# A maximal forcing axiom compatible with weak club guessing

Tadatoshi MIYAMOTO

宮元 忠敏

南山大学 数理情報学部

17th September 2008

#### Abstract

We show there is no maximal forcing axiom compatible with tail club guessing. On the other hand, we may formulate a maximal forcing axiom compatible with a weak club guessing.

#### Introduction

We formulate a forcing axiom compatible with a tail club guessing in [M]. This note is a continuation to [M]. We show a maximal forcing axiom compatible with tail club guessing does not hold. On the other hand, we may force a maximal forcing axiom compatible with a weak club guessing. Namely, if a ladder system  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  is weak club guessing and a supercompact cardinal exists, then there is a model of set theory where

- (1)  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  remains weak club guessing. Namely, for any club D of  $\omega_1$ , there exists  $\delta \in A$  such that  $D \cap C_{\delta}$  is infinite.
- (2) Let P be any preorder such that P preserves every stationary subset of  $\omega_1$  and that for any  $B \subseteq A$  such that the ladder system  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in B \rangle$  is weak club guessing, P also preserves the ladder system  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in B \rangle$  to be weak club guessing. Then every system  $\langle D_i \mid i < \omega_1 \rangle$  of dense subsets of P has a filter which hits every  $D_i$ .

### §1. No maximal forcing axioms are compatible with TCG

We set our notation.

- **1.1 Definition** A sequence  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  is a *ladder system*, if
- $A \subseteq \{\delta \mid \delta < \omega_1, \delta \text{ is a limit ordinal}\}.$
- For every  $\delta \in A$ ,  $C_{\delta}$  is a cofinal subset of  $\delta$  and is of order type  $\omega$ .

A ladder system  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  is tail club guessing, if for any club  $D \subseteq \omega_1$ , there exists  $\delta \in A$  such that  $C_{\delta} \setminus D$  is finite. A ladder system  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  is weak club guessing, if for any club  $D \subseteq \omega_1$ , there exists  $\delta \in A$  such that  $C_{\delta} \cap D$  is infinite. Hence if  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  is tail club guessing, then it is weak club guessing.

Fix a ladder system  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$ . We write for small sets and positive sets as follows;

- $(TCG) = \{X \subseteq \omega_1 \mid \langle C_\delta \mid \delta \in A \cap X \rangle \text{ fails to be tail club guessing} \}.$
- $(TCG)^+ = \{X \subseteq \omega_1 \mid \langle C_\delta \mid \delta \in A \cap X \rangle \text{ is tail club guessing} \}.$

Similarly,

- $(WCG) = \{X \subseteq \omega_1 \mid \langle C_\delta \mid \delta \in A \cap X \rangle \text{ fails to be weak club guessing} \}.$
- $(WCG)^+ = \{X \subseteq \omega_1 \mid \langle C_\delta \mid \delta \in A \cap X \rangle \text{ is weak club guessing} \}.$

We know of a forcing axiom which is compatible with tail club guessing.

**Theorem.** ([M]) Let  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  be tail club guessing. Then we may force the following, assuming that a supercompact cardinal exists.

- (1)  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  remains tail club guessing.
- (2) Forcing axiom<sup>+</sup> holds for the class of partially ordered sets P which are semiproper and  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$ - $\omega$ -semiproper,

On the other hand,

- 1.2 Proposition. Let  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  be a ladder system. Let  $n_* < \omega$ . Then there exists a partially ordered set P and a P-name  $\dot{D}$  such that
- (1) P is proper and  $(TCG)^+$ -preserving.
- (2)  $\Vdash_P$  " $\dot{D}$  is a club in  $\omega_1$  such that for all  $\delta \in A$ ,  $|C_\delta \setminus \dot{D}| \ge n_*$ ".

We make use of this P in two ways. First, we observe TCG-sequences may get killed at limit stages of iterated forcing.

- 1.3 Corollary. Let  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  be a ladder system. Then there exists an iterated forcing  $\langle P_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  such that
  - If  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  is tail club guessing, then for all  $n < \omega$ ,  $||-P_n (\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A) \rangle$  remains tail club guessing.
  - If  $P_{\omega}$  is any limit of the  $P_n$ 's, then  $\|-P_{\omega}\| \langle C_{\delta} | \delta \in A \rangle$  must fail to be tail club guessing".

Second, we put above in terms of forcing axiom. Suppose  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  is tail club guessing. Then no maximal forcing axioms hold for the class of partially ordered sets P which preserve all stationary subsets of  $\omega_1$  and all elements of  $(TCG)^+$  (i.e. for any  $X \subseteq \omega_1$ , if  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \cap X \rangle$  is tail club guessing, then it remains so in the generic extensions of P).

1.4 Corollary. Let  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  be tail club guessing. Let forcing axiom hold for the class of partially ordered sets P such that P are proper and that for any  $B \subseteq A$  such that  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in B \rangle$  is tail club guessing, then  $\Vdash_{P} ``\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in B \rangle$  remains to be tail club guessing". Then we have a contradiction.

 $\Box$ 

*Proof* of proposition 1.2. Let  $p \in P$ , if  $p = (\alpha^p, D^p)$  such that

- (1)  $\alpha^p < \omega_1$ .
- (2)  $D^p \subseteq \alpha^p + 1$ ,  $\alpha^p \in D^p$  and  $D^p$  is closed.
- (3) For all  $\delta \in A$  with  $\delta \leq \alpha^p$ ,  $|C_\delta \setminus D^p| \geq n_*$ .

For  $p, q \in P$ , let  $q \leq p$ , if

- (4)  $\alpha^p \leq \alpha^q$ .
- (5)  $D^p = D^q \cap (\alpha^p + 1)$ .

Claim. (Dense) For any  $p \in P$  and any  $\eta$  with  $\alpha^p < \eta < \omega_1$ , there exists  $q \leq p$  such that  $\alpha^q = \eta$ . Proof. Let  $\alpha^q = \eta$  and  $D^q = D^p \cup \{\eta\}$ . Then this  $q = (\alpha^q, D^q)$  works.

Claim. P is proper and  $\sigma$ -Baire.

Proof. Let  $\theta$  be a sufficiently large regular cardinal. Let N be a countable elementary substructure of  $H_{\theta}$  with  $P \in N$ . Let  $p \in N \cap P$ . We want  $q \leq p$  such that q is (P, N)-generic. Let  $\delta = N \cap \omega_1$ . We construct a (P, N)-generic sequence  $\langle p_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  such that  $p_0 \leq p$  and that  $|(\alpha^{p_0} \cap C_{\delta}) \setminus D^{p_0}| \geq n_*$ . Let  $\alpha^q = \delta$  and  $D^q = \bigcup \{D^{p_n} \mid n < \omega\} \cup \{\delta\}$ . Then this  $q = (\alpha^q, D^q)$  works.

ш

Claim. If  $X \subseteq \omega_1$  such that  $\langle C_\delta \mid \delta \in A \cap X \rangle$  is tail club guessing, then  $\Vdash_P ``\langle C_\delta \mid \delta \in A \cap X \rangle$  remains to be tail club guessing".

