1	Evaluation of an existing bus network using a transit network optimisation model:
2	A case study of the Hiroshima City Bus network
3	
4	Hiroshi Shimamoto ¹
5	Lecturer
6	Department of Urban Management, Kyoto University
7	Kyoto University Katsura Nishikyo-ku, Kyoto, 615-8540 JAPAN
8	Tel: +81-75-383-3235; Fax: +81-75-383-3236 E-mail: shimamoto@trans.kuciv.kyoto-u.ac.jp
9	
10	Naoki Murayama ¹
11	Researcher
12	Oriental Consultants Company Limited
13	12-1, Honmachi 3-chome, Shibuya-ku, Tokyo, 151-0071, JAPAN
14	Tel: +81-6311-7551; Fax: +81-6311-8011; E-mail: murayama-no@oriconsul.com
15	
16	Akimasa Fujiwara
17	Professor
18	Graduate School for International Development and Cooperation, Hiroshima University
19	1-5-1 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8529, Japan
20	Tel/Fax: +81-82-424-6921; E-mail: afujiw@hiroshima-u.ac.jp
21	
22	Junyi Zhang
23	Associate Professor
24	Graduate School for International Development and Cooperation, Hiroshima University
25	1-5-1 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8529, Japan
26	Tel/Fax: +81-82-424-6919; E-mail: zjy@hiroshima-u.ac.jp
27	
28	Abstract
29	This study evaluates an existing bus network from the perspectives of passengers, operators, and overall
30	system efficiency using the output of a previously developed transportation network optimisation model.
31	This model is formulated as a bi-level optimisation problem with a transit assignment model as the lower
32	problem. The upper problem is also formulated as bi-level optimisation problem to minimise costs for
33	both passengers and operators, making it possible to evaluate the effects of reducing operator cost against
34	passenger cost. A case study based on demand data for Hiroshima City confirms that the current bus

network is close to the Pareto front, if the total costs to both passengers and operators are adopted as 35 objective functions. However, the sensitivity analysis with regard to the OD pattern fluctuation indicates 36

 $^{^{1}}$ This research was carried out when the first and the second author were affiliated with Hiroshima University.

- 1 that passenger and operator costs in the current network are not always close to the Pareto front. Finally,
- the results suggests that, regardless of OD pattern fluctuation, reducing operator costs will increase
 passenger cost and increase inequity in service levels among passengers.
- 4
- 5 Key words: bi-level optimisation formulation, existing bus network, numbered ticket-based travel
- 6 demand data, transit assignment model, transit network configuration, frequency design.

2 1 Introduction

3 Environmental concerns are becoming more important, and many public transportation systems are 4 being improved because they are more energy-efficient than private vehicles. To encourage travellers to 5 switch from private cars to public transportation, many public transport operators have reduced off-peak 6 fares or increased capacity during congested periods. Hiroshima City, the study area, has established 7 car-free days three times each month and has appealed to commuters to refrain from using private 8 vehicles. However, the number of bus riders in the study area has actually been decreasing annually. One 9 reason for this might be an inefficient service configuration. Indeed, in many cities, including the study 10 area, bus networks overlap in the central business district (CBD). Therefore, it may be possible to 11 configure the network more efficiently; In 2004, Seoul's bus network was reorganised, resulting in an 12 11% increase in the number of bus passengers. Optimal public transportation route configuration can 13 thus be used to address what is commonly referred to as the "transit route network design problem" 14 (TRNDP) (Kepaptsoglou et. al., 2009).

15

16 Most of the transit planning models are formulated as a bi-level optimisation problem whose lower 17 problem describe the passengers' behaviour. Although many of the transit network planning models 18 regarded the transit route as fixed (Zhou and Lam (2000), Gao et al. (2004), Shimamoto et. al. (2005), 19 Ibeas et. al. (2010)) due to the expensive computation cost, several researchers nowadays treat the transit 20 routes as the policy variable. Yang et al. (2007) proposed a bus network optimisation model the objective 21 function of which is maximising the ratio of passengers travelling without transfer; the model was based 22 on a parallel ant colony algorithm and then applied to the Dalian city bus network. However, the model 23 does not clearly describe passenger behaviour. Prabhat et al. (2006) proposed a model for optimising the 24 feeder bus route, where the transfer point from the railway to the feeder bus is fixed and transferring 25 between the feeder buses is not allowed. Guan et al. (2006) formulated a simultaneous optimisation 26 problem for railway line configuration and passenger assignment as a linear binary integer problem. 27 Because line frequencies were not determined in the model, the researchers charged a prior given 28 transfer penalty as an additional waiting time. In this model, additional waiting time for transfers should 29 be dependent on service frequency: that is, passenger waiting time should be short if the service 30 frequency is high. Another feature of this model is that it uses a branch-and-bound method as a solution 31 algorithm to obtain an exact solution, whereas all previous models applied heuristic algorithms to obtain 32 solutions. However, the researchers simplified the network to enable the model to obtain a solution 33 within a reasonable time; the real world is generally more complex than a railway network optimisation 34 problem, and it would be difficult to apply a strict solution algorithm to a real bus network optimisation 35 problem. Kepaptsoglou et al. (2009) published a structured review of TRNDP approaches, 36 distinguishing between objective variables, parameters, and methodologies.

37

38 To date, most studies have not described passenger route choice behaviour accurately or otherwise, but

1 most assume that fewer transfers are desirable for passengers. Transfers can certainly be troublesome for 2 passengers in many cases, but passengers would be less likely to mind transferring if the frequency of 3 alternative lines was sufficiently high, as in the CBD area of many major cities. Thus, it is important to 4 include more accurate depictions of passenger route and transfer choice behaviours. Nachtigall and 5 Jerosch (2008) combined a line planning model and traffic assignment model and developed a solution 6 algorithm, based on the column generation method. However, their assignment model is very similar to 7 the traditional assignment model and does not consider the 'common lines problem,' which is essential 8 for transit assignment in networks for which uniform passenger arrival can be assumed. Petrelli (2004) 9 developed a model framework that combined the TRNDP and a transit assignment model considering 10 common lines (although some details of the transit assignment model were omitted). Beltran et al. 11 (2009) extended their model to determine the allocation of a limited number of environmentally friendly 12 vehicles and applied the model to a real-size network. Ibeas et al. (2010) proposed a model for deciding 13 the optimal location of bus stops and applied the model to a real network. One of the authors also 14 proposed a TRNDP model (Shimamoto et al., 2010), which was applied to a toy network. As in previous 15 research (Shimamoto et al., 2005), the model developed in this study was formulated as a bi-level 16 optimisation problem the lower problem of which was a transit assignment model considering the 17 common lines (Kurauchi et al., 2003). The upper problem in the proposed model was also defined as 18 multi-objective. Although multi-objective problems generally require much more computational cost 19 than single objective problems, they can explicitly consider tradeoffs among different stakeholders. In 20 most cases, transportation policies affect more than two stakeholders. For example, after the virtual liberalisation of bus service was introduced in Japan, many transit operators withdraw service from 21 22 unprofitable routes, causing further inconvenience to passengers. Thus, transportation policies need to 23 consider tradeoffs between all the stakeholders.

