1	
2	
3	Epigenetic setting for long-term expression of estrogen receptor α and androgen
4	receptor in cells
5	
6	
7	Takuya IMAMURA
8	
9	Laboratory for Biodiversity, Global COE Program, Division of Biological Science,
10	Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa-Oiwake, Sakyo-ku,
11	Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	Running Title: Epigenetics of estrogen receptor α in cells
17	
18	Address correspondence to: Takuya Imamura, Laboratory for Biodiversity, Global COE
19	Program, Division of Biological Science, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University,
20	Kitashirakawa-Oiwake, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan. Phone: +81-75-753-4261;
21	FAX: +81-75-753-7667; e-mail: imamura@gcoe.biol.sci.kyoto-u.ac.jp
22	

1 Abstract

2 Epigenetic regulation of the nuclear estrogen and androgen receptors, ER and 3 AR, constitutes the molecular basis for the long-lasting effects of sex steroids on gene 4 expression in cells. The effects prevail at hundreds of gene loci in the proximity of $\mathbf{5}$ estrogen- and androgen-responsive elements and many more such loci through intra-6 and even inter-chromosomal level regulation. Such a memory system should be active 7 in a flexible manner during the early development of vertebrates, and later replaced to 8 establish more stable marks on genomic DNA. In mammals, DNA methylation is 9 utilized as a very stable mark for silencing of the ERa and AR isoform expression 10 during cancer cell and normal brain development. The factors affecting the DNA methylation of the ERa and AR genes in cells include estrogen and androgen. Since 11 12 testosterone induces brain masculinization through its aromatization to estradiol in a 13 narrow time window of the perinatal stage in rodents, the autoregulation of estrogen 14receptors, especially the predominant form of $ER\alpha$, at the level of DNA methylation to 15set up the "cell memory" affecting the sexually differentiated status of brain function 16 has been attracting increasing attention. The alternative usage of the androgen-AR 17system for brain masculinization and estrogenic regulation of AR expression in some 18 species imply that the DNA methylation pattern of the AR gene can be established by 19 closely related but different systems for sex steroid-induced phenomena, including brain 20masculinization.

Key words: estrogen receptor, androgen receptor, DNA methylation, brain
masculinization, autoregulation, cell memory

 $\mathbf{2}$

1 Introduction

 $\mathbf{2}$ In mammals, sex-dependent compositions of the cells in the brains and the 3 nature of many patterns of behaviors cannot be attributed directly to genetic differences. 4 Rather, an important feature is that, in rodents for example, endocrine disturbance at the $\mathbf{5}$ fetal and/or postnatal stages irreversibly changes behaviors such as lordosis (in females) 6 and mounting (in males) that occur after the pubertal stage and are normally correlated 7 with the genetic sex. In some cases, lordosis can even be observed in males, and 8 mounting in females (Sodersten, 1978). In such phenomena, two classes of sex steroid 9 hormones produced largely in gonadal tissues, estrogen and androgen, play many 10 important physiological and pathological roles in a sex-dependent manner. In this 11 review, I summarize the present understanding of the cellular impacts of the "genomic" 12effects of sex steroids, in particular the effect of estrogen through its interaction with its 13predominant nuclear receptor, ERa. Associated theoretical epigenetic pathways 14triggered by estrogen and androgen and potentially leading to the differential expression 15of mammalian behaviors will be featured.

16

17 Sex Steroid Hormones: Epigenetic Regulators of Brain Masculinization in18 Rodents?

In general, estradiol (E2) and testosterone (T) circulate predominantly in adult females and males of vertebrates, respectively. Therefore, one could readily assume that these hormones set up the molecular basis to establish the endocrine circumstances and thereby influence the expression of sex-dependent behavior. For example, removal of

1	the ovaries, the major source of E2, after the pubertal stage strongly deactivates the
2	hypothalamus-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis, thereby disturbing the pulsatile- and
3	surge-mode of luteinizing hormone secretion in rodents and many other mammals
4	including sheep, goat, cow and pig (Bronson, 1981; Goodman, 1978; Kraeling et al.,
5	1998; McCarthy and Swanson, 1976; Mori et al., 1987; Webb et al., 1981). In parallel,
6	the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis is affected in ovariectomized animals
7	(Seale et al., 2004). Since these unregulated states are largely rescued by exogenous
8	treatment with E2 (Christian et al., 2005), estrogen has been focused on as an important
9	trigger for sexual reproduction and many sex-dependent behaviors. A similar situation is
10	true for males, in that castration of the testes, the major source of T, perturbs the HPG
11	and HPA axes, and T supplementation restores many sex-dependent behaviors (Putnam
12	et al., 2003). These losses and recoveries occur on a day- or week-scale (Lindzey et al.,
13	1998), and drastic changes of cell composition do not seem to be associated with these
14	experimental events. In contrast, cell composition is drastically affected during the
15	developmental stages. In fact, E2 triggers the cell fate specification at the perinatal stage
16	in rodent brains (Schwarz and McCarthy, 2008). For example, the medial preoptic area
17	(MPOA) and bed nucleus stria terminalis (BNST), which are famous sexually
18	dimorphic brain nuclei, show fewer apoptotic cells after estradiol benzoate (EB) or
19	testosterone propionate (TP) treatment at the perinatal stage in rodents (Chung et al.,
20	2000; Hsu et al., 2001). Cell proliferation and migration can also be associated with
21	estrogen signals (McCarthy, 2008). Paradoxically, cell death is increased in a subset of
22	sexually dimorphic nuclei by estrogen signals. The anteroventral periventricular nucleus

1 is the best example so far in which TUNEL-positive cells are increased after neonatal $\mathbf{2}$ treatment with EB or TP in male and female rats (Arai et al., 1994; Arai et al., 1996). 3 Changes of the survival and migration of the cells by the presence or absence of 4 estrogen could explain the phenomenon of "imprinting" of the brain at the critical $\mathbf{5}$ period, also known as brain masculinization (Cooke et al., 1998; McCarthy, 2008). 6 Since the nuclear estrogen receptor $ER\alpha$ can contribute to the molecular complex of 7 histone-interacting proteins and histone-modifying enzymes (Heinzel et al., 1997), the 8 highly ordered chromatin structure can be differentially established and somehow fixed 9 long-term in ER α -positive cells. In this way, the estrogen-ER α complexes could change 10 particular cell characteristics rather than causing cells to die, proliferate, or migrate in 11 some cases. To decipher these complex ways of "imprinting" in specific brain regions, it 12would be simplest to start by first dissecting the mechanisms involved at the cellular 13 level.

14Until now, most studies on molecular mechanisms governing the epigenetic 15setting have focused on histone modifications and DNA methylation mainly occurring 16 at the CG dinucleotide in animals. Histone modifications are commonly utilized in a 17wide range of species, including single cell organisms (Jenuwein and Allis, 2001). On 18 the other hand, the overall DNA methylation level differs depending on the species. For 19 example, only trace amounts of methylcytosine can be found in Drosophila 20 melanogaster (Kunert et al., 2003; Lyko et al., 2000). In mammals, DNA methylation is 21a fundamental mechanism that differentiates the gene expression pattern in the brain 22(Imamura et al., 2001; Jones and Takai, 2001). Indeed, mutations in genes associated

 $\mathbf{5}$

1 with DNA methylation have frequently been shown to lead to many defects in neural $\mathbf{2}$ systems. For example, mutations in MECP2, a methylcytosine interacting protein, is 3 known to cause Rett syndrome (Amir et al., 1999). Mutations in a de novo DNA 4 methyltransferase (DNMT), DNMT3B, lead to ICF syndrome (Hansen et al., 1999; Xu $\mathbf{5}$ et al., 1999). Both of these syndromes show some characteristics of neuronal disorders. 6 The DNA methylation system is also known to be critical for genomic imprinting 7 (Heard et al., 1999), transposon silencing (Bird and Wolffe, 1999; Walsh and Bestor, 8 1999), chromatin stability (Eden et al., 2003), and tissue-dependent gene expression 9 (Shiota et al., 2002). In mammals, DNA methylation imposes restraints on the 10 pluripotency because once the patterns are established during development they can be 11 maintained through cell division (Sharif et al., 2007). Conversely, some fishes, which 12contain much lower DNA methylation activity (Cross et al., 1991), are found to easily 13 and reversibly change their sex status according to the environmental context (Grober 14and Sunobe, 1996). These facts support the idea that sex-dependent patterns of 15behaviors are established through epigenetic processes. The sex-dependent patterns of 16 mammalian behaviors could be acquired through highly irreversible processes during 17development by exposure to sex steroid hormones. In particular, it could be 18 hypothesized that the long-term effects of the sex steroids at the developmental stage on 19 behaviors after puberty are somehow marked at the genome level.