Proof. Suppose  $p \Vdash_P$  " $\dot{C}$  is a club in  $\omega_1$ ". Want  $q \leq p$  and  $\delta \in A \cap X$  such that  $q \Vdash_P$  " $C_\delta \setminus \dot{C}$  is finite". To this end, take an  $\in$ -chain  $\langle N_i \mid i < \omega_1 \rangle$  in  $H_\theta$ , where  $\theta$  is sufficiently large. Since  $\langle C_\delta \mid \delta \in A \cap X \rangle$  is tail club guessing, there exists  $\delta \in A \cap X$  such that  $C_\delta \setminus \{N_i \cap \omega_1 \mid i < \omega_1\}$  is finite. By renaming, we have an  $\in$ -chain  $\langle N_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  in  $H_\theta$  such that  $\{N_n \cap \omega_1 \mid n < \omega\}$  is an end-segment of  $C_\delta$ . We may assure that  $P, p, \dot{C} \in N_0$  and  $|C_\delta \cap (\alpha^p, N_0 \cap \omega_1)| \geq n_*$ . We construct a descending sequence  $\langle q_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  of conditions such that

- $q_0 \leq p$ .
- $|(\alpha^{q_0} \cap C_{\delta}) \setminus D^{q_0}| \geq n_*$ .
- $q_n \in N_{n+1} \cap P$  is  $(P, N_n)$ -generic.

Let  $\alpha^q = \delta$  and  $D^q = \bigcup \{D^{q_n} \mid n < \omega\} \cup \{\delta\}$ . Then  $q \Vdash_P N_n \cap \omega_1 \in C$  for all  $n < \omega$ . Hence this q works.

Claim. Let G be P-generic over V. Let  $\dot{D} = \bigcup \{D^p \mid p \in G\}$ . Then  $\dot{D}$  is a club in  $\omega_1$  and for all  $\delta \in A$ ,  $|C_{\delta} \setminus \dot{D}| \geq n_*$ .

Proof of corollary 1.3. Iteratively force clubs  $D_n$  in  $\omega_1$  so that for all  $\delta \in A$  and all  $n < \omega$ ,  $|C_{\delta} \setminus D_n| \ge n$ . Then let  $D = \bigcap \{D_n \mid n < \omega\}$ . If  $\omega_1$  gets preserved, then D is a club in  $\omega_1$  such that for all  $\delta \in A$ ,  $C_{\delta} \setminus D$  is infinite. Hence  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  fails to be tail club guessing. If  $\omega_1$  gets collapsed, then this entails the same conclusion.

Proof of corollary 1.4 is the same. Argue in V and get a sequence  $\langle D_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  of clubs in  $\omega_1$ .

### §2. A maximal forcing axiom is compatible with WCG

We have seen that there is no maximal forcing axiom compatible with tail club guessing (TCG). But a weak club guessing (WCG) admits maximal one.

**2.1 Definition.** Let  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  be a ladder system. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the set of all cofinal subsequences of  $C_{\delta}$  (viewed as sequences of length  $\omega$ ) for all  $\delta \in A$ . Let  $Seq^{\omega}(\omega_1)$  denote the set of all sequences  $\langle a_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  such that each  $a_n$  is a countable subset of  $\omega_1$ . Hence we have  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq Seq^{\omega}(\omega_1)$ . Let P be a preorder, we say P is  $\mathcal{F}$ -limsup-semiproper, if for all sufficiently large regular cardinals  $\theta$  and all  $\in$ -chains  $\langle N_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  in  $H_{\theta}$  with  $P, \langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle \in N_0$ , if  $\langle N_n \cap \omega_1 \mid n < \omega \rangle \in \mathcal{F}$ , then for any  $p \in N_0 \cap P$ , there exists  $q \leq p$  such that for infinitely many  $n < \omega$ , q is  $(P, N_n)$ -semi-generic.

Similarly, we say P is  $\mathcal{F}$ -liminf-semiproper, if q is  $(P, N_n)$ -semi-generic for all but finitely many  $n < \omega$ . Lastly, we say P is  $\mathcal{F}$ -generic-limsup-semiproper, if  $q \Vdash_p {}^n N_n[G] \cap \omega_1^V = N_n \cap \omega_1^V$  for infinitely many  $n < \omega^n$ .

Hence we are looking at the set  $\{n < \omega \mid N[G] \cap \omega_1^V = N \cap \omega_1^V\}$  in V[G] which might be infinite and may or may not be in V.

For the notion of  $\omega$ -stationary sets  $S \subseteq Seq^{\omega}(K) = \{\langle a_n \mid n < \omega \rangle \mid a_n \in [K]^{\omega} \text{ for all } n < \omega \}$ , may see [M]. They are analogously formulated as the stationary sets in  $[K]^{\omega}$ .

# **2.2 Proposition.** Let P be a preorder.

- If P is  $\omega_1$ -closed, then P is  $\omega$ -semiproper.
- If P is  $\omega$ -semiproper, then P is  $\mathcal{F}$ -liminf-semiproper.
- If P is  $\mathcal{F}$ -liminf-semiproper, then P is  $\mathcal{F}$ -limsup-semiproper.
- If P is  $\mathcal{F}$ -limsup-semiproper, then P is  $\mathcal{F}$ -generic-limsup-semiproper.
- If  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\omega$ -stationary and P is  $\mathcal{F}$ -generic-limsup-semiproper, then P preserves  $\omega_1$ .

- **2.3 Definition.** Let  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  be a ladder system. We formulate  $(proper, \langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A)$ -limsup-semiproper, full) -Reflection Principle (abusively,  $\mathcal{F}$ -RP) as follows; Given any  $(K, S, \theta, a)$  such that
  - K is a set with  $K \supseteq \omega_1$ .
  - $S \subseteq Seq^{\omega}(K)$ .
  - $\theta$  is a regular cardinal with  $K \in H_{|\operatorname{TC}(K)|^+} \in H_{(2^{|\operatorname{TC}(K)|})^+} \in H_{\theta}$ .
  - $a \in H_{\theta}$ .

There exists  $(D, \langle N_i \mid i < \omega_1 \rangle)$  such that

- D is a club in  $\omega_1$ .
- $\langle N_i \mid i < \omega_1 \rangle$  is an  $\in$ -chain in  $H_\theta$  with  $a \in N_0$ .
- For any  $\delta \in Y^*(D) = \{\delta \in A \mid |C_\delta \cap D| = \omega\}$ , let  $\langle C_\delta(k_\delta(m)) \mid m < \omega \rangle$  enumerate  $\{C_\delta(k) \mid C_\delta(k) \in D\}$ . Then there exists  $n_\delta < \omega$  such that we have either (1) or (2).
- (1)  $\langle N_{C_{\delta}(k_{\delta}(m))} \cap K \mid n_{\delta} \leq m < \omega \rangle \in S$ .
- (2) For any strictly increasing sequence  $\langle m_l \mid l < \omega \rangle$  of natural numbers with  $n_{\delta} \leq m_0$  and for any  $\in$ -chain  $\langle M_l \mid l < \omega \rangle$  with  $\langle M_l \mid l < \omega \rangle \supseteq_{\omega_1} \langle N_{C_{\delta}(k_{\delta}(m_l))} \mid l < \omega \rangle$ , we have  $\langle M_l \cap K \mid l < \omega \rangle \notin S$ .