24

In addition to the tradeoffs among various stakeholders, transportation policies need to incorporate equity issues (Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010). Viegas (2001) discussed problems related to implementing road pricing from the perspective of effectiveness and acceptability. He introduced the following four dimensions of equity:

29 · horizontal equity, associated with the equality of opportunities;

territorial equity, associated with the right to mobility and provision of identical conditions for
 citizens living in all parts of a given country;

32 • vertical equity, associated with the protection of those in the worst conditions; and

longitudinal equity, associated with the comparison of conditions between present and past (balance
 between gains and losses).

Boscmann et al. (2008) enumerate three dimensions of equity; egalitarianism, horizontal equity and vertical equity. While horizontal equity and vertical equity might cause unequal benefit with socioeconomic status (or geographic location), egalitarianism, which is defined as all persons are created equal and should be treated as such, causes equal benefit regardless of socioeconomic status. In this sense, the idea of egalitarianism is similar with that of territorial equity. Several equity indexes, such as

1 the Gini coefficient, Theil index, and Atkinson index, have proposed quantitative evaluations of equity. 2 Thus, some researchers have applied an objective function in a bi-level optimisation problem. Sumalee 3 (2004) used minimisation of inequity among drivers as an objective function when developing an 4 optimal charging cordon design model. Shimamoto et al. (2005) applied minimisation of inequity 5 among passengers within a similar model framework. Both of these models used only the Gini 6 coefficient as an indicator of (in)equity, but Feng et al. (2009) compared various equity indicators as 7 objective functions of a road network design model. Feng et al. found that differing inequity indicators 8 produced differing solution patterns, but did not reach any conclusions about which inequity indicator(s) 9 should be used when implementing transportation policies.

10

11 Within this framework, this study evaluated the current bus network in Hiroshima City by comparing 12 model outputs. Evaluation indicators included both aggregated values (e.g., total cost to passengers) and 13 equity among passengers. The rest of this paper is organised as follows: Section 2 provides a brief 14 description of the capacity constrained transit assignment model proposed by Kurauchi et al. (2003); 15 Section 3 provides a mathematical formulation and a solution algorithm for the bus network optimisation 16 model; Section 4 describes the current situation in the study area and evaluates the existing bus network 17 using the model output; and finally Section 5 provides conclusions and directions for future research.

18

19

2 Capacity constrained transit assignment model with common lines

20 This section provides a brief description of the capacity-constrained transit assignment model with 21 common lines (CapCon-CL; Kurauchi et al., 2003), which is applied in the lower problem of the 22 proposed model.

23

24 2-1. Network representation

25 To consider transit line capacities together with the common-lines problem, the transit network shown in 26 Figure 1(a) can be transformed into a graph model, as shown in Figure 1(b). An origin node represents a 27 trip start node. A destination node represents a trip end node. A stop node represents a platform at a 28 station. Any transit lines stopping at the same platform are connected via boarding demand arcs, failure 29 nodes, and boarding arcs. At stop nodes, passengers can either take a bus or walk to a neighbouring bus 30 stop. If they take a bus, they are assigned to any of the attractive lines in proportion to the arc transition 31 probabilities. A boarding node is a line-specific node at the platform where passengers board. An 32 alighting node is a line-specific node at the platform where passengers alight. A failure node is a node 33 that explains failure to board. When a transit line capacity is exceeded (if all passengers board), some 34 passengers are forced to use the *failure arc*. One arc is connected to the corresponding boarding node 35 and the others are connected to each destination node. For statistical reasons, we assumed that those who 36 fail to board at some stations do not have priority to board at the next time step.

1 A line arc represents a transit line connecting two stations. A boarding demand arc denotes an arc 2 connecting the stop node to the failure node. The flow on this arc represents the boarding demand for the 3 transit line from a specific platform. An *alighting arc* denotes an arc from an alighting node to a stop 4 node. A stopping arc denotes a transit line stopping on a platform after the passengers alight and before 5 new passengers board. This arc is created to express the available capacity on the transit line explicitly. A 6 walking arc connects an origin to a platform (access), a platform to a destination (egress) and between 7 neighboring platforms (walk to neighbor platforms). A failure arc denotes the demand that failure to 8 board. This excess demand is sent directly to its respective destination via this arc. A boarding arc, 9 which represents the movement of passengers who can actually get on a vehicle, is an arc connecting a 10 failure node to a boarding node.

11



20 but this problem is not insurmountable considering recent progress in computer technology. The

- 1 network can be constructed automatically once it is developed, as shown in Figure 1(a).
- 2

3 2-2. Notations

4 The following notations are used in the transit assignment model; other notations will be defined when

- 5 needed.
- 6

A_p	:	Set of arcs on hyperpath p
L	:	Set of line arcs
L_l	:	Set of line arcs on line <i>l</i>
U_l	:	Set of platforms on transit line l
WA	:	Set of walking arcs
BD	:	Set of boarding demand arcs
S_p	:	Set of stop nodes on hyperpath p
Ε	:	Set of failure node
E_p	:	Set of failure node on hyperpath p
D_s	:	Set of failure arc destined to s
$OUT_p(i)$:	Set of arcs that lead out of node i on hyperpath p
Wkl	:	Stopping arc of line l on platform k
b_{kl}	:	Boarding demand arc of line l on platform k
h_{kl}	:	Failure node of line l on platform k
l(a)	:	A transit line that is included in arc a
g_p	:	The cost of hyperpath p
C_a	:	Arc cost on arc $a \in A$
t_a	:	Travel time on arc $a \in A$
ξ	:	The on board value of time
ζ	:	The value of time for walking
η	:	The value of time for waiting
θ	:	Parameter for risk of failure to board
α_{ap}	:	Probability that traffic traverses arc a
eta_{ip}	:	Probability that traffic traverses node <i>i</i>
q_k	:	Failure to board probability at platform k
f_l	:	Frequency of line l (1/minute)

7 2-3. The cost of hyperpaths

8 In this study, the cost of a hyperpath was considered to be a generalised cost consisting of three elements:

9 monetary value of the travel time, monetary value of the expected waiting time, and the implicit cost

10 associated with the risk of failure to board. The model allows passengers to walk to other bus stops by

11 including walking arcs between every stop node. Thus, the cost for each arc, c_a , is defined as:

$$c_{a} = \begin{cases} \xi t_{a} & (a \in L) \\ \zeta t_{a} & (a \in WA) \\ \infty & (a \in D_{s}) \\ 0 & (\text{else}) \end{cases}$$

4

1

3 Using the cost of arc *a*, c_a , the generalised cost of hyperpath *p*, g_p , can be written as:

$$g_{p} = \sum_{a \in A_{p}} \alpha_{ap} c_{a} + \eta \sum_{k \in S_{p}} \frac{\beta_{kp}}{F_{kp}} - \theta \ln \left(\prod_{k \in E_{p}} (1 - q_{k})^{\beta_{kp}} \right)$$

$$\tag{2}$$

5 where

$$F_{ip} = \sum_{a \in OUT_p(i)} f_{l(a)}$$
(3)

(1)

