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#### Abstract

We classify the filtered modules with coefficients corresponding to two-dimensional potentially semi-stable $p$-adic representations of the absolute Galois groups of $p$-adic fields under the assumptions that $p$ is odd and the coefficients are large enough.


## Introduction

Let $p$ be an odd prime number, and let $K$ be a $p$-adic field. The absolute Galois group of $K$ is denoted by $G_{K}$. By the fundamental theorem of Colmez and Fontaine $[\mathrm{CF}]$, there exists a correspondence between potentially semi-stable $p$-adic representations and admissible filtered $(\phi, N)$-modules with Galois action. The aim of this paper is the classification of the admissible filtered $(\phi, N)$-modules with Galois action corresponding to two-dimensional potentially semi-stable $p$-adic representations of $G_{K}$ with coefficients in a $p$-adic field $E$.

If $K=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and $E=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, the classification is given in [FM, Appendix A] under the assumption that $p \geq 5$. If $K=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and $E$ is general, these filtered $(\phi, N)$-modules are studied in $[\mathrm{BM}]$ and $[\mathrm{Sav}]$, and the classification is given by Ghate and Mézard in [GM] under the assumptions that $p$ is odd and $E$ is large enough. In this paper, we generalize the results of $[\mathrm{GM}]$ to the case where $K$ is a general $p$-adic field.

In the case where $K$ is a general $p$-adic field, filtrations are determined by many weights and many elements of $\mathbb{P}^{1}(E)$. In fact we need $\left[K: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right]$ elemens of $\mathbb{P}^{1}(E)$ to parametrize two-dimensional potentially semi-stable $p$-adic representations. These elements of $\mathbb{P}^{1}(E)$ play a role similar to Fontaine-Mazur's $\mathfrak{L}$-invariants.

After writing of this paper, the author has known that there is preceding research [Do] on this subject by Dousmanis. The author does not claim priority, but there are some differences. In [Do], a classification is given by Frobenius action, and in this paper, we give a classification by Galois action. Let $F$ be a finite extension of $K$. A potentially semi-stable representation $\rho$ is said to be $F$-semi-stable, if the restriction of $\rho$ to the absolute Galois group of $F$ is semi-stable. In [Do], a classification of $F$-semi-stable representations is given for a general finite Galois extension $F$ of $K$. In this paper, we give a class of finite Galois extensions of $K$ such that any potentially semi-stable representation is $F$-semi-stable for a field $F$ in this class, and give a classification of $F$-semi-stable representations and a more explicit description of Galois action of $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ for $F$ in this class, assuming $p \neq 2$. This difference is conspicuous in the supercuspidal case. Let $F_{0}$ be the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ contained in $F$. In [Do, 5.3], it is proved that $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$-action on a filtered $(\phi \cdot N)-\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right)$-module comes from a $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ action on the two-dimensional $E$-vector space in the supercuspidal case. In this paper, we study the $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$-action explicitly by using a structure of $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$,
of coure, assumeing $F$ is in some class. Then, in this paper, we first fix a large enough coefficient field, and do not extend it in the classification.

This paper is clearly influenced by the paper [GM], and we owe a lot of arguments to [GM]. We mention it here, and do not repeat it each times in the sequel.
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Notation. Throughout this paper, we use the following notation. Let $p$ be an odd prime number, and $\mathbb{C}_{p}$ be the $p$-adic completion of the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. Let $K$ be a $p$-adic field. We consider $K$ as a subfield of $\mathbb{C}_{p}$. The residue field of $K$ is denoted by $k$, whose cardinality is $q$. Let $K_{0}$ be the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ contained in $K$. For any $p$-adic field $L$, the absolute Galois group of $L$ is denoted by $G_{L}$, the inertia subgroup of $G_{L}$ is denoted by $I_{L}$, the Weil group of $L$ is denoted by $W_{L}$, the ring of integers of $L$ is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{L}$ and the unique maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{L}$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{p}_{L}$. For a Galois extension $L$ of $K$, the inertia subgroup of $\operatorname{Gal}(L / K)$ is denoted by $I(L / K)$. Let $v_{p}$ be the valuations of $p$-adic fields normalized by $v_{p}(p)=1$.

## 1. Filtered $(\phi, N)$-modules

Let $E$ be a $p$-adic field. We consider a two-dimensional $p$-adic representation $V$ of $G_{K}$ over $E$, which is denoted by $\rho: G_{K} \rightarrow G L(V)$. As in [Fon], we can construct $K_{0}$-algebra $B_{\text {st }}$ with a Frobenius endomorphism, a monodromy operator and Galois action. Further, we can define a decreasing filtration on $K \otimes_{K_{0}} B_{\text {st }}$. Let $F$ be a finite Galois extension of $K$, and $F_{0}$ be the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ contained in $F$. Then we have $B_{\mathrm{st}}^{G_{F}}=F_{0}$. The $p$-adic representation $\rho$ is called $F$-semi-stable if and only if the dimension of $D_{\mathrm{st}, F}(V)=\left(B_{\mathrm{st}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} V\right)^{G_{F}}$ over $F_{0}$ is equal to the dimension of $V$ over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. If $\rho$ is $F$-semi-stable for some finite Galois extension $F$ of $K$, we say that $\rho$ is potentially semi-stable representation.

Potentially semi-stable representations are Hodge-Tate. To fix a convention, we recall the definition of the Hodge-Tate weights. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we put

$$
D_{\mathrm{HT}}^{i}(V)=\left(\mathbb{C}_{p}(i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} V\right)^{G_{K}} .
$$

Here and in the following, $(i)$ means $i$ times twists by the $p$-adic cyclotomic character of $G_{K}$. Then there is a $G_{K}$-equivariant isomorphism

$$
\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_{p}(-i) \otimes_{K} D_{\mathrm{HT}}^{i}(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}_{p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} V
$$

of $\left(\mathbb{C}_{p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right)$-modules. The Hodge-Tate weights of the representation $V$ are the integers $i$ such that $D_{\mathrm{HT}}^{-i}(V) \neq 0$, with multiplicities $\operatorname{dim}_{E}\left(D_{\mathrm{HT}}^{-i}(V)\right)$.

Next, we recall the definition of the filtered $(\phi, N, \operatorname{Gal}(F / K), E)$-modules. A filtered $(\phi, N, \operatorname{Gal}(F / K), E)$-module is a finite free $\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right)$-module $D$ endowed with

- the Frobenius endomorphism: an $F_{0}$-semi-linear, $E$-linear, bijective map $\phi: D \rightarrow D$,
- the monodromy operator: an $\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right)$-linear, nilpotent endomorphism $N: D \rightarrow D$ that satisfies $N \phi=p \phi N$,
- the Galois action: an $F_{0}$-semi-linear, $E$-linear action of $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ that commutes with the action of $\phi$ and $N$,
- the filtration: a decreasing filtration $\left(\mathrm{Fil}^{i} D_{F}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of $\left(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right)$-submodules of $D_{F}=F \otimes_{F_{0}} D$ that are stable under the action of $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ and satisfy

$$
\mathrm{Fil}^{i} D_{F}=D_{F} \text { for } i \ll 0 \text { and } \mathrm{Fil}^{i} D_{F}=0 \text { for } i \gg 0
$$

Let $D$ be a filtered $(\phi, N, \operatorname{Gal}(F / K), E)$-module. Then, by forgetting the $E$ module structure, $D$ is also a filtered $\left(\phi, N, \operatorname{Gal}(F / K), \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$-module. We put $d=$ $\operatorname{dim}_{F_{0}} D$. Then $\bigwedge_{F_{0}}^{d} D$ is a filtered $\left(\phi, N, \operatorname{Gal}(F / K), \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$-module of dimension 1 over $F_{0}$. We put

$$
t_{\mathrm{H}}(D)=\max \left\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \operatorname{Fil}^{i}\left(F \otimes_{F_{0}} \bigwedge_{F_{0}}^{d} D\right) \neq 0\right\}, t_{\mathrm{N}}(D)=v_{p}(\lambda)
$$

where $\lambda$ is an element of $F_{0}^{\times}$that satisfies $\phi(x)=\lambda x$ for a non-zero element $x$ of $\bigwedge_{F_{0}}^{d} D$. We say that $D$ is admissible if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- $t_{\mathrm{H}}(D)=t_{\mathrm{N}}(D)$.
- For any $F_{0}$-submodule $D^{\prime}$ of $D$ that is stable by $\phi$ and $N$, we have $t_{\mathrm{H}}\left(D^{\prime}\right) \leq$ $t_{\mathrm{N}}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$, where $D_{F}^{\prime} \subset D_{F}$ is equipped with the induced filtration.
By [BM, Proposition 3.1.1.5], we may replace the above second condition by the following condition:
- For any $\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right)$-submodule $D^{\prime}$ of $D$ that is stable by $\phi$ and $N$, we have $t_{\mathrm{H}}\left(D^{\prime}\right) \leq t_{\mathrm{N}}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$, where $D_{F}^{\prime} \subset D_{F}$ is equipped with the induced filtration.
Let $k_{0}$ be a non-negative integer. By the results of [CF], there is an equivalence of categories between the category of two-dimensional $F$-semi-stable representations of $G_{K}$ over $E$ with Hodge-Tate weights in $\left\{0, \ldots, k_{0}\right\}$ and the category of admissible filtered $(\phi, N, \operatorname{Gal}(F / K), E)$-modules of rank 2 over $F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E$ such that $\operatorname{Fil}^{-k_{0}}\left(D_{F}\right)=D_{F}$ and $\operatorname{Fil}^{1}\left(D_{F}\right)=0$. This equivalence of categories is given by the functor $D_{\mathrm{st}, F}$ defined above. The aim of this paper is the classification of the objects of later categories under the assumption that $E$ is large enough.


## 2. Preliminaries

Let $\rho: G_{K} \rightarrow G L(V)$ be a two-dimensional potentially-semi-stable representation over $E$. We assume that $\rho$ is $F$-semi-stable, and put $D=D_{\text {st }, F}(V)$. We recall the definition of Weil-Deligne representation associated to $\rho$. Now we have $W_{K} / W_{F}=\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$. Let $m_{0}$ be the degree of the field extension $K_{0}$ over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. We define an $F_{0}$-linear action of $g \in W_{K}$ on $D$ by $\left(g \bmod W_{F}\right) \circ \phi^{-m_{0} \alpha(g)}$, where the image of $g$ in $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{k} / k)$ is the $\alpha(g)$-th power of the $q$-th power Frobenius map.

We assume that $F_{0} \subset E$. According to an isomorphism

$$
F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\sigma_{i}: F_{0} \hookrightarrow E} E ; a \otimes b \mapsto \sigma_{i}(a) b,
$$

we have a decomposition

$$
D \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\sigma_{i}: F_{0} \hookrightarrow E} D_{i} .
$$

Here and in the sequel, $\sigma_{i}$ is an embedding determined by the $(-i)$-th power of the $p$-th power Frobenius map for $1 \leq i \leq\left[F_{0}: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right]$. Then $D_{i}$, with an induced action of $W_{K}$ and an induced monodromy operator, defines a Weil-Deligne representation.

The isomorphism class of this Weil-Deligne representation is independent of choice of $F$ and $\sigma_{i}$ (cf. [BM, Lemme 2.2.1.2]), and is, by definition, the Weil-Deligne representation $\mathrm{WD}(\rho)$ attached to $\rho$.

We note that, in the above decomposition of $D$, the Frobenius endomorphism $\phi$ induce $E$-linear isomorphism $\phi: D_{i} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{i+1}$. Naturally, we consider a suffix $i$ modulo $\left[F_{0}: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right]$, and we often use such conventions in the sequel.