20

21 Priming Effect of Sex Steroid Hormones on Gene Transcription in Cells

22

The biogenesis of E2 from T is accomplished by the catalytic action of

1 aromatase P450. Although ER α is the predominant nuclear receptor for estrogen, ER β is $\mathbf{2}$ known to be another nuclear receptor (Couse and Korach, 1999). So far, AR is the only 3 known receptor for androgen. In addition to these nuclear receptors, ER-X and two 4 G-protein-coupled receptors, GPR30 and Gq-mER, bind to estrogen to transduce the $\mathbf{5}$ estrogen signals rapidly to adjust the cellular status (Funakoshi et al., 2006; Qiu et al., 6 2003; Qiu et al., 2006; Revankar et al., 2005; Toran-Allerand, 2005). The differential 7 presence of various forms of estrogen and androgen receptors in cells makes it difficult 8 to understand the whole picture of sex steroid signaling. Although membrane-bound 9 receptors might play some roles in gene regulation, it is simplest to first consider only 10 the role of nuclear receptors in the epigenetic effects of estrogen and androgen.

11 Early work on the Xenopus vitellogenin gene identified a minimal estrogen 12responsive element (ERE) core sequence composed of two 6-base asymmetrical 13 nucleotides: 5'-GGTCAnnnTGACC-3' elements separated by three spacer 14(Klein-Hitpass et al., 1986). A similar but not identical structure has been found for the 15androgen responsive element (ARE). Most AREs conform to a consensus sequence 16 composed of two 6-base asymmetrical elements separated by three spacer nucleotides: 175'-AGAACAnnnTGTTCT-3' (http://www.genome.jp/htbin/www_bfind?transfac). 18 Occupancy of ERE and ARE by the steroid-nuclear receptor complex can lead to the 19 acute upregulation of the physically associated gene. There are many examples of acute 20upregulation by the estrogen-ER complex together with other nuclear transcription factors (Gruber et al., 2004). Conversely, removal of steroid hormones also causes an 2122acute decrease of gene transcription. However, there are examples in which

1 supplementation of steroid hormones to $ER\alpha$ -positive breast cells gradually upregulates $\mathbf{2}$ the cell cycle, followed by DNA methylation, histone modification, and microRNA 3 expression changes (Kovalchuk et al., 2007). These occurrences are associated with 4 transformation into hyperplastic states such as those in carcinomas and metastatic cells. $\mathbf{5}$ Another report showed that, on disruption of ER α signaling by small interfering RNA, 6 polycomb repressors and histone deacetylases (HDACs) are recruited to initiate stable 7 repression of the progesterone receptor (PR) gene, a known ER α target, in breast cancer 8 cells (Leu et al., 2004). In these cells, ERa repression is accompanied by PR mRNA 9 disappearance one day later. This event is also accompanied one week later by DNA 10 methylation of the PR promoter, leaving a stable mark that can be inherited by cancer 11 cell progeny. Reestablishing ER signaling alone is not sufficient to reactivate the PR 12gene, rather, reactivation of the PR gene also requires DNA demethylation. The removal 13of the nuclear estrogen signal induces progressive DNA methylation of multiple ERa 14targets in breast cancer genomes (Leu et al., 2004). Considering this kind of long-term 15effect of sex steroid hormones on setting the target gene expression status, it would be 16 important to see the precise timeline of epigenetic alterations occurring in cells.

Since the identification of a canonical ERE, several computational approaches have been undertaken to identify ERα target genes at the genome-wide level (Bajic et al., 2003; Bourdeau et al., 2004). For example, in excess of 70,000 putative EREs have been found in the human genome, over 17,000 of which are located within 15 kb of the transcription start sites of genes (Bourdeau et al., 2004). Six hundred sixty of these are conserved between the mouse and human genomes, and a fraction of these

1 have been confirmed experimentally to function as genuine ERa-interacting sites. In $\mathbf{2}$ addition, imperfect EREs compared with the consensus sequence frequently show ERa 3 binding activity (Gruber et al., 2004). Very recently, identifying long-distance chromatin 4 interactions with ER α has been attempted at the genome-wide level (Fullwood et al., 2009). Using a combinatorial technique of chromatin immunoprecipitation and $\mathbf{5}$ ligation-mediated PCR, called ChIA-PET, DNA regions physically nearby the 6 7 $ER\alpha$ -bound regions have been extensively sequenced. The results using E2-treated 8 MCF-7 cells showed 1451 intra-chromosomal and, surprisingly, 15 inter-chromosomal 9 overlapping clusters. Each of these more than one thousand clusters contains several 10 genes, many of which showed coordinated upregulation of their transcription by E2 11 treatment. The regions close to the ER α -bound regions showed a tendency to rapidly 12constitute active chromatin structures reminiscent of the active gene transcription in 13response to E2. Even the genes in these clusters located relatively far from the 14ERα-bound region also seemed responsive to E2, resuming transcription two days later 15on average. Such a tendency was not seen for the genes outside of the clusters. 16 Therefore, the time lag of transcription alteration between the immediate-early and 17other genes raises the interesting possibility that genes in a cluster constitute an 18 intra-chromosomal loop structure for a commonly regulated epigenetic setting (Fig. 1). 19 In this model, a specific gene cluster could be organized to restrict the epigenetic effects 20of the estrogen-ER α complex within a loop to strengthen the coordination of the 21transcription, and this structure would isolate this effect to prevent leaky transcription of 22the genes located outside of the loop. The differential ERα-triggered epigenetic setting

depending on cell type may account for the tissue- and sex-dependent differences of cell
 fates resulting from various degrees of responsiveness to estrogen.

3

4 Cell-Dependent Autoregulatory Loops of Sex-Steroid Receptor Genes

 $\mathbf{5}$ Estrogen signaling frequently affects the ERa transcription in ERa-positive 6 cells. That is, $ER\alpha$ -positive cells can sensitize or desensitize their estrogen signaling 7 pathways in a cell-intrinsic manner. For example, a low dose of E2, given to 8 ovariectomized animals to mimic the preovulatory estrogen surges, acutely enhanced 9 ERα as well as PR gene expression in specific uterine cells (Ing and Tornesi, 1997). 10 These promoters were also modulated by E2 in estrogen-responsive breast cancer cell 11 lines (Donaghue et al., 1999; Saceda et al., 1988). In the case of T47D, ZR-75, and 12 EFM-19 breast cancer cells, E2 increased ER α expression. In contrast, the ER α 13promoter was downregulated by E2 in MCF-7 breast cancer cells, in which E2 reduced 14the receptor expression. Therefore, $ER\alpha$ regulation by estrogen may strongly differ in 15different cells. The kinetics of ERa mRNA and protein expression in MCF-7 cells were 16 investigated after acute treatment with E2 (Saceda et al., 1988). The data have 17suggested that E2 downregulates ERa mRNA by inhibition of ERa gene transcription at 18 early times and by a posttranscriptional effect on receptor mRNA at later times. Actually, 19 three promoters have been identified for human $ER\alpha$. The use of the three promoters 20was examined in ER-positive breast cancer cell lines, cell lines derived from other 21malignancies, and some normal tissues (Donaghue et al., 1999). Many 22estrogen-responsive breast cancer cells used all three promoters. Cell lines derived from

other malignancies and other normal tissues that express lower levels of ER α showed more selective promoter usage. This raised the possibility that the level of expression of ER α is determined by the number of promoters used, rather than the selective use of specific promoters. However, the number of known alternative ER α promoters is still growing in the human, mouse and rat (Kos et al., 2001; Wilson et al., 2008), and therefore, the possibility that the selective usage of specific promoters accounts for the tissue- or cell-specific ER α expression cannot be ruled out.