7

6

8 Note that α_{ap} and β_{kp} are obtained from the arc split probabilities in Figure 1(b). The first term of Eq. (2) 9 represents the 'moving cost,' consisting of the monetary value of the in-vehicle time and the walking 10 time. The second and third terms represent the monetary value of the expected waiting time and the cost 11 associated with the risk for failure to board, respectively. The parameter for the risk for failure to board, 12 θ , denotes risk averseness. If $\theta \rightarrow \infty$, then passengers are absolutely risk averse, so they are not interested 13 in travel time or expected waiting time; when $\theta=0$ passengers do not care about failing to board. As Eq. 14 (2) can be separated by the subsequent node, Bellman's principle can be applied to find the 15 minimum-cost hyperpath. Finally, the CapCon-CL is formulated with a complementarity problem 16 involving hyperpath flows and failure-to-board probabilities that satisfy both user equilibrium and capacity constraint conditions. The complementarity problem can be solved by combining the method of 17 successive averages and absorbing Markov chains (see Kurauchi et al., 2003). 18

19

20 **3** Bus network configuration and frequency optimisation model

21 3-1. Outline of the model

This study focused on two stakeholders: the operator and passengers. We assumed that bus services would be provided by only one operator, who wishes to minimise the total operational cost. In the study area, the bus service is, in fact, operated by five bus companies; their objective is not minimising the operational cost, but maximising total benefit. Thus, we considered the operator not to be bus companies, but to be a public agency with the goal of realising a bus network balancing between the operational cost and the passengers' convenience. Furthermore, passengers were assumed to want to minimise their total cost, as shown in Eq.(2).

29

30 The proposed model is also based on the following assumptions:

31 • The position of bus stops is given and fixed, but not all bus stops must be used;

1 Express services are not considered: that is, all buses stop at all bus stops they pass; 2 • Travel time between bus stops is constant; 3 The maximum number of lines and an origin/destination of each line is fixed (due to depot • 4 constraints); and 5 Origin-destination (OD) demand is fixed, regardless of the bus network configuration. 6 7 Note that no express services are provided in the study area. 8 9 3-2. Model formulation 10 Decision variables in the model include the route and frequency of each line, denoted as $\mathbf{r} = (\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, ...,$ $\mathbf{r}_{|L|}$) and $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{|L|})$ respectively. The model is formulated as: 11 $\min_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{f}} \psi_m(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{q},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{f}), m = 1, 2, \cdots M$ 12 (4)13 such that $(\mathbf{y}^*, \mathbf{q}^*)$ satisfies (User Equilibrium) 14 (5) $C_{l}(\mathbf{r}_{l}) \leq C_{l}^{\max}$ 15 (6) $\sum_{l=1}^{|L|} f_l C_l(\mathbf{r}_l) \le NV$ 16 (7)17 where М The number of objective functions in the upper problem : The number of lines (fixed) |L|: $C_l(\mathbf{r}_l)$: Travel time from the origin to the destination of line l C_l^{\max} The upper value of travel time on line l: NV The available number of vehicles : 18 19 Equation (4) represents the objective function of the upper problem (defined below) and Eq. (5) 20 represents the passenger equilibrium condition under a given network configuration and frequencies, as 21 introduced in the previous chapter. Equation(6) represents the line length constraints to avoid overly long 22 lines and Eq. (7) represents the vehicle number constraints. The number of vehicles required to operate a 23 certain line is assumed to be proportional to the line length and frequency, which implicitly neglects 24 turning time or waiting time at depots. 25 26 As mentioned above, the objective function of the operator is to minimise the total operational cost (ψ_1)

27 and the objective function of passengers is to minimise total travel cost (ψ_2), as formulated below.

28 Generally, operator cost can be divided into direct and indirect costs. Ibeas et al. (2010) defined direct

29 costs as the sum of four factors: rolling costs (*CK*), hourly costs due to standing still with the engine

30 running (CR), personnel costs (CP), and fixed costs (CF). They defined indirect costs as the sum of

exploitation, human resources, administrative/financial, depot and supplies, and management and general costs; they found that indirect costs tended to be 12% of direct costs. Furthermore, they formulated all direct costs with the exception of *CR* as being proportional to total mileage. Thus, if *CR* is ignored for simplicity, operator cost can be regarded as proportional to total mileage, as shown in Eq. (8). The total mileage for the operator is the sum of the product of line frequencies and square of the line length, because the sum of the product of line frequencies and line length (the left-hand term of Eq. (7)) represents the number of vehicles required to operate line *l*, multiplied by the cost for each line.

8

9
$$\psi_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{l=1}^{|L|} f_l C_l(\mathbf{r}_l)^2$$
 (8)

10
$$\psi_2(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{f}) = \sum_{rs \in W} \sum_{p \in H_{rs}^*} y_p \cdot g_p(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{q})$$
 (9)

11 where

W: The set of OD pair H_{rs}^* : The set of hyperpath between OD pair rs

12

13 The results might be biased due to the possibility of multiple fixed points in the lower level problem.
14 This bias is well-known within mixed integer non-linear programming (MINLP) and continues to be a
15 challenging problem.

16

17 3-3. Solution algorithm

18 As discussed above, the proposed model is formulated with a multi-objective optimisation problem in 19 the upper problem. We can use the elitist non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm (NSGA-II) proposed 20 by Deb et al. (2000) to solve the upper multi-objective optimisation problem. One advantage of 21 NSGA-II is that it requires fewer parameters than other methods; candidate bus routes and frequencies 22 can be created separately using a GA procedure. Although it is possible to create not only the shortest 23 route but also various alternative routes, using a GA procedure, this may result in many fatal 24 chromosomes that do not represent the routes. Thus, we chose to use the improved GA procedure for 25 route search proposed by Inagaki et al. (1999). Hereafter, the description of the modified GA procedure 26 for route generation (using fixed origin and destination nodes) is based on Inagaki et al.'s work; see the 27 example network shown in Figure 2(a).



(a) Example network.

2 In the modified GA procedure, the number of genes in a chromosome is the same as the number of 3 nodes in a network N. Each gene m can only take the values of the nodes to which direct links from the 4 node m exist; that is, a link connecting nodes m and n is represented by assigning node ID n to the m^{th} 5 gene. Therefore the alignment of the genes in a chromosome can provide the ID for nodes that make up 6 a route, if one keeps moving ('jumping') from gene m to gene n, (in Fig.3(b), this is represented by genes 7 with a square). Thus, the chromosome defined here consists of two types of genes: those contributing to 8 the representation of the route and those that do not. It is always possible to obtain a valid route from the 9 genes that contribute to the route description, unless a cyclic route is obtained; this occurs if the same 10 node ID appears in at least two of these genes. Figure 2(b) represents the route $(0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 7)$ the 11 origin and destination node are defined as 0 and 7, respectively. For genes that are not required for the 12 route description, a random node ID is selected from among the available node IDs. Thus, the creation of 13 new chromosomes consists of the well-known elements of initialisation, crossover, and mutation; see 14 Shimamoto et al. (2010) for a detailed description of these operations. Note that the frequency of each 15 line must be determined discretely. Also, since the bus routes and the frequencies are coded with 16 different chromosomes in this study, the model decides the bus routes and the frequencies separately. 17 Therefore, the number of combination of frequencies and line configuration becomes exponentially 18 larger and consequently increases the possibility of reaching locally optimal solutions if the frequency of 19 each line is chosen from a wider range. There is a room to improve the chromosomes coding in order to 20 avoid premature convergence to locally optimal solutions.