We might call  $i_{\delta} = C_{\delta}(k_{\delta}(n_{\delta}))$  a critical point of  $C_{\delta}$  with respect to D for each  $\delta \in Y^{*}(D)$ . Hence we are looking at  $\langle N_{i} \mid i_{\delta} \leq i \in C_{\delta} \cap D \rangle$ .

- **2.4 Theorem.** Let  $\mathcal{F}^V \subseteq Seq^{\omega}(\omega_1)$  be defined by  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}^{V[G_{\alpha}]}$ -generic-limsup-semiproper combined with semiproper iterates under the simple iteration. (The  $\mathcal{F}^{V[G_{\alpha}]}$  are uniformly defined from the ladder system  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  in each intermediate universe  $V[G_{\alpha}]$ . The exact value of  $\mathcal{F}^{V[G_{\alpha}]}$  increasingly changes as  $\alpha$  gets bigger.)
- **2.5 Corollary.** Let  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  be weak club guessing so that  $\mathcal{F}$  defined from  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  is  $\omega$ -stationary. Let us recall  $\mathcal{F} = \{\langle C_{\delta}(k(m)) \mid m < \omega \rangle \mid \delta \in A, \langle k(m) \mid m < \omega \rangle \text{ is a sequence of strictly increasing natural numbers}\}. We may force the following, if there exists a supercompact cardinal.$
- (1) If  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  is weak club guessing in the ground model, then it remains to be so in the extensions.
- (2) Forcing axiom<sup>+</sup> holds for the class of partially ordered sets P such that P is semiproper and that P is  $\mathcal{F}$ -generic-limsup-semiproper.
- **2.6 Lemma.** Let  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  be a ladder system. Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq Seq^{\omega}(\omega_1)$  be defined from the system. Let  $\mathcal{F}$ -Reflection Principle hold. Let us consider  $(WCG)^+$  with repect to the system. Let P be a preorder. Then the following are equivalent on P.
- (1) P is  $(WCG)^+$ -preserving.
- (2) P is  $\mathcal{F}$ -generic-limsup-semiproper.

The  $\mathcal{F}$ -RP gets forced by a little better notion of forcing than semiproper +  $\mathcal{F}$ -generic-limsup-semiproper partially ordered set.

- **2.7 Lemma.** Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq Seq^{\omega}(\omega_1)$  be as above. Let forcing axiom hold for the class of preordered sets P such that P is proper and that P is  $\mathcal{F}$ -limsup-semiproper. Then  $\mathcal{F}$ -Reflection Principle holds.
  - 2.8 Corollary. The following is consistent, if there exists a supercompact cardinal.
- (1)  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  is weak club guessing.
- (2) The forcing axiom<sup>+</sup> holds for the class of preordered sets P such that P preserves every stationary subset of  $\omega_1$  and that P preserves every member of  $(WCG)^+$  with respect to  $\langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$ .

Proof of lemma 2.6. (2) implies (1): No use of  $\mathcal{F}$ -RP made in this direction. Let  $X\subseteq\omega_1$  such that  $\langle C_\delta\mid\delta\in X\cap A\rangle$  is weak club guessing. Suppose  $p\models_P``\dot{C}\subseteq\omega_1$  is a club". Want  $q\le p$  and  $\delta\in X\cap A$  such that  $q\models_P``\mid C_\delta\cap\dot{C}\mid=\omega$ ". To this end, let  $\theta$  be a sufficiently large regular cardinal. Since  $\langle C_\delta\mid\delta\in X\cap A\rangle$  is weak club guessing, we may take an  $\in$ -chain  $\langle N_n\mid n<\omega\rangle$  in  $H_\theta$  such that  $\{N_n\cap\omega_1\mid n<\omega\}$  is a cofinal subset of  $C_\delta$ , where  $\delta=N_\omega\cap\omega_1$  and  $N_\omega=\cup\{N_n\mid n<\omega\}$ . We may assume  $P,\langle C_\delta\mid\delta\in A\rangle, p,\dot{C}\in N_0$ . Since P is  $\mathcal{F}$ -generic-limsup-semiproper and  $\langle N_n\cap\omega_1\mid n<\omega\rangle\in\mathcal{F}$ , we have q such that  $q\le p$  and  $q\models_P``N_n[G]\cap\omega_1=N_n\cap\omega_1$  for infinitely many  $n<\omega$ ". Hence  $q\models_P``N_n[G]\cap\omega_1=N_n\cap\omega_1\in\dot{C}\cap C_\delta$  for infinitely many  $n<\omega$ " and so  $q\models_P``ln_1^{\omega}\subset C_\delta\cap\dot{C}=\omega$ ".

(1) implies (2): Suppose P is  $(WCG)^+$ -preserving. Want to show P is  $\mathcal{F}$ -generic-limsup-semiproper. It suffices to show the following. There exists a club  $C \subseteq [H_{\theta_0}]^\omega$ , where  $\theta_0 = |\operatorname{TC}(P)|^+ (\geq \omega_2)$ , such that for any  $\in$ -chain  $\langle N_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  in  $H_{\theta_0}$  through C (i.e. for all  $n < \omega$ ,  $N_n \in C$ ), if  $\langle N_n \cap \omega_1 \mid n < \omega \rangle \in \mathcal{F}$ , then for any  $p \in N_0 \cap P$ , there exists q such that  $q \leq p$  and  $q \models_P N_n[G] \cap \omega_1 = N_n \cap \omega_1$  for infinitely many  $n < \omega$ . We show this by contradiction. Suppose not. Let  $S = \{\langle N_n \mid n < \omega \rangle \mid \langle N_n \cap \omega_1 \mid n < \omega \rangle \in \mathcal{F}$  and there is  $p \in N_0 \cap P$  such that for all q with  $q \leq p$ ,  $\neg (q \models_P N_n[G] \cap \omega_1 = N_n \cap \omega_1$  for infinitely many  $n < \omega$ ). Then this S is  $\omega$ -stationary. Namely, for any club C in  $[H_{\theta_0}]^\omega$ , there exists  $\langle N_n \mid n < \omega \rangle \in S$  through C. By Fodor's Lemma for  $\omega$ -stationary sets (may see [M]), we may assume that there exists  $p_0 \in P$  such that  $S \subseteq \{\langle N_n \mid n < \omega \rangle \mid \langle N_n \cap \omega_1 \mid n < \omega \rangle \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $p_0 \in N_0 \cap P$  and for all q with  $q \leq p_0$ ,  $\neg (q \models_P N_n[G] \cap \omega_1 = N_n \cap \omega_1$  for infinitely many  $n < \omega$ ).