A Galois type $\tau$ of degree 2 is an equivalence class of representations $\tau: I_{K} \rightarrow$ $G L_{2}\left(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}\right)$ with open kernel that extend to representations of $W_{K}$. We say that an two-dimensional potentially semi-stable representation $\rho$ has Galois type $\tau$ if $\left.\mathrm{WD}(\rho)\right|_{I_{K}} \simeq \tau$. The potentially semi-stable representation $\rho$ is $F$-semi-stable if and only if $\left.\tau\right|_{I_{F}}$ is trivial.

For a group $G$, an element $g \in G$, a normal subgroup $H$ of $G$ and a character $\chi: H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\times}$, we define a character $\chi^{g}: H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\times}$by $\chi^{g}(h)=\chi\left(g h g^{-1}\right)$ for $h \in H$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\tau$ be a Galois type of degree 2. Then $\tau$ has one of the following forms:
(1) $\left.\left.\tau \simeq \chi_{1}\right|_{I_{K}} \oplus \chi_{2}\right|_{I_{K}}$, where $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}$ are characters of $W_{K}$ finite on $I_{K}$,
(2) $\left.\tau \simeq \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{K^{\prime}}}^{W_{K}}(\chi)\right|_{I_{K}}=\left.\left.\chi\right|_{I_{K}} \oplus \chi^{\sigma}\right|_{I_{K}}$, where $K^{\prime}$ is the unramified quadratic extension of $K, \chi$ is a character of $W_{K^{\prime}}$ that is finite on $I_{K^{\prime}}$ and does not extend to $W_{K}$, and $\sigma \in W_{K}$ is a lift of the generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K^{\prime} / K\right)$,
(3) $\left.\tau \simeq \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{K^{\prime}}}^{W_{K}}(\chi)\right|_{I_{K}}$, where $K^{\prime}$ is a ramified quadratic extension of $K$, and $\chi$ is a character of $W_{K^{\prime}}$ such that $\chi$ is finite on $I_{K^{\prime}}$ and $\left.\chi\right|_{I_{K^{\prime}}}$ does not extend to $I_{K}$.

Proof. This is a classical lemma, but we briefly recall a proof.
We extend $\tau$ to a representation of $W_{K}$, which is denoted by $\tilde{\tau}$. If $\tilde{\tau}$ is reducible, we are in the case (1), so we may assume that $\tilde{\tau}$ is irreducible.

First, we treat the case where $\tau$ is reducible. In this case, $\tau \simeq \chi \oplus \chi^{\prime}$ for some characters $\chi, \chi^{\prime}$ of $I_{K}$. By irreducibility of $\tilde{\tau}$, we have $\chi^{\prime}=\chi^{\sigma}$. Then $\left.\tilde{\tau}\right|_{W_{K^{\prime}}}$ is already reducible for the unramified quadratic extension $K^{\prime}$ of $K$. So we are in the case (2).

Next, we treat the case where $\tau$ is irreducible. Let $I_{K}^{\mathrm{w}}$ be the wild inertia subgroup of $I_{K}$. Then $\left.\tau\right|_{I_{K}^{\mathrm{w}}}$ is reducible, because a dimension of an irreducible representation of a $p$-group is a power of $p$ and $p \neq 2$. Then $\left.\tilde{\tau}\right|_{W_{K^{\prime}}}$ is already reducible for a ramified quadratic extension $K^{\prime}$ of $K$. So we are in the case (3).

To avoid the problem of the rationality, we assume that $E$ is a Galois extension over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}, F \subset E$ and the following:

For all $p$-adic fields $K^{\prime}$ such that $K \subset K^{\prime} \subset F$ and $\left[K^{\prime}: K\right] \leq 2$, and for all characters $\chi$ of $W_{K^{\prime}}$ that are trivial on $I_{F}$, the restrictions $\left.\chi\right|_{I_{K^{\prime}}}$ factor through $E^{\times}$.
For example, if $E$ contains the $|I(F / K)|$-th roots of unity, then this condition is satisfied.

In the sequel, let $\rho: G_{K} \rightarrow G L(V)$ be a two-dimensional potentially semi-stable representation over $E$ with Hodge-Tate weight in $\left\{0, \ldots, k_{0}\right\}$, and $\tau$ be its Galois type.

Lemma 2.2. (cf. [GM, Lemma 2.3]) If $\rho$ is not potentially crystalline, then $\tau$ is a scalar.

Therefore, there are following three possibilities:

- Special or Steinberg case: $N \neq 0$ and $\tau$ is a scalar.
- Principal series case: $N=0$ and $\tau$ is as in (1) of Lemma 2.1.
- Supercuspidal case: $N=0$ and $\tau$ is as in (2) or (3) of Lemma 2.1.

Next, we study the structure of the filtrations. We assume $\rho$ is $F$-semi-stable, and take the corresponding filtered $(\phi, N, \operatorname{Gal}(F / K), E)$-module $D$. We have a decomposition

$$
F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{j_{F}: F \hookrightarrow E} E=\prod_{j: K \hookrightarrow E}\left(\prod_{j_{F}: F \hookrightarrow E,\left.j_{F}\right|_{K}=j} E\right)=\prod_{j: K \hookrightarrow E} E_{j},
$$

where $j_{F}$ and $j$ are $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$-embeddings and we put

$$
E_{j}=\prod_{j_{F}: F \hookrightarrow E,\left.j_{F}\right|_{K}=j} E .
$$

According to the above decomposition, we have decompositions

$$
D_{F} \cong \prod_{j: K \hookrightarrow E} D_{F, j} \text { and } \mathrm{Fil}^{i} D_{F} \cong \prod_{j: K \hookrightarrow E} \mathrm{Fil}_{j}^{i} D_{F}
$$

Because $\operatorname{Fil}^{i} D_{F}$ is $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$-stable, $\operatorname{Fil}_{j}^{i} D_{F}$ is free over $E_{j}$. We take integers $0 \leq k_{j, 1} \leq k_{j, 2} \leq k_{0}$ such that

$$
D_{F, j}=\operatorname{Fil}_{j}^{-k_{j, 2}} D_{F} \supsetneq \mathrm{Fil}_{j}^{1-k_{j, 2}} D_{F}=\operatorname{Fil}_{j}^{-k_{j, 1}} D_{F} \supsetneq \mathrm{Fil}_{j}^{1-k_{j, 1}} D_{F}=0
$$

Then the Hodge-Tate weights of $\rho$ are $\bigcup_{j: K \hookrightarrow E}\left\{k_{j, 1}, k_{j, 2}\right\}$.
We are going to prepare some lemmas.
Lemma 2.3. There is a $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$-equivariant isomorphism

$$
F \otimes_{K} E \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{j}
$$

of $E$-algebra.
Proof. Let $j_{0}$ be a natural inclusion $K \subset E$. Take an extension $j_{E}: E \xrightarrow{\sim} E$ of $j: K \hookrightarrow E$. Then a $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$-equivariant isomorphism

$$
\prod_{j_{F}: F \hookrightarrow E,\left.j_{F}\right|_{K}=j_{0}} E \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{j_{F}: F \hookrightarrow E,\left.j_{F}\right|_{K}=j} E
$$

of $E$-algebra is given by sending $j_{F}$-components to $\left(j_{E} \circ j_{F}\right)$-components.
Lemma 2.4. If $k_{j, 1}<k_{j, 2}$, then $\mathrm{Fil}_{j}^{-k_{j, 1}} D_{F} \subset D_{F, j}$ is spanned by a Galois invariant element over $E_{j}$.
Proof. A generator of $\mathrm{Fil}_{j}^{-k_{j, 1}} D_{F}$ over $E_{j}$ generates an $E_{j}^{\times}$-torsor with $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ action. An $E_{j}^{\times}$-torsor with $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$-action is tirivial, if $H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Gal}(F / K), E_{j}^{\times}\right)=$ 0 . So it suffices to show that $H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Gal}(F / K), E_{j}^{\times}\right)=0$. By Lemma 2.3, $E_{j}^{\times}$is isomorphic to $\left(F \otimes_{K} E\right)^{\times}$, and it is further isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ind}_{\left\{\operatorname{id}_{F}\right\}}^{\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)} E^{\times}$. By Shapiro's lemma, $H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Gal}(F / K), \operatorname{Ind}_{\left\{\operatorname{id}_{F}\right\}}^{\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)} E^{\times}\right)=H^{1}\left(\left\{\operatorname{id}_{F}\right\}, E^{\times}\right)=0$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $K^{\prime}, M$ be p-adic fields such that $K \subset K^{\prime} \subset M \subset F$ and $M$ is a Galois extension of $K^{\prime}$. Let $\chi: \operatorname{Gal}\left(M / K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow E^{\times}$be a character. We put $m=\left[K^{\prime}: K\right]$. Then there exist $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} \in M \otimes_{K} E$ that satisfy the followings:

- For $x \in M \otimes_{K} E$, we have $g x=\left(1 \otimes \chi(g)^{-1}\right) x$ for all $g \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(M / K^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $x=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1 \otimes a_{i}\right) x_{i}$ for $a_{i} \in E$.
- For $a_{i} \in E$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1 \otimes a_{i}\right) x_{i} \in\left(M \otimes_{K} E\right)^{\times}$if and only if $a_{i} \neq 0$ for all $i$.

Proof. We have a decomposition

$$
M \otimes_{K} E \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{j_{M}: M \hookrightarrow E} E=\prod_{j^{\prime}: K^{\prime} \hookrightarrow E}\left(\prod_{j_{M}: M \hookrightarrow E,\left.j_{M}\right|_{K^{\prime}}=j^{\prime}} E\right)=\prod_{j^{\prime}: K^{\prime} \hookrightarrow E} E_{j^{\prime}}
$$

where $j_{M}$ and $j^{\prime}$ are $K$-embeddings and we put

$$
E_{j^{\prime}}=\prod_{j_{M}: M \hookrightarrow E,\left.j_{M}\right|_{K^{\prime}}=j^{\prime}} E .
$$

Let $\left(x_{j^{\prime}}\right)_{j^{\prime}} \in \prod_{j^{\prime}: K^{\prime} \hookrightarrow E} E_{j^{\prime}}$ be the image of $x$ under the above isomorphism. Then, $g x=\left(1 \otimes \chi(g)^{-1}\right) x$ for all $g \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(M / K^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $g x_{j^{\prime}}=\chi(g)^{-1} x_{j^{\prime}}$ for all $g \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(M / K^{\prime}\right)$ and all $j^{\prime}: K^{\prime} \hookrightarrow E$. Further, $x \in\left(M \otimes_{K} E\right)^{\times}$if and only if $x_{j^{\prime}} \in E_{j^{\prime}}^{\times}$for all $j^{\prime}$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.3, we can show there is a $\operatorname{Gal}\left(M / K^{\prime}\right)$-equivariant isomorphism $M \otimes_{K^{\prime}} E \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{j^{\prime}}$ of $E$-algebra. So, to prove this Lemma, it suffices to treat the case where $m=1$.

We assume that $m=1$. Take $\alpha \in M$ such that $g(\alpha)$ for $g \in \operatorname{Gal}(M / K)$ form a basis of $M$ over $K$. Then $x \in M \otimes_{K} E$ can be written uniquely as

$$
\sum_{g \in \operatorname{Gal}(M / K)} g(\alpha) \otimes a_{g}
$$

for $a_{g} \in E$. If $h x=\left(1 \otimes \chi(h)^{-1}\right) x$ for all $h \in \operatorname{Gal}(M / K)$, we have $a_{i, h^{-1} g}=$ $\chi^{-1}(h) a_{g}$ for all $g, h \in \operatorname{Gal}(M / K)$. By putting $a_{1}=a_{\mathrm{id}_{M}}$, we have

$$
x=\left(1 \otimes a_{1}\right) \sum_{g \in \operatorname{Gal}(M / K)} g(\alpha) \otimes \chi(g) .
$$

It suffices to put $x_{1}=\sum_{g \in \operatorname{Gal}(M / K)} g(\alpha) \otimes \chi(g)$.