21

22 4 Evaluation of Hiroshima City Bus Network

23 4-1. Outline of the study area

24 Hiroshima City is one of the core cities in the Chugoku area of Japan, with a population of 25 approximately 1,173,000 (November 2009). Recently, Hiroshima City amalgamated its suburban areas, 26 from which many individuals commute to the CBD area. Many bus routes are available between these 27 residential areas and the CBD, but most of the residential areas do not spread widely and therefore 28 reconstruction of the bus lines would be difficult. Thus, we limited the study area to the CBD, which has 29 an area of approximately 5km (see Figure 3). Public transportation in the study area consists primarily of 30 buses and trams, but we excluded trams from the study due to the data limitation. Figure 4 presents the 31 distribution of boarding demand for the entire city, which was collected by Hiroshima City through a trip 32 survey conducted in 2008. As shown in the figure, demand is greatest between 07:00-08:00; this period 33 accounts for about 18% of the total demand. Thus, we defined the morning peak hour as 07:00-08:00. 34

Figure 3. Map of Hiroshima City and location of the study area.

4 5

3

Figure 4. Distribution of boarding demand for the entire city (weekdays).

6

Figure 5 shows the distribution of the number of boarding and alighting passengers in the study network and Figure 6 illustrates the sum of frequencies in each road section as a result of data aggregation (described in the next section). More passengers board and alight at nodes 54, 37, and 44: node 54 corresponds to the central station (Hiroshima station) and the area between nodes 37 and 44 is the busiest downtown area. As a result, many buses run on the roads connecting node 54 and node 37 or 44, as shown in Figure 6.

Figure 5. Distribution of the number of passengers boarding/ alighting from 07:00 to 08:00.

Figure 6. The sum of frequencies for each road section from 7:00 to 8:00 (current network).

1 4-2. Data collection and parameter setting

2 4.2.1 Data collection

3 Passenger demand data were obtained from the bus companies operating in the study area, based on 4 numbered tickets used in October 2006. Five bus companies were operating in the study area, but we did 5 not consider competition between companies and the data were treated as if only one company was 6 operating in the area. Data limitations forced two other constraints. First, aggregated data was only 7 available by month, so it was impossible to determine fluctuations in demand between days or hours. 8 Thus, we first converted the original dataset to 1-day data by dividing it by 31, and then converted it to 9 peak demand (from 07:00 to 08:00) by multiplying it by the ratio of the demand during that period 10 (obtained from Figure 4). Second, because a sectional fare structure was used in the study area (starting 11 at ¥150: 1 EUR is approximately 110 JPY), demand data were obtained only between different fare 12 sections. Therefore, we consolidated bus stops to the major intersections. Because this procedure resulted 13 in many of the fare zones having only one consolidated bus stop, the origin or destination of these fare 14 zones can be automatically converted to that consolidated bus stop. However, if one fare zone had more 15 than two consolidated bus stops, passenger boarding or alighting demand in this fare zone was distributed proportionally to consolidated bus stops based on the number of bus stops within this fare 16 17 zone. Additionally, several boarding demands had origins or destinations outside the study area, so these 18 origins or destinations were hypothetically moved to the closest boundary bus stop. Figure 7 shows the simplified network, which has 69 bus stops and 228 links in both directions. The result is 36 lines in both 19 20 directions; frequencies and distances between bus stops were obtained from timetables and GIS data, 21 respectively. Note that Bellman's principle does not hold in the lower model if the structural fare system 22 is, as introduced in the study area, is considered. Therefore, the fare is not considered for the evaluation. 23

Figure 7. Study network.

1

4 4.2.2 Parameter setting

5 Although bus travel speed data were not available, the 2005 census by the Japanese Ministry of Land, 6 Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism indicated that the average travel speed in the centre of Hiroshima 7 City was approximately 18.8 km/h (313.3 m/min). Therefore, travel speed for each mode was estimated 8 as shown in Table 1(a). The value of time was set as shown in Table 1(b), which was estimated by 9 Kurauchi et al. (2004) based on SP-based mode choice survey data. The capacity of each vehicle was set 10 at 45 passengers/vehicle, which was based on visual observations of loaded vehicles. As described 11 previously, if the frequency of each line is chosen from a wide range, the number of combination of 12 frequencies and line configuration becomes exponentially larger and consequently increases the 13 possibility of reaching locally optimal solutions. Thus, frequencies were selected from four options: 14 twice the current frequency, the current frequency, half the current frequency, and no service. Table 2 lists the parameters for NSGA-II. 15

17

Table 1. Parameters for the transit assignment model.

(a) Travel speed			(b) V	alue o	ftime
Bus	300	(m/minute)	On Board	13	(¥/minute)
Walk	50	(m/minute)	Wait	26	(¥/minute)
			Walk	50	(¥/minute)

Number of indivisuals	40
Number of generations	50
Crossover rate	0.9
Mutation rate	0.05

Table 2. Parameters for NSGA-II.

2	2
	3

4 Because it was not possible to estimate the parameter for failure to board, we first compared the Pareto 5 front using various parameters using Figure 7. Note that $\theta = 0$ indicates that the passengers choose a 6 route without considering the possibility of failure to board. When operational cost is around 300, 7 positive θ values result in higher cost to passengers than when θ is equal to 0, but the three Pareto fronts 8 remain approximately the same. This result indicates that overcapacity cannot be a serious problem even 9 if the transit operator reduces the service level to some extent. One reason for this might be 10 underestimation of peak demand since we did not consider differences in patterns of demand between weekdays and weekends due to data limitations. None of the results hereafter consider capacity 11 12 constraints.

- 14
- 15
- 16

Figure 8. Pareto front with differing parameters for failure to board.

17 4-3. Discussion

Figure 9 shows the transition of chromosomes with regard to the number of generations which shows chromosomes disperse over the plains at younger generations. However, chromosomes accumulate to a

20 curve with passing generations, and finally yielding a Pareto front with 46 Pareto solutions (Figure 10).

The two black dotted lines in Figure 10 represent the objective values for the current network. It is not realistic to investigate network configurations for all Pareto solutions. Thus, to determine how reducing operational costs along the Pareto front would affect service level for the entire network, the Pareto solutions are labelled in ascending order of cost to passengers. Of the 46 solutions, solutions 6 and 7 are better than the current network in terms of cost to passengers and operational costs, but the current network (where the two black dotted lines meet) is, in fact, very close to the Pareto front.

7

Figure 9. Transition of chromosomes.

3

Figure 10. Pareto front with the costs of the current network.

4 Figure 11 shows the suggested line frequencies for each of the four options. For example, 100% of lines 5 increase their frequency for the option of "twice" (doubling the frequency on all lines). Again, solutions 6 are arranged horizontally in ascending order of cost to passengers. The percentage of lines increasing 7 their frequency to twice reaches 40% at solution 0 (the solution with largest operational cost) and then 8 decreases as the solution number increases. Alternatively, the percentage of lines with the same 9 frequency increases and has the largest value around solution 10. As the solution number increases 10 further (consequently reducing the operational cost), the percentage of lines with the same frequency 11 increases, and the percentage of lines halting their service increases. Finally, more than 40% of lines halt 12 service and all lines cease to increase frequency at solution 45.

Figure 11. Suggested line frequencies for each solution.

