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Abstract—Stress-induced shifts of the direct current characteristics on n-type metal oxide semiconductor field effect transistors (nMOSFETs) were investigated experimentally. The stress sensitivities of nMOSFET characteristics were measured by the 4-point bending method, and the gate-length dependence of transconductance shifts caused by uniaxial stress was evaluated. As a result, it is shown that the gate-length dependence of transconductance shifts is attributed to parasitic resistance of the nMOSFETs. Also, this paper verified the electron-mobility model proposed in the previous study that includes stress effects in comparison with the experimental results. As a result, several improvements for the electron-mobility model are proposed in this paper. We describe the change of the conduction-band energy induced by the shear deformation of silicon. The shear deformation with a uniaxial stress along the [110] direction of silicon should be considered in the change of the conduction-band energy.

Index Terms—Deformation potential, electron mobility, n-type metal oxide semiconductor field effect transistors (nMOSFETs), parasitic resistance, residual stress.

I. INTRODUCTION

Semi-conductor electronic devices are sometimes subjected to high-residual stress owing to various packaging processes, and such stress-induced effects result in a serious problem. The residual stress affects the electronic characteristics, such as the transconductance, of the transistor device. This is one of the most serious issues in the production of electronic devices and packages. It is believed that the stress effects on the electronic characteristics of the transistor device cannot be disregarded in a high-density package such as a device-embedded substrate. Hence, more studies on the stress-induced effects in semiconductor devices are needed, and an evaluation method must be established.

The stress-induced effects in bulk silicon are known as piezoresistance effects [1], [2]. In addition, several studies have been carried out on stress-induced effects in metal oxide semiconductor field effect transistors (MOSFETs) [3]–[12]. Several phenomenological methods based on an empirical formula or a piezoresistance effect model have already been examined to evaluate the stress-induced effects in MOSFETs [5], [8]. However, since in practice there is a wide variety of devices, it is difficult to evaluate their stress effects using only an empirical method. Although it is, therefore, required to establish a versatile evaluation method such as a numerical simulation, there is not a sufficient comprehensive understanding of the physical phenomena of the stress effects. It is necessary to investigate the factors influencing the stress-induced effects in MOSFETs and to improve the analytical model that can be used in the numerical simulation.

Therefore, the objectives of this paper are to investigate the factors influencing the stress sensitivity of n-type MOSFETs (nMOSFETs) and to discuss the uniaxial-stress effects on nMOSFETs through the discussion about the electron-mobility variations induced by stress. That is, an electron-mobility model including the stress effects is studied in comparison with the present experimental results. Such an investigation can help to establish a numerical simulation model of stress-induced effects in semiconductor devices. In this paper, we measure the stress sensitivities of the transconductance in nMOSFETs using a 4-point bending fixture, and investigate its device-shape dependence and load-direction dependence. Then, we examine the influence of the parasitic resistance in the nMOSFET on the stress sensitivity. Furthermore, we discuss an electron-mobility model that takes the stress effects into consideration and compare it with the present experimental results. Several improvements of the electron-mobility model are shown.

II. EXPERIMENTS

A. Specimens

nMOSFET specimens were supplied by the manufacturer of semiconductor devices. Fig. 1 illustrates the schematic configurations of nMOSFETs on a 4-point bending specimen. The 4-point bending specimens are 30 mm in length, 5 mm in width and 0.63 mm in thickness. nMOSFETs are formed on the silicon (001) surface. The direction from the source to the drain (i.e., the current direction) is the [110] direction; the direction from the source to the drain is the [110] direction (“longitudinal” in Fig. 1) or the [110] direction (“transverse” in Fig. 1).

Since silicon is a cubic crystal, the [110] direction is equivalent...
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**III. EVALUATION OF INFLUENCE FACTORS TO STRESS SENSITIVITY**

A. Parasitic Series Resistance of nMOSFETs

Previous studies have revealed that the stress-sensitivity response is caused by the stress distribution in the channel region caused by the microstructures of a device [3], or is...
due only to the influence of parasitic series resistance in the source and drain [5]. We investigated the source of the gate-length dependence of the stress sensitivity using the present experimental results. For this purpose, we investigated the factors influencing the stress sensitivity using four types of nMOSFET that have different gate lengths with the same gate width W = 24 µm: W/L = 24/24, 24/12, 24/6, and 24/0.8.