## 3. Classification

3.1. Special or Steinberg case. In this case, $\left.\left.\tau \simeq \chi\right|_{I_{K}} \oplus \chi\right|_{I_{K}}$ for some character $\chi$ of $W_{K}$ that is finite on $I_{K}$, and there exists a totally ramified cyclic extension $F$ of $K$ such that $\left.\chi\right|_{I_{F}}$ is trivial. So we may assume that $\rho$ is $F$-semi-stable, and $\chi$ determine the action of $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ on $D$, which is again denoted by $\chi$.

Since $N \phi=p \phi N$, we have that $\operatorname{Ker} N$ is $\phi$-stable and free of rank 1 over $F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E$. So we can take a basis $e_{1}, e_{2}$ of $D$ over $F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E$ such that $N\left(e_{1}\right)=e_{2}$ and $N\left(e_{2}\right)=0$. Again by $N \phi=p \phi N$, we must have $\phi\left(e_{1}\right)=\frac{p}{\alpha} e_{1}+\gamma e_{2}$ and $\phi\left(e_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\alpha} e_{2}$ with $\alpha \in\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right)^{\times}$and $\gamma \in F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E$. Modifying $e_{1}$ by a scalar multiple of $e_{2}$, we may assume $\gamma=0$. Let $\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{i} \in \prod_{\sigma_{i}: F_{0} \hookrightarrow E} E$ be the image of $\alpha$ under the isomorphism

$$
F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\sigma_{i}: F_{0} \hookrightarrow E} E .
$$

Then, by calculations, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{\mathrm{H}}(D)=-[E: K] \sum_{j: K \hookrightarrow E}\left(k_{j, 1}+k_{j, 2}\right), \\
& t_{\mathrm{N}}(D)=\left[E: F_{0}\right]\left(m_{0}-2 \sum_{i} v_{p}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So the condition $t_{\mathrm{H}}(D)=t_{\mathrm{N}}(D)$ is equivalent to that

$$
2\left[K: K_{0}\right] \sum_{i} v_{p}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\sum_{j}\left(k_{j, 1}+k_{j, 2}+1\right) .
$$

For $j: K \hookrightarrow E$ satisfying $k_{j, 1}<k_{j, 2}$, by Lemma 2.4, we take $a_{j}, b_{j} \in E_{j}$ such that $\mathrm{Fil}_{j}^{-k_{j, 1}} D_{F}=E_{j}\left(a_{j} e_{1}+b_{j} e_{2}\right)$, and $\left(a_{j} e_{1}+b_{j} e_{2}\right)$ is $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$-invariant. We note that $a_{j}=0$ or $a_{j} \in E_{j}^{\times}$and that $b_{j}=0$ or $b_{j} \in E_{j}^{\times}$.

The only non-trivial $(\phi, N)$-stable $\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right)$-submodule of $D$ is $D_{2}^{\prime}=\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\right.$ $E) e_{2}$. By calculations, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{\mathrm{H}}\left(D_{2}^{\prime}\right) & =-[E: K]\left\{\sum_{a_{j}=0} k_{j, 1}+\sum_{a_{j} \neq 0} k_{j, 2}+\sum_{k_{j, 1}=k_{j, 2}} k_{j, 2}\right\} \\
t_{\mathrm{N}}\left(D_{2}^{\prime}\right) & =-\left[E: F_{0}\right] \sum_{i} v_{p}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So the condition $t_{\mathrm{H}}\left(D_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq t_{\mathrm{N}}\left(D_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is equivalent to that

$$
\left[K: K_{0}\right] \sum_{i} v_{p}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{a_{j}=0} k_{j, 1}+\sum_{a_{j} \neq 0} k_{j, 2}+\sum_{k_{j, 1}=k_{j, 2}} k_{j, 2} .
$$

Since $\left(a_{j} e_{1}+b_{j} e_{2}\right)$ is $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$-invariant, $g \in \operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ acts on $a_{j}$ and $b_{j}$ by $\chi(g)^{-1}$. By Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.5, there is $x_{1} \in E_{j}$ such that $a_{j}=a_{j}^{\prime} x_{1}$ and $b_{j}=b_{j}^{\prime} x_{1}$ for $a_{j}^{\prime}, b_{j}^{\prime} \in E$. Then, for $j$ such that $a_{j} \neq 0$,

$$
\operatorname{Fil}_{j}^{-k_{j, 1}} D_{F}=E_{j}\left(a_{j}^{\prime} x_{1} e_{1}+b_{j}^{\prime} x_{1} e_{2}\right)=E_{j}\left(e_{1}-\mathfrak{L}_{j} e_{2}\right)
$$

for $\mathfrak{L}_{j} \in E$.
Proposition 3.1. We assume that $N \neq 0$. Then $\left.\left.\tau \simeq \chi\right|_{I_{K}} \oplus \chi\right|_{I_{K}}$ for some character $\chi$ of $W_{K}$ that is finite on $I_{K}$. If we take a totally ramified cyclic extension $F$ of $K$ such that $\chi$ is trivial on $I_{F}$, then $D=\left(F_{0} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{p} E\right) e_{1} \oplus\left(F_{0} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{p} E\right) e_{2}$ with

$$
N\left(e_{1}\right)=e_{2}, \quad N\left(e_{2}\right)=0, \phi\left(e_{1}\right)=\frac{p}{\alpha} e_{1}, \phi\left(e_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\alpha} e_{2}
$$

for $\alpha \in\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right)^{\times}$,

$$
g e_{1}=\chi(g) e_{1}, g e_{2}=\chi(g) e_{2}
$$

for $g \in \operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ and

$$
\operatorname{Fil}_{j}^{-k_{j, 1}} D_{F}= \begin{cases}E_{j} e_{2} & \text { if } j \in I_{1} \\ E_{j}\left(e_{1}-\mathfrak{L}_{j} e_{2}\right) \text { for } \mathfrak{L}_{j} \in E & \text { if } j \in I_{2}\end{cases}
$$

for $j$ such that $k_{j, 1}<k_{j, 2}$, where

$$
2\left[K: K_{0}\right] \sum_{i} v_{p}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\sum_{j}\left(k_{j, 1}+k_{j, 2}+1\right),
$$

and $I_{1}, I_{2}$ are any disjoint sets such that $I_{1} \cup I_{2}=\left\{j \mid k_{j, 1}<k_{j, 2}\right\}$ and

$$
\left[K: K_{0}\right] \sum_{i} v_{p}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{j \in I_{1}} k_{j, 1}+\sum_{j \in I_{2}} k_{j, 2}+\sum_{k_{j, 1}=k_{j, 2}} k_{j, 2}
$$

3.2. Principal series case. In this case, $\left.\left.\tau \simeq \chi_{1}\right|_{I_{K}} \oplus \chi_{2}\right|_{I_{K}}$ and $N=0$. We can take a totally ramified abelian extension $F$ of $K$ such that $\left.\chi_{1}\right|_{I_{F}}$ and $\left.\chi_{2}\right|_{I_{F}}$ are trivial. Then $\chi_{1}$ and $\chi_{2}$ determine the action of $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ on $D$, which is again denoted by the same symbols.
3.2.1. Irreducible case. First, we assume that $\left.\chi_{1}\right|_{I_{K}}=\left.\chi_{2}\right|_{I_{K}}$ and $D$ has no nontrivial $\phi$-stable $\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right)$-submodule. In this case, we say that $\phi$ is irreducible. If not, we say that $\phi$ is reducible. We put $\chi=\chi_{1}$.

Take bases $e_{i, 1}, e_{i, 2}$ of $D_{i}$ over $E$ for $1 \leq i \leq m_{0}$ so that

$$
\phi\left(e_{1,1}\right)=a e_{2,1}+c e_{2,2}, \phi\left(e_{1,2}\right)=b e_{2,1}+d e_{2,2}
$$

for $a, b, c, d \in E$, and

$$
\phi\left(e_{i, 1}\right)=e_{i+1,1}, \phi\left(e_{i, 2}\right)=e_{i+1,2}
$$

for $2 \leq i \leq m_{0}$. Let $e_{1}, e_{2}$ be a basis of $D$ over $F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E$ determined by $\left(e_{i, 1}\right)_{i}$, $\left(e_{i, 2}\right)_{i}$ under the isomorphism $D \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{i} D_{i}$. We will use the same notation in the classification of other cases.

Since $\phi$ is irreducible, $b \neq 0$ and $c \neq 0$. Modifying $e_{i, 1}$ by a scalar multiple of $e_{i, 2}$, we may assume $d=0$. If $X^{2}-a X-b c$ is reducible in $E[X]$, by replacing the bases, we can see that $\phi$ is reducible. This is a contradiction. So $X^{2}-a X-b c$ is irreducible in $E[X]$.

Conversely, we suppose that $a, b, c \in E$ are given, $d=0$, and $X^{2}-a X-b c$ is irreducible in $E[X]$. Then the above description determines an endomorphism $\phi$. We prove that this endomorphism $\phi$ is irreducible. If $\phi$ is reducible, there are $A_{i} \in G L_{2}(E)$ such that

$$
A_{2}^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & 0
\end{array}\right) A_{1}, A_{3}^{-1} A_{2}, A_{4}^{-1} A_{3}, \ldots, A_{1}^{-1} A_{m_{0}}
$$

are all upper triangular matrices. Then, multiplying these matrices together, we have that $A_{1}^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & 0\end{array}\right) A_{1}$ is an upper triangular matrix. This contradicts that $X^{2}-a X-b c$ is irreducible in $E[X]$.