5 Figure 12 shows the sum of frequencies for the current network and for solution 6 (one of the solutions 6 in which both objective values are better than the current network.) By comparing the two figures, a new 7 route emerges, connecting a road section between nodes 54 and 42. However, the number of road 8 sections with high frequency seems to decrease for the entire network. Indeed, the sum of the line frequencies is 4.47min⁻¹ for solution 6 but 5.28min⁻¹ for the current network. Also, the variance in sum of 9 the frequency among road sections for solution 6 and for the current network is 0.088 min^{-2} and 0.12010 min⁻², respectively. Note that the small variance in frequency among road sections indicates that the 11 12 difference of sum of the frequency among road sections is small, which implies that bus service is 13 uniformly dispersed to the network. Therefore, this fact indicates that bus service is dispersed wider in 14 solution 6 than in the current network. These findings suggest that the operators could provide better 15 service than the current network from the perspective of overall passenger cost even if they reduced the 16 overall service frequency. One reason for this is that in solution 6, operational service is dispersed 17 thorough the network, because it has a greater variance in frequency among road sections than the 18 current network does. Another reason may be that many lines are concentrated at a certain road section 19 in the current network.

20

1 2

Figure 12. The sum of frequencies for each road section.

3 To test these hypotheses, we focused on changes in frequencies at local road sections where many buses 4 are concentrated. Figure 13 shows the sum of the frequencies for each solution at Section A and Section 5 B. In the figure, Section B is marked with a red circle; it connects the central station and the busiest 6 downtown area, and as mentioned above, many buses pass through the section. Section A is marked 7 with a blue circle; it was chosen as an alternative to Section B because both sections run in parallel 8 directions. The sum of frequencies for west-bound travel on both sections decreases for many solutions, 9 but the ratio of decrease seems to be larger for Section B than for Section A. Furthermore, the sum of 10 frequencies for east-bound travel on Section B decreases for many solutions, whereas the sum of 11 frequencies for east-bound on Section A increases for many solutions. Thus, the concentration of buses 12 on Section B is reduced in many solutions and one reason for this is the fact that some lines shifted from 13 Section B to Section A.

14

15 In summary, dispersing service operation is one way to bring about a win-win situation for both 16 passengers and service operators of a dense bus network. One way to make this happen is to shift some

17 services from a section with dense service to another parallel section with less dense service.

1 2

Figure 13. The sum of frequencies at Section A and Section B for each solution.

- 4 So far, the Pareto solutions have been evaluated mainly from the perspective of the operators or the 5 overall systems; now, we evaluate the Pareto solutions from the perspective of passengers. Figure 14 6 illustrates the cost component for each solution. The boarding cost is stable through all the solutions, but 7 wait times and walking times increase as the solution number increases (consequently decreasing 8 operational costs by reducing the level of service, as shown in Figure 10 and Figure 11). Thus, 9 passengers suffer from inadequate service as operational costs decrease, but the total boarding time is 10 stable, presumably due to the assumption of fixed OD demand.
- 11

Finally, equity levels were compared between OD pairs using an equity indicator. As mentioned in Section 1, researchers have not reached a consensus about which equity indicator is most suitable for evaluating certain transportation policies. Some previous studies have used the Gini coefficient, and we used it here (see Appendix for a definition and formulation). We also applied the longitudinal dimension (see Section 1), based on the assumption that it is preferable to maintain a differential of the service level that is similar to the current situation. Figure 15 shows the Gini coefficient for each Pareto solution; it 1 increases as the solution number increases (equivalent to reducing operational costs). To summarise,

- 2 decreasing operational costs has the effects of increasing cost to passengers and of increasing inequity
- 3 among OD pairs.
- 4

5

6

7

Figure 14. Cost component for each solution.

9

8

Figure 15. Gini coefficient with respect to total cost for each solution.

10

12 As mentioned in Section 4-2, only aggregated demand data were available, so it was not possible to

1 determine fluctuation in demand between days or times of day. Thus, we conducted a sensitivity analysis

- of fluctuation in demand pattern. This involved generating four different types of demand patterns using
 the following procedure:
- 4 (Step 1) For each OD pair *ij*, define the magnification factor using the standard normal random 5 variable as:
 - $c_{ij} = 1 \kappa r_{ij}$

where r_{ij} is the standard normal random variable and k is a constant.

8 (Step 2) The new passenger demand is given by:

9

10

6

7

 $new_demand_{ii} = c_{ii} \times demand_{ii}$

- where *demand*_{ij} represents the passenger demand between *i* and *j*.
- 11 In the above procedure, only the demand patterns are variable, in short, total passenger demand is
- 12 conserved.
- 13

14 15

Figure 16. Pareto fronts with various origin-destination (OD) patterns.

16

Figure 16 illustrates the Pareto fronts for various OD patterns. The large filled circles plot passenger cost and operational cost for the current network and each OD patterns. The base OD pattern represents the OD pattern used in the previous section. OD patterns 1 and 2 are based on small OD pattern fluctuation

- 19 OD pattern used in the previous section. OD patterns 1 and 2 are based on small OD pattern indetuation
- 20 (with κ equal to 0.1 in Step 1 above) and OD patterns 3 and 4 are based on large OD pattern fluctuation

1 (with κ equal to 0.2 in Step 1 above). The figure reveals that OD patterns 1, 3, and 4 have Pareto fronts 2 similar to the base OD pattern. Additionally, both passenger cost and operational cost in the current 3 network is very close to the Pareto front with OD patterns 1, 3, and 4 as well as the base OD pattern. 4 However, the Pareto front with OD pattern 2 is not close to that of the base OD pattern. Furthermore, 5 passenger cost and operational cost in the current network are not close to the Pareto front for OD pattern 6 2, indicating that the current network is not efficient with this OD pattern. Thus, despite the small 7 fluctuation, OD pattern 2 exhibits a different tendency with regard to the Pareto front and passenger cost in the current network. However, Figure 17 (which illustrates the Gini coefficient in each Pareto solution 8 9 for OD pattern 2) shows tendencies similar to those in Figure 15; that is, the Gini coefficient increases as 10 the solution number increases.

11

12 13

Figure 17. Gini coefficient with respect to the total cost in each solution (OD pattern 2).

14

To summarise, passenger cost in the current network could be increased by the OD pattern fluctuation. In such a case, the current network is not efficient because passenger cost and operational cost in the current network is not close to the Pareto front. However, despite the OD pattern fluctuation, the same tendency was confirmed: decreasing operational cost has the effects of increasing passenger cost and increasing inequity of service levels among OD pairs.

20

21 **5** Conclusions

22 This study evaluated the bus network configuration during the morning peak hour in the central area of

Hiroshima City from the perspectives of operators and passengers. The evaluation was conducted using

- 24 a previously constructed bus network optimisation model, which can decide line configurations and
- 25 frequencies simultaneously. In the model, transit operators were assumed to be a public agency with the

goal of minimising total operational costs, but not maximising benefit; the current bus network was
 compared with a bus network balancing between the operational cost and the passengers' convenience..
 Only aggregated demand data were available, so peak demand data was generated using simple
 assumptions.

5

6 The comparison of the current bus network and the model output based on demand pattern data 7 confirmed that the current bus network is close to the Pareto front if the total costs to passengers and 8 operators are adopted as objective functions. It also demonstrated that dispersing service operation could 9 bring about a win-win situation for both passengers and service operators and that one way to make this 10 happen is to shift some services from a section with dense service to another parallel section with less 11 dense service. Thus, it is still possible to realise a more desirable network with less cost to passengers and 12 operator. However, the sensitivity analysis with regard to the OD pattern fluctuation confirmed that 13 passenger and operator costs in the current network can be sensitive to the demand. Finally, the results 14 confirmed that, regardless of OD pattern fluctuation, reducing operator costs will increase passenger cost 15 and increase inequity in service levels among passengers.

