TITLE:

Doping dependence of spin fluctuations and electron correlations in iron pnictides

AUTHOR(S):

Ikeda, Hiroaki; Arita, Ryotaro; Kune, Jan

CITATION:

Ikeda, Hiroaki ...[et al]. Doping dependence of spin fluctuations and electron correlations in iron pnictides. Physical Review B 2010, 82(2): 024508.

ISSUE DATE:

2010-07

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/131798>

RIGHT:

© 2010 by The American Physical Society

Doping dependence of spin fluctuations and electron correlations in iron pnictides

Hiroaki Ikeda,^{1,2,*} Ryotaro Arita,^{2,3,4} and Jan Kuneš⁵

¹*Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

²*TRIP, JST, Sanbancho, Chiyoda, Tokyo 102-0075, Japan*

³*Department of Applied Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan*

⁴*CREST, JST, Hongo, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan*

⁵*Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Cukrovarnická 10, 162 53 Praha 6, Czech Republic*

(Received 23 February 2010; revised manuscript received 24 June 2010; published 14 July 2010)

Doping dependence of the spin fluctuations and the electron correlations in the effective five-band Hubbard model for iron pnictides is investigated using the fluctuation-exchange approximation. For a moderate hole doping, we find a dominant low-energy spin excitation at $\mathbf{Q}=(\pi,0)$, which becomes critical at low temperature. The low-energy spin excitations in the heavily hole-doped region are characterized by weak $\mathbf{Q}$ dependence. The electron doping leads to an appearance of a pseudogap in spin-excitation spectrum. Correspondingly, the NMR- $1/T_1$ relaxation rate is strongly enhanced on the hole-doped side and suppressed on the electron-doped side of the phase diagram. This behavior can be to large extent understood by systematic changes of the Fermi-surface topology.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.82.024508](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.024508)

PACS number(s): 74.20.-z, 74.25.Jb, 74.70.Xa, 76.60.-k

I. INTRODUCTION

The recent discovery of iron-pnictide superconductors with high transition temperatures (T_c),^{1,2} some over 50 K,³ has provoked an intense research. The appearance of high- T_c superconductivity in a close proximity to the antiferromagnetic (AF) phase, induced by a carrier doping and an applied pressure, reminds of the cuprates and the heavy-fermion superconductors. The sign-reversing $s_{\pm}$ -wave state with the pairing glue of AF fluctuations has been suggested as an explanation of the observed superconductivity.^{4–11} The NMR (Refs. 12–22) and the neutron-scattering experiments^{23–27} revealed in detail the evolution of magnetic excitations with the carrier doping, in particular, the presence of the strong AF fluctuations in the hole-doped systems and opening of a (pseudo)gap for the electron doping. The uniform susceptibility has shown puzzling linear increase over a broad temperature range, which does not match with the two common limits, T independent for weakly interacting electrons and $1/T$ for local moments. The effect of electron correlations has been observed and quantified by means of the angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy,²⁸ the optical spectroscopy,²⁹ and de Haas-van Alphen (dHvA) experiments.^{30,31} The accumulated knowledge suggests that understanding the changes in spin dynamics and electronic correlations with the carrier doping provides the key to the superconducting-pairing mechanism.

Previously, one of us (H.I.) studied the doping and temperature dependence of the single-particle spectra and the NMR $1/T_1$, applying the fluctuation-exchange (FLEX) approximation to an effective five-band Hubbard model, obtained for the band structure of LaFeAsO.⁷ This early study succeeded in predicting the enhancement of the AF spin fluctuations on the hole-doped side but also revealed a problem with double counting the interaction effects in the multiband systems. Straightforward addition of the FLEX self-energy leads to redistribution of orbital occupancies from their local-density approximation (LDA) values accompanied by

drastic changes in the Fermi surface (FS) and the spin fluctuations in contrast to experimental observations. In the preceding papers,^{32,33} we have investigated this point in detail and proposed a way around, subtraction of a static part of the self-energy, together with physical argument supporting this *ad hoc* procedure. With this modification, we now can apply the FLEX approximation over wide ranges of carrier doping and temperature.