As above, the endomorphism $\phi$ is given by $a, b, c \in E$ such that $X^{2}-a X-b c$ is reducible in $E[X]$. Now, by calculation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{\mathrm{H}}(D)=-[E: K] \sum_{j: K \hookrightarrow E}\left(k_{j, 1}+k_{j, 2}\right), \\
& t_{\mathrm{N}}(D)=\left[E: F_{0}\right] v_{p}(b c) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So the condition $t_{\mathrm{H}}(D)=t_{\mathrm{N}}(D)$ is equivalent to that

$$
-\left[K: K_{0}\right] v_{p}(b c)=\sum_{j}\left(k_{j, 1}+k_{j, 2}\right) .
$$

Since $\phi$ is irreducible, $D$ has no non-trivial $(\phi, N)$-stable $\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right)$-submodule. So there is no condition on the filtrations. For $j$ such that $k_{j, 1}<k_{j, 2}$, by Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$
\operatorname{Fil}_{j}^{-k_{j, 1}} D_{F}=E_{j}\left(a_{j} e_{1}+b_{j} e_{2}\right)
$$

for $\left(a_{j}, b_{j}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(E)$.
By studies of the other cases, $\phi$ is irreducible only if $N=0$ and $\left.\left.\tau \simeq \chi\right|_{I_{K}} \oplus \chi\right|_{I_{K}}$ for some character $\chi$ of $W_{K}$ that is finite on $I_{K}$.
Proposition 3.2. We assume that $\phi$ is irreducible. Then $N=0$ and $\left.\tau \simeq \chi\right|_{I_{K}} \oplus$ $\left.\chi\right|_{I_{K}}$ for some character $\chi$ of $W_{K}$ that is finite on $I_{K}$. If we take a totally ramified cyclic extension $F$ of $K$ such that $\chi$ is trivial on $I_{F}$, then $D=\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right) e_{1} \oplus$ $\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right) e_{2}$ with

$$
\phi\left(e_{1,1}\right)=a e_{2,1}+c e_{2,2}, \phi\left(e_{1,2}\right)=b e_{2,1}
$$

for $a, b \in E^{\times}$such that $X^{2}-a X-b c$ is irreducible in $E[X]$,

$$
\phi\left(e_{i, 1}\right)=e_{i+1,1}, \phi\left(e_{i, 2}\right)=e_{i+1,2}
$$

for $2 \leq i \leq m_{0}$,

$$
g e_{1}=\chi(g) e_{1}, g e_{2}=\chi(g) e_{2}
$$

for $g \in \operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ and, for $j$ such that $k_{j, 1}<k_{j, 2}$,

$$
\operatorname{Fil}_{j}^{-k_{j, 1}} D_{F}=E_{j}\left(a_{j} e_{1}+b_{j} e_{2}\right)
$$

for $\left(a_{j}, b_{j}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(E)$, where

$$
-\left[K: K_{0}\right] v_{p}(b c)=\sum_{j}\left(k_{j, 1}+k_{j, 2}\right) .
$$

3.2.2. Non-split reducible case. If $D$ has two or more non-trivial $\phi$-stable ( $\left.F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right)$ submodules, we say that $\phi$ is split. If not, we say that $\phi$ is non-split. We assume that $\left.\chi_{1}\right|_{I_{K}}=\left.\chi_{2}\right|_{I_{K}}$ and that $\phi$ is non-split and reducible. We put $\chi=\chi_{1}$.

Since $\phi$ is reducible, we can take bases $e_{i, 1}, e_{i, 2}$ of $D_{i}$ over $E$ and $a_{i}, b_{i}, d_{i} \in E$ for all $i$ so that

$$
\phi\left(e_{i, 1}\right)=a_{i} e_{i+1,1}, \phi\left(e_{i, 2}\right)=b_{i} e_{i+1,1}+d_{i} e_{i+1,2}
$$

for all $i$. Replacing the bases, we may assume that $a_{i}=d_{i}=1$ and $b_{i}=0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Since $\phi$ is non-split, $a_{1}=d_{1} \neq 0$ and $b_{1} \neq 0$. We put $a=a_{1}$ and $b=b_{1}$.

Conversely, we suppose that $a, b \in E^{\times}$are given. Then the above description determines an endomorphism $\phi$. We prove that this endomorphism $\phi$ is non-split. If $\phi$ is split, there are $A_{i} \in G L_{2}(E)$ such that

$$
A_{2}^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
0 & a
\end{array}\right) A_{1}, A_{3}^{-1} A_{2}, A_{4}^{-1} A_{3}, \ldots, A_{1}^{-1} A_{m_{0}}
$$

are all diagonal matrices. Then, multiplying these matrices together, we have that $A_{1}^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ 0 & a\end{array}\right) A_{1}$ is a diagonal matrix. This contradicts that $b \neq 0$.

As above, the endomorphism $\phi$ is given by $a, b \in E^{\times}$. The condition $t_{\mathrm{H}}(D)=$ $t_{\mathrm{N}}(D)$ is equivalent to that

$$
-2\left[K: K_{0}\right] v_{p}(a)=\sum_{j}\left(k_{j, 1}+k_{j, 2}\right)
$$

Now we have bases $e_{i, 1}, e_{i, 2}$ of $D_{i}$ over $E$ such that

$$
\phi\left(e_{1,1}\right)=a e_{2,1}, \quad \phi\left(e_{1,2}\right)=b e_{2,1}+a e_{2,2}
$$

for $a, b \in E^{\times}$, and

$$
\phi\left(e_{i, 1}\right)=e_{i+1,1}, \phi\left(e_{i, 2}\right)=e_{i+1,2}
$$

for $2 \leq i \leq m_{0}$.
For $j: K \hookrightarrow E$ satisfying $k_{j, 1}<k_{j, 2}$, by Lemma 2.4, we take $a_{j}, b_{j} \in E_{j}$ such that $\mathrm{Fil}_{j}^{-k_{j, 1}} D_{F}=E_{j}\left(a_{j} e_{1}+b_{j} e_{2}\right)$, and $\left(a_{j} e_{1}+b_{j} e_{2}\right)$ is $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$-invariant.

The only non-trivial $(\phi, N)$-stable $\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right)$-submodule of $D$ is $D_{1}^{\prime}=\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\right.$ $E) e_{1}$. The condition $t_{\mathrm{H}}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq t_{\mathrm{N}}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is equivalent to that

$$
-\left[K: K_{0}\right] v_{p}(a) \leq \sum_{b_{j}=0} k_{j, 1}+\sum_{b_{j} \neq 0} k_{j, 2}+\sum_{k_{j, 1}=k_{j, 2}} k_{j, 2} .
$$

As in the special or Steinberg case, for $j$ such that $b_{j} \neq 0$,

$$
\operatorname{Fil}_{j}^{-k_{j, 1}} D_{F}=E_{j}\left(-\mathfrak{L}_{j} e_{1}+e_{2}\right)
$$

for $\mathfrak{L}_{j} \in E$.
By studies of the other cases, $\phi$ is non-split reducible only if $N=0$ and $\tau \simeq$ $\left.\left.\chi\right|_{I_{K}} \oplus \chi\right|_{I_{K}}$ for some character $\chi$ of $W_{K}$ that is finite on $I_{K}$.

Proposition 3.3. We assume that $\phi$ is non-split reducible. Then $N=0$ and $\left.\left.\tau \simeq \chi\right|_{I_{K}} \oplus \chi\right|_{I_{K}}$ for some character $\chi$ of $W_{K}$ that is finite on $I_{K}$. If we take a totally ramified cyclic extension $F$ of $K$ such that $\chi$ is trivial on $I_{F}$, then $D=$ $\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right) e_{1} \oplus\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right) e_{2}$ with

$$
\phi\left(e_{1,1}\right)=a e_{2,1}, \quad \phi\left(e_{1,2}\right)=b e_{2,1}+a e_{2,2}
$$

for $a, b \in E^{\times}$,

$$
\phi\left(e_{i, 1}\right)=e_{i+1,1}, \phi\left(e_{i, 2}\right)=e_{i+1,2}
$$

for $2 \leq i \leq m_{0}$,

$$
g e_{1}=\chi(g) e_{1}, g e_{2}=\chi(g) e_{2}
$$

for $g \in \operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ and

$$
\operatorname{Fil}_{j}^{-k_{j, 1}} D_{F}= \begin{cases}E_{j} e_{1} & \text { if } j \in I_{1} \\ E_{j}\left(-\mathfrak{L}_{j} e_{1}+e_{2}\right) \text { for } \mathfrak{L}_{j} \in E & \text { if } j \in I_{2}\end{cases}
$$

for $j$ such that $k_{j, 1}<k_{j, 2}$, where

$$
-2\left[K: K_{0}\right] v_{p}(a)=\sum_{j}\left(k_{j, 1}+k_{j, 2}\right)
$$

and $I_{1}, I_{2}$ are any disjoint sets such that $I_{1} \cup I_{2}=\left\{j \mid k_{j, 1}<k_{j, 2}\right\}$ and

$$
-\left[K: K_{0}\right] v_{p}(a) \leq \sum_{j \in I_{1}} k_{j, 1}+\sum_{j \in I_{2}} k_{j, 2}+\sum_{k_{j, 1}=k_{j, 2}} k_{j, 2}
$$

3.2.3. Split case. The remaining cases are the following two cases:

- $\left.\chi_{1}\right|_{I_{K}}=\left.\chi_{2}\right|_{I_{K}}$ and $\phi$ is split.
- $\left.\chi_{1}\right|_{I_{K}} \neq\left.\chi_{2}\right|_{I_{K}}$.

First, we assume that $\left.\chi_{1}\right|_{I_{K}} \neq\left.\chi_{2}\right|_{I_{K}}$. Let $e_{1}, e_{2}$ be a basis of $D$ over $F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E$ such that $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ acts on $e_{1}$ by $\chi_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ by $\chi_{2}$. We put

$$
\phi\left(e_{1}\right)=\alpha e_{1}+\gamma e_{2}, \phi\left(e_{2}\right)=\beta e_{1}+\delta e_{2}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E$. Since $\phi$ commutes with the action of $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ and $\left.\chi_{1}\right|_{I_{K}} \neq\left.\chi_{2}\right|_{I_{K}}$, we have $\beta=\gamma=0$. So, in the both cases, we may assume that $\phi$ is split.

We take bases $e_{i, 1}, e_{i, 2}$ of $D_{i}$ over $E$ so that

$$
\phi\left(e_{1,1}\right)=a e_{2,1}, \phi\left(e_{1,2}\right)=b e_{2,2}
$$

for some $a, b \in E^{\times}$and

$$
\phi\left(e_{i, 1}\right)=e_{i+1,1}, \phi\left(e_{i, 2}\right)=e_{i+1,2}
$$

for $2 \leq i \leq m_{0}$. Let $e_{1}, e_{2}$ be a basis of $D$ over $F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E$ determined by $\left(e_{i, 1}\right)_{i}$, $\left(e_{i, 2}\right)_{i}$ under the isomorphism $D \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{i} D_{i}$.

Then the condition $t_{\mathrm{H}}(D)=t_{\mathrm{N}}(D)$ is equivalent to that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[K: K_{0}\right] v_{p}(a b)=\sum_{j}\left(k_{j, 1}+k_{j, 2}\right) \tag{S}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $j: K \hookrightarrow E$ satisfying $k_{j, 1}<k_{j, 2}$, by Lemma 2.4, we take $a_{j}, b_{j} \in E_{j}$ such that $\mathrm{Fil}_{j}^{-k_{j, 1}} D_{F}=E_{j}\left(a_{j} e_{1}+b_{j} e_{2}\right)$, and $\left(a_{j} e_{1}+b_{j} e_{2}\right)$ is $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$-invariant.