Let  $S^*$  denote the set of the end-segments of the elements of S. Apply  $\mathcal{F}\text{-RP}$  to  $(H_{\theta_0}, S^*, \lambda, (P, p_0))$ , where  $\lambda$  is sufficiently large. Get a club D in  $\omega_1$  and an  $\in$ -chain  $\langle M_i \mid i < \omega_1 \rangle$  in  $H_{\lambda}$  as in  $\mathcal{F}\text{-RF}$ .

Claim.  $B = \{ \delta \in Y^*(D) \mid \delta \models \text{``(1) in } \mathcal{F}\text{-}RP\text{'''} \} \in (WCG)^+$ 

Proof. Let E be a club in  $\omega_1$ . Want  $\delta \in B$  with  $|C_{\delta} \cap E| = \omega$ . Since S is  $\omega$ -stationary in  $Seq^{\omega}(H_{\theta_0})$ , we may take an  $\in$ -chain  $\langle N_n^* \mid n < \omega \rangle$  in  $H_{\lambda}$  such that  $D, \langle M_i \mid i < \omega_1 \rangle, E \in N_0^*$  and that  $\langle N_n^* \cap H_{\theta_0} \mid n < \omega \rangle \in S$ . Let  $N_{\omega}^* = \bigcup \{N_n^* \mid n < \omega\}$  and  $\delta = N_{\omega}^* \cap \omega_1$ . Then  $\langle N_n^* \cap \omega_1 \mid n < \omega \rangle \in \mathcal{F}$  and is through  $D \cap E$ . In particular,  $\delta \in Y^*(D)$  and  $|C_{\delta} \cap E| = \omega$ . Let  $\{N_n^* \cap \omega_1 \mid n < \omega\} \subseteq C_{\delta} \cap D = \{C_{\delta}(k_{\delta}(m)) \mid m < \omega\}$ . By considering an end-segment, we may assume  $\langle N_n^* \cap H_{\theta_0} \mid n < \omega \rangle \in S^*$  with  $C_{\delta}(k_{\delta}(n_{\delta})) < N_0^* \cap \omega_1$ .

Want (1) holds at this  $\delta$  so that  $\delta \in B$ . Since  $N_n^* \supseteq_{\omega_1} M_{N_n^* \cap \omega_1}$  for all  $n < \omega$  and  $\langle N_n^* \cap H_{\theta_0} \mid n < \omega \rangle \in S^*$ , (2) in  $\mathcal{F}$ -RP fails. Hence (1) must hold in  $\mathcal{F}$ -RP.

Since  $\langle M_{C_{\delta}(k_{\delta}(m))} \cap H_{\theta_0} \mid n_{\delta} \leq m < \omega \rangle \in S^*$ , there exists  $\langle N_n \mid n < \omega \rangle \in S$  such that  $\langle M_{C_{\delta}(k_{\delta}(m))} \cap H_{\theta_0} \mid n_{\delta} \leq m < \omega \rangle$  is an end-segment of  $\langle N_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$ . Since  $\langle N_n \mid n < \omega \rangle \in S$ , we have  $\neg (q \Vdash_P "N_n[G] \cap \omega_1 = N_n \cap \omega_1$  for infinitely many  $n < \omega$ "). However,  $q \Vdash_P$  "if  $C_{\delta}(k_{\delta}(m)) \in C_{\delta} \cap \dot{D}$  and  $N_n = M_{C_{\delta}(k_{\delta}(m))} \cap H_{\theta_0}$ , then  $N_n[G] \cap \omega_1 = (M_{C_{\delta}(k_{\delta}(m))} \cap H_{\theta_0})[G] \cap \omega_1 = M_{C_{\delta}(k_{\delta}(m))}[G] \cap \omega_1 = M_{C_{\delta}(k_{\delta}(m))} \cap \omega_1 = N_n \cap \omega_1 = C_{\delta}(k_{\delta}(m))$ ". Hence  $q \Vdash_P "N_n[G] \cap \omega_1 = N_n \cap \omega_1$  for infinitely many  $n < \omega$ ". This is a contradiction.

*Proof* of lemma 2.7. Let  $(K, S, \theta, a)$  be as in the hypothesis of  $\mathcal{F}$ -RF. We force D,  $\langle N_i \mid i < \omega_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle \dot{n}_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle$  by initial segments. Let  $p \in P$ , if  $p = (\alpha^p, D^p, \langle N_i^p \mid i \leq \alpha^p \rangle, \langle n_{\delta}^p \mid \delta \in A \cap (\alpha^p + 1) \rangle)$  satisfies the following:

- (1)  $\alpha^p < \omega_1$ .
- (2)  $D^p \subseteq \alpha^p + 1$ ,  $\alpha^p \in D^p$  and  $D^p$  is closed.
- (3)  $\langle N_i^p \mid i \leq \alpha^p \rangle$  is an  $\in$ -chain in  $H_\theta$  with  $a \in N_0^p$ .
- (4) For each  $\delta \in A \cap (\alpha^p + 1)$ ,  $n_{\delta}^p < \omega$ . If  $|C_{\delta} \cap D^p| = \omega$ , then let  $\langle C_{\delta}(k) \mid k < \omega \rangle$  enumerate  $C_{\delta}$  and let  $\langle C_{\delta}(k_{\delta}^p(m)) \mid m < \omega \rangle$  enumerate  $C_{\delta} \cap D^p$ . And we demand either (i) or (ii);

- (i)  $\langle N^p_{C_\delta(k^p_\delta(m))} \cap K \mid n^p_\delta \le m < \omega \rangle \in S$ .
- (ii) For any strictly increasing sequence  $\langle m_l \mid l < \omega \rangle$  of natural numbers with  $n_{\delta}^p \leq m_0$  and any  $\in$ -chain  $\langle M_l \mid l < \omega \rangle$  in  $H_{\theta}$  such that  $\langle M_l \mid l < \omega \rangle \supseteq_{\omega_1} \langle N_{C_{\delta}(k_l^p(m_l))}^p \mid l < \omega \rangle$ , we have  $\langle M_l \cap K \mid l < \omega \rangle \not\in S$ .

For  $p, q \in P$ , we set  $q \leq p$ , if

- (5)  $\alpha^p < \alpha^q$ .
- (6)  $D^p = D^q \cap (\alpha^p + 1)$ .
- (7) For all  $i \leq \alpha^p$ ,  $N_i^p = N_i^q$ .
- (8) For all  $\delta \in A \cap (\alpha^p + 1)$ ,  $n_{\delta}^p = n_{\delta}^q$ .

Claim. (Dense, Extension by Escape) For any  $(p, \eta, x)$  such that  $p \in P$ ,  $\alpha^p < \eta < \omega_1$  and  $x \in H_\theta$ , there exists  $q \in P$  such that  $q \leq p$ ,  $\alpha^q = \eta$  and  $x \in N_n^q$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha^q = \eta$ ,  $D^q = D^p \cup \{\eta\}$  and  $\langle N_i^q \mid i \leq \eta \rangle$  be any  $\in$ -chain which end-extends  $\in$ -chain  $\langle N_i^p \mid i \leq \alpha^p \rangle$  and  $x \in N_\eta^q$ . Let  $\langle n_\delta^q \mid \delta \in A \cap (\eta+1) \rangle$  be any sequence of natural numbers which end-extends  $\langle n_\delta \mid \delta \in A \cap (\alpha^p+1) \rangle$ . Since for any  $\delta \in A \cap (\eta+1)$ ,  $|C_\delta \cap D^q| = \omega$  iff  $(\delta \leq \alpha^p \text{ and } |C_\delta \cap D^p| = \omega)$ , this q works.