Here we employ the modified FLEX scheme³³ to study the effect of varying electron concentration on spin fluctuations in the five-band model of iron pnictides and compare it with the experimentally observed trends across the pnictide series. In order to single out the effect of carrier doping we do not construct precise tight-binding models for each individual compound but use the parameters obtained for LaFeAsO.³⁴ The calculations capture the common features in the series of iron-pnictide superconductors derived from LaFeAsO and BaFe₂As₂.

II. MODEL AND COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

We start with a five-band Hubbard model in the unfolded two-dimensional Brillouin zone (BZ). The kinetic term

$$H^0 = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\ell m\sigma} h_{\ell m}^{\mathbf{k}} c_{\ell\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}m\sigma} \quad (1)$$

comes from the tight-binding model in LaFeAsO and the hopping integrals appear in Table II of Ref. 33. Only the on-site Coulomb interaction is considered with the common parametrization: the intraorbital Coulomb U , the interorbital Coulomb U' , the Hund coupling J , and the pair-hopping J' . In the FLEX approximation, the normal Green's function $\mathcal{G}_{\ell m}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)$ for orbitals ℓ and m are self-consistently determined from the following equations:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\ell m}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{G}_{\ell m}^0(\mathbf{k}) + \sum_{\ell' m'} \mathcal{G}_{\ell \ell'}^0(\mathbf{k}) \Sigma_{\ell' m'}(\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{G}_{m' m}(\mathbf{k}), \quad (2a)$$

$$\Sigma_{\ell m}(k) = \sum_q \sum_{\ell' m'} V_{\ell \ell', mm'}(q) \mathcal{G}_{\ell' m'}(k-q), \quad (2b)$$

$$V_{\ell \ell', mm'}(q) = \left[\hat{U}_{\uparrow\downarrow} - 2\hat{U}_{\uparrow\uparrow} - \hat{U}_{\uparrow\downarrow} \hat{\chi}^0(q) \hat{U}_{\uparrow\downarrow} + \frac{3}{2} \hat{U}^s \hat{\chi}^s(q) \hat{U}^s + \frac{1}{2} \hat{U}^c \hat{\chi}^c(q) \hat{U}^c \right]_{\ell \ell', mm'}. \quad (2c)$$

Here the bare vertices $\hat{U}^{s,c} = \hat{U}_{\uparrow\downarrow} \mp \hat{U}_{\uparrow\uparrow}$ with $(\hat{U}_{\uparrow\downarrow})_{\ell\ell, \ell\ell} = U$, $(\hat{U}_{\uparrow\downarrow})_{\ell\ell, mm} = U'$, $(\hat{U}_{\uparrow\downarrow})_{\ell m, \ell m} = J$, $(\hat{U}_{\uparrow\downarrow})_{\ell m, m\ell} = J'$, $(\hat{U}_{\uparrow\downarrow})_{\ell\ell, mm} = U' - J$, $(\hat{U}_{\uparrow\downarrow})_{\ell m, \ell m} = J - U'$ for $\ell \neq m$, and the susceptibilities in the spin sector and the charge sector are given by

$$\hat{\chi}^s(q) = \hat{\chi}^0(q) + \hat{\chi}^0(q) \hat{U}^s \hat{\chi}^s(q), \quad (3a)$$

$$\hat{\chi}^c(q) = \hat{\chi}^0(q) - \hat{\chi}^0(q) \hat{U}^c \hat{\chi}^c(q), \quad (3b)$$

and

$$[\hat{\chi}^0(q)]_{\ell \ell', mm'} = - \sum_k \mathcal{G}_{\ell m}(k+q) \mathcal{G}_{m' \ell'}(k). \quad (4)$$