16

17 Future research should use more detailed OD data from IC card records to improve the accuracy of

18 results. Furthermore, it would be worth comparing results based on various time periods to confirm the

19 robustness of the network configuration with variable demands. It will be necessary to expand the model

20 to consider elastic demand or interaction among other means of travel (such as private vehicles and taxis).

- 21 Finally, it would be helpful to add other constraints to the model, such as driver scheduling, to obtain
- 22 more realistic results.
- 23

24 Acknowledgements

This research was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research for Young Scientists (20760349) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The authors also thank Hiroshima City and the private bus companies for providing the data. We also thank three anonymous reviewers and the guest editors for insightful comments.

29

30 Appendix: Gini coefficient

The Gini coefficient is a value that is often used to measure income inequity; it has also been used in operations research (Shimamoto et al., 2005). The Gini coefficient is defined as twice the area between the Lorentz curve and a 45° line in the population-share and income-share plane. By definition, the Gini coefficient has a value between 0 and 1, where 0 corresponds to perfect equity and 1 to perfect inequity. The Gini coefficient regarding the total cost among OD pairs can be formulated as:

36
$$Gini^{m} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot Q^{2} \cdot \overline{CR}^{m}} \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{I} Q_{i}Q_{j} \left| CR_{i}^{m} - CR_{j}^{m} \right|$$
(A1)

37 where

$$1 \qquad CR_i^m = g_i^0 / g_i^m$$

$$\overline{CR}^{m} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} CR_{i}^{m} / I$$

where

Gini ^m	:	Gini coefficient at solution m
Ι	:	Set of OD pair
Q_i	:	Passenger demand of OD pair i
g_i^0	:	Generalise cost of OD pair i at current network
g_i^m	:	Generalise cost of OD pair i at solution m

4

5

6 **References**

Boschmann, E. E and Kwan, M.P., 2008. Toward socially sustainable urban transportation: Progress and
potentials, International Journal of Sustainable Transportation, 2(3), 138–157.

9

Deb, K., Agrawal, S., Pratap, A. and Meyarivan, T., 2000. A fast elitist non-dominated sorting genetic
algorithm for multi-objective optimization: NSGA-II, the Parallel Problem Solving from Nature VI
(PPSN-VI), 849–858.

13

Feng, T., Zhang, J. and Fujiwara, A., 2009. Comparison of transportation network optimization with
different equity measures using bilevel programming approach, Proceedings of the 88th Annual Meeting
of TRB, Washington, DC, DVD-ROM.

17

18 Gao, Z.Y., Sun, H, and Shan, L.L. (2004) A continuous equilibrium network design model and19 algorithm for transit systems, Transportation Research B, 38, 235-250.

20

Guan, J.F., Yang, H. and Wirasinghe, S. C, 2006. Simultaneous optimization of transit line configuration
and passenger line assignment, Transportation Research Part B, 40, 885–902.

23

Ibeas, A., Dell'Olio, L., Alonso, B. and Sainz, O., 2010. Optimizing bus stop spacing in urban areas,
Transportation Research Part E, 46, 446–458.

26

Kepaptsoglou, K, and Karlaftis, M., 2009, Transit route network design problem: Review, Journal of
Transportation Engineering-ASCE, 135(8), 491–505.

29

30 Kurauchi, F., Bell, M.G.H. and Schmöcker, J.-D., 2003. Capacity constrained transit assignment with

31 common lines, Journal of Mathematical Modelling and Algorithms, 2–4, 309–327.