Claim. (Targeted-Extension) Let  $\lambda$  be a sufficiently large regular cardinal and M be a countable elementary substructure of  $H_{\lambda}$  with  $P \in M$ . Let  $\delta_M = M \cap \omega_1$ . Then for any  $(p, \xi, D)$  such that  $p \in M \cap P$ ,  $\xi < \delta_M$  and  $D \in M$  is a dense subset of P, there exists  $r \in M \cap D$  such that  $r \leq p$ ,  $\xi < \alpha^r$  and  $C_{\delta_M} \cap D^r = C_{\delta_M} \cap D^p$ .

Proof. We consider a family of maps indexed by  $p \in P$ . Let  $p \in P$ . Let q be such that  $\alpha^p < \eta < \omega_1$ . Let  $r = f_p(\eta)$ , where  $r \in D, r \leq p, \eta < \alpha^r$  and  $D^r \cap (\alpha^p, \eta] = \{\eta\}$ . We may assume  $\langle f_p \mid p \in P \rangle \in M$ . Since  $p \in M$ . Let  $C(f_p) = \{\beta < \omega_1 \mid \text{ for all } \eta \text{ with } \alpha^p < \eta < \beta, \alpha^{f_p(\eta)} < \beta\}$ . Then  $C(f_p)$  is a club in  $\omega_1$  and  $C(f_p) \in M$ . Take  $\beta \in C(f_p) \cap M$  such that  $\alpha^p, \xi < \beta$ . Let  $\eta$  be such that  $\alpha^p, \xi, \max(C_{\delta_M} \cap \beta) < \eta < \beta$ . Then  $f_p(\eta) \in M$  and so there exists  $r \in M \cap D$  such that  $r \leq p, \xi < \alpha^r$  and  $C_{\delta_M} \cap D^r = C_{\delta_M} \cap D^p$ .

Claim. (Proper) P is proper and  $\sigma$ -Baire.

Proof. Let  $\lambda$  be a sufficiently large regular cardinal and M be a countable elementary substructure of  $H_{\lambda}$  with  $P \in M$ . Let  $p \in M \cap P$ . Let  $\delta_{M} = M \cap \omega_{1}$ . Then by repeating above claim, we may construct a (P, M)-generic sequence  $\langle p_{n} \mid n < \omega \rangle$  such that  $C_{\delta_{M}} \cap D^{p_{n}} = C_{\delta_{M}} \cap D^{p}$  for all  $n < \omega$ . Let  $\alpha^{q} = \delta_{M}$ ,  $D^{q} = \bigcup \{D^{p_{n}} \mid n < \omega\} \cup \{\delta_{M}\}$ ,  $\langle N_{i}^{q} \mid i \leq \delta_{M} \rangle = \bigcup \{\langle N_{i}^{p_{n}} \mid i \leq \alpha^{p_{n}} \rangle \mid n < \omega\} \cup \{\langle \delta_{M}, M \cap H_{\theta} \rangle\}$  and  $\langle n_{\delta}^{q} \mid \delta \in A \cap (\delta_{M} + 1) \rangle$  be any end-extension of all of  $\langle n_{\delta}^{p_{n}} \mid \delta \in A \cap (\alpha^{p_{n}} + 1) \rangle$ . Notice that  $C_{\delta_{M}} \cap D^{q} = C_{\delta_{M}} \cap D^{p}$  which is finite. Hence this q is a lower bound of the  $p_{n}$ 's.

Claim. P is  $\mathcal{F}$ -limsup-semiproper.

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda$  be a sufficiently large regular cardinal. Let  $\langle N_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  be an  $\in$ -chain in  $H_{\lambda}$  such that  $K, \theta, P, \langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle \in N_0$  and  $\langle N_n \cap \omega_1 \mid n < \omega \rangle \in \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $N_{\omega} = \bigcup \{N_n \mid n < \omega\}$  and let  $\delta^* = N_{\omega} \cap \omega_1$ . Let  $\langle C_{\delta^*}(k) \mid k < \omega \rangle$  enumerate  $C_{\delta^*}$  and  $\langle C_{\delta^*}(k_{\delta^*}(n)) \mid n < \omega \rangle$  enumerate  $\{N_n \cap \omega_1 \mid n < \omega\}$ .

Let  $p \in N_0 \cap P$ . We want q such that  $q \leq p$  and q is  $(P, N_n)$ -semi-generic for infinitely many  $n < \omega$ . To this end, we argue in two cases.

Case 1. There exists a sequence  $\langle n_l \mid l < \omega \rangle$  of strictly increasing natural numbers and an  $\in$ -chain  $\langle M_l \mid l < \omega \rangle$  in  $H_{\theta}$  such that  $\langle M_l \mid l < \omega \rangle \supseteq_{\omega_1} \langle N_{n_l} \cap H_{\theta} \mid l < \omega \rangle$  and  $\langle M_l \cap K \mid l < \omega \rangle \in S$ :

Apply the Sequencial 3 H Lemma (see [M]) to get an  $\in$ -chain  $\langle M_l^* \mid l < \omega \rangle$  in  $H_{\lambda}$  such that

•  $\langle M_l^* \mid l < \omega \rangle \supseteq_{\omega_1} \langle N_{n_l} \mid l < \omega \rangle$ .

• For all  $l < \omega$ ,  $M_l^* \cap H_{|\mathrm{TC}(K)|^+} = M_l \cap H_{|\mathrm{TC}(K)|^+}$ . And so

•  $\langle M_l^* \cap K \mid l < \omega \rangle = \langle M_l \cap K \mid l < \omega \rangle \in S$ .

Notice  $p \in N_0 \subseteq N_{n_0} \subseteq M_0^*$ . It suffices to get q such that  $q \leq p$  and for all  $l < \omega$ , q is  $(P, M_l^*)$ -generic and so  $(P, N_{n_l})$ -semi-generic. Construct a descending sequence  $(q_l \mid l < \omega)$  of conditions such that

- $p \ge q_l \in M_{l+1}^*$  and  $q_l$  is  $(P, M_l^*)$ -generic.
- $D^{q_l} \cap C_{\delta^*} = (D^p \cap C_{\delta^*}) \cup \{M_0^* \cap \omega_1, \cdots, M_l^* \cap \omega_1\}.$
- $\alpha^{q_l} = M_l^* \cap \omega_1$  and  $N_{\alpha^{q_l}}^{q_l} = M_l^* \cap H_{\theta}$ .