Fig. 7 shows the variations of the drain-current measured under the unstressed condition with the gate length L. The drain-current $I_{DS}$ in the linear region is given by

$$I_{DS} = \mu C_{ox} \frac{W}{L} \left( V_{GS} - V_T - \frac{V_{DS}}{2} \right) V_{DS}$$

(1)

where $\mu$, $V_{GS}$, $V_T$, and $C_{ox}$ are the electron mobility, gate voltage, threshold voltage, drain voltage, and oxide capacity, respectively. Among the parameters in (1), only the gate length L is different among the nMOSFETs used in this paper. Considering (1), we can normalize the measured drain-current curves in Fig. 7 by using the gate length L and the gate width W; the measured drain-current curves were multiplied by L and divided by W. Fig. 8 shows the normalized drain-current curves. The least-squares line obtained by the measured drain-current values of W/L = 24/24 is shown in Fig. 8 as well. It is found from the figure that the measured drain-current curves for W/L = 24/24 and W/L = 24/12 agree well with the least-squares line of W/L = 24/24. On the other hand, the measured drain-current curves for W/L = 24/0.8 deviate from the least-squares line of W/L = 24/24. As described, one possible reason for such deviation is the parasitic resistance in the source and drain of the nMOSFET. It is well known that the performance of MOSFETs suffers from the parasitic resistance in the source and drain. In this paper, the parasitic resistances of the nMOSFETs are estimated according to the following procedure.

Fig. 9 illustrates an nMOSFET model including the parasitic resistance. $R_S$ and $R_D$ denote the parasitic resistance of the
Fig. 5. Experimental results of $g_m$ change induced by stress. (a) $W/L = 24/0.8$. (b) $W/L = 24/24$.

Fig. 6. Variations of stress sensitivity of $g_m$ change with gate length.

Fig. 7. Variations of the drain-current measured under an unstressed condition with the gate length (longitudinal).

Fig. 8. Normalized drain-current curves under an unstressed condition (longitudinal).

Fig. 9. nMOSFET model including parasitic resistance.

source and that of the drain, respectively. The measured drain-current $I_{DS}$ including the parasitic resistance is given by [5]

$$I_{DS} = \mu C_{ox} \frac{W}{L} \left[ V_{GS} - V_T - \frac{V_{DS} - V_T}{2} \right] \left( V_{DS} - I_{DS} R_F \right) \quad (2)$$

where $R_F$ represents $R_S + R_D$. Equation (2) can be transformed in order to make a comparison with (1) as follows:

$$I_{DS} = \mu C_{ox} \frac{W}{L} \left[ V_{GS} - V_T - \frac{V_{DS} - V_T}{2} \right] V_{DS} \left( 1 - \frac{I_{DS}}{V_{DS}} R_F \right) \quad (2a)$$
A comparison between (1) and (2a) indicates that the relationship between the corrected drain-current $I_{DS}'$ without the parasitic resistance and the measured drain-current $I_{DS}$ is given by

$$I_{DS} = I_{DS}'(1 - \frac{I_{DS}'}{V_{DS}R_P}).$$

Then, (3) can be transformed as follows:

$$I_{DS} = \frac{I_{DS}'}{1 - \frac{I_{DS}'}{V_{DS}R_P}}.$$

(3a)

The corrected drain-current $I_{DS}$ can be calculated from the measured drain-current $I_{DS}'$ using (3a). In the next section, the value of the parasitic resistance will be estimated from the experimental results by using (3a).

B. Evaluation Method for Parasitic Resistance

The results shown in Figs. 7 and 8 suggest that the gross resistance for $W/L = 24/24$ is much larger than its parasitic resistance, and the drain-current for $W/L = 24/24$ varies linearly with the gate voltage. Therefore, in this paper, we can neglect the parasitic resistance for $W/L = 24/24$. In addition, $W/L = 24/24$ and $W/L = 24/0.8$ have the same configurations except the gate length $L$, and the distributions of impurities at the region of the source and drain are similar as well. Hence, we estimated the parasitic resistance $R_P$ for $W/L = 24/0.8$ by fitting the drain-current curve for $W/L = 24/0.8$ corrected using (3a), which is a function of $R_P$, to the least-squares line for $W/L = 24/24$ shown in Fig. 8. As a result, it is determined that the parasitic resistance of $W/L = 24/0.8$ is 75\(\Omega\). This value will be used for the examination in the following section.