Since $\left(a_{j} e_{1}+b_{j} e_{2}\right)$ is $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$-invariant, $g \in \operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ acts on $a_{j}$ and $b_{j}$ by $\chi_{1}(g)^{-1}$ and $\chi_{2}(g)^{-1}$ respectively. By Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.5, there are $x_{1}, x_{2} \in E_{j}$ such that $a_{j}=a_{j}^{\prime} x_{1}$ and $b_{j}=b_{j}^{\prime} x_{2}$ for $a_{j}^{\prime}, b_{j}^{\prime} \in E$. Then, for $j$ such that $a_{j} \neq 0$ and $b_{j} \neq 0$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Fil}_{j}^{-k_{j, 1}} D_{F}=E_{j}\left(a_{j}^{\prime} x_{1} e_{1}+b_{j}^{\prime} x_{2} e_{2}\right)=E_{j}\left(e_{1}-\mathfrak{L}_{j} x_{0} e_{2}\right)
$$

for $\mathfrak{L}_{j} \in E^{\times}$, where we put $x_{0}=x_{1}^{-1} x_{2}$.
If $a \neq b$, the non-trivial $(\phi, N)$-stable $\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right)$-submodules of $D$ are $D_{1}^{\prime}=$ $\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right) e_{1}$ and $D_{2}^{\prime}=\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right) e_{2}$. The condition $t_{\mathrm{H}}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq t_{\mathrm{N}}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is equivalent to that

$$
\left[K: K_{0}\right] v_{p}(a) \leq \sum_{b_{j}=0} k_{j, 1}+\sum_{b_{j} \neq 0} k_{j, 2}+\sum_{k_{j, 1}=k_{j, 2}} k_{j, 2} .
$$

The condition $t_{\mathrm{H}}\left(D_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq t_{\mathrm{N}}\left(D_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is equivalent to that

$$
\left[K: K_{0}\right] v_{p}(b) \leq \sum_{a_{j}=0} k_{j, 1}+\sum_{a_{j} \neq 0} k_{j, 2}+\sum_{k_{j, 1}=k_{j, 2}} k_{j, 2} .
$$

If $a=b$, the non-trivial $(\phi, N)$-stable $\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right)$-submodules of $D$ are $D_{1}^{\prime}$, $D_{2}^{\prime}$ and $D_{\mathfrak{L}}^{\prime}=\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right)\left(e_{1}-\mathfrak{L} e_{2}\right)$ for $\mathfrak{L} \in E^{\times}$. For $\mathfrak{L} \in E^{\times}$, the condition $t_{\mathrm{H}}\left(D_{\mathfrak{L}}^{\prime}\right) \leq t_{\mathrm{N}}\left(D_{\mathfrak{L}}^{\prime}\right)$ is equivalent to that

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[K: K_{0}\right] v_{p}(a) \leq } & \sum_{a_{j} b_{j}=0} k_{j, 2}+\sum_{k_{j, 1}=k_{j, 2}} k_{j, 2}  \tag{L}\\
& +\sum_{a_{j} b_{j} \neq 0}\left\{t_{j}\left(\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}_{j}\right) k_{j, 1}+\left(1-t_{j}\left(\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}_{j}\right)\right) k_{j, 2}\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
t_{j}\left(\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}_{j}\right)=\frac{\mid\left\{j_{F}: F \hookrightarrow E \mid j_{F} \text {-component of } \mathfrak{L}_{j} x_{0} \in E_{j} \text { is } \mathfrak{L}\right\} \mid}{[F: K]} .
$$

If $t_{j}\left(\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}_{j}\right) \leq 1 / 2$, the condition $\left(S_{\mathfrak{L}}\right)$ is automatically satisfied by the condition (S).

We assume that $t_{j}\left(\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}_{j}\right)>1 / 2$. Then we have

$$
\frac{\left|\operatorname{Ker}\left(\chi_{1} \chi_{2}^{-1}: \operatorname{Gal}(F / K) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\times}\right)\right|}{[F: K]}>\frac{1}{2},
$$

because $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ act on $x_{0}$ by $\chi_{1} \chi_{2}^{-1}$. This implies that $\left.\chi_{1}\right|_{I_{K}}=\left.\chi_{2}\right|_{I_{K}}$ and

$$
x_{0}=\left(x_{E}\right)_{j_{F}} \in \prod_{j_{F}: F \hookrightarrow E,\left.j_{F}\right|_{K}=j} E
$$

for some $x_{E} \in E^{\times}$. Then $\mathfrak{L}_{j} x_{E}=\mathfrak{L}$ and $t_{j}\left(\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}_{j}\right)=1$.
Proposition 3.4. We assume that $N=0$ and $\phi$ is split reducible and $\left.\tau \simeq \chi_{1}\right|_{I_{K}} \oplus$ $\left.\chi_{2}\right|_{I_{K}}$ for some character $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}$ of $W_{K}$ that are finite on $I_{K}$. If we take a totally ramified cyclic extension $F$ of $K$ such that $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}$ is trivial on $I_{F}$, then $D=\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}\right.$ $E) e_{1} \oplus\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right) e_{2}$ with

$$
\phi\left(e_{1,1}\right)=a e_{2,1}, \quad \phi\left(e_{1,2}\right)=b e_{2,2}
$$

for $a, b \in E^{\times}$and

$$
\phi\left(e_{i, 1}\right)=e_{i+1,1}, \phi\left(e_{i, 2}\right)=e_{i+1,2}
$$

for $2 \leq i \leq m_{0}$ and

$$
\operatorname{Fil}_{j}^{-k_{j, 1}} D_{F}= \begin{cases}E_{j} e_{1} & \text { if } j \in I_{1} \\ E_{j} e_{2} & \text { if } j \in I_{2} \\ E_{j}\left(e_{1}-\mathfrak{L}_{j} x_{0} e_{2}\right) \text { for } \mathfrak{L}_{j} \in E^{\times} & \text {if } j \in I_{3}\end{cases}
$$

for $j$ such that $k_{j, 1}<k_{j, 2}$, where

$$
\left[K: K_{0}\right] v_{p}(a b)=\sum_{j}\left(k_{j, 1}+k_{j, 2}\right)
$$

and $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}$ are any disjoint sets such that $I_{1} \cup I_{2} \cup I_{3}=\left\{j \mid k_{j, 1}<k_{j, 2}\right\}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[K: K_{0}\right] v_{p}(a) \leq \sum_{j \in I_{1}} k_{j, 1}+\sum_{j \in I_{2} \cup I_{3}} k_{j, 2}+\sum_{k_{j, 1}=k_{j, 2}} k_{j, 2},} \\
& {\left[K: K_{0}\right] v_{p}(b) \leq \sum_{j \in I_{2}} k_{j, 1}+\sum_{j \in I_{1} \cup I_{3}} k_{j, 2}+\sum_{k_{j, 1}=k_{j, 2}} k_{j, 2},}
\end{aligned}
$$

and, if $a=b$ and $\left.\chi_{1}\right|_{I_{K}}=\left.\chi_{2}\right|_{I_{K}}$, further

$$
\left[K: K_{0}\right] v_{p}(a) \leq \sum_{j \in I_{3}, \mathfrak{L}_{j} x_{E}=\mathfrak{L}} k_{j, 1}+\sum_{j \in I_{3}, \mathfrak{L}_{j} x_{E} \neq \mathfrak{L}} k_{j, 2}+\sum_{j \in I_{1} \cup I_{2}} k_{j, 2}+\sum_{k_{j, 1}=k_{j, 2}} k_{j, 2}
$$

for all $\mathfrak{L} \in E^{\times}$.
3.3. Supercuspidal case. In this case, $N=0$ and $\left.\tau \simeq \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{K^{\prime}}}^{W_{K}}(\chi)\right|_{I_{K}}$ for a quadratic extension $K^{\prime}$ of $K$ and a character $\chi$ of $W_{K^{\prime}}$ that is finite on $I_{K^{\prime}}$. Let $k^{\prime}$ be the residue field of $K^{\prime}$. We take a totally ramified abelian extension $L$ of $K^{\prime}$ such that $\left.\chi\right|_{I_{L}}$ is trivial.

For a uniformizer $\pi^{\prime}$ of $K^{\prime}$ and a positive integer $n$, let $K_{\pi^{\prime}, n}^{\prime}$ be the Lubin-Tate extension of $K^{\prime}$ generated by the $\pi^{\prime n}$-torsion points. For any $p$-adic field $M$ and a positive integer $n$, we put $U_{M}^{(n)}=1+\mathfrak{p}_{M}^{n}$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\pi^{\prime}, n}^{\prime} / K^{\prime}\right) \cong\left(\mathcal{O}_{K^{\prime}} / \mathfrak{p}_{K^{\prime}}^{n}\right)^{\times} \cong k^{\prime \times} \times\left(U_{K^{\prime}}^{(1)} / U_{K^{\prime}}^{(n)}\right)
$$

For any $p$-adic field $M$ and a positive integer $m$, let $M_{m}$ be the unramified extension of $M$ of degree $m$.
3.3.1. Unramified case. We first treat the case in (2) of Lemma 2.1, where $K^{\prime}$ is unramified over $K$ and $\chi$ does not extend to $W_{K}$. We take a uniformizer $\pi$ of $K$. This is also a uniformizer of $K^{\prime}$. We take positive integers $m_{1}$ and $n_{1}$ so that $L$ is contained in $K_{m_{1}}^{\prime} K_{\pi, n_{1}}^{\prime}$, and put $F=K_{m_{1}}^{\prime} K_{\pi, n_{1}}^{\prime}$. Then $\rho$ is crystalline over $F$, and $F$ is a Galois extension of $K$.

We put $f(X)=\pi X+X^{q^{2}}$. For a positive integer $n$, let $f^{(n)}(X)$ be the $n$ th iterate of $f(X)$. We take a root $\theta$ of $f^{\left(n_{1}\right)}(X)$ in $K_{\pi, n_{1}}^{\prime}$ that is not a root of $f^{\left(n_{1}-1\right)}(X)$. Then $K_{\pi, n_{1}}^{\prime}=K^{\prime}(\theta)$. We can see that $K(\theta)$ is a totally ramified extension of $K$ and that $F$ is an unramified extension of $K(\theta)$ of degree $2 m_{1}$. Now the restriction $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K(\theta)) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{m_{1}}^{\prime} / K\right)$ is an isomorphism, and $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ is a semi-direct product of $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K(\theta))$ by $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F / K_{m_{1}}^{\prime}\right)$. We take a generator $\sigma$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K(\theta))$. Then the restriction $\left.\sigma\right|_{K^{\prime}}$ is the non-trivial element of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K^{\prime} / K\right)$.

We consider a decomposition

$$
U_{K^{\prime}}^{(1)} / U_{K^{\prime}}^{\left(n_{1}\right)}=U_{n_{1},+} \times U_{n_{1},-}
$$

of abelian groups such that $\sigma\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\gamma_{1}$ for $\gamma_{1} \in U_{n_{1},+}$ and $\sigma\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=\gamma_{2}^{-1}$ for $\gamma_{2} \in$ $U_{n_{1},-}$. There is an exact sequence

$$
1 \rightarrow U_{K}^{(1)} / U_{K}^{\left(n_{1}\right)} \rightarrow U_{K^{\prime}}^{(1)} / U_{K^{\prime}}^{\left(n_{1}\right)} \rightarrow U_{K^{\prime}}^{(1)} / U_{K^{\prime}}^{\left(n_{1}\right)}
$$

where the first map is induced from a natural inclusion and the second map is induced from a map

$$
U_{K^{\prime}}^{(1)} \rightarrow U_{K^{\prime}}^{(1)} ; g \mapsto \sigma(g) g^{-1}
$$

Then, by the above exact sequence, we see that

$$
U_{n_{1},+} \cong U_{K}^{(1)} / U_{K}^{\left(n_{1}\right)}, U_{n_{1},-} \cong U_{K^{\prime}}^{(1)} /\left(U_{K}^{(1)} U_{K^{\prime}}^{\left(n_{1}\right)}\right)
$$

and $\left|U_{n_{1},+}\right|=\left|U_{n_{1},-}\right|=q^{n_{1}-1}$.
Now, the restriction $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F / K_{m_{1}}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\pi, n_{1}}^{\prime} / K^{\prime}\right)$ is an isomorphism. Then we can prove that, under an identification

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(F / K_{m_{1}}^{\prime}\right) \cong \operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\pi, n_{1}}^{\prime} / K^{\prime}\right) \cong k^{\prime \times} \times U_{n_{1},+} \times U_{n_{1},-},
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{-1} \delta \sigma=\delta^{q}, \sigma^{-1} \gamma_{1} \sigma=\gamma_{1} \text { and } \sigma^{-1} \gamma_{2} \sigma=\gamma_{2}^{-1} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\delta \in k^{\prime \times}, \gamma_{1} \in U_{n_{1},+}$ and $\gamma_{2} \in U_{n_{1},-}$.
Considering $\left.\chi\right|_{I_{K}}$ as a character of

$$
I(F / K) \cong k^{\prime \times} \times U_{n_{1},+} \times U_{n_{1},-}
$$

we write $\chi=\omega^{s} \cdot \chi_{1} \cdot \chi_{2}$, where $\omega$ is the Teichmüller character, $s$ is an integer, and $\chi_{1}$ and $\chi_{2}$ are characters of $U_{n_{1},+}$ and $U_{n_{1},-}$ respectively. The condition that $\chi$ does not extend to $W_{K}$ is equivalent to that $\chi \neq \chi^{\sigma}$ on $W_{K^{\prime}}$, and it is further equivalent to that $\chi \neq \chi^{\sigma}$ on $I_{K^{\prime}}$. This last condition is equivalent to that $s \not \equiv 0$ $\bmod q+1$ or $\chi_{2}^{2} \neq 1$.