Let q be defined by

- $\alpha^q = \delta^* = M_\omega^* \cap \omega_1$ , where  $M_\omega^* = \bigcup \{M_l^* \mid l < \omega\}$ .
- $D^q = \bigcup \{D^{q_l} \mid l < \omega\} \cup \{\delta^*\}.$
- $\langle N_i^q \mid i \leq \alpha^q \rangle = \bigcup \{ \langle N_i^{q_l} \mid i \leq \alpha^{q_l} \rangle \mid l < \omega \} \cup \{ (\delta^*, M_\omega^* \cap H_\theta) \}.$
- $\langle n_{\delta}^q \mid \delta \in A \cap (\alpha^q + 1) \rangle = \bigcup \{ \langle n_{\delta}^{q_l} \mid \delta \in A \cap (\alpha^{q_l} + 1) \rangle \mid l < \omega \} \cup \{ (\delta^*, n_{\delta^*}^q) \},$  where  $n_{\delta^*}^q < \omega$  gets specified as follows;

Let  $C_{\delta^*} \cap D^q = \{C_{\delta^*}(k_{\delta^*}^q(m)) \mid m < \omega\}$ . Since  $D^q \cap C_{\delta^*} = (D^p \cap C_{\delta^*}) \cup \{M_l^* \cap \omega_1 \mid l < \omega\}$ , there exists  $n_{\delta^*}^q < \omega$  such that

$$\{M_l^* \cap \omega_1 \mid l < \omega\} = \{C_{\delta^*}(k_{\delta^*}^q(m)) \mid n_{\delta^*}^q \le m < \omega\}.$$

And so

$$\langle N_{C_{\delta^*}(k^q_{**}(m)))}^q \cap K \mid n_{\delta^*}^q \leq m < \omega \rangle = \langle (M_l^* \cap H_\theta) \cap K \mid l < \omega \rangle = \langle M_l \cap K \mid l < \omega \rangle \in S.$$

Hence this q works.

Case 2. For all sequences  $\langle n_l \mid l < \omega \rangle$  of strictly increasing natural numbers and all  $\in$ -chains  $\langle M_l \mid l < \omega \rangle$  in  $H_{\theta}$  with  $\langle M_l \mid l < \omega \rangle \supseteq_{\omega_1} \langle N_{n_l} \cap H_{\theta} \mid l < \omega \rangle$ , we have  $\langle M_l \cap K \mid l < \omega \rangle \notin S$ :

It suffices to get  $q \in P$  such that  $q \leq p$  and for all  $n < \omega$ , q is  $(P, N_n)$ -generic. To this end, we may construct a descending sequence  $\langle q_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  of conditions such that

- $p \ge q_n \in N_{n+1}$  and  $q_n$  is  $(P, N_n)$ -generic.
- $D^{q_n} \cap C_{\delta^*} = (D^p \cap C_{\delta^*}) \cup \{N_0 \cap \omega_1, \dots, N_n \cap \omega_1\}.$
- $\alpha^{q_n} = N_n \cap \omega_1$  and  $N_{\alpha^{q_n}}^{q_n} = N_n \cap H_{\theta}$ .

Let q be defined by

- $\alpha^q = \delta^* = N_\omega \cap \omega_1$ .
- $\bullet \ D^q = \bigcup \{D^{q_n} \mid n < \omega\} \cup \{\delta^*\}.$
- $\langle N_i^q \mid i \leq \alpha^q \rangle = \bigcup \{ \langle N_i^{q_n} \mid i \leq \alpha^{q_n} \rangle \mid n < \omega \} \cup \{ (\delta^*, N_\omega \cap H_\theta) \}.$
- $\langle n_{\delta}^q \mid \delta \in A \cap (\alpha^q + 1) \rangle = \bigcup \{ \langle n_{\delta}^{q_n} \mid \delta \in A \cap (\alpha^{q_n} + 1) \rangle \mid n < \omega \} \cup \{ (\delta^*, n_{\delta^*}^q) \},$  where  $n_{\delta^*}^q < \omega$  gets specified as follows;

Let  $C_{\delta^*} \cap D^q = \{C_{\delta^*}(k_{\delta^*}^q(m)) \mid m < \omega\}$ . Since  $D^q \cap C_{\delta^*} = (D^p \cap C_{\delta^*}) \cup \{N_n \cap \omega_1 \mid n < \omega\}$ , there exists  $n_{\delta^*}^q < \omega$  such that

$$\{N_n \cap \omega_1 \mid n < \omega\} = \{C_{\delta^*}(k_{\delta^*}^q(m)) \mid n_{\delta^*}^q \le m < \omega\}.$$

And so

$$\langle N^q_{C_{\delta^*}(k^q_{\bullet^*}(m))} \mid n^q_{\delta^*} \leq m < \omega \rangle = \langle N^q_{N_n \cap \omega_1} \mid n < \omega \rangle = \langle N_n \cap H_\theta \mid n < \omega \rangle.$$

For all sequences  $\langle m_l \mid l < \omega \rangle$  of strictly increasing natural numbers with  $n_{\delta^*}^q \leq m_0$  and all  $\in$ -chains  $\langle M_l \mid l < \omega \rangle$  in  $H_{\theta}$  with  $\langle M_l \mid l < \omega \rangle \supseteq_{\omega_1} \langle N_{C_{\delta^*}(m_l)}^q \mid l < \omega \rangle$  which is a subsequence of  $\langle N_n \cap H_{\theta} \mid n < \omega \rangle$ , we have  $\langle M_l \cap K \mid l < \omega \rangle \notin S$ .

Hence this q works.

Now apply forcing axiom to this P. We have a club D in  $\omega_1$  and an  $\in$ -chain  $\langle N_i \mid i < \omega_1 \rangle$  which works for  $(K, S, \theta, a)$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ -RP.

# §3. Iteration theorem

We show  $\mathcal{F}^{V[G_{\alpha}]}$ -generic-limsup-semiproper combined with semiproper iterates under the simple iterations. For an account on the simple iterations, see [M].

- **3.1 Theorem.** Let  $I = (\langle P_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \leq \nu \rangle, \langle \dot{Q}_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \nu \rangle)$  be a simple iteration such that
- For all  $\alpha < \nu$ ,  $\Vdash_{P_{\alpha}}$  " $\dot{Q}_{\alpha}$  is semiproper".
- For all  $\alpha < \nu$ ,  $\Vdash_{P_{\alpha}} "\dot{Q}_{\alpha}$  is  $\mathcal{F}^{V[G_{\alpha}]}$ -generic-limsup-semiproper",

where  $\mathcal{F}^{V[G_n]}$  is formed in  $V[G_\alpha]$  as the set of cofinal subsequences of the  $\langle C_\delta(n) \mid n < \omega \rangle$ 's for all  $\delta \in A$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F}^{V[G_{\alpha}]}$  may contain new cofinal subsequences than the original  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^{V}$ .

Then for all  $\alpha \leq \nu$ , we have  $\Vdash_{P_{\alpha}}$  "the tails  $P_{\alpha\nu}$  are semiproper and  $\mathcal{F}^{V[G_{\alpha}]}$ -generic-limsup-semiproper". In particular,  $P_{\nu}$  is semiproper and  $\mathcal{F}^{V}$ -generic-limsup-semiproper.