With the straightforward application of FLEX, we encounter the double-counting problem mentioned in Sec. I. Therefore, as in Ref. 33, we subtract the $\omega=0$ part of the self-energy in order to eliminate the unwanted redistribution of the charge between orbitals. In Ref. 33 we argued that this mimics the effect of the Hartree part of the electron-electron interaction, which does not appear in our low-energy effective model. The correction term $\Sigma_{\ell m}^R(\mathbf{k}, \omega=0)$ was calculated at $T=23$ K (Ref. 35) and used unchanged also at higher temperatures so that the low-temperature Fermi surface matches the LDA one but at higher temperature small modifications of the Fermi surface are allowed.³⁶ Once the correction term is fixed, this procedure equals the standard FLEX approximation for a Hamiltonian with the kinetic part, $\mathcal{H}_{\ell m}^k = h_{\ell m}^k - \Sigma_{\ell m}^R(\mathbf{k}, 0)$ instead of $h_{\ell m}^k$.

Through this paper, we take 64×64 meshes in the unfolded BZ and 1024 Matsubara frequencies, and $U=1.20$ eV and $J=0.25$ eV as the interactions with $U=U'+2J$ and $J'=J$. The retarded quantities $\mathcal{G}_{\ell m}^R(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$, $\Sigma_{\ell m}^R(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$, and $\chi_s^R(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ are obtained by the numerical analytic continuation with use of the Padé approximation.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Single-particle spectra and Fermi surface

The FSs formed by the renormalized bands for fillings³⁷ corresponding to heavy, $n=5.52$, and moderate, $n=5.92$, hole doping and heavy electron doping, $n=6.16$ are shown in Fig. 1. The broadening comes from the imaginary part of the self-energy, arising mainly from the magnetic scattering. The $n=5.92$ FS consists of hole sheets around the Γ and the Γ' points and an electron sheet centered at the M point nested with the well-known vectors $\mathbf{Q}=(\pi, 0)$ or $(0, \pi)$. Electron doping leads, as expected, to shrinking of the $\Gamma(\Gamma')$ hole sheets and expansion of the M point electron surface, as shown in Fig. 1(c). At $n=6.16$ the Γ' surface is reduced to

FIG. 1. (Color online) Contour plots of the Green's function, $-\Sigma_{\ell} \text{Im} \mathcal{G}_{\ell \ell}(\mathbf{k}, i\pi T)/\pi$, at $T=4$ meV ≈ 46 K for (a) $n=5.52$, (b) 5.92 , and (c) 6.16 in the unfolded BZ. Deep red corresponds to the Fermi surface. The Fermi surface around M point is the electron sheet at $n=5.92$ and 6.16 but the hole sheet at $n=5.52$. The weight around Γ' point becomes small at lower temperatures since the band edge is located below the Fermi level.

almost a point, which leads to a T dependence discussed below. The $n=5.52$ FS, similar to that of KFe_2As_2 , has large hole sheets around the $\Gamma(\Gamma')$ points and a small hole pocket around the M point.

Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show the orbital-resolved spectral densities $\rho_{\ell}(\omega) = -\Sigma_{\ell} \text{Im} \mathcal{G}_{\ell \ell}^R(\mathbf{k}, \omega)/\pi$ for $n=5.52$ and 6.16 . Like for the noninteracting bands, the Fermi level $E_F=0$ falls to a vicinity of a spectral peak, more so for the hole-doped systems. The states on the Fermi surface arise predominantly from $d_{xz/yz}$ and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals. Their relative weight varies strongly with doping and while on the hole-doped side the $d_{xz/yz}$ contribution dominates over $d_{x^2-y^2}$, the reverse holds for the electron doping.

Figures 2(c) and 2(d) show the T -dependent changes in the spectral functions in the vicinity of the Fermi level. A remarkable suppression of the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ density at E_F upon cool-

FIG. 2. (Color online) The partial density of states $\rho_{\ell}(\omega)$ (states/eV) at (a) $n=5.52$ and (b) $n=6.16$ at 46 K. Temperature dependence for $d_{xz/yz}$ and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals at (c) $n=5.52$ and (d) $n=6.16$. Shown is remarkable suppression of $\rho_{\ell}(0)$ for $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital at $n=6.16$.

FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) The self-energy for $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital at the Γ' point at 46 K in units of electron volt. (b) Mass enhancement factor z_k^ℓ for each orbital at $\mathbf{k}=(26\pi/32, 0)$ around the M point (solid) and $\mathbf{k}=(6\pi/32, 0)$ around the Γ point (dotted).

ing can be seen for $n=6.16$, when the Fermi level is located close to a steplike van Hove singularity at the top of the Γ' band. The decreasing temperature leads not only to reduced quasiparticle damping but also to a downward band shift and the corresponding shrinking of the Γ' pocket. Such a variation in the spectral density has been observed in the photoemission spectra³⁸ and numerical study of Ref. 7. The shrinking of FS has been also observed in LaFePO (Ref. 28) and BaFe₂(As_{1-x}P_x)₂ (Ref. 30). General arguments for correlation-induced band shifts due to coupling of an asymmetric electronic band to a bosonic mode, e.g., spin fluctuation, were given by Ortenzi *et al.*³⁹ Applying their reasoning

together with the increase in spin-fluctuation density at low T (Fig. 4), indeed, leads to the observed shift of the Γ' band.

The main effect of electronic correlations in itinerant systems is an enhancement of the quasiparticle mass. In Fig. 3(a), we use typical self-energies to demonstrate that the scattering processes contained in FLEX lead to quasiparticle renormalization over a rather broad energy range. In fact, we find a fair agreement between the FLEX self-energy and the self-energy obtained with LDA plus dynamical mean field theory for the five-band model.⁴⁰ This applies also to the mass enhancement factors, except for the region around $n \sim 5.92$ where nesting, and thus strongly $\mathbf{k}$ -dependent effects, dominate the physics. In Fig. 3(b), we show the doping dependence of the mass enhancement factors z_k^ℓ for each orbital,

$$z_k^\ell = 1 - \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\ell\ell}^R(\mathbf{k}, \omega)}{\partial \omega} \bigg|_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \simeq 1 - \frac{\text{Im} \Sigma_{\ell\ell}(\mathbf{k}, i\pi T)}{\pi T} \quad (5)$$

in the vicinity of the Γ and M sheets of the Fermi surface. We observe an overall trend of increasing mass enhancement from 2–3 on the electron-doped side to over 4 on the hole-doped side, which can be attributed to a growing spectral density at E_F [see Fig. 2(a)]. In agreement with this trend, a remarkably large mass enhancement factor is observed in dHvA on KFe₂As₂.³¹ As shown below, this can be because low-energy spin fluctuations become featureless, $\mathbf{Q}$ independent, similar to the heavy-fermion systems. The cusps in z_k^ℓ for $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital at $n \sim 5.92$ result from strong stripe-type AF spin fluctuations due to the FS nesting.

FIG. 4. (Color online) Imaginary part of $\chi_s^R(\mathbf{Q}, \omega)$ (μ_B^2/eV) along the high-symmetry line at (a) $n=5.52$, (b) $n=5.92$, and (c) $n=6.16$. Temperature dependence at $\mathbf{Q}=(\pi, 0)$ and $(\pi, \pi/2)$ at [(d) and (g)] $n=5.52$, [(e) and (h)] $n=5.92$, and [(f) and (i)] $n=6.16$. The system at $n=5.92$ is located at around the stripe-type AF critical point. At $n=5.52$, shown is $\mathbf{Q}$ -independent low-energy excitation while the gaplike behavior at $n=6.16$.

B. Spin-excitation spectra

Next, we discuss the structure of the spin excitation, $\text{Im } \chi_s^R(\mathbf{Q}, \omega)$, at $n=5.52$, 5.92, and 6.16, displayed in Fig. 4. In the left panel we show contour plots of $\text{Im } \chi_s^R(\mathbf{Q}, \omega)$ along the high symmetry directions. The right panel shows the variation in $\text{Im } \chi_s^R(\mathbf{Q}, \omega)$ at fixed $\mathbf{Q}$ with temperature.