Now we have $\left[F_{0}: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right]=2 m_{0} m_{1}$. We take bases $e_{i, 1}, e_{i, 2}$ of $D_{i}$ over $E$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2 m_{0} m_{1}$ so that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\delta e_{i, 1}=\omega^{s}(\delta) e_{i, 1}, & \gamma_{1} e_{i, 1}=\chi_{1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) e_{i, 1}, & \gamma_{2} e_{i, 1}=\chi_{2}\left(\gamma_{2}\right) e_{i, 1}, \\
\delta e_{i, 2}=\omega^{q s}(\delta) e_{i, 2}, & \gamma_{1} e_{i, 2}=\chi_{1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) e_{i, 2}, & \gamma_{2} e_{i, 2}=\chi_{2}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)^{-1} e_{i, 2}
\end{array}
$$

for $\delta \in k^{\prime \times}, \gamma_{1} \in U_{n_{1},+}$ and $\gamma_{2} \in U_{n_{1},-}$.

Remark 3.5. A normalization of bases here is different from that in [GM, 3.3.2]. We prefer that the action of $\delta$ on $e_{i, 1}, e_{i, 2}$ is the same form for all $i$. In stead of this, the action of $\sigma$ does not preserve lines generated by $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ as we see in the below.

Since $\sigma$ takes $D_{i}$ to $D_{i+m_{0}}$, we have that

$$
\sigma e_{i, 1}=a_{i+m_{0}} e_{i+m_{0}, 2}, \sigma e_{i, 2}=b_{i+m_{0}} e_{i+m_{0}, 1}
$$

for some $a_{i+m_{0}}, b_{i+m_{0}} \in E^{\times}$by $(*)$. Because $\sigma^{2 m_{1}}=1$, we see that

$$
\prod_{l=1}^{m_{1}}\left(a_{i+2 l m_{0}-m_{0}} b_{i+2 l m_{0}}\right)=1
$$

for all $i$. Replacing $e_{i, 1}$ and $e_{i, 2}$ by their scalar multiples, we may assume that

$$
\sigma e_{i, 1}=e_{i+m_{0}, 2}, \sigma e_{i, 2}=e_{i+m_{0}, 1}
$$

Since $\phi$ takes $D_{i}$ to $D_{i+1}$ and commutes with the action of $I(F / K)$, we have that

$$
\phi\left(e_{i, 1}\right)=\frac{1}{\alpha_{i+1}} e_{i+1,1}, \phi\left(e_{i, 2}\right)=\frac{1}{\beta_{i+1}} e_{i+1,2}
$$

for some $\alpha_{i+1}, \beta_{i+1} \in E^{\times}$for all $i$. Since $\phi$ commutes with the action of $\sigma$, we have $\alpha_{i}=\beta_{i+m_{0}}$ and $\beta_{i}=\alpha_{i+m_{0}}$ for all $i$. Replacing $e_{i, 1}$ and $e_{i, 2}$ by their scalar multiples, we may further assume that $\alpha_{i}=\beta_{i}=1$ for $2 \leq i \leq m_{0}$.

Let $e_{1}, e_{2}$ be a basis of $D$ over $F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E$ determined by $\left(e_{i, 1}\right)_{i},\left(e_{i, 2}\right)_{i}$ under the isomorphism $D \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{i} D_{i}$. Then $\sigma e_{1}=e_{2}$ and $\sigma e_{2}=e_{1}$.

The condition $t_{\mathrm{H}}(D)=t_{\mathrm{N}}(D)$ is equivalent to that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[K: K_{0}\right] v_{p}\left(\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}\right)=\sum_{j}\left(k_{j, 1}+k_{j, 2}\right) \tag{U}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $j: K \hookrightarrow E$ satisfying $k_{j, 1}<k_{j, 2}$, by Lemma 2.4, we take $a_{j}, b_{j} \in E_{j}$ such that $\mathrm{Fil}_{j}^{-k_{j, 1}} D_{F}=E_{j}\left(a_{j} e_{1}+b_{j} e_{2}\right)$, and $\left(a_{j} e_{1}+b_{j} e_{2}\right)$ is $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$-invariant. By $\sigma\left(a_{j} e_{1}+b_{j} e_{2}\right)=\left(a_{j} e_{1}+b_{j} e_{2}\right)$, we get $\sigma\left(a_{j}\right)=b_{j}$ and $\sigma\left(b_{j}\right)=a_{j}$. So $a_{j} \in E_{j}^{\times}$if and only if $b_{j} \in E_{j}^{\times}$.

Since $\left(a_{j} e_{1}+\sigma\left(a_{j}\right) e_{2}\right)$ is $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$-invariant, $\sigma^{2}\left(a_{j}\right)=a_{j}$ and $g \in I(F / K)$ acts on $a_{j}$ by $\chi(g)^{-1}$. We prove that there are $x_{j, 1}, x_{j, 2} \in E_{j}$ such that

- $a_{j}$ satisfies the above condition if and only if $a_{j}=a_{j, 1} x_{j, 1}+a_{j, 2} x_{j, 2}$ for some $a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2} \in E$,
- for $a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2} \in E$, we have $a_{j, 1} x_{j, 1}+a_{j, 2} x_{j, 2} \in E_{j}^{\times}$if and only if $a_{j, 1} \neq 0$ and $a_{j, 2} \neq 0$.
By Lemma 2.3, we may replace $E_{j}$ by $F \otimes_{K} E$. Then $\sigma^{2}\left(a_{j}\right)=a_{j}$ if and only if $a_{j} \in K_{\pi, n_{1}}^{\prime} \otimes_{K} E$. By Lemma 2.5, we get the claim. We put $x_{j}\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)=$ $a_{j, 1} x_{j, 1}+a_{j, 2} x_{j, 2}$ and $x_{j}^{\sigma}\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)=\sigma\left(x_{j}\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)\right)$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{Fil}_{j}^{-k_{j, 1}} D_{F}=E_{j}\left(x_{j}\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right) e_{1}+x_{j}^{\sigma}\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right) e_{2}\right)
$$

for $\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(E)$.
The non-trivial $(\phi, N)$-stable $\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right)$-submodules of $D$ are $D_{1}^{\prime}=\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right) e_{1}$, $D_{2}^{\prime}=\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right) e_{2}$ and $D_{\mathfrak{L}}^{\prime}=\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right)\left(e_{1}-\mathfrak{L} e_{2}\right)$ for $\mathfrak{L} \in\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}} E\right)^{\times}$satisfying the following:

If $\mathfrak{L}$ corresponds to $\left(\mathfrak{L}_{i}\right)_{i}$ under the isomorphism

$$
F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\sigma_{i}: F_{0} \hookrightarrow E} E
$$

then $\mathfrak{L}_{i+1}=\frac{\alpha_{i+1}}{\beta_{i+1}} \mathfrak{L}_{i}$ for all i.
The condition $t_{\mathrm{H}}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq t_{\mathrm{N}}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is equivalent to that

$$
\left[K: K_{0}\right] v_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \leq \sum_{a_{j, 1} a_{j, 2}=0} \frac{k_{j, 1}+k_{j, 2}}{2}+\sum_{a_{j, 1} a_{j, 2} \neq 0} k_{j, 2}+\sum_{k_{j, 1}=k_{j, 2}} k_{j, 2},
$$

the condition $t_{\mathrm{H}}\left(D_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq t_{\mathrm{N}}\left(D_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is equivalent to that

$$
\left[K: K_{0}\right] v_{p}\left(\beta_{1}\right) \leq \sum_{a_{j, 1} a_{j, 2}=0} \frac{k_{j, 1}+k_{j, 2}}{2}+\sum_{a_{j, 1} a_{j, 2} \neq 0} k_{j, 2}+\sum_{k_{j, 1}=k_{j, 2}} k_{j, 2},
$$

and the condition $t_{\mathrm{H}}\left(D_{\mathfrak{L}}^{\prime}\right) \leq t_{\mathrm{N}}\left(D_{\mathfrak{L}}^{\prime}\right)$ is equivalent to that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(U_{\mathfrak{L}}\right) \quad\left[K: K_{0}\right] & \frac{v_{p}\left(\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}\right)}{2} \leq \sum_{a_{j, 1} a_{j, 2}=0} k_{j, 2}+\sum_{k_{j, 1}=k_{j, 2}} k_{j, 2} \\
& +\sum_{a_{j, 1} a_{j, 2} \neq 0}\left\{t_{j}\left(\mathfrak{L},\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)\right) k_{j, 1}+\left(1-t_{j}\left(\mathfrak{L},\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)\right)\right) k_{j, 2}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
t_{j}\left(\mathfrak{L},\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)\right)=\frac{\left.\left\lvert\,\left\{j_{F}: F \hookrightarrow E \mid j_{F} \text {-component of } \frac{x_{j}^{\sigma}\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)}{x_{j}\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)} \in E_{j} \text { is }-\mathfrak{L}_{j_{F}}\right\}\right. \right\rvert\,}{[F: K]}
$$

Here and in the sequel, $\mathfrak{L}_{j_{F}}$ is the $j_{F}$-component of $\mathfrak{L} \in F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E \subset F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E$. If $t_{j}\left(\mathfrak{L},\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)\right) \leq 1 / 2$, the condition $\left(U_{\mathfrak{L}}\right)$ is automatically satisfied by the condition $(U)$.

To prove that $t_{j}\left(\mathfrak{L},\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)\right) \leq 1 / 2$, we assume that $t_{j}\left(\mathfrak{L},\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)\right)>1 / 2$. We consider a decomposition

$$
E_{j}=\prod_{j_{F}: F \hookrightarrow E,\left.j_{F}\right|_{K}=j} E=\prod_{j_{F_{0}}: F_{0} \hookrightarrow E,\left.j_{F_{0}}\right|_{K}=j}\left(\prod_{j_{F}: F \hookrightarrow E,\left.j_{F}\right|_{F_{0}}=j_{F_{0}}} E\right)
$$

Then there is $j_{F_{0}}: F_{0} \hookrightarrow E$ such that $\left.j_{F_{0}}\right|_{K}=j$ and

$$
\underline{\left.\left\lvert\,\left\{j_{F}: F \hookrightarrow E\left|j_{F}\right|_{F_{0}}=j_{F_{0}} \text { and } j_{F} \text {-component of } \frac{x_{j}^{\sigma}\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)}{x_{j}\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)} \in E_{j} \text { is }-\mathfrak{L}_{j_{F}}\right\}\right. \right\rvert\,}
$$

$$
\left[F: F_{0}\right]
$$

is greater than $1 / 2$. Here $\mathfrak{L}_{j_{F}}$ is independent of $j_{F}$ such that $\left.j_{F}\right|_{F_{0}}=j_{F_{0}}$, because $\mathfrak{L} \in F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E$. Then we have

$$
\frac{\left|\operatorname{Ker}\left(\chi\left(\chi^{\sigma}\right)^{-1}: I(F / K) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}^{\times}\right)\right|}{\left[F: F_{0}\right]}>\frac{1}{2}
$$

because $I\left(F / K^{\prime}\right)$ act on $x_{j}^{\sigma}\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right) /\left(x_{j}\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)\right)$ by $\chi\left(\chi^{\sigma}\right)^{-1}$. This implies that $\left.\chi\right|_{I_{K^{\prime}}}=\left.\chi^{\sigma}\right|_{I_{K^{\prime}}}$, and contradicts the condition that $\chi$ does not extend to $W_{K}$. Thus we have proved that $t_{j}\left(\mathfrak{L},\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)\right) \leq 1 / 2$.