C. Results and Discussion

Fig. 10 shows the normalized drain-current curves corrected using the parasitic resistance of 75\(\Omega\) under the unstressed condition. The same value was used as the value of the parasitic resistance for all nMOSFETs, because they have the same distributions of impurities in the region of their source and drain. The normalized drain-current curves corrected by the parasitic resistance agree well with each other. The fabrication processes of semiconductor devices themselves induce an "intrinsic" residual stress, which affects the intrinsic electrical performance of the device. It is found from the figure that there are few differences in the intrinsic residual stress among the four kinds of nMOSFET used in this paper.

Fig. 11 shows the gate-length dependence of the stress sensitivity of $g_m$ change obtained from the drain-current curves corrected using the parasitic resistance of 75\(\Omega\). As shown in Fig. 11, the corrected stress sensitivities of $g_m$ change are regarded as a constant value regardless of the different gate lengths for each load direction (longitudinal or transverse). It is found from Fig. 11 that the major factor influencing the gate-length dependence of the stress sensitivity of $g_m$ change is the parasitic resistance for the present nMOSFETs. In other words, the intrinsic electrical response against the "external" stress caused by the 4-point bending is similar for all nMOSFETs used in this paper. There are few differences in the external stress distribution in the channel region among the four kinds of nMOSFET as well. The present results obtained from an independent validation of the studies by Bradley et al. [5] approve the conclusions reached in literature [5]. That is, there is no significant gate-length dependence to the sensitivity of the intrinsic MOSFET channel to stress.

The above results indicate that the difference of the stress distribution in the channel region can be neglected for the present nMOSFETs. Therefore, in the following section, we can evaluate the stress-induced effects of the nMOSFETs by using the "nominal" stress generated by the 4-point bending. However, this does not mean that there is no influence of the stress distribution in the channel region of an nMOSFET.

If we consider an nMOSFET with a very short channel, its electronic characteristics are considered to be affected by the stress distribution in the channel region [8].
IV. Evaluation of Uniaxial-Stress Effects on Electron Mobility

A. Many-Valley Model

In the unstrained state, the conduction-band energy minima of bulk silicon degenerate into six equivalent “valleys” [13]. The “many-valley” energy surfaces of the silicon conduction band in \( k \) (wave number)-space are shown in Fig. 12. Each minimum is characterized by the longitudinal effective mass \( m_L^* \) along each principal axis \( (i = 1, 2, 3) \) and the transverse effective mass \( m_T^* \) perpendicular to the principal axis. Here, \( m_L^* \) is larger than \( m_T^* \). Hence, the mobility, which is longitudinal \( \mu_L \) or perpendicular \( \mu_T \) to the principal axis, is also anisotropic, i.e., \( \mu_L < \mu_T \). However, in the unstrained state, since the six valleys of bulk silicon are equivalent, the “total” electron mobility \( \mu \) along each direction is given by

\[
\mu = \mu_L + 2\mu_T \tag{4}
\]

and it is isotropic for all directions.

On the other hand, the conduction-band energy in the inversion layer of Si metal oxide semiconductor (MOS) is different from that of bulk silicon. Even in the unstrained state, the conduction-band energy valleys in the inversion layer of Si MOS split into subband energies; six equivalent valleys split into twofold or fourfold valleys [14]. In this paper, the stress-induced effects of the electronic characteristics of the nMOSFETs were evaluated by defining the electronic characteristics variation of unstressed nMOSFETs (not bulk silicon) as being equal to zero. The external stress causes the shifts of the conduction-band energy in the inversion layer of Si MOS, split into the subband energies; six equivalent states, the conduction-band energy valleys in the inversion layer being equal to zero. The external stress causes the shifts of the conduction-band energy in the inversion layer of Si metal oxide semiconductor (MOS) is isotropic for all directions.