For a moderate hole doping, $n=5.92$, the strong low-energy fluctuations (stronger than in the undoped case), arising from FS nesting, are located around $\mathbf{Q}=(\pi, 0)$ [see Fig. 4(b)]. They exhibit strong enhancement at low T indicating an incipient AF instability [Fig. 4(e)]. Further hole doping destroys the nesting between $\Gamma(\Gamma')$ and M sheets of FS and increases the density of states at the Fermi level as shown in Fig. 2(a). This leads to rather featureless, $\mathbf{Q}$ independent, and only weakly T -dependent structure of the low-energy spin fluctuations as shown in Figs. 4(a), 4(d), and 4(g). A broad hump around $\mathbf{Q}=(\pi, 0)$, which develops at low T , is due to scattering between the Γ hole sheets and the M pocket, composed of $d_{xz/yz}$ orbitals, in Fig. 1(a).

In contrast, the electron-doping results in suppression of the low-energy spin fluctuations. For $n=6.16$ the $(\pi, 0)$ spin fluctuations are remarkably suppressed and a gap opens in the spin excitation spectrum at low T as shown in Figs. 4(c) and 4(f). Recently, such a gaplike behavior with heavy electron doping has been observed by the inelastic neutron-scattering experiment.²⁶ This behavior is linked to the corresponding changes in the Fermi-surface topology in Fig. 1(c). As the electron doping leads to the shrinking of the $\Gamma(\Gamma')$ hole sheets and expansion of the M electron sheet, the particle-hole excitation at $\mathbf{Q}=(\pi, 0)$ requires a finite energy, and the corresponding spectral weight moves to higher energies. The leading scattering channel becomes dominated by scattering between different electron (M) sheets, and the dominant low-energy spin fluctuation moves to $\mathbf{Q}=(\pi, \pi/2)$, as shown in Fig. 4(i). Previous calculations showed that the leading superconducting instability changes from $s_{\pm}$ wave to $d_{x^2-y^2}$ wave around this doping.³³ This points to the correlation between the structure of the spin fluctuations and the superconducting pairing symmetry.

C. NMR $1/T_1$ and uniform susceptibility

Next, we discuss the local spin response measured in terms of the NMR- $1/T_1$ relaxation rate. Figure 5(a) shows the temperature dependence of $1/T_1T = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \text{Im } \chi_s^R(\mathbf{q}, \omega) / \omega|_{\omega \rightarrow 0}$ for various dopings with the hyperfine-coupling constant set to unity. The overall trend of $1/T_1T$ growing with the hole doping at high temperatures follows from increasing $\rho_{\ell}(0)$. At lower temperatures, $1/T_1T$ is suppressed on the electron-doped side, reflecting the reduction in $\rho_{\ell}(0)$, as shown in Fig. 2(d), and the corresponding opening of a spin gap [Figs. 4(c) and 4(f)]. Such suppression of $1/T_1T$ with electron doping, which is consistent with the previous works,^{7,41} has been observed in $\text{LaFeAs}(\text{O}_{1-x}\text{F}_x)$ (Refs. 12–15), LaFeAsO_{1-y} (Ref. 16), and $\text{Ba}(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)_2\text{As}_2$ (Ref. 17). A remarkable growth of $1/T_1T$ in $n=5.92$ and 5.80 cases comes from the enhanced AF spin fluctuation shown in Figs. 4(b) and 4(e). Although such enhancement is suppressed with further the hole doping, a slight increase can be

FIG. 5. (Color online) (a) NMR $1/T_1T$ as a function of temperature. The vertical line is a logarithmic scale. Shown are remarkable enhancement on the hole-doped side and suppression on the electron-doped side. (b) The uniform susceptibility $\chi_s^R(0,0)$ (μ_B^2/eV), which overall decreases upon cooling (Ref. 42).

observed also for $n=5.52$, originating from the broad hump structure around $\mathbf{Q}=(\pi, 0)$ in Figs. 4(a) and 4(d). The trends in $1/T_1T$ are consistent with a remarkable low- T increase in $\text{Ba}_{0.72}\text{K}_{0.28}\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$ (Ref. 18) and $\text{Ba}_{0.6}\text{K}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$ (Refs. 16, 19, and 20), and a relatively small increase in KFe_2As_2 (Refs. 21 and 22) at low temperatures.