Proposition 3.6. We assume $\left.\tau \simeq \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{K^{\prime}}}^{W_{K}}(\chi)\right|_{I_{K}}$ for the unramified quadratic extension $K^{\prime}$ of $K$ and a character $\chi$ of $W_{K^{\prime}}$ that is finite on $I_{K^{\prime}}$ and does not extend to $W_{K}$. We take a uniformizer $\pi$ of $K$ and a totally ramified abelian extension $L$ of $K^{\prime}$ such that $\chi$ is trivial on $I_{L}$, and take positive integers $m_{1}$ and $n_{1}$ so that $L$ is contained in $K_{m_{1}}^{\prime} K_{\pi, n_{1}}^{\prime}$. We put $F=K_{m_{1}}^{\prime} K_{\pi, n_{1}}^{\prime}$. Then $N=0$ and $D=\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right) e_{1} \oplus\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right) e_{2}$ with

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\phi\left(e_{i, 1}\right)=\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} e_{i+1,1}, & \phi\left(e_{i, 2}\right)=\frac{1}{\beta_{1}} e_{i+1,2}, & \text { if } i \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2 m_{0}\right), \\
\phi\left(e_{i, 1}\right)=\frac{1}{\beta_{1}} e_{i+1,1}, & \phi\left(e_{i, 2}\right)=\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} e_{i+1,2}, & \text { if } i \equiv m_{0}\left(\bmod 2 m_{0}\right), \\
\phi\left(e_{i, 1}\right)=e_{i+1,1}, & \phi\left(e_{i, 2}\right)=e_{i+1,2}, & \text { if } i \not \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod m_{0}\right)
\end{array}
$$

for $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1} \in E^{\times}$,

$$
\sigma e_{1}=e_{2}, \sigma e_{2}=e_{1}, g e_{1}=(1 \otimes \chi(g)) e_{1}, g e_{2}=\left(1 \otimes \chi^{\sigma}(g)\right) e_{2}
$$

for $g \in I(F / K)$ and, for $j$ such that $k_{j, 1}<k_{j, 2}$,

$$
\operatorname{Fil}_{j}^{-k_{j, 1}} D_{F}=E_{j}\left(x_{j}\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right) e_{1}+x_{j}^{\sigma}\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right) e_{2}\right)
$$

for $\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(E)$ where

$$
\left[K: K_{0}\right] v_{p}\left(\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}\right)=\sum_{j}\left(k_{j, 1}+k_{j, 2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\sum_{j} k_{j, 1}+\sum_{a_{j, 1} a_{j, 2}=0} \frac{k_{j, 2}-k_{j, 1}}{2} \leq\left[K: K_{0}\right] v_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \leq \sum_{j} k_{j, 2}-\sum_{a_{j, 1} a_{j, 2}=0} \frac{k_{j, 2}-k_{j, 1}}{2}
$$

The definition of $\sigma$ is in the above discussion.
3.3.2. Ramified case. Next, we treat the case in (3) of Lemma 2.1, where $K^{\prime}$ is ramified over $K$ and $\left.\chi\right|_{I_{K^{\prime}}}$ does not extend to $I_{K}$.

Let $\iota_{0}$ be the non-trivial element of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K^{\prime} / K\right)$. We take a uniformizer $\pi^{\prime}$ of $K^{\prime}$ such that $\iota_{0}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)=-\pi^{\prime}$. Then we have $\left(K_{\pi^{\prime}, n}^{\prime}\right)^{\iota}=K_{-\pi^{\prime}, n}^{\prime}$ for a positive integer $n$ and any lift $\iota \in G_{K}$ of $\iota_{0}$. So $K_{\pi^{\prime}, n}^{\prime} K_{-\pi^{\prime}, n}^{\prime}$ is a Galois extension of $K$. By the class field theory, the abelian extensions $K_{\pi^{\prime}, n}^{\prime}$ and $K_{-\pi^{\prime}, n}^{\prime}$ of $K^{\prime}$ correspond to $\left\langle\pi^{\prime}\right\rangle \times\left(1+\mathfrak{p}_{K^{\prime}}^{n}\right)$ and $\left\langle-\pi^{\prime}\right\rangle \times\left(1+\mathfrak{p}_{K^{\prime}}^{n}\right)$ respectively. Then the abelian extension $K_{\pi^{\prime}, n}^{\prime} K_{-\pi^{\prime}, n}^{\prime}$ of $K^{\prime}$ corresponds to $\left\langle{\pi^{\prime}}^{2}\right\rangle \times\left(1+\mathfrak{p}_{K^{\prime}}^{n}\right)$. So we see that $K_{\pi^{\prime}, n}^{\prime} K_{-\pi^{\prime}, n}^{\prime}=K_{2}^{\prime} K_{\pi^{\prime}, n}^{\prime}$.

We take positive integers $m_{1}$ and $n_{1}$ so that $L$ is contained in $K_{2 m_{1}}^{\prime} K_{\pi^{\prime}, 2 n_{1}+1}^{\prime}$, and put $F=K_{2 m_{1}}^{\prime} K_{\pi^{\prime}, 2 n_{1}+1}^{\prime}$. Then $F$ is a Galois extension of $K$, and $\rho$ is crystalline over $F$ because $\left.\tau\right|_{I_{F}}$ is trivial.

We consider an exact sequence

$$
1 \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(F / K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(F / K) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(K^{\prime} / K\right) \rightarrow 1
$$

Since the restriction $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F / K_{2 m_{1}}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\pi^{\prime}, 2 n_{1}+1}^{\prime} / K^{\prime}\right)$ is an isomorphism,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Gal}\left(F / K^{\prime}\right) & =\operatorname{Gal}\left(F / K_{\pi^{\prime}, 2 n_{1}+1}^{\prime}\right) \times \operatorname{Gal}\left(F / K_{2 m_{1}}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Gal}\left(F / K_{\pi^{\prime}, 2 n_{1}+1}^{\prime}\right) \times k^{\prime \times} \times\left(U_{K^{\prime}}^{(1)} / U_{K^{\prime}}^{\left(2 n_{1}+1\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\sigma$ be a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F / K_{\pi^{\prime}, 2 n_{1}+1}\right)$, and $\delta_{0}$ be a generator of $k^{\prime \times}$.
We prove that the exact sequence $(\diamond)$ does not split. We assume there is a lift $\iota \in \operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$ of $\iota_{0}$ such that $\iota^{2}=1$. By multiplying $\iota$ by an element of
$\operatorname{Gal}\left(F / K_{\pi^{\prime}, 2 n_{1}+1}^{\prime}\right) \subset \operatorname{Gal}\left(F / K^{\prime}\right)$, we may assume that $\iota \in I(F / K)$. Let $P(F / K)$ be the wild ramification subgroup of $I(F / K)$, and $I^{\mathrm{t}}(F / K)$ be the tame quotient group of $I(F / K)$. Let $\bar{\iota}$ be the image of $\iota$ in $I^{\mathrm{t}}(F / K)$. If $\bar{\iota} \neq 1$, we multiply $\iota$ by the element $\delta_{0}^{(q-1) / 2}$ of $k^{\prime \times} \subset \operatorname{Gal}\left(F / K_{2 m_{1}}^{\prime}\right)$. Then we have $\iota \in P(F / K)$, but this contradicts that $p \neq 2$. Thus we have proved the claim.

For any lift $\iota \in \operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$, we have $\iota^{2} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(F / K^{\prime}\right)$. Since the exact sequence $(\diamond)$ does not split and $p \neq 2$, multiplying $\iota$ by an element of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F / K^{\prime}\right)$, we may assume that $\iota^{2}=\delta_{0}$ and $\iota \in I(F / K)$. We fix this lift $\iota$ in the sequel.

We consider a decomposition

$$
U_{K^{\prime}}^{(1)} / U_{K^{\prime}}^{\left(2 n_{1}+1\right)}=U_{2 n_{1}+1,+} \times U_{2 n_{1}+1,-}
$$

of abelian groups such that $\iota_{0}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\gamma_{1}$ for $\gamma_{1} \in U_{2 n_{1}+1,+}$ and $\iota_{0}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=\gamma_{2}^{-1}$ for $\gamma_{2} \in U_{2 n_{1}+1,-}$. There is an exact sequence

$$
1 \rightarrow U_{K}^{(1)} / U_{K}^{\left(n_{1}+1\right)} \rightarrow U_{K^{\prime}}^{(1)} / U_{K^{\prime}}^{\left(2 n_{1}+1\right)} \rightarrow U_{K^{\prime}}^{(1)} / U_{K^{\prime}}^{\left(2 n_{1}+1\right)},
$$

where the first map is induced from a natural inclusion and the second map is induced from a map

$$
U_{K^{\prime}}^{(1)} \rightarrow U_{K^{\prime}}^{(1)} ; g \mapsto \iota_{0}(g) g^{-1}
$$

Then, by the above exact sequence, we see that

$$
U_{2 n_{1}+1,+} \cong U_{K}^{(1)} / U_{K}^{\left(n_{1}+1\right)}, U_{2 n_{1}+1,-} \cong U_{K^{\prime}}^{(1)} /\left(U_{K}^{(1)} U_{K^{\prime}}^{\left(2 n_{1}+1\right)}\right)
$$

and $\left|U_{2 n_{1}+1,+}\right|=\left|U_{2 n_{1}+1,-}\right|=q^{n_{1}}$.
We can prove that, under an identification

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(F / K_{2 m_{1}}^{\prime}\right) \cong \operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\pi^{\prime}, 2 n_{1}+1}^{\prime} / K^{\prime}\right) \cong k^{\prime \times} \times U_{2 n_{1}+1,+} \times U_{2 n_{1}+1,-},
$$

we have

$$
\iota^{-1} \delta \iota=\delta, \iota^{-1} \gamma_{1} \iota=\gamma_{1} \text { and } \iota^{-1} \gamma_{2} \iota=\gamma_{2}^{-1}
$$

for $\delta \in k^{\prime \times}, \gamma_{1} \in U_{2 n_{1}+1,+}$ and $\gamma_{2} \in U_{2 n_{1}+1,-}$.
Since $K_{\pi^{\prime}, 2 n_{1}+1}^{\prime}$ is not a normal extension of $K$, we have $\iota^{-1} \sigma \iota \neq \sigma$. We put $K^{\prime \prime}=K_{\pi^{\prime}, 2 n_{1}+1}^{\prime} K_{-\pi^{\prime}, 2 n_{1}+1}^{\prime}$. Then $\sigma^{2}$ is a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F / K^{\prime \prime}\right)$, and $\iota$ determines an automorphism of $K^{\prime \prime}$. So we have $\iota^{-1} \sigma^{2} \iota=\sigma^{2}$. Since $\sigma^{-1} \iota^{-1} \sigma \iota$ is an element of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F / K^{\prime}\right)$ of order 2 and fixes $K_{2 m_{1}}$, it is $\delta_{0}^{(q-1) / 2}$. Hence we have

$$
\iota^{-1} \sigma \iota=\sigma \delta_{0}^{(q-1) / 2}
$$

Considering $\left.\chi\right|_{I_{K^{\prime}}}$ as a character of

$$
I\left(F / K^{\prime}\right) \cong k^{\prime \times} \times U_{2 n_{1}+1,+} \times U_{2 n_{1}+1,-}
$$

we write $\chi=\omega^{s} \cdot \chi_{1} \cdot \chi_{2}$, where $\omega$ is the Teichmüller character, $s$ is an integer, and $\chi_{1}$ and $\chi_{2}$ are characters of $U_{2 n_{1}+1,+}$ and $U_{2 n_{1}+1,-}$ respectively. The condition $\chi$ does not extend to $I_{K}$ is equivalent to that $\chi \neq \chi^{\iota}$ on $I_{K^{\prime}}$, and it is further equivalent to that $\chi_{2}^{2} \neq 1$.