8 In rodents, ERa mRNA is expressed in several brain regions, including the 9 MPOA (Shughrue et al., 1992). In male mice, a high density of ER α was found in a 10 small number of hypothalamic cells of the MPOA, arcuate, and ventromedial nuclei 11 (Agarwal et al., 2000). A low or medium density of ERa was observed in cells of the 12 lateral preoptic area, supraoptic nucleus, BNST, and in the central, medial and anterior 13cortical amygdaloid nuclei. Estrogens are believed to downregulate their own receptors 14in most rodent brain regions, because ovariectomy and subsequent EB supplementation 15very frequently increase and decrease the ER α mRNA level, respectively (Hamada et al., 16 2005; Lauber et al., 1991; Shughrue et al., 1992). This general tendency was also 17assessed with aromatase knockout (ArKO) mice in which conversion of T to E2 is 18 impaired (Agarwal et al., 2000). The number of cells containing ERa protein was 19 significantly increased in the MPOA of the ArKO male mice. Similarly, male rats 20treated with an aromatase inhibitor escaped from the inhibitory effect of T on ER α 21expression in many brain regions, suggesting that T functions through its aromatization 22to E2 for the downregulation of ERa expression (Clancy and Michael, 1994). Thus,

1 estrogen can downregulate ER α in brain cells.

However, ERα regulation by estrogen seems to differ according to the brain
region. For example, EB was shown to decrease immunostaining intensity for ERα in
the ventrolateral hypothalamus and BNST, but not in the periventricular preoptic area or
medial amygdala of female rats (DonCarlos et al., 1995; Lauber et al., 1991). Moreover,
EB treatment fails to significantly downregulate ERα mRNA levels in male rats, in
contrast to the downregulation in female rats (Lauber et al., 1991).

8

9 DNA Methylation of the ERa Promoter

10 To reconcile the mutually opposite effects of estrogen on ER α expression, 11 namely, its upregulation and downregulation according to the cell type, it is necessary to 12think of the other factors that modulate the quantity of ERa mRNA. It should be noted 13 that long-term deprivation of estrogen in the culture media of $ER\alpha$ -positive breast 14cancer cells can generate $ER\alpha$ -negative subclones that are completely insensitive to 15estrogen for their cell growth (Pink and Jordan, 1996). This phenomenon strongly 16 suggests the involvement of an epigenetic mechanism to preset the local chromatin 17structure for the basal ERa expression. In this context, formation of transcriptional 18 repression complexes including DNMT, HDAC and/or methyl-CpG binding protein is 19 emerging as an important mechanism in silencing a variety of methylated tissue-specific 20and imprinted genes (Imamura et al., 2001). In fact, methylation of the ERa CpG island 21is associated with loss of ER α expression in human breast cancer cells (Ottaviano et al., 221994). Treatment of ERα-negative human breast cancer cells with the DNMT1 inhibitor

1 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-aza-dC) leads to ERa mRNA and protein re-expression $\mathbf{2}$ (Ferguson et al., 1995). Also, the HDAC inhibitor trichostatin A (TSA) could induce 3 ERα transcripts (Yang et al., 2000). In addition, the combination of 5-aza-dC and TSA 4 induced a synergistic increase in ERa transcripts, occurring concomitantly with $\mathbf{5}$ markedly reduced soluble DNMT1 expression and activity, partial demethylation of the 6 ERa CpG island, and increased acetylation of histones H3 and H4. These data suggest 7 that the activities of both DNMT1 and HDAC are key regulators of 8 methylation-mediated ER α gene silencing.

9 It has been demonstrated that thousands of CpG islands show tissue- or 10 cell-dependent patterns of DNA methylation (Shiota et al., 2002). Therefore, distinct DNA methylation patterns in the respective cells can establish or fix cellular phenotypes. 11 12 On the one hand, each differentiated cell maintains its DNA methylation pattern (Shiota 13 and Yanagimachi, 2002). On the other hand, dynamic DNA methylation changes occur 14during development, and cell differentiation is always associated with DNA methylation 15and demethylation, forming cell-specific patterns (Ohgane et al., 2002). DNA 16 demethylation occurs through either passive mechanisms by inhibiting the DNMT1 or 17through active enzymatic reactions. Active demethylation has been observed in many 18 cells but the mechanisms involved are relatively unknown (Collas, 1998; Fremont et al., 19 1997; Imamura et al., 2004; Jost et al., 1997; Jost and Jost, 1994; Jost et al., 1995; Jost 20et al., 1999; Kim et al., 2009; Ma et al., 2009). Although long-term maintenance of 21DNA methylation patterns is a prerequisite for an animal's life, the overall 22methylcytosine content gradually decreases in parallel with aging processes. Since gene

1 body regions account for only a small percentage of the genome, gain or loss of the $\mathbf{2}$ overall methylcytosine content might largely affect "bulk" sequences such as 3 transposable elements constituting heterochromatin structure to maintain chromosomal 4 stability. In line with this tendency, most cancer cells show a hypomethylated status at $\mathbf{5}$ the transposable elements (Baylin et al., 1998). Paradoxically, an overall decrease of 6 DNA methylation content is associated with local induction of the DNA methylation at 7 multiple gene loci (Baylin et al., 1998). Considering that the circulating and local 8 contents of sex steroid hormones dynamically change according to the developmental 9 and aging context, DNA methylation changes related to ERa expression could occur in 10 various brain cells, including neurons, in a spatiotemporal manner.

In fact, recent studies have shown that the DNA methylation status of $ER\alpha$ in 11 12physiologically normal cells differs during development depending on the cell type. In 13 the mouse cortex, ERa mRNA expression is high early in postnatal development but 14declines starting at postnatal day (P) 10 and is virtually absent in the adult cortex. 15Several regions of the ER α promoter displayed a significant increase in methylation at 16 P18 and P25 compared with P4 (Westberry et al., 2010). In the mouse cortex, DNMT3A 17(the de novo DNMT) peaked at P10 and was decreased by P25. DNMT1 increased 18 across development and stayed high in the adult cortex. A chromatin 19 immunoprecipitation assay showed a correlation between association of MECP2 with 20the ER α promoter and the increase in DNA methylation and decrease in ER α expression 21after P10 (Westberry et al., 2010).

22

External stimuli also seem to affect the establishment of the methylation

1 pattern of the ERa promoter. Maternal care of rat pups can lead to long-term effects $\mathbf{2}$ affecting the life-long response to stress in the offspring (Liu et al., 1997). Mothers that 3 have high rates of licking and grooming behavior have offspring with a more modest 4 response to stress. Adult offspring of mothers that exhibit high licking and grooming $\mathbf{5}$ activity have increased expression of ERa mRNA in the MPOA, and this increased 6 expression is associated with less methylation at the ER α promoter, while the ER α 7 promoter in offspring from low licking and grooming mothers is hypermethylated 8 (Francis et al., 1999). In addition, variations in the rates of licking and grooming are 9 inherited. Mothers with high rates of licking and grooming activity have pups that later 10 exhibit similar behavior when they become mothers (Francis et al., 1999).