 Nachtigall, K. and Jerosch, K., 2008. Simultaneous network line planning and traffic assignment. In Matteo Fischetti and Peter Widmayer (eds.), ATMOS 2008: 8th Workshop on Algorithmic Approaches for Transportation Modeling. Optimization, and Systems, Dagstuhl, Germany. Available online at: http://drops.dagstuhl.de/opus/volltexte/2008/1589. Petrelli, M., 2004. A transit network design model for urban areas. In C. A. Brebbia and L. C. Wadhwa (eds.), Urban Transport X, WTT Press, U.K., 163–172. Prabhat, S., and Margaret, O., 2006. A model for developing of optimized feeder routes and coordinated schedule: A genetic algorithm approach, Transportation Policy, 13, 413–425. Shinnamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Iida, Y., Bell, M. G. H., and Schmöcker, JD., 2005, Evaluation of public transit congestion mitigation measures using passenger assignment model, Journal of Eastern Asia Transportation Studies, 6, 2076–2091. Shinnamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Schmöcker, JD., and Bell, M. G. H., Optimisation for bus network configuration and frequency using transit assignment model, submitted to Transportation Research, Part C. Sumalee, A., 2004. An innovative approach to option generation for road user charging scheme design: Constrained and multi-criteria design, Proceedings of the 10th World Conference on Transportation Research, Istanbul, CD-ROM. Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/dm/dml13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	1 2 3	Kurauchi, F., Hirai, M., and Iida, Y, 2004. Experimental analysis on mode choice behaviour for merged public transport systems, Proceedings of Infrastructure Planning Conference on Civil Engineering, 30, CD-ROM (Japanese).				
 Nachtigall, K. and Jerosch, K., 2008. Simultaneous network line planning and traftic assignment. In Matteo Fischetti and Peter Widmayer (eds.), ATMOS 2008: 8th Workshop on Algorithmic Approaches for Transportation Modeling, Optimization, and Systems, Dagstuhl, Germany. Available online at: http://dops.dagstuhl.de/opus/volltexte/2008/1589. Petrelli, M., 2004. A transit network design model for urban areas. In C. A. Brebbia and L. C. Wadhwa (eds.), Urban Transport X, WIT Press, U.K., 163–172. Prabhat, S., and Margaret, O., 2006. A model for developing of optimized feeder routes and coordinated schedule: A genetic algorithm approach, Transportation Policy, 13, 413–425. Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Iida, Y., Bell, M. G. H., and Schmöcker, JD., 2005, Evaluation of public transportation Studies, 6, 2076–2091. Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Schmöcker, JD., and Bell, M. G. H., Optimisation of bus network configuration and frequency using transit assignment model, submitted to Transportation Research, Part C. Surnalee, A., 2004. An innovative approach to option generation for road user charging scheme design: Constrained and multi-criteria design, Proceedings of the 10th World Conference on Transportation Research, Istanbul, CD-ROM. Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. 	4					
 Matteo Fischetti and Peter Widmayer (eds.), ATMOS 2008: 8th Workshop on Algorithmic Approaches for Transportation Modeling, Optimization, and Systems, Dagstuhl, Germany. Available online at: http://drops.dagstuhl.de/opus/volltexte/2008/1589. Petrelli, M., 2004. A transit network design model for urban areas. In C. A. Brebbia and L. C. Wadhwa (eds.), Urban Transport X, WIT Press, U.K., 163–172. Prabhat, S., and Margaret, O., 2006. A model for developing of optimized feeder routes and coordinated schedule: A genetic algorithm approach, Transportation Policy, 13, 413–425. Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Iida, Y., Bell, M. G. H., and Schmöcker, JD., 2005, Evaluation of public transit congestion mitigation measures using passenger assignment model, Journal of Eastern Asia Transportation Studies, 6, 2076–2091. Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Schmöcker, JD., and Bell, M. G. H., Optimisation of bus network configuration and frequency using transit assignment model, submitted to Transportation Research, Part C. Sumalee, A., 2004. An innovative approach to option generation for road user charging scheme design: Constrained and multi-criteria design, Proceedings of the 10th World Conference on Transportation Research, Istanbul, CD-ROM. Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastruct	5	Nachtigall, K. and Jerosch, K., 2008. Simultaneous network line planning and traffic assignment. In				
 at: http://dops.dagstuhl.de/opus/volltexte/2008/1589. Petrelli, M., 2004. A transit network design model for urban areas. In C. A. Brebbia and L. C. Wadhwa (eds.), Urban Transport X, WTT Press, U.K., 163–172. Prabhat, S., and Margaret, O., 2006. A model for developing of optimized feeder routes and coordinated schedule: A genetic algorithm approach, Transportation Policy, 13, 413–425. Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Iida, Y., Bell, M. G. H., and Schmöcker, JD., 2005, Evaluation of public transit congestion mitigation measures using passenger assignment model, Journal of Eastern Asia Transportation Studies, 6, 2076–2091. Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Schmöcker, JD., and Bell, M. G. H., Optimisation of bus network configuration and frequency using transit assignment model, submitted to Transportation Research, Part C. Sumalee, A., 2004. An innovative approach to option generation for road user charging scheme design: Constrained and multi-criteria design, Proceedings of the 10th World Conference on Transportation Research, Part C. Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdn/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	0 7	Matteo Fischetti and Peter Widmayer (eds.), ATMOS 2008: 8th Workshop on Algorithmic Approaches				
 at. <u>Intprotopics/destinate/opics/concyte_2009/1569</u>. Petrelli, M., 2004. A transit network design model for urban areas. In C. A. Brebbia and L. C. Wadhwa (eds.), Urban Transport X, WIT Press, U.K., 163–172. Prabhat, S., and Margaret, O., 2006. A model for developing of optimized feeder routes and coordinated schedule: A genetic algorithm approach, Transportation Policy, 13, 413–425. Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Iida, Y., Bell, M. G. H., and Schmöcker, JD., 2005, Evaluation of public transit congestion mitigation measures using passenger assignment model, Journal of Eastern Asia Transportation Studies, 6, 2076–2091. Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Schmöcker, JD., and Bell, M. G. H., Optimisation of bus network configuration and frequency using transit assignment model, submitted to Transportation Research, Part C. Sumalee, A., 2004. An innovative approach to option generation for road user charging scheme design: Constrained and multi-criteria design, Proceedings of the 10th World Conference on Transportation Research, Istanbul, CD-ROM. Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 9, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	/ 0	at http://drops.dogstuhl.do/opus/uolltouto/2008/1520				
 Petrelli, M., 2004. A transit network design model for urban areas. In C. A. Brebbia and L. C. Wadhwa (eds.), Urban Transport X, WIT Press, U.K., 163–172. Prabhat, S., and Margaret, O., 2006. A model for developing of optimized feeder routes and coordinated schedule: A genetic algorithm approach, Transportation Policy, 13, 413–425. Shimanoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Iida, Y., Bell, M. G. H., and Schmöcker, JD., 2005, Evaluation of public transit congestion mitigation measures using passenger assignment model, Journal of Eastern Asia Transportation Studies, 6, 2076–2091. Shimanoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Schmöcker, JD., and Bell, M. G. H., Optimisation of bus network configuration and frequency using transit assignment model, submitted to Transportation Research, Part C. Sumalee, A., 2004. An innovative approach to option generation for road user charging scheme design: Constrained and multi-criteria design, Proceedings of the 10th World Conference on Transportation Research, Istanbul, CD-ROM. Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 9, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	0	at. <u>http://drops.dagstum.de/opus/vontexte/2006/1389</u> .				
 Ferein, M., 2004. A under first device and the analysis in C. P. Diebola and E. C. Walning (eds.), Urban Transport X, WIT Press, U.K., 163–172. Prabhat, S., and Margaret, O., 2006. A model for developing of optimized feeder routes and coordinated schedule: A genetic algorithm approach, Transportation Policy, 13, 413–425. Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Iida, Y., Bell, M. G. H., and Schmöcker, JD., 2005, Evaluation of public transit congestion mitigation measures using passenger assignment model, Journal of Eastern Asia Transportation Studies, 6, 2076–2091. Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Schmöcker, JD., and Bell, M. G. H., Optimisation of bus network configuration and frequency using transit assignment model, submitted to Transportation Research, Part C. Sumalee, A., 2004. An innovative approach to option generation for road user charging scheme design: Constrained and multi-criteria design, Proceedings of the 10th World Conference on Transportation Research, Istanbul, CD-ROM. Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	10	Petrelli M 2004 A transit network design model for urban areas. In C A Brebbia and L C Wadhwa				
 Prabhat, S., and Margaret, O., 2006. A model for developing of optimized feeder routes and coordinated schedule: A genetic algorithm approach, Transportation Policy, 13, 413–425. Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Iida, Y., Bell, M. G. H., and Schmöcker, JD., 2005, Evaluation of public transit congestion mitigation measures using passenger assignment model, Journal of Eastern Asia Transportation Studies, 6, 2076–2091. Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Schmöcker, JD., and Bell, M. G. H., Optimisation of bus network configuration and frequency using transit assignment model, submitted to Transportation Research, Part C. Sumalee, A., 2004. An innovative approach to option generation for road user charging scheme design: Constrained and multi-criteria design, Proceedings of the 10th World Conference on Transportation Research, Istanbul, CD-ROM. Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	11	(eds.) Urban Transport X WIT Press UK 163–172				