Finally, we present T dependence of the uniform susceptibility $\chi_s^R(0,0)$ in Fig. 5(b). Roughly speaking, it exhibits an increase with temperature independent of the carrier doping except for $n=6.00$ case. On the hole-doped side, the tendency is accompanied by the development of the AF fluctuation, in qualitative agreement with a scenario studied in Ref. 43. On the electron-doped side, it is consistent with the suppression of the NMR $1/T_1T$ and $\rho_{\ell}(0)$, which is not accompanied by a remarkable development of the magnetic fluctuation. Experimental observations shown clear a temperature dependence on the electron-doped side in $\text{LaFeAsO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x$ and $\text{Ba}(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)_2\text{As}_2$,^{15–17,44,45} and a constant behavior on the hole-doped side in $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$.^{18,19} Thus, we need further investigations for complete understanding of the uniform susceptibility.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have investigated the normal-state properties in the effective five-band Hubbard model for the iron pnictides using the FLEX approximation. We have obtained a variety of trends in the spin dynamics and the electron correlations with the carrier doping, which qualitatively agree with the overall features observed in the (Ba,K)Fe₂As₂, Ba(Fe,Co)₂As₂, and LaFeAsO systems, in particular, the gaplike feature in (π ,0) spin-excitation spectrum in the heavily electron-doped case, corresponding to the Ba(Fe_{1-x}Co_x)₂As₂ with large x , and the weak Q dependence of the spin fluctuation and the large mass enhancement in the heavily hole-doped case, corresponding to the end material

KFe₂As₂. We find that the changes in the Fermi-surface topology are the main driving force behind the observed trends.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Y. Matsuda, T. Shibauchi, K. Ishida, Y. Nakai, H. Fukazawa, S. Kasahara, K. Hashimoto, H. Shishido, T. Takimoto, and K. Yamada for fruitful discussion. This work is supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas (Grant No. 20029014) and the Global COE Program “The Next Generation of Physics, Spun from Universality and Emergence” from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