11

12 A Model for the Estrogen Effect on ERa mRNA Expression

13With the analogy of brain learning, cells with the potential to transcribe ER α 14may memorize the surrounding estrogen availability for the expression of specialized 15cell function. In line with this idea, during >8 months of deprivation of E2 from the 16 culture media, breast cancer cells with a low level of ERa protein gradually lose the 17ability of ERa transcription (Pink and Jordan, 1996). After 2-month resupplementation 18 of E2 in the media, these cells occasionally recover ERa expression competence. Such 19 cells show steady ERa upregulation by E2 treatment. On the other hand, different cells 20originating from the same organ but with relatively higher levels of ER α mRNA might 21be affected by the possible destabilization activity of an E2-ERa complex that has been 22proved to bind to the coding sequence of ERa mRNA (Kaneko et al., 1993). This would

be possible if an excess amount of E2-ERα complex that could not enter into the
 nucleus due to physical or biochemical blockage remained located in the cytoplasm.
 Based on the several lines of information described above, a schematic representation of
 possible ERα-triggered alteration of the cell status is shown in Fig. 2.

 $\mathbf{5}$ Phases A and B: Starting from the low expression status of ER α at the early 6 stage, cells show the capacity to incorporate the extracellular estrogen signal and try to 7 amplify this signal by upregulation of ER α . This phase could be in a learning state of 8 the cell in terms of establishing intra- and inter-chromosomal structures through the 9 interaction of increased E2-ERa complex with widespread ERE in the genome for the 10 large-scale epigenetic setting triggered by E2. After a considerable level of ER α is reached, cells behave to maintain the estrogen signal to keep the equilibrium state, 11 12 which is reminiscent of the homeostatic state.

Phase C: During aging, overall DNA methylation and other chromatin
modification activities gradually decrease in cells, while gene loci including ERα are
targeted by local DNA methylation activity. In parallel, circulating E2 also decreases.
This decrease within a certain time range is further memorized in cells to accelerate the
DNA methylation of the ERα promoter, which is also frequently seen in ERα-negative
cancer cells.

19 Phase D: If cells do not have the capacity or chance to incorporate the 20 estrogen signals, a concomitant increase of general DNA methylation activity 21 specifically or non-specifically closes the chromatin structure at the ER α *cis*-regulatory 22 regions by DNA methylation and/or histone modifications such as methylation at lysine 9 of histone H3. Once cells learn the expression downregulation via DNA methylation
 and other epigenetic modifications, such cells would rarely express ERα, thereby
 greatly reducing the possibility of its expression except after abnormal treatment such as
 long-term exposure to estrogen.

 $\mathbf{5}$ The model shown here has been made based largely on cancer cell studies. 6 Since the estrogen regulation system varies widely, it would be valuable to test several 7 simple models of the phases described above. Of course, mechanisms mediating 8 epigenetic alterations may differ in postmitotic brain cells and cancer cells of different 9 origins. Nonetheless, it should be noted that acquiring the unmethylated status of the 10 $ER\alpha$ promoter by either passive mechanisms (lack of faithful replication of DNA 11 methylation patterns) or active epigenetic mechanisms (enzymatic mechanisms) is 12prerequisite for sensing the estrogen signal in postmitotic cells as well as cancer cells. 13 Even in cells where estrogen can function to acutely downregulate the ERa transcription 14as represented by phase B, the ERa promoter is expected to be in unmethylated status. 15In other words, estrogen-sensing cells have learned to establish the unmethylated status 16 in the ER α promoter. On the other hand, once DNA methylation occurs at the ER α 17promoter during the course of development or aging as represented by phases C and D, 18 it seems very hard to remove this methylation. Accordingly, defining the cells 19 represented by the phase A would greatly accelerate clarification of the mechanism of 20 brain masculinization.

21

22 Differential Impact of AR Expression Setting Depending on Cells and Species

1	In many androgen target tissues, androgens promote downregulation of AR
2	mRNA levels (Hackenberg et al., 1992; Krongrad et al., 1991; Quarmby et al., 1990;
3	Shan et al., 1990; Wolf et al., 1993). The amount of AR mRNA has been shown to
4	increase with androgen withdrawal and to decrease below control levels after androgen
5	stimulation in rat ventral prostate, coagulating gland, epididymis, seminal vesicle,
6	kidney, and brain, and in several prostate cancer cell lines. In the case of the rat ventral
7	prostate, AR mRNA increased within 24 h after castration and remained elevated for 4
8	days (Quarmby et al., 1990). TP treatment beginning 24 h after this castration reduced
9	ventral prostate AR mRNA within 8 h. In this case, E2 administration after castration
10	had no significant effect on prostatic AR mRNA. Although the general tendency is
11	androgen-mediated downregulation of AR, androgenic upregulation of AR mRNA has
12	also been observed in a few tissues (Antonio et al., 1999; Gonzalez-Cadavid et al.,
13	1993; Kerr et al., 1995; Khetawat et al., 2000; Nastiuk and Clayton, 1994; Wiren et al.,
14	1997), which is reminiscent of the diversified autoregulation of ER α by estrogen.
15	Administration of a non-aromatizable androgen, dihydrotestosterone (DHT), to
16	castrated male rats has been shown to upregulate AR levels in the bulbocavernosus and
17	levator ani muscles (Antonio et al., 1999). In humans, megakaryocyte and
18	erythroleukemia AR expression is upregulated dose-dependently by T (up to 10 nmol/L),
19	but downregulated by a much higher level of T (100 nmo/L) (Khetawat et al., 2000).
20	Treatment of osteoblastic cells with DHT increased AR mRNA steadily in a time- and
21	dose-dependent fashion (Wiren et al., 1997). Reporter assays with the proximal
22	5'-flanking region of the human AR promoter reproduced this effect of DHT on RNA

1 expression (Wiren et al., 1997).

2	In the brain, AR mRNA expression occurs predominantly in the hypothalamus.
3	The highest density of AR mRNA is localized in the central part of the MPOA and the
4	principal portion of the BNST (Handa et al., 1996). Castration of adult male rats causes
5	an increase in AR mRNA density in both brain areas. Therefore, the negative regulation
6	of the expression of AR by androgen seems to be largely restricted to the predominant
7	area of AR function. However, a situation similar to that of the differential
8	autoregulation of ER α together with estrogen could again be observed for AR. In fact,
9	comparable levels of AR mRNA can be found in specific cells of the hippocampus. CA1
10	pyramidal cells are AR-positive and form the major signal output of the hippocampal
11	trisynaptic circuit. A significant decrease occurs in the AR mRNA content of the
12	hippocampus in rats after castration or in intact male rats after daily injections of the AR
13	antagonist flutamide (Kerr et al., 1995).

It has been proposed that methylation of CpG sites in the AR promoter may 1415influence the long-term but reversible inactivation of transcription of the AR gene in 16 androgen-independent metastatic prostate cancers (Jarrard et al., 1998; Kinoshita et al., 172000). Normal prostate epithelial cell strains showed no DNA methylation of the AR 18 gene. In contrast, increased methylation was seen in the AR expression-negative cell 19 lines Du145, DuPro, TSU-PR1, and PPC1, as well as in normal female breast and 20 ovarian tissues. Exposure of AR-negative prostate cancer cell lines to 5-aza-dC induced 21the reexpression of AR mRNA in AR expression-negative cell lines (Jarrard et al., 221998).

1	Interestingly, in the male and female mouse brain cortex, methylation of a few
2	CG sites in the AR core promoter has been shown to be increased by TP, but decreased
3	by E2 (Kumar and Thakur, 2004a). These sex steroid hormones concomitantly affected
4	DNase I accessibility to the AR core promoter (Kumar and Thakur, 2004b), suggesting
5	that setting of the chromatin structure on the AR promoter occurs with ER α and AR. In
6	fact, in the adult male rat, androgen and estrogen act synergistically in the regulation of
7	male reproductive behaviors (Baum, 1979; Feder et al., 1974; Morali et al., 1977). In
8	the MPOA and BNST of the adult male rat, AR and ER α mRNAs have been found to be
9	distributed in unique but overlapping patterns (Handa et al., 1996). In this case,
10	treatment of castrated adult males with DHT reversed the effects of castration on AR
11	mRNA in both the short- and long-term castrated animals, but had no effect on $\mbox{ER}\alpha$
12	mRNA in either of these brain nuclei, whereas EB treatment increased AR mRNA in the
13	long-term castrates only and decreased $ER\alpha$ mRNA in both long- and short-term
14	castrates. This suggests a complex regulation of AR in specific brain regions. So far,
15	little information is available about the DNA methylation pattern on the AR as well as
16	the ER α gene after the short- and long-term androgen exposure or removal. Nonetheless,
17	AR has been shown to regulate the masculinization of the mouse brain (Sato et al.,
18	2004). Furthermore, several lines of pharmacological evidence have suggested that AR
19	is the predominant target for androgen-triggered brain masculinization in some species.
20	In rhesus monkeys, prenatal administration of the nonaromatizable androgen DHT to
21	females caused coital masculinization as readily as T (Pomerantz et al., 1986). In a
22	carnivore, the ferret, neonatal exposure to T, but not its metabolites E2 or DHT, caused

coital masculinization (Baum, 1976; Baum et al., 1983; Baum et al., 1982). Therefore,
 in addition to the setting of the chromatin modifications on ERα, that on AR by
 androgen and estrogen could cause comparable impacts on brain masculinization.

