat. Black, horizontal hatched, and dotted bars indicate periods of female territory settlement, shoaling, and winter migration, respectively.

Fig. 3 Frequency distribution of distance (m) between centroids of territories settled by each marked female in two consecutive breeding seasons.

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374 Fukumori K. et al. Fig. 1

Fukumori K. et al. Fig. 2

Fukumori K. et al. Fig. 3