3.2 Iteration Lemma. Let  $\theta$  be a sufficiently large regular cardinal and N be a countable elementary substructure of  $H_{\theta}$  with  $I, \langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle \in N$ .

Let  $(\alpha, \alpha^*, a, p, \langle M_n \mid n < \omega \rangle)$  be such that

- $\alpha < \alpha^* \le \nu$ .
- $a \in P_{\alpha}$ ,  $p \in P_{\alpha}$  and  $a \leq p \lceil \alpha$ .
- $a \Vdash_{P_{\alpha}} \text{``} \langle \dot{M}_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  is an  $\in$ -chain in  $H_{\theta}^{V[G_{\alpha}]}$  such that  $N \cup \{G_{\alpha}, p\} \subseteq \dot{M}_0$  and that  $\langle \dot{M}_n \cap \omega_1 \mid n < \omega \rangle \in \mathcal{F}^{V[G_{\alpha}]}$ ".

Then there exists  $q \in P_{\alpha^*}$  such that

- $q \mid \alpha = a \text{ and } q \leq p$ .
- $a \Vdash_{P_{ir}} q[[\alpha, \alpha^*) \Vdash_{P_{ir}} V[G_{\alpha}] M_n[G_{\alpha\alpha^*}] \cap \omega_1 = M_n \cap \omega_1$  for infinitely many  $n < \omega$ ...

We extract sort of typical constructions involved as (Technical construction 1-3).

**Lemma.** (Technical construction 1) Let  $(\alpha, \alpha^*, a, p, \langle \dot{\delta}_k^p \mid k < \omega \rangle, \dot{x})$  be such that

- $\alpha < \alpha^* \le \nu$  and  $\alpha^*$  is limit.
- $a \in P_{\alpha}, p \in P_{\alpha}$  and  $a \leq p \lceil \alpha$ .
- $\langle \dot{\delta}_k^p \mid k < \omega \rangle$  are stages for p.

- $a^1 \Vdash_{P_{\alpha^*}} "\dot{\delta}_0^p = \alpha".$
- $a \Vdash_{P_{\alpha}} "\dot{x} \leq p \text{ and } \dot{x} \lceil \alpha \in G_{\alpha}".$

Then there exists  $(\beta, b, x, \langle \dot{\delta}_k^x \mid k < \omega \rangle)$  such that

- $\alpha < \beta < \alpha^*$ .
- $b \in P_{\beta}$ ,  $b \lceil \alpha \le a, x \in P_{\alpha}$  and  $b \le x \lceil \beta$ .
- $b[\alpha \Vdash_{P_{\alpha}} "\dot{x} = x".$
- $\langle \dot{\delta}_k^x \mid k < \omega \rangle$  are stages for x and for all  $k < \omega$ ,  $\Vdash_{P_{\alpha^*}} "\dot{\delta}_{k+1}^p \leq \dot{\delta}_k^x "$  (a step ahead).
- $b^{-1} \Vdash_{P_{\alpha^*}} "\dot{\delta}_0^x = \beta$ ".

**Lemma.** (Technical construction 2) Let  $(\alpha, \alpha^*, a, p, \langle \dot{\delta}_k^p \mid k < \omega \rangle, \dot{x})$  be as in technical construction 1. Then there exists  $\langle (\beta_i, b_i, x_i, \langle \dot{\delta}_k^{x_i} \mid k < \omega \rangle) \mid i < \mu \rangle$  such that

- $\beta = \beta_i, b = b_i, x = x_i, \langle \dot{\delta}_k^x \mid k < \omega \rangle = \langle \dot{\delta}_k^{x_i} \mid k < \omega \rangle$  serve exactly as in technical construction 1.
- For  $i, j < \mu$  such that  $i \neq j$ , we have that  $b_i \lceil \alpha$  and  $b_j \lceil \alpha$  are incompatible in  $P_{\alpha}$ .
- $\{b_i \mid \alpha \mid i < \mu\}$  forms a maximal antichain below a in  $P_{\alpha}$ .

**Lemma.** (Technical construction 3) Let  $(\alpha, \alpha^*, a, p, \langle \dot{\delta}_k^p \mid k < \omega \rangle, \langle \dot{M}_n \mid n < \omega \rangle)$  be such that

- $\alpha < \alpha^* \le \nu$  and  $\alpha^*$  is limit.
- $a \in P_{\alpha}, p \in P_{\alpha}$  and a .
- $\langle \delta_k^p \mid k < \omega \rangle$  are stages for p in  $P_{\alpha^*}$ .
- $a^1 \Vdash_{P_{\alpha^*}} "\dot{\delta}_0^p = \alpha"$ .
- $a \models_{P_{\alpha}} (\dot{M}_n \mid n < \omega)$  is an  $\in$ -chain in  $H_{\theta}^{V[G_{\alpha}]}$  with  $N \cup \{G_{\alpha}, p, \langle \dot{\delta}_k^p \mid k < \omega \rangle\} \subseteq \dot{M}_0$ . Let T be a tree such that  $T \subset {}^{<\omega}ON$  with  $\{\emptyset\} = T_0$ . For  $\sigma = \emptyset$ , let
- $\bullet \ \alpha^\emptyset = \alpha, \ a^\emptyset = a, \ p^\emptyset = p, \ \langle \dot{\delta}_k^\emptyset \mid k < \omega \rangle = \langle \dot{\delta}_k^p \mid k < \omega \rangle \ \text{and} \ \langle \dot{M}_n^\emptyset \mid n < \omega \rangle = \langle \dot{M}_n \mid n < \omega \rangle.$ For all  $\sigma \in T$ , we have  $(\alpha^{\sigma}, a^{\sigma}, p^{\sigma}, \langle \dot{\delta}_{k}^{\sigma} \mid k < \omega \rangle, \langle \dot{M}_{n}^{\sigma} \mid n < \omega \rangle)$  such that
- $\alpha < \alpha^{\sigma} < \alpha^*$ .
- $a^{\sigma} \in P_{\alpha^{\sigma}}, p^{\sigma} \in P_{\alpha^{*}}, a^{\sigma} [\alpha \leq a, p^{\sigma} \leq p \text{ and } a^{\sigma} \leq p^{\sigma} [\alpha^{\sigma}]$
- $\langle \dot{\delta}_k^{\sigma} \mid k < \omega \rangle$  are stages for  $p^{\sigma}$  in  $P_{\alpha^*}$ .
- $a^{\sigma} \cap 1 \Vdash_{P_{\alpha}} "\dot{\delta}_0^{p^{\sigma}} = \alpha^{\sigma}".$
- $a^{\sigma} \models_{P_{\alpha}\sigma} (\dot{M}_n^{\sigma} \mid n < \omega)$  is an  $\in$ -chain in  $H_{\theta}^{V[G_{\alpha}\sigma]}$  with  $N \cup \{G_{\alpha}, p^{\sigma}, \langle \dot{\delta}_k^{\sigma} \mid k < \omega \rangle\} \subseteq \dot{M}_0^{\sigma}$ . For all  $\tau \in \operatorname{suc}_T(\sigma)$ , we have  $\langle \dot{m}(\tau, n) \mid n < \omega \rangle$  such that
- $\alpha^{\sigma} \leq \alpha^{\tau}$ .
- $a^{\tau} [\alpha^{\sigma} \leq a^{\sigma}]$ .
- $p^{\tau} \leq p^{\sigma}$  in  $P_{\alpha^*}$ .
- $\langle \dot{\delta}_k^{\tau} \mid k < \omega \rangle$  are a step ahead of  $\langle \dot{\delta}_k^{\sigma} \mid k < \omega \rangle$ .
- $a^{\tau} [\alpha^{\sigma} \Vdash_{P_{\alpha^{\sigma}}} "p^{\tau} [[\alpha^{\sigma}, \alpha^{*}) \Vdash_{P_{\alpha^{\sigma}\alpha^{*}}} "\dot{M}_{0}^{\sigma} [G_{\alpha^{\sigma}\alpha^{*}}] \cap \omega_{1} = \dot{M}_{0}^{\sigma} \cap \omega_{1}"".$   $a^{\tau} \Vdash_{P_{\alpha^{\tau}}} "\langle \dot{m}(\tau, n) \mid n < \omega \rangle$  is a sequence of strictly increasing natural numbers".
- $\bullet \ a^{\tau} \Vdash_{P_{\alpha^{\tau}}} \mathring{M}_{n}^{\tau} = \mathring{M}_{m(\tau,n)}^{\sigma} [G_{\alpha^{\sigma}\alpha^{\tau}}]^{n}.$