4

5 **Concluding Remarks**

6 Nuclear estrogen and androgen signaling pathways are very complex. 7 Diversified autoregulatory loops of $ER\alpha$ or AR caused by its direct interaction with 8 estrogen or androgen further make it difficult to understand the whole picture of sex 9 steroid signaling. Epigenetic modifications, especially DNA methylation of the ERa and 10 AR gene regions, could partially explain the highly irreversible changes of cell 11 responsiveness to sex steroid hormones in mammals. Local epigenetic engineering of 12ER α and AR, if it can be achieved, could be used to determine the exact degree of the 13 contribution of DNA methylation to cell fate specification during development and 14aging in future studies (Imamura et al., 2004). This would be especially important for 15making the next breakthrough in understanding the irreversibility of sex-dependent 16 behaviors.

17

18 Acknowledgements

I thank Elizabeth Nakajima for proofreading of this manuscript. Research in
the Imamura team is supported by the Global COE program A06 to Kyoto University
and Grant-in-Aids (Nos. 17052028, 19040029, and 18770008) from MEXT, Japan.

1 **References**

2	Agarwal, V. R., Sinton, C. M., Liang, C., Fisher, C., German, D. C., Simpson, E. R.,
3	2000. Upregulation of estrogen receptors in the forebrain of aromatase knockout
4	(ArKO) mice. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 162, 9-16.
5	Amir, R. E., Van den Veyver, I. B., Wan, M., Tran, C. Q., Francke, U., Zoghbi, H. Y.,
6	1999. Rett syndrome is caused by mutations in X-linked MECP2, encoding
7	methyl-CpG-binding protein 2. Nat Genet. 23, 185-8.
8	Antonio, J., Wilson, J. D., George, F. W., 1999. Effects of castration and androgen
9	treatment on androgen-receptor levels in rat skeletal muscles. J Appl Physiol. 87,
10	2016-9.
11	Arai, Y., Murakami, S., Nishizuka, M., 1994. Androgen enhances neuronal degeneration
12	in the developing preoptic area: apoptosis in the anteroventral periventricular
13	nucleus (AVPvN-POA). Horm Behav. 28, 313-9.
14	Arai, Y., Sekine, Y., Murakami, S., 1996. Estrogen and apoptosis in the developing
15	sexually dimorphic preoptic area in female rats. Neurosci Res. 25, 403-7.
16	Bajic, V. B., Tan, S. L., Chong, A., Tang, S., Strom, A., Gustafsson, J. A., Lin, C. Y., Liu,
17	E. T., 2003. Dragon ERE Finder version 2: A tool for accurate detection and
18	analysis of estrogen response elements in vertebrate genomes. Nucleic Acids
19	Res. 31, 3605-7.
20	Baum, M. J., 1976. Effects of testosterone propionate administered perinatally on sexual
21	behavior of female ferrets. J Comp Physiol Psychol. 90, 399-410.
22	Baum, M. J., 1979. A comparison of the effects of methyltrienolone (R 1881) and 5
23	alpha-dihydrotestosterone on sexual behavior of castrated male rats. Horm
24	Behav. 13, 165-74.
25	Baum, M. J., Canick, J. A., Erskine, M. S., Gallagher, C. A., Shim, J. H., 1983. Normal
26	differentiation of masculine sexual behavior in male ferrets despite neonatal
27	inhibition of brain aromatase or 5-alpha-reductase activity. Neuroendocrinology.
28	36, 277-84.
29	Baum, M. J., Gallagher, C. A., Martin, J. T., Damassa, D. A., 1982. Effects of
30	testosterone, dihydrotestosterone, or estradiol administered neonatally on sexual
31	behavior of female ferrets. Endocrinology. 111, 773-80.
32	Baylin, S. B., Herman, J. G., Graff, J. R., Vertino, P. M., Issa, J. P., 1998. Alterations in

1	DNA methylation: a fundamental aspect of neoplasia. Adv Cancer Res. 72,
2	141-96.
3	Bird, A. P., Wolffe, A. P., 1999. Methylation-induced repressionbelts, braces, and
4	chromatin. Cell. 99, 451-4.
5	Bourdeau, V., Deschenes, J., Metivier, R., Nagai, Y., Nguyen, D., Bretschneider, N.,
6	Gannon, F., White, J. H., Mader, S., 2004. Genome-wide identification of
7	high-affinity estrogen response elements in human and mouse. Mol Endocrinol.
8	18, 1411-27.
9	Bronson, F. H., 1981. The regulation of luteinizing hormone secretion by estrogen:
10	relationships among negative feedback, surge potential, and male stimulation in
11	juvenile, peripubertal, and adult female mice. Endocrinology. 108, 506-16.
12	Christian, C. A., Mobley, J. L., Moenter, S. M., 2005. Diurnal and estradiol-dependent
13	changes in gonadotropin-releasing hormone neuron firing activity. Proc Natl
14	Acad Sci U S A. 102, 15682-7.
15	Chung, W. C., Swaab, D. F., De Vries, G. J., 2000. Apoptosis during sexual
16	differentiation of the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis in the rat brain. J
17	Neurobiol. 43, 234-43.
18	Clancy, A. N., Michael, R. P., 1994. Effects of testosterone and aromatase inhibition on
19	estrogen receptor-like immunoreactivity in male rat brain. Neuroendocrinology.
20	59, 552-60.
21	Collas, P., 1998. Modulation of plasmid DNA methylation and expression in zebrafish
22	embryos. Nucleic Acids Res. 26, 4454-61.
23	Cooke, B., Hegstrom, C. D., Villeneuve, L. S., Breedlove, S. M., 1998. Sexual
24	differentiation of the vertebrate brain: principles and mechanisms. Front
25	Neuroendocrinol. 19, 323-62.
26	Couse, J. F., Korach, K. S., 1999. Estrogen receptor null mice: what have we learned
27	and where will they lead us? Endocr Rev. 20, 358-417.
28	Cross, S., Kovarik, P., Schmidtke, J., Bird, A., 1991. Non-methylated islands in fish
29	genomes are GC-poor. Nucleic Acids Res. 19, 1469-74.
30	Donaghue, C., Westley, B. R., May, F. E., 1999. Selective promoter usage of the human
31	estrogen receptor-alpha gene and its regulation by estrogen. Mol Endocrinol. 13,
32	1934-50.