*hiroaki@scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

- ¹Y. Kamihara, T. Watanabe, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 3296 (2008).
- ²H. Takahashi, K. Igawa, K. Arii, Y. Kamihara, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, *Nature (London)* **453**, 376 (2008).
- ³Z.-A. Ren, G.-C. Che, X.-L. Dong, J. Yang, W. Lu, W. Yi, X.-L. Shen, Z.-C. Li, L.-L. Sun, F. Zhou, and Z.-X. Zhao, *EPL* **83**, 17002 (2008).
- ⁴I. I. Mazin, D. J. Singh, M. D. Johannes, and M. H. Du, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 057003 (2008).
- ⁵K. Kuroki, S. Onari, R. Arita, H. Usui, Y. Tanaka, H. Kontani, and H. Aoki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 087004 (2008).
- ⁶Y. Yanagi, Y. Yamakawa, and Y. Ōno, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **77**, 123701 (2008).
- ⁷H. Ikeda, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **77**, 123707 (2008); *Physica C* (to be published).
- ⁸S. Graser, T. A. Maier, P. J. Hirschfeld, and D. J. Scalapino, *New J. Phys.* **11**, 025016 (2009).
- ⁹T. Nomura, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **78**, 034716 (2009).
- ¹⁰F. Wang, H. Zhai, Y. Ran, A. Vishwanath, and D.-H. Lee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 047005 (2009).
- ¹¹Z.-J. Yao, J.-X. Li, and Z. D. Wang, *New J. Phys.* **11**, 025009 (2009).
- ¹²Y. Nakai, K. Ishida, Y. Kamihara, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **77**, 073701 (2008).
- ¹³H.-J. Grafe, D. Paar, G. Lang, N. J. Curro, G. Behr, J. Werner, J. Hamann-Borrero, C. Hess, N. Leps, R. Klingeler, and B. Büchner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 047003 (2008).
- ¹⁴H. Mukuda, N. Terasaki, N. Tamura, H. Kinouchi, M. Yashima, Y. Kitaoka, K. Miyazawa, P. M. Shirage, S. Suzuki, S. Miyasaka, S. Tajima, H. Kito, H. Eisaki, and A. Iyo, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **78**, 084717 (2009).
- ¹⁵K. Ahilan, F. L. Ning, T. Imai, A. S. Sefat, R. Jin, M. A. McGuire, B. C. Sales, and D. Mandrus, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 100501(R) (2008).
- ¹⁶H. Mukuda, N. Terasaki, M. Yashima, H. Nishimura, Y. Kitaoka, and A. Iyo, *Physica C* **469**, 559 (2009).
- ¹⁷F. Ning, K. Ahilan, T. Imai, A. S. Sefat, R. Jin, M. A. McGuire, B. C. Sales, and D. Mandrus, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **78**, 013711 (2009).
- ¹⁸K. Matano, Z. Li, G. L. Sun, D. L. Sun, C. T. Lin, M. Ichioka, and G.-q. Zheng, *EPL* **87**, 27012 (2009).
- ¹⁹M. Yashima, H. Nishimura, H. Mukuda, Y. Kitaoka, K. Miyazawa, P. M. Shirage, K. Kihou, H. Kito, H. Eisaki, and A. Iyo, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **78**, 103702 (2009).
- ²⁰H. Fukazawa, T. Yamazaki, K. Kondo, Y. Kohori, N. Takeshita, P. M. Shirage, K. Kihou, K. Miyazawa, H. Kito, H. Eisaki, and A. Iyo, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **78**, 033704 (2009).
- ²¹S. W. Zhang, L. Ma, Y. D. Hou, J. Zhang, T.-L. Xia, G. F. Chen, J. P. Hu, G. M. Luke, and W. Yu, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 012503 (2010).
- ²²H. Fukazawa (private communication).
- ²³A. S. Christianson, E. A. Goremychkin, R. Osborn, S. Rosenkranz, M. D. Lumsden, C. D. Malliakas, I. S. Todorov, H. Claus, D. Y. Chung, M. G. Kanatzidis, R. I. Bewley, and T. Guidi, *Nature (London)* **456**, 930 (2008).
- ²⁴S. Wakimoto, K. Kodama, M. Ishikado, M. Matsuda, R. Kajimoto, M. Arai, K. Kakurai, F. Esaka, A. Iyo, H. Kito, H. Eisaki, and S. Shamoto, *arXiv:0906.2453* (unpublished).
- ²⁵D. S. Inosov, J. T. Park, P. Bourges, D. L. Sun, Y. Sidis, A. Schneidewind, K. Hradil, D. Haug, C. T. Lin, B. Keimer, and V. Hinkov, *Nat. Phys.* **6**, 178 (2010).
- ²⁶K. Matan, S. Ibuka, R. Morinaga, S. Chi, J. W. Lynn, A. Christianson, M. Lumsden, and T. Sato, *arXiv:0912.4945* (unpublished).
- ²⁷M. Wang, H. Luo, J. Zhao, C. Zhang, M. Wang, K. Marty, S. Chi, J. Lynn, A. Schneidewind, S. Li, and P. Dai, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 174524 (2010).
- ²⁸D. H. Lu, M. Yi, S.-K. Mo, J. G. Analytis, J.-H. Chu, A. S. Erickson, D. J. Singh, Z. Hussain, T. H. Geballe, I. R. Fisher, and Z.-X. Shen, *Physica C* **469**, 452 (2009).
- ²⁹M. M. Qazilbash, J. J. Hamlin, R. E. Baumbach, L. Zhang, D. J. Singh, M. B. Maple, and D. N. Basov, *Nat. Phys.* **5**, 647 (2009).
- ³⁰H. Shishido, A. F. Bangura, A. I. Coldea, S. Tonegawa, K. Hashimoto, S. Kasahara, P. M. C. Rourke, H. Ikeda, T. Terashima, R. Settai, Y. Ōnuki, D. Vignolles, C. Proust, B. Vignolle, A. McCollam, Y. Matsuda, T. Shibauchi, and A. Carrington, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 057008 (2010).
- ³¹T. Terashima, M. Kimata, N. Kurita, H. Satsukawa, A. Harada, K. Hazama, M. Imai, A. Sato, K. Kihou, C.-H. Lee, H. Kito, H. Eisaki, A. Iyo, T. Saito, H. Fukazawa, Y. Kohori, H. Harima, and S. Uji, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **79**, 053702 (2010).
- ³²R. Arita and H. Ikeda, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **78**, 113707 (2009).
- ³³H. Ikeda, R. Arita, and J. Kuneš, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 054502 (2010).