 Prabhat, S., and Margaret, O., 2006. A model for developing of optimized feeder routes and coordinated schedule: A genetic algorithm approach, Transportation Policy, 13, 413–425. Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Iida, Y., Bell, M. G. H., and Schmöcker, JD., 2005, Evaluation of public transit congestion mitigation measures using passenger assignment model, Journal of Eastern Asia Transportation Studies, 6, 2076–2091. Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Schmöcker, JD., and Bell, M. G. H., Optimisation of bus network configuration and frequency using transit assignment model, submitted to Transportation Research, Part C. Sumalee, A., 2004. An innovative approach to option generation for road user charging scheme design: Constrained and multi-criteria design, Proceedings of the 10th World Conference on Transportation Research, Istanbul, CD-ROM. Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	12	(eus.), erour multipolori, wir mess, ent., 105 172.				
 schedule: A genetic algorithm approach, Transportation Policy, 13, 413–425. Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Iida, Y., Bell, M. G. H., and Schmöcker, JD., 2005, Evaluation of public transit congestion mitigation measures using passenger assignment model, Journal of Eastern Asia Transportation Studies, 6, 2076–2091. Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Schmöcker, JD., and Bell, M. G. H., Optimisation of bus network configuration and frequency using transit assignment model, submitted to Transportation Research, Part C. Sumalee, A., 2004. An innovative approach to option generation for road user charging scheme design: Constrained and multi-criteria design, Proceedings of the 10th World Conference on Transportation Research, Istanbul, CD-ROM. Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.tpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	13	Prabhat, S., and Margaret, O., 2006. A model for developing of optimized feeder routes and coordinated				
 Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Iida, Y., Bell, M. G. H., and Schmöcker, JD., 2005, Evaluation of public transit congestion mitigation measures using passenger assignment model, Journal of Eastern Asia Transportation Studies, 6, 2076–2091. Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Schmöcker, JD., and Bell, M. G. H., Optimisation of bus network configuration and frequency using transit assignment model, submitted to Transportation Research, Part C. Sumalee, A., 2004. An innovative approach to option generation for road user charging scheme design: Constrained and multi-criteria design, Proceedings of the 10th World Conference on Transportation Research, Istanbul, CD-ROM. Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	14	schedule: A genetic algorithm approach Transportation Policy 13 413–425				
 Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Iida, Y., Bell, M. G. H., and Schmöcker, JD., 2005, Evaluation of public transit congestion mitigation measures using passenger assignment model, Journal of Eastern Asia Transportation Studies, 6, 2076–2091. Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Schmöcker, JD., and Bell, M. G. H., Optimisation of bus network configuration and frequency using transit assignment model, submitted to Transportation Research, Part C. Sumalee, A., 2004. An innovative approach to option generation for road user charging scheme design: Constrained and multi-criteria design, Proceedings of the 10th World Conference on Transportation Research, Istanbul, CD-ROM. Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	15					
 transit congestion mitigation measures using passenger assignment model, Journal of Eastern Asia Transportation Studies, 6, 2076–2091. Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Schmöcker, JD., and Bell, M. G. H., Optimisation of bus network configuration and frequency using transit assignment model, submitted to Transportation Research, Part C. Sumalee, A., 2004. An innovative approach to option generation for road user charging scheme design: Constrained and multi-criteria design, Proceedings of the 10th World Conference on Transportation Research, Istanbul, CD-ROM. Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	16	Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Iida, Y., Bell, M. G. H., and Schmöcker, JD., 2005, Evaluation of public				
 Transportation Studies, 6, 2076–2091. Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Schmöcker, JD., and Bell, M. G. H., Optimisation of bus network configuration and frequency using transit assignment model, submitted to Transportation Research, Part C. Sumalee, A., 2004. An innovative approach to option generation for road user charging scheme design: Constrained and multi-criteria design, Proceedings of the 10th World Conference on Transportation Research, Istanbul, CD-ROM. Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	17	transit congestion mitigation measures using passenger assignment model, Journal of Eastern Asia				
 Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Schmöcker, JD., and Bell, M. G. H., Optimisation of bus network configuration and frequency using transit assignment model, submitted to Transportation Research, Part C. Sumalee, A., 2004. An innovative approach to option generation for road user charging scheme design: Constrained and multi-criteria design, Proceedings of the 10th World Conference on Transportation Research, Istanbul, CD-ROM. Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	18	Transportation Studies, 6, 2076–2091.				
 Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Schmöcker, JD., and Bell, M. G. H., Optimisation of bus network configuration and frequency using transit assignment model, submitted to Transportation Research, Part C. Sumalee, A., 2004. An innovative approach to option generation for road user charging scheme design: Constrained and multi-criteria design, Proceedings of the 10th World Conference on Transportation Research, Istanbul, CD-ROM. Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	19					
 configuration and frequency using transit assignment model, submitted to Transportation Research, Part C. Sumalee, A., 2004. An innovative approach to option generation for road user charging scheme design: Constrained and multi-criteria design, Proceedings of the 10th World Conference on Transportation Research, Istanbul, CD-ROM. Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	20	Shimamoto, H., Kurauchi, F., Schmöcker, JD., and Bell, M. G. H., Optimisation of bus network				
 C. Sumalee, A., 2004. An innovative approach to option generation for road user charging scheme design: Constrained and multi-criteria design, Proceedings of the 10th World Conference on Transportation Research, Istanbul, CD-ROM. Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	21	configuration and frequency using transit assignment model, submitted to Transportation Research, Part				
 Sumalee, A., 2004. An innovative approach to option generation for road user charging scheme design: Constrained and multi-criteria design, Proceedings of the 10th World Conference on Transportation Research, Istanbul, CD-ROM. Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	22	C.				
 Sumalee, A., 2004. An innovative approach to option generation for road user charging scheme design: Constrained and multi-criteria design, Proceedings of the 10th World Conference on Transportation Research, Istanbul, CD-ROM. Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	23					
 Constrained and multi-criteria design, Proceedings of the 10th World Conference on Transportation Research, Istanbul, CD-ROM. Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	24	Sumalee, A., 2004. An innovative approach to option generation for road user charging scheme design:				
 Research, Istanbul, CD-ROM. Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	25	Constrained and multi-criteria design, Proceedings of the 10 th World Conference on Transportation				
 Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	26	Research, Istanbul, CD-ROM.				
 Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	27					
 equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia, http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	28	Victoria Transport Policy Institute, 2010. Equity evaluation: Perspectives and methods for evaluating the				
 http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010). Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	29 20	equity impact of transportation decisions, On-line TDM Encyclopedia,				
 Viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	30 21	http://www.vtpi.org/tdm/tdm13.htm (accessed April 2010).				
 viegas, J. M., 2001. Making urban road pricing acceptable and effective: Search for quality and equity in urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	31 22	Viegos I.M. 2001 Melting when read mising accortable and effectives Second for quality and equity in				
 35 urban mobility, Transport Policy 8, 289–294. 34 35 Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, 36 Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	32 22	when mobility. Transport Deligy 8, 220, 204				
 Yang, Z., Yu, B. and Cheng, C., 2007. A parallel ant colony algorithm for bus network optimization, Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 	33	urban mobility, Transport Folicy 8, 289–294.				
 Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, 22, 44–55. 37 	35	Yang Z Yu B and Cheng C 2007 A parallel ant colony algorithm for hus network optimization				
37	36	Journal of Computer-aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering 22, 44–55				
<i>ا</i> ن	37	comparer adea er i ana masa de chiga de la galecrang, 22, 11 55.				
38 Zhou, J. and Lam. W. H. K (2000) A bi-level programming approach – optimal transit fare under line	38	Zhou, J. and Lam. W. H. K (2000) A bi-level programming approach – optimal transit fare under line				
39 capacity constraints, Journal of Advanced Transportation, 35, 105–124.	39	capacity constraints, Journal of Advanced Transportation, 35, 105–124.				

3

7

2 Author Biographies

Hiroshi Shimamoto is lecturer at Kyoto University. He had been working at Hiroshima University
since 2007 and then moved to Kyoto University in 2010. His research interests include transportation
network analysis and public transportation planning.

- 8 Naoki Murayama is researcher at Oriental Consultants Company Limited, Japan. He received his 9 master degree in Graduate School for International Development and Cooperation from Hiroshima 10 University in 2009 mainly based on the topics of this paper.
- 11

12 Akimasa Fujiwara is Professor at Hiroshima University. His research interests include travel behaviour

- 13 survey and modelling, and transportation policies. He is a vice-dean of Graduate School for International
- 14 Development and Cooperation at Hiroshima University
- 15

16 Junyi Zhang is Associate Professor at Hiroshima University. His research interests include travel

- 17 behaviour survey and modelling, low-carbon urban system design tourism behaviour and traffic safety
- 18 analysis.