33 DonCarlos, L. L., Malik, K., Morrell, J. I., 1995. Region-specific effects of ovarian

1	hormones on estrogen receptor immunoreactivity. Neuroreport. 6, 2054-8.
2	Eden, A., Gaudet, F., Waghmare, A., Jaenisch, R., 2003. Chromosomal instability and
3	tumors promoted by DNA hypomethylation. Science. 300, 455.
4	Feder, H. H., Naftolin, F., Ryan, K. J., 1974. Male and female sexual responses in male
5	rats given estradiol benzoate and 5 alpha-androstan-17 beta-ol-3-one propionate.
6	Endocrinology. 94, 136-41.
7	Ferguson, A. T., Lapidus, R. G., Baylin, S. B., Davidson, N. E., 1995. Demethylation of
8	the estrogen receptor gene in estrogen receptor-negative breast cancer cells can
9	reactivate estrogen receptor gene expression. Cancer Res. 55, 2279-83.
10	Francis, D., Diorio, J., Liu, D., Meaney, M. J., 1999. Nongenomic transmission across
11	generations of maternal behavior and stress responses in the rat. Science. 286,
12	1155-8.
13	Fremont, M., Siegmann, M., Gaulis, S., Matthies, R., Hess, D., Jost, J. P., 1997.
14	Demethylation of DNA by purified chick embryo 5-methylcytosine-DNA
15	glycosylase requires both protein and RNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 25, 2375-80.
16	Fullwood, M. J., Liu, M. H., Pan, Y. F., Liu, J., Xu, H., Mohamed, Y. B., Orlov, Y. L.,
17	Velkov, S., Ho, A., Mei, P. H., Chew, E. G., Huang, P. Y., Welboren, W. J., Han,
18	Y., Ooi, H. S., Ariyaratne, P. N., Vega, V. B., Luo, Y., Tan, P. Y., Choy, P. Y.,
19	Wansa, K. D., Zhao, B., Lim, K. S., Leow, S. C., Yow, J. S., Joseph, R., Li, H.,
20	Desai, K. V., Thomsen, J. S., Lee, Y. K., Karuturi, R. K., Herve, T., Bourque, G.,
21	Stunnenberg, H. G., Ruan, X., Cacheux-Rataboul, V., Sung, W. K., Liu, E. T.,
22	Wei, C. L., Cheung, E., Ruan, Y., 2009. An oestrogen-receptor-alpha-bound
23	human chromatin interactome. Nature. 462, 58-64.
24	Funakoshi, T., Yanai, A., Shinoda, K., Kawano, M. M., Mizukami, Y., 2006. G
25	protein-coupled receptor 30 is an estrogen receptor in the plasma membrane.
26	Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 346, 904-10.
27	Gonzalez-Cadavid, N., Vernet, D., Fuentes Navarro, A., Rodriguez, J. A., Swerdloff, R.
28	S., Rajfer, J., 1993. Up-regulation of the levels of androgen receptor and its
29	mRNA by androgens in smooth-muscle cells from rat penis. Mol Cell
30	Endocrinol. 90, 219-29.
31	Goodman, R. L., 1978. A quantitative analysis of the physiological role of estradiol and
32	progesterone in the control of tonic and surge secretion of luteinizing hormone
33	in the rat. Endocrinology. 102, 142-50.

1	Grober, M. S., Sunobe, T., 1996. Serial adult sex change involves rapid and reversible
2	changes in forebrain neurochemistry. Neuroreport. 7, 2945-9.
3	Gruber, C. J., Gruber, D. M., Gruber, I. M., Wieser, F., Huber, J. C., 2004. Anatomy of
4	the estrogen response element. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 15, 73-8.
5	Hackenberg, R., Hawighorst, T., Filmer, A., Slater, E. P., Bock, K., Beato, M., Schulz, K.
6	D., 1992. Regulation of androgen receptor mRNA and protein level by steroid
7	hormones in human mammary cancer cells. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 43,
8	599-607.
9	Hamada, T., Wada-Kiyama, Y., Sakuma, Y., 2005. Visualizing forebrain-specific usage
10	of an estrogen receptor alpha promoter for receptor downregulation in the rat.
11	Brain Res Mol Brain Res. 139, 42-51.
12	Handa, R. J., Kerr, J. E., DonCarlos, L. L., McGivern, R. F., Hejna, G., 1996. Hormonal
13	regulation of androgen receptor messenger RNA in the medial preoptic area of
14	the male rat. Brain Res Mol Brain Res. 39, 57-67.
15	Hansen, R. S., Wijmenga, C., Luo, P., Stanek, A. M., Canfield, T. K., Weemaes, C. M.,
16	Gartler, S. M., 1999. The DNMT3B DNA methyltransferase gene is mutated in
17	the ICF immunodeficiency syndrome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 96, 14412-7.
18	Heard, E., Mongelard, F., Arnaud, D., Avner, P., 1999. Xist yeast artificial chromosome
19	transgenes function as X-inactivation centers only in multicopy arrays and not as
20	single copies. Mol Cell Biol. 19, 3156-66.
21	Heinzel, T., Lavinsky, R. M., Mullen, T. M., Soderstrom, M., Laherty, C. D., Torchia, J.,
22	Yang, W. M., Brard, G., Ngo, S. D., Davie, J. R., Seto, E., Eisenman, R. N., Rose,
23	D. W., Glass, C. K., Rosenfeld, M. G., 1997. A complex containing N-CoR,
24	mSin3 and histone deacetylase mediates transcriptional repression. Nature. 387,
25	43-8.
26	Hsu, H. K., Yang, R. C., Shih, H. C., Hsieh, Y. L., Chen, U. Y., Hsu, C., 2001. Prenatal
27	exposure of testosterone prevents SDN-POA neurons of postnatal male rats from
28	apoptosis through NMDA receptor. J Neurophysiol. 86, 2374-80.
29	Imamura, T., Ohgane, J., Ito, S., Ogawa, T., Hattori, N., Tanaka, S., Shiota, K., 2001.
30	CpG island of rat sphingosine kinase-1 gene: tissue-dependent DNA methylation
31	status and multiple alternative first exons. Genomics. 76, 117-25.
32	Imamura, T., Yamamoto, S., Ohgane, J., Hattori, N., Tanaka, S., Shiota, K., 2004.
33	Non-coding RNA directed DNA demethylation of Sphk1 CpG island. Biochem

1	Biophys Res Commun. 322, 593-600.
2	Ing, N. H., Tornesi, M. B., 1997. Estradiol up-regulates estrogen receptor and
3	progesterone receptor gene expression in specific ovine uterine cells. Biol
4	Reprod. 56, 1205-15.
5	Jarrard, D. F., Kinoshita, H., Shi, Y., Sandefur, C., Hoff, D., Meisner, L. F., Chang, C.,
6	Herman, J. G., Isaacs, W. B., Nassif, N., 1998. Methylation of the androgen
7	receptor promoter CpG island is associated with loss of androgen receptor
8	expression in prostate cancer cells. Cancer Res. 58, 5310-4.
9	Jenuwein, T., Allis, C. D., 2001. Translating the histone code. Science. 293, 1074-80.
10	Jones, P. A., Takai, D., 2001. The role of DNA methylation in mammalian epigenetics.
11	Science. 293, 1068-70.
12	Jost, J. P., Fremont, M., Siegmann, M., Hofsteenge, J., 1997. The RNA moiety of chick
13	embryo 5-methylcytosine- DNA glycosylase targets DNA demethylation.
14	Nucleic Acids Res. 25, 4545-50.
15	Jost, J. P., Jost, Y. C., 1994. Transient DNA demethylation in differentiating mouse
16	myoblasts correlates with higher activity of 5-methyldeoxycytidine excision
17	repair. J Biol Chem. 269, 10040-3.
18	Jost, J. P., Siegmann, M., Sun, L., Leung, R., 1995. Mechanisms of DNA demethylation
19	in chicken embryos. Purification and properties of a 5-methylcytosine-DNA
20	glycosylase. J Biol Chem. 270, 9734-9.
21	Jost, J. P., Siegmann, M., Thiry, S., Jost, Y. C., Benjamin, D., Schwarz, S., 1999. A
22	re-investigation of the ribonuclease sensitivity of a DNA demethylation reaction
23	in chicken embryo and G8 mouse myoblasts. FEBS Lett. 449, 251-4.
24	Kaneko, K. J., Furlow, J. D., Gorski, J., 1993. Involvement of the coding sequence for
25	the estrogen receptor gene in autologous ligand-dependent down-regulation. Mol
26	Endocrinol. 7, 879-88.
27	Kerr, J. E., Allore, R. J., Beck, S. G., Handa, R. J., 1995. Distribution and hormonal
28	regulation of androgen receptor (AR) and AR messenger ribonucleic acid in the
29	rat hippocampus. Endocrinology. 136, 3213-21.
30	Khetawat, G., Faraday, N., Nealen, M. L., Vijayan, K. V., Bolton, E., Noga, S. J., Bray, P.
31	F., 2000. Human megakaryocytes and platelets contain the estrogen receptor
32	beta and androgen receptor (AR): testosterone regulates AR expression. Blood.
33	95, 2289-96.