B. Shifts of Conduction-Band Energy

In this paper, the shift of the conduction-band energy minima (valleys) due to strain, \( \Delta E_i \), is defined by the deformation-potential model [13]. \( \Delta E_i \) is expressed by

\[
\Delta E_i = \frac{\partial E}{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}} \varepsilon_{ij} + \frac{\partial E}{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}} \varepsilon_{ij} + \frac{\partial E}{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}} \varepsilon_{ij} + \frac{\partial E}{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}} \varepsilon_{ij} + \frac{\partial E}{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}} \varepsilon_{ij} + \cdots \tag{5}
\]

where \( \varepsilon_{ij} \) is the strain tensor and \( \partial E/\partial \varepsilon_{ij} \) is the “deformation-potential constant.” Considering that the valleys lie on a symmetry axis, it is possible to describe all the deformation-potential constants in terms of two or three independent constants, as shown in Table I [15]. Hence, the shifts of the conduction-band energy (minimum) along the \( i \)-axis valley \( \Delta E_i \) can be expressed through the deformation potential constants \( \varepsilon_{11} \) and \( \varepsilon_{22} \) [15]

\[
\Delta E_i = \varepsilon_{11} \varepsilon_{11} + \varepsilon_{22} \varepsilon_{22} + \varepsilon_{33} \varepsilon_{33} \tag{7}
\]

The values of the deformation potentials have been obtained by cyclotron resonance experiments and numerical simulations. We used the following values: \( \varepsilon_{11} = 1 \) eV and \( \varepsilon_{22} = 10.5 \) eV [16]. The strain tensor \( \varepsilon_{ij} \) is converted from the stress tensor using the compliance coefficients of silicon [17]. The shifts of conduction-band energy can be calculated using (7), and the stress-induced variations of the electron mobility can then be estimated using the following electron-mobility model.

C. Electron-Mobility Model

Egley and Chidambaram proposed an electron-mobility model that takes the stress effects into consideration [18]. In their model, the effects of stress or strain are seen in the change of electron mobility which reflects the relative change of the electron population of each valley. The change in the average relaxation time due to stress [14] is neglected. In this paper, we used Egley’s model with some simplification [19]. Boltzmann distribution was used as the distribution function of electrons for each valley. The distribution function \( f(E) \) is given by

\[
f(E) = \exp \left( \frac{E - E_F}{k_B T} \right) \tag{8}
\]

where \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann’s constant, \( T \) is the lattice temperature, and \( E_F \) is the Fermi energy. When we consider the shift of the conduction-band energy due to the stress effects, (8) is replaced by

\[
f(E) = \exp \left( \frac{E - E_F + \Delta E_{\text{strain}}}{k_B T} \right) \tag{9}
\]
where \(\Delta E_{\text{max}}\) is the shift of conduction-band energy induced by stress. Therefore, the population rate of the electron on the \(i\)-axis valley is given by

\[
v_i = \frac{e^\frac{\Delta E_{\text{max}}}{kT}}{\sum_{i=1}^{3} e^\frac{-\Delta E_{\text{max}}}{kT}} = \frac{e^\frac{-\Delta E_{\text{max}}}{kT}}{\sum_{i=1}^{3} e^\frac{-\Delta E_{\text{max}}}{kT}}.
\]

The gradient of the quasi-Fermi level is assumed to be parallel to the current flow. The coefficient of electron-mobility change \(f_{\text{stress}}\) along the current flow is, therefore, given by

\[
f_{\text{stress}} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} c_i e^\frac{-\Delta E_{\text{max}}}{kT}
\]

where \(c_i\) represents the effect of the \(i\)-axis valley on the electron mobility along the current flow direction. If the \(1\)-axis valley is formed on the silicon \((001)\) surface and the angle between the \(1\)-axis and the current flow direction is \(\theta\), \(c_i\) is given by

\[
c_1 = R_L \cos^2 \theta + R_T \sin^2 \theta
\]

\[
c_2 = R_L \cos^2 \theta + R_T \sin^2 \theta
\]

\[
c_3 = R_T
\]

where \(R_L\) and \(R_T\) are expressed by

\[
R_L = \frac{\mu_L}{\mu} = \frac{\mu_L}{(\mu_L + 2m_L^*/m_e^*)/3}
\]

\[
R_T = \frac{\mu_T}{\mu} = \frac{\mu_T}{(\mu_T + 2m_T^*/m_e^*)/3}
\]

\[
\rho_{\text{max}} = f_{\text{stress}} \cdot \mu
\]

In the following section, the above electron-mobility model will be verified by comparing the electron-mobility variations calculated by (11) with that obtained in the experimental results.

\[\text{D. Results and Discussion}\]