Now we have $\left[F_{0}: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right]=2 m_{0} m_{1}$. We take bases $e_{i, 1}, e_{i, 2}$ of $D_{i}$ over $E$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2 m_{0} m_{1}$ so that

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\iota e_{i, 1}=e_{i, 2}, & \delta e_{i, 1}=\omega^{s}(\delta) e_{i, 1}, & \gamma_{1} e_{i, 1}=\chi_{1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) e_{i, 1}, & \gamma_{2} e_{i, 1}=\chi_{2}\left(\gamma_{2}\right) e_{i, 1} \\
\iota e_{i, 2}=\omega^{s}\left(\delta_{0}\right) e_{i, 1}, & \delta e_{i, 2}=\omega^{s}(\delta) e_{i, 2}, & \gamma_{1} e_{i, 2}=\chi_{1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) e_{i, 2}, & \gamma_{2} e_{i, 2}=\chi_{2}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)^{-1} e_{i, 2}
\end{array}
$$

for $\delta \in k^{\prime \times}, \gamma_{1} \in U_{n_{1},+}$ and $\gamma_{2} \in U_{n_{1},-}$.

Since $\sigma$ takes $D_{i}$ to $D_{i+m_{0}}$, as in the unramified case, we may assume that $\sigma e_{i, 1}=e_{i+m_{0}, 1}$. Then we have that $\sigma e_{i, 2}=(-1)^{s} e_{i+m_{0}, 2}$ by ( $\star$ ).

Since $\phi$ takes $D_{i}$ to $D_{i+1}$ and commutes with the action of $I(F / K)$, we have that

$$
\phi\left(e_{i, 1}\right)=\frac{1}{\alpha_{i+1}} e_{i+1,1}, \phi\left(e_{i, 2}\right)=\frac{1}{\alpha_{i+1}} e_{i+1,2}
$$

for some $\alpha_{i+1} \in E^{\times}$for all $i$. Further, since $\phi$ commutes with the action of $\sigma$, we have $\alpha_{i}=\alpha_{i+m_{0}}$ for all $i$. Replacing $e_{i, 1}$ and $e_{i, 2}$ by their scalar multiples, we may further assume that $\alpha_{i}=1$ for $2 \leq i \leq m_{0}$.

Let $e_{1}, e_{2}$ be a basis of $D$ over $F_{0} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{p} E$ determined by $\left(e_{i, 1}\right)_{i},\left(e_{i, 2}\right)_{i}$ under the isomorphism $D \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{i} D_{i}$. Then $\sigma e_{1}=e_{1}$ and $\sigma e_{2}=(-1)^{s} e_{2}$.

The condition $t_{\mathrm{H}}(D)=t_{\mathrm{N}}(D)$ is equivalent to that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left[K: K_{0}\right] v_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\sum_{j}\left(k_{j, 1}+k_{j, 2}\right) . \tag{R}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $j: K \hookrightarrow E$ satisfying $k_{j, 1}<k_{j, 2}$, by Lemma 2.4 , we take $a_{j}, b_{j} \in E_{j}$ such that $\mathrm{Fil}_{j}^{-k_{j, 1}} D_{F}=E_{j}\left(a_{j} e_{1}+b_{j} e_{2}\right)$, and $\left(a_{j} e_{1}+b_{j} e_{2}\right)$ is $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$-invariant. By $\iota\left(a_{j} e_{1}+b_{j} e_{2}\right)=\left(a_{j} e_{1}+b_{j} e_{2}\right)$, we get $\iota\left(a_{j}\right)=b_{j}$ and $\iota\left(b_{j}\right) \omega^{s}\left(\delta_{0}\right)=a_{j}$. So $a_{j} \in E_{j}^{\times}$ if and only if $b_{j} \in E_{j}^{\times}$.

Since $\left(a_{j} e_{1}+\iota\left(a_{j}\right) e_{2}\right)$ is $\operatorname{Gal}(F / K)$-invariant, $\sigma\left(a_{j}\right)=a_{j}$ and $g \in I\left(F / K^{\prime}\right)$ acts on $a_{j}$ by $\chi(g)^{-1}$. We prove that there are $x_{j, 1}, x_{j, 2} \in E_{j}$ such that

- $a_{j}$ satisfies the above condition if and only if $a_{j}=a_{j, 1} x_{j, 1}+a_{j, 2} x_{j, 2}$ for some $a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2} \in E$,
- for $a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2} \in E$, we have $a_{j, 1} x_{j, 1}+a_{j, 2} x_{j, 2} \in E_{j}^{\times}$if and only if $a_{j, 1} \neq 0$ and $a_{j, 2} \neq 0$.
By Lemma 2.3, we may replace $E_{j}$ by $F \otimes_{K} E$. Then $\sigma\left(a_{j}\right)=a_{j}$ if and only if $a_{j} \in K_{\pi^{\prime}, 2 n_{1}+1}^{\prime} \otimes_{K} E$. By Lemma 2.5, we get the claim. We put $x_{j}\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)=$ $a_{j, 1} x_{j, 1}+a_{j, 2} x_{j, 2}$ and $x_{j}^{\iota}\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)=\iota\left(x_{j}\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)\right)$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{Fil}_{j}^{-k_{j, 1}} D_{F}=E_{j}\left(x_{j}\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right) e_{1}+x_{j}^{\iota}\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right) e_{2}\right)
$$

for $\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(E)$.
The non-trivial $(\phi, N)$-stable $\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right)$-submodules of $D$ are $D_{1}^{\prime}=\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right) e_{1}$, $D_{2}^{\prime}=\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right) e_{2}$ and $D_{\mathfrak{L}}^{\prime}=\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right)\left(e_{1}-\mathfrak{L} e_{2}\right)$ for $\mathfrak{L} \in E^{\times}$. The condition $t_{\mathrm{H}}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq t_{\mathrm{N}}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is equivalent to that

$$
\left[K: K_{0}\right] v_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \leq \sum_{a_{j, 1} a_{j, 2}=0} \frac{k_{j, 1}+k_{j, 2}}{2}+\sum_{a_{j, 1} a_{j, 2} \neq 0} k_{j, 2}+\sum_{k_{j, 1}=k_{j, 2}} k_{j, 2},
$$

and this condition is automatically satisfied by the condition $(R)$. The condition $t_{\mathrm{H}}\left(D_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq t_{\mathrm{N}}\left(D_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is also equivalent to the same condition. For $\mathfrak{L} \in E^{\times}$, the condition $t_{\mathrm{H}}\left(D_{\mathfrak{L}}^{\prime}\right) \leq t_{\mathrm{N}}\left(D_{\mathfrak{L}}^{\prime}\right)$ is equivalent to that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(R_{\mathfrak{L}}\right) \quad\left[K: K_{0}\right] & v_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \leq \sum_{a_{j, 1} a_{j, 2}=0} k_{j, 2}+\sum_{k_{j, 1}=k_{j, 2}} k_{j, 2} \\
& +\sum_{a_{j, 1} a_{j, 2} \neq 0}\left\{t_{j}\left(\mathfrak{L},\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)\right) k_{j, 1}+\left(1-t_{j}\left(\mathfrak{L},\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)\right)\right) k_{j, 2}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
t_{j}\left(\mathfrak{L},\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)\right)=\frac{\left.\left\lvert\,\left\{j_{F}: F \hookrightarrow E \mid j_{F} \text {-component of } \frac{x_{j}^{\iota}\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)}{x_{j}\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)} \in E_{j} \text { is }-\mathfrak{L}\right\}\right. \right\rvert\,}{[F: K]} .
$$

As in the unramified case, we can prove that $t_{j}\left(\mathfrak{L},\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right)\right) \leq 1 / 2$, using the condition that $\chi \neq \chi^{\iota}$ on $I_{K^{\prime}}$. So the condition $\left(R_{\mathfrak{L}}\right)$ is automatically satisfied by the condition ( $R$ ).
Proposition 3.7. We assume $\left.\tau \simeq \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{K^{\prime}}}^{W_{K}}(\chi)\right|_{I_{K}}$ for a ramified quadratic extension $K^{\prime}$ of $K$ and a character $\chi$ of $W_{K^{\prime}}$ such that $\left.\chi\right|_{I_{K^{\prime}}}$ is finite and does not extend to $I_{K}$. We take a uniformizer $\pi^{\prime}$ of $K^{\prime}$ and a totally ramified abelian extension $L$ of $K^{\prime}$ such that $\chi$ is trivial on $I_{L}$, and take positive integers $m_{1}$ and $n_{1}$ so that $L$ is contained in $K_{2 m_{1}}^{\prime} K_{\pi^{\prime}, 2 n_{1}+1}^{\prime}$. We put $F=K_{2 m_{1}}^{\prime} K_{\pi^{\prime}, 2 n_{1}+1}^{\prime}$. Then $N=0$ and $D=\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right) e_{1} \oplus\left(F_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} E\right) e_{2}$ with

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\phi\left(e_{i, 1}\right)=\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} e_{i+1,1}, & \phi\left(e_{i, 2}\right)=\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} e_{i+1,2}, & \text { if } i \equiv 0\left(\bmod m_{0}\right), \\
\phi\left(e_{i, 1}\right)=e_{i+1,1}, & \phi\left(e_{i, 2}\right)=e_{i+1,2}, & \text { if } i \not \equiv 0\left(\bmod m_{0}\right)
\end{array}
$$

for $\alpha_{1} \in E^{\times}$,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\sigma e_{1}=e_{1}, & \iota e_{1}=e_{2}, & g e_{1}=(1 \otimes \chi(g)) e_{1} \\
\sigma e_{2}=(-1)^{s} e_{2}, & \iota e_{2}=\left(1 \otimes \omega^{s}\left(\delta_{0}\right)\right) e_{1}, & g e_{2}=\left(1 \otimes \chi^{\sigma}(g)\right) e_{2}
\end{array}
$$

for $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $g \in I\left(F / K^{\prime}\right)$ and, for $j$ such that $k_{j, 1}<k_{j, 2}$,

$$
\operatorname{Fil}_{j}^{-k_{j, 1}} D_{F}=E_{j}\left(x_{j}\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right) e_{1}+x_{j}^{\iota}\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right) e_{2}\right)
$$

for $\left(a_{j, 1}, a_{j, 2}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(E)$ where

$$
2\left[K: K_{0}\right] v_{p}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\sum_{j}\left(k_{j, 1}+k_{j, 2}\right) .
$$

Here $\omega: k^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K^{\prime}}^{\times}$is the Teichmüller character, and the definitions of $\sigma, \iota, \delta_{0}$ are in the above discussion.
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