1	Kim, M. S., Kondo, T., Takada, I., Youn, M. Y., Yamamoto, Y., Takahashi, S.,
2	Matsumoto, T., Fujiyama, S., Shirode, Y., Yamaoka, I., Kitagawa, H., Takeyama,
3	K., Shibuya, H., Ohtake, F., Kato, S., 2009. DNA demethylation in
4	hormone-induced transcriptional derepression. Nature. 461, 1007-12.
5	Kinoshita, H., Shi, Y., Sandefur, C., Meisner, L. F., Chang, C., Choon, A., Reznikoff, C.
6	R., Bova, G. S., Friedl, A., Jarrard, D. F., 2000. Methylation of the androgen
7	receptor minimal promoter silences transcription in human prostate cancer.
8	Cancer Res. 60, 3623-30.
9	Klein-Hitpass, L., Schorpp, M., Wagner, U., Ryffel, G. U., 1986. An estrogen-responsive
10	element derived from the 5' flanking region of the Xenopus vitellogenin A2 gene
11	functions in transfected human cells. Cell. 46, 1053-61.
12	Kos, M., Reid, G., Denger, S., Gannon, F., 2001. Minireview: genomic organization of
13	the human ERalpha gene promoter region. Mol Endocrinol. 15, 2057-63.
14	Kovalchuk, O., Tryndyak, V. P., Montgomery, B., Boyko, A., Kutanzi, K., Zemp, F.,
15	Warbritton, A. R., Latendresse, J. R., Kovalchuk, I., Beland, F. A., Pogribny, I. P.,
16	2007. Estrogen-induced rat breast carcinogenesis is characterized by alterations
17	in DNA methylation, histone modifications and aberrant microRNA expression.
18	Cell Cycle. 6, 2010-8.
19	Kraeling, R. R., Johnson, B., Barb, C. R., Rampacek, G. B., 1998. Evidence for a
20	luteinizing hormone surge center in the hypothalamus of the pig. Biol Reprod.
21	58, 1199-205.
22	Krongrad, A., Wilson, C. M., Wilson, J. D., Allman, D. R., McPhaul, M. J., 1991.
23	Androgen increases androgen receptor protein while decreasing receptor mRNA
24	in LNCaP cells. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 76, 79-88.
25	Kumar, R. C., Thakur, M. K., 2004a. Androgen receptor mRNA is inversely regulated
26	by testosterone and estradiol in adult mouse brain. Neurobiol Aging. 25, 925-33.
27	Kumar, R. C., Thakur, M. K., 2004b. Sex steroids reduce DNaseI accessibility of
28	androgen receptor promoter in adult male mice brain. Brain Res Mol Brain Res.
29	131, 1-7.
30	Kunert, N., Marhold, J., Stanke, J., Stach, D., Lyko, F., 2003. A Dnmt2-like protein
31	mediates DNA methylation in Drosophila. Development. 130, 5083-90.
32	Lauber, A. H., Mobbs, C. V., Muramatsu, M., Pfaff, D. W., 1991. Estrogen receptor
33	messenger RNA expression in rat hypothalamus as a function of genetic sex and

estrogen dose. Endocrinology. 129, 3180-6.

- Leu, Y. W., Yan, P. S., Fan, M., Jin, V. X., Liu, J. C., Curran, E. M., Welshons, W. V.,
 Wei, S. H., Davuluri, R. V., Plass, C., Nephew, K. P., Huang, T. H., 2004. Loss
 of estrogen receptor signaling triggers epigenetic silencing of downstream
 targets in breast cancer. Cancer Res. 64, 8184-92.
- Lindzey, J., Wetsel, W. C., Couse, J. F., Stoker, T., Cooper, R., Korach, K. S., 1998.
 Effects of castration and chronic steroid treatments on hypothalamic
 gonadotropin-releasing hormone content and pituitary gonadotropins in male
 wild-type and estrogen receptor-alpha knockout mice. Endocrinology. 139,
 4092-101.
- Liu, D., Diorio, J., Tannenbaum, B., Caldji, C., Francis, D., Freedman, A., Sharma, S.,
 Pearson, D., Plotsky, P. M., Meaney, M. J., 1997. Maternal care, hippocampal
 glucocorticoid receptors, and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal responses to stress.
 Science. 277, 1659-62.
- Lyko, F., Ramsahoye, B. H., Jaenisch, R., 2000. DNA methylation in Drosophila
 melanogaster. Nature. 408, 538-40.
- Ma, D. K., Jang, M. H., Guo, J. U., Kitabatake, Y., Chang, M. L., Pow-Anpongkul, N.,
 Flavell, R. A., Lu, B., Ming, G. L., Song, H., 2009. Neuronal activity-induced
 Gadd45b promotes epigenetic DNA demethylation and adult neurogenesis.
 Science. 323, 1074-7.
- 21 McCarthy, M. M., 2008. Estradiol and the developing brain. Physiol Rev. 88, 91-124.
- McCarthy, M. S., Swanson, L. V., 1976. Serum LH concentration following castration,
 steroid hormone and gonadotropin releasing hormone treatment in the male
 bovine. J Anim Sci. 43, 151-8.
- Morali, G., Larsson, K., Beyer, C., 1977. Inhibition of testosterone-induced sexual
 behavior in the castrated male rat by aromatase blockers. Horm Behav. 9,
 203-13.
- Mori, Y., Tanaka, M., Maeda, K., Hoshino, K., Kano, Y., 1987. Photoperiodic
 modification of negative and positive feedback effects of oestradiol on LH
 secretion in ovariectomized goats. J Reprod Fertil. 80, 523-9.
- Nastiuk, K. L., Clayton, D. F., 1994. Seasonal and tissue-specific regulation of canary
 androgen receptor messenger ribonucleic acid. Endocrinology. 134, 640-9.
- 33 Ohgane, J., Hattori, N., Oda, M., Tanaka, S., Shiota, K., 2002. Differentiation of

trophoblast lineage is associated with DNA methylation and demethylation. 1 $\mathbf{2}$ Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 290, 701-6. 3 Ottaviano, Y. L., Issa, J. P., Parl, F. F., Smith, H. S., Baylin, S. B., Davidson, N. E., 1994. 4 Methylation of the estrogen receptor gene CpG island marks loss of estrogen $\mathbf{5}$ receptor expression in human breast cancer cells. Cancer Res. 54, 2552-5. 6 Pink, J. J., Jordan, V. C., 1996. Models of estrogen receptor regulation by estrogens and 7 antiestrogens in breast cancer cell lines. Cancer Res. 56, 2321-30. 8 Pomerantz, S. M., Goy, R. W., Roy, M. M., 1986. Expression of male-typical behavior 9 in adult female pseudohermaphroditic rhesus: comparisons with normal males 10and neonatally gonadectomized males and females. Horm Behav. 20, 483-500. 11 Putnam, S. K., Sato, S., Hull, E. M., 2003. Effects of testosterone metabolites on 12copulation and medial preoptic dopamine release in castrated male rats. Horm 13Behav. 44, 419-26. 14Qiu, J., Bosch, M. A., Tobias, S. C., Grandy, D. K., Scanlan, T. S., Ronnekleiv, O. K., 15Kelly, M. J., 2003. Rapid signaling of estrogen in hypothalamic neurons 16involves a novel G-protein-coupled estrogen receptor that activates protein 17kinase C. J Neurosci. 23, 9529-40. 18 Qiu, J., Bosch, M. A., Tobias, S. C., Krust, A., Graham, S. M., Murphy, S. J., Korach, K. 19S., Chambon, P., Scanlan, T. S., Ronnekleiv, O. K., Kelly, M. J., 2006. A 20G-protein-coupled estrogen receptor is involved in hypothalamic control of 21energy homeostasis. J Neurosci. 26, 5649-55. 22Quarmby, V. E., Yarbrough, W. G., Lubahn, D. B., French, F. S., Wilson, E. M., 1990. 23Autologous down-regulation of androgen receptor messenger ribonucleic acid. $\mathbf{24}$ Mol Endocrinol. 4, 22-8. 25Revankar, C. M., Cimino, D. F., Sklar, L. A., Arterburn, J. B., Prossnitz, E. R., 2005. A 26transmembrane intracellular estrogen receptor mediates rapid cell signaling. 27Science. 307, 1625-30. 28Saceda, M., Lippman, M. E., Chambon, P., Lindsey, R. L., Ponglikitmongkol, M., 29Puente, M., Martin, M. B., 1988. Regulation of the estrogen receptor in MCF-7 30 cells by estradiol. Mol Endocrinol. 2, 1157-62. 31Sato, T., Matsumoto, T., Kawano, H., Watanabe, T., Uematsu, Y., Sekine, K., Fukuda, T., 32Aihara, K., Krust, A., Yamada, T., Nakamichi, Y., Yamamoto, Y., Nakamura, T., 33 Yoshimura, K., Yoshizawa, T., Metzger, D., Chambon, P., Kato, S., 2004. Brain