- (2010).
- ³⁴ Still, the Fermi-surface geometry for $n \simeq 5.5$ in Fig. 1(a) is very similar to that of KFe_2As_2 , which corresponds to 0.5 hole doping per Fe.
- ³⁵ The lowest temperature $T=23$ K comes from the limitation of 1024 Matsubara frequencies. More Matsubara frequencies are required for calculations at lower temperature.
- ³⁶ First, we carry out the FLEX with modified self-energy at $T=23$ K, following Ref. 33, and obtain the proper self-energy shift in each doping case. When we subtract the proper shift from the original tight-binding Hamiltonian $h_{\ell m}^k$, the remainder, $\mathcal{H}_{\ell m}^k = h_{\ell m}^k - \tilde{\Sigma}_{\ell m}^R(k, 0)$, can be reconsidered the proper unperturbed Hamiltonian in each doping case. We apply the standard FLEX calculation without subtraction of the self-energy shift to this proper unperturbed Hamiltonian at each temperature. More precisely, $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\ell m}^R(k, 0) = i_{k\ell} \tilde{\Sigma}_{\ell m}^R(k, 0) i_{km}^*$ should be $i_{k\ell} \text{Re} \tilde{\Sigma}_{\ell m}^R(k, 0) i_{km}^*$, where $i_{k\ell}$ is a diagonal matrix to eliminate a trivial phase factor which appears in $h_{\ell m}^k$, defined in Ref. 33.
- ³⁷ In $\text{LaFeAs}(\text{O}_{1-x}\text{F}_x)$ and $\text{Ba}(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)_2\text{As}_2$, x is $n-6$ on the electron-doped side. In $\text{Ba}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$, $x/2$ is $6-n$ on the hole-doped side.
- ³⁸ T. Sato, S. Souma, K. Nakayama, K. Terashima, K. Sugawara, T. Takahashi, Y. Kamihara, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **77**, 063708 (2008).
- ³⁹ L. Ortenzi, E. Cappelluti, L. Benfatto, and L. Pietronero, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 046404 (2009).
- ⁴⁰ V. I. Anisimov, Dm. M. Korotin, M. A. Korotin, A. V. Kozhevnikov, J. Kuneš, A. O. Shorikov, S. L. Skornyakov, and S. V. Streltsov, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **21**, 075602 (2009).
- ⁴¹ L. Craco and M. S. Laad, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 054520 (2009).
- ⁴² We do not show data at $T=35$ K in $\chi_s^R(0, 0)$ due to doubtful accuracy while an error in calculation of $1/T_1 T$ is averaged due to the summation over k .
- ⁴³ M. M. Korshunov, I. Eremin, D. V. Efremov, D. L. Maslov, and A. V. Chubukov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 236403 (2009).
- ⁴⁴ R. Klingeler, N. Leps, I. Hellmann, A. Popa, U. Stockert, C. Hess, V. Kataev, H.-J. Grafe, F. Hammerath, G. Lang, S. Wurmehl, G. Behr, L. Harnagea, S. Singh, and B. Büchner, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 024506 (2010).
- ⁴⁵ X. F. Wang, T. Wu, G. Wu, R. H. Liu, H. Chen, Y. L. Xie, and X. H. Chen, *New J. Phys.* **11**, 045003 (2009).