The rate of electron-mobility change estimated by the above electron-mobility model was compared with that obtained in the experimental results shown in Section II. The rate of electron-mobility change \(\Delta \mu / \mu\) can be defined as

\[
\frac{\Delta \mu}{\mu} = \frac{\rho_{\text{max}} - \mu}{\mu} = f_{\text{stress}} - 1
\]

where \(f_{\text{stress}}\) is given by (11). In contrast, the drain-current \(I_{\text{drain}}\) is given by (1). If we assume that the shape deformation of the nMOSFET due to stress is minute in (1), the relationship between the rate of drain-current change and the rate of electron-mobility change is given by [5]

\[
\frac{\Delta I_{\text{drain}}}{I_{\text{drain}}} \approx \frac{\Delta \mu}{\mu}.
\]

Therefore, the experimental results for the rate of electron-mobility change can be obtained from the experimental results shown in Fig. 4 using (19).

Fig. 13 shows a comparison between the estimated results of electron-mobility change and the experimental results. It is found from the figure that the electron-mobility model is not able to provide the load-direction dependence obtained in the experimental results. In (7), when a uniaxial stress is applied along the \(1\)-axis, the relationship among the shifts of conduction-band energy is \(\Delta E_1 \neq \Delta E_2 \neq \Delta E_3\), because of \(\epsilon_{11} \neq \epsilon_{22} = \epsilon_{33}\). The shift of the conduction-band energy is schematically shown in Fig. 14(a). The solid lines show the effect of stress. Then, we consider that a uniaxial stress is applied along the \([110]\) direction. The relationship among the shifts of conduction-band energy is calculated using (7) as \(\Delta E_1 = \Delta E_2 \neq \Delta E_3\). The shifts of the conduction-band energy are schematically shown in Fig. 14(b). If the relationship among the shifts of conduction-band energy is \(\Delta E_1 = \Delta E_2 \neq \Delta E_3\), both \(f_{\text{stress}}\) along the \([110]\) direction and that along the \([100]\) direction become the same value. Therefore, the estimated results are different from the experimental results depending on the load direction as shown in Fig. 5.

It is clear from the above discussion that some improvements of the electron-mobility model proposed by Egley and Chidambaram [18] are necessary to evaluate the uniaxial-stress effects on nMOSFET. We suggest the shifts of the conduction-band energy induced by shear deformation in addition to (7). That is to say, we consider that the deformation potential \(\partial \phi / \partial \ε_{11}\) is not zero, and the shift of the conduction-band energy induced by shear deformation is schematically shown in Fig. 14(c). Considering the representation of deformation potentials given in Table I, it might be inferred that the shift of the conduction-band energy \(\Delta E_2\) of a 3-axis valley can be defined as

\[
\Delta E_{3(110)} = s_1 (\epsilon_{11} + \epsilon_{22} + \epsilon_{33}) + s_2 (\epsilon_{33} + \frac{1}{2} s_1 \epsilon_{12})
\]
The potential constant of a 2-axis valley can be defined including the deformation
(a) \([100]\) Uniaxial stress in (7). (b) \([110]\) Stress in (7). (c) Shear deformation
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so as to account for the shear deformation in the shift of
and proposed the improvement of the electron-mobility model
length of the nMOSFETs. Also, we discussed an electron-
transconductance change is constant regardless of the gate
stress. The result showed that the stress sensitivity of the
infrastructure effects on nMOSFETs. It was demonstrated that the stress-
mobility model for the simulation
for evaluating the reliability issues induced by mechanical
stress. Hence, the carrier-mobility model for the simulation
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V. CONCLUSION
We have experimentally investigated the uniaxial-stress effects on nMOSFETs. It was demonstrated that the stress-
induced shifts of dc characteristics depend on the device shape
and load direction in nMOSFETs. We evaluated the influence of parasitic series resistance in the source and drain region
of nMOSFETs on the transconductance change induced by stress. The result showed that the stress sensitivity of the transconductance change is constant regardless of the gate
length of the nMOSFETs. Also, we discussed an electron-
mobility model, taking the stress effects into consideration, and
proposed the improvement of the electron-mobility model
so as to account for the shear deformation in the shift of
conduction-band energy induced by stress. This paper con-
tributes to the establishment of a method of evaluating the
stress-induced effects on semiconductor devices.
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