masculinization requires androgen receptor function. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 101, 1673-8.

 $\mathbf{2}$

Schwarz, J. M., McCarthy, M. M., 2008. Cellular mechanisms of estradiol-mediated
masculinization of the brain. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 109, 300-6.

- Seale, J. V., Wood, S. A., Atkinson, H. C., Harbuz, M. S., Lightman, S. L., 2004.
 Gonadal steroid replacement reverses gonadectomy-induced changes in the
 corticosterone pulse profile and stress-induced hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal
 axis activity of male and female rats. J Neuroendocrinol. 16, 989-98.
- Shan, L. X., Rodriguez, M. C., Janne, O. A., 1990. Regulation of androgen receptor
 protein and mRNA concentrations by androgens in rat ventral prostate and
 seminal vesicles and in human hepatoma cells. Mol Endocrinol. 4, 1636-46.
- Sharif, J., Muto, M., Takebayashi, S., Suetake, I., Iwamatsu, A., Endo, T. A., Shinga, J.,
 Mizutani-Koseki, Y., Toyoda, T., Okamura, K., Tajima, S., Mitsuya, K., Okano,
 M., Koseki, H., 2007. The SRA protein Np95 mediates epigenetic inheritance by
 recruiting Dnmt1 to methylated DNA. Nature. 450, 908-12.
- Shiota, K., Kogo, Y., Ohgane, J., Imamura, T., Urano, A., Nishino, K., Tanaka, S.,
 Hattori, N., 2002. Epigenetic marks by DNA methylation specific to stem, germ
 and somatic cells in mice. Genes Cells. 7, 961-9.
- Shiota, K., Yanagimachi, R., 2002. Epigenetics by DNA methylation for development of
 normal and cloned animals. Differentiation. 69, 162-6.
- Shughrue, P. J., Bushnell, C. D., Dorsa, D. M., 1992. Estrogen receptor messenger
 ribonucleic acid in female rat brain during the estrous cycle: a comparison with
 ovariectomized females and intact males. Endocrinology. 131, 381-8.
- Sodersten, P., 1978. Effects of anti-oestrogen treatment of neonatal male rats on lordosis
 behaviour and mounting behaviour in the adult. J Endocrinol. 76, 241-9.
- Toran-Allerand, C. D., 2005. Estrogen and the brain: beyond ER-alpha, ER-beta, and
 17beta-estradiol. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1052, 136-44.
- Walsh, C. P., Bestor, T. H., 1999. Cytosine methylation and mammalian development.
 Genes Dev. 13, 26-34.
- Webb, R., England, B. G., Fitzpatrick, K. E., 1981. Control of the preovulatory
 gonadotropin surge in the ewe. Endocrinology. 108, 1178-85.
- Westberry, J. M., Trout, A. L., Wilson, M. E., 2010. Epigenetic regulation of estrogen
 receptor alpha gene expression in the mouse cortex during early postnatal

- 1 development. Endocrinology. 151, 731-40.
- Wilson, M. E., Westberry, J. M., Prewitt, A. K., 2008. Dynamic regulation of estrogen
 receptor-alpha gene expression in the brain: a role for promoter methylation?
 Front Neuroendocrinol. 29, 375-85.
- 5 Wiren, K. M., Zhang, X., Chang, C., Keenan, E., Orwoll, E. S., 1997. Transcriptional
 6 up-regulation of the human androgen receptor by androgen in bone cells.
 7 Endocrinology. 138, 2291-300.
- 8 Wolf, D. A., Herzinger, T., Hermeking, H., Blaschke, D., Horz, W., 1993.
 9 Transcriptional and posttranscriptional regulation of human androgen receptor
 10 expression by androgen. Mol Endocrinol. 7, 924-36.
- Xu, G. L., Bestor, T. H., Bourc'his, D., Hsieh, C. L., Tommerup, N., Bugge, M., Hulten,
 M., Qu, X., Russo, J. J., Viegas-Pequignot, E., 1999. Chromosome instability
 and immunodeficiency syndrome caused by mutations in a DNA
 methyltransferase gene. Nature. 402, 187-91.
- Yang, X., Ferguson, A. T., Nass, S. J., Phillips, D. L., Butash, K. A., Wang, S. M.,
 Herman, J. G., Davidson, N. E., 2000. Transcriptional activation of estrogen
 receptor alpha in human breast cancer cells by histone deacetylase inhibition.
 Cancer Res. 60, 6890-4.

1 Figure Legends

 $\mathbf{2}$

3 Figure 1. ERα target gene regulation by chromatin setting through ERE-ERα
4 interactions.

In this model, E2 alters the chromatin structure by E2-ER α association with the estrogen responsive element (ERE). On the ERE-ER α complex, several cofactors are recruited to concordantly establish the highly ordered chromatin structure. The E2 effect is exerted on many genes within an ERE-containing cluster. Genes proximal to the ERE tend to show rapid upregulation whereas expression of distal genes occurs later on. The ERE effect could be restricted by insulators to prevent changes of the expression of genes located outside of the cluster.

12

Figure 2. Cells with differential ERα expression setting by estrogen and DNA
methylation during development and senescence.

15Panel A indicates a cell in which E2 starts being incorporated at early developmental 16 stages, such as the perinatal stage of mice corresponding to the critical period of brain 17masculinization. ERa could enter into the nucleus to upregulate the ERa mRNA 18 expression. After a number of cycles of synergistic increase of ER α by an increase of 19 circulating E2, E2-ERa association with ERa mRNA occurs in the cytoplasm. This kind 20 of blockage and other biochemical signals could prevent the entry of E2-ER α into the 21nucleus, as shown in panel B. These negative regulations of ERa mRNA expression 22allow the stable existence of $ER\alpha$ in a cell. Panel C represents an aged cell in which

1 overall DNA methylation activities, represented by hexagons, are diminishing. Decrease $\mathbf{2}$ of the circulating E2 is accompanied by senescence. Long-term decrease of E2 and the 3 resultant reduced content of ER α could be sensed by the cell, which would as a result 4 change the DNA methylation status of the ERa promoter from hypomethylated (open lollipops) to hypermethylated (filled lollipops) according to the lack of need for ERa $\mathbf{5}$ 6 even if the overall DNA methylation level were lowered. In contrast to the senescence 7 stage, developmental stage cells contain much higher DNA methylation activities which 8 react with genes as well as heterochromatin regions. If a cell is not exposed to E2, there 9 is no chance of ER α expression, leading to long-term repression by DNA methylation 10 and other modifications reminiscent of the closed chromatin structure, as shown in 11 panel D.



Figure 1



Figure 2