•  $a^{\tau} \Vdash_{P_{\alpha^{\tau}}}$  "for all  $n < \omega$ ,  $\dot{M}_n^{\tau} \cap \omega_1 = \dot{M}_{\dot{m}(\tau,n)}^{\sigma} \cap \omega_1$ ". Let q < p be a fusion of  $a^{\sigma}$ 's in  $P_{\alpha^{\star}}$ .

Then there exists a sequence  $\langle \dot{n}_k \mid k < \omega \rangle$  of  $P_{\alpha^*}$ -names such that

- $q \Vdash_{P_{\alpha}}$  " $\langle \dot{n}_k \mid k < \omega \rangle$  is a sequence of strictly increasing natural numbers".
- For all  $k < \omega$ , we have  $q \Vdash_{P_{\alpha^*}} \mathring{M}_{n_k} \cap \omega_1 = \mathring{M}_{n_k}[G_{\alpha\alpha^*}] \cap \omega_1$ ".

More specifically, we may calculate  $\langle i_k \mid k < \omega \rangle$ ,  $\langle a_k \mid k < \omega \rangle$ ,  $\langle \alpha_k \mid k < \omega \rangle$ ,  $\langle p_k \mid k < \omega \rangle$  and  $\langle m(k,n) \mid k,n < \omega \rangle$  in  $V[G_{\alpha^*}]$  such that, where  $M =_{\omega_1} N$  abbreviates  $M \cap \omega_1 = N \cap \omega_1$ ,

- $M_0[G_{\alpha_0\alpha^*}] \subseteq M_0^{\emptyset}[G_{\alpha_0\alpha^*}] =_{\omega_1} M_0^{\emptyset} = M_0.$
- $\bullet \ M_{m(0,0)}[G_{\alpha_0\alpha^{\bullet}}] \subseteq M_{m(0,0)}^{\emptyset}[G_{\alpha_0\alpha_1}][G_{\alpha_1\alpha^{\bullet}}] = M_0^{\langle i_0 \rangle}[G_{\alpha_1\alpha^{\bullet}}] =_{\omega_1} M_0^{\langle i_0 \rangle} =_{\omega_1} M_{m(0,0)}^{\emptyset} = M_{m(0,0)}.$
- $M_{m(0,m(1,0))}[G_{\alpha\alpha^*}] \subseteq M_{m(0,m(1,0))}^{\emptyset}[G_{\alpha_0\alpha_1}][G_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}][G_{\alpha_2\alpha^*}] = M_{m(1,0)}^{\langle i_0 \rangle}[G_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}][G_{\alpha_2\alpha^*}] = M_0^{\langle i_0,i_1 \rangle}[G_{\alpha_2\alpha^*}] = M_0^{\langle i_0,i_1 \rangle}[G_{\alpha_2\alpha^*}] = M_0^{\langle i_0,i_1 \rangle}[G_{\alpha_2\alpha^*}] = M_0^{\langle i_0,i_1 \rangle}[G_{\alpha_2\alpha^*}] = M_0^{\langle i_0,i_1 \rangle}[G_{\alpha_2\alpha^*}]$

In general,

$$a^{\emptyset} = a = a_{0}.$$

$$a^{\langle i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k} \rangle} = a_{k+1}.$$

$$\alpha_{k} = l(a_{k}).$$

$$M_{n}^{\emptyset} = M_{n}.$$

$$M_{n}^{\langle i_{0} \rangle} = M_{m(0,n)}[G_{\alpha_{0}\alpha_{1}}].$$

$$M_{n}^{\langle i_{0} \rangle} = \omega_{1} M_{m(0,n)}.$$

$$M_{n}^{\langle i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k}, i_{k+1} \rangle} = M_{m(k+1,n)}^{\langle i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k} \rangle}[G_{\alpha_{k+1}\alpha_{k+2}}].$$

$$M_{n}^{\langle i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k}, i_{k+1} \rangle} = \omega_{1} M_{m(k+1,n)}^{\langle i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k} \rangle}.$$

$$M_{0}^{\emptyset}[G_{\alpha_{0}\alpha^{*}}] = \omega_{1} M_{0}^{\emptyset}.$$

$$M_{0}^{\langle i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k} \rangle}[G_{\alpha_{k+1}\alpha^{*}}] = \omega_{1} M_{0}^{\langle i_{0}, \cdots, i_{k} \rangle}.$$

And so

$$M_0[G_{\alpha_0\alpha^*}] =_{\omega_1} M_0.$$
 
$$M_{m(0,m(1,\cdots,m(k,0)\cdots))}[G_{\alpha_0\alpha^*}] =_{\omega_1} M_{m(0,m(1,\cdots,m(k,0)\cdots))}.$$

Where m(k, n) abbreviates  $m(\langle i_0, \dots, i_k \rangle, n)$ .

We give a proof of iteration lemma 3.2.

**3.2 Lemma.** (Iteration Lemma) Let  $\theta$  be a sufficiently large regular cardinal. Let N be a countable elementary substructure of  $H_{\theta}$  with  $I, \langle C_{\delta} \mid \delta \in A \rangle \in N$ .

Let  $(\alpha, \alpha^*, a, p, \langle M_n \mid n < \omega \rangle)$  satisfy

- $\alpha < \alpha^* \leq \nu$ .
- $a \in P_{\alpha}$ ,  $p \in P_{\alpha}$  and  $a \le p[\alpha]$ .
- $a \Vdash_{P_{\alpha}} N \cup \{\dot{G}_{\alpha}, p\} \subseteq \dot{M}_{0}, \langle \dot{M}_{n} \mid n < \omega \rangle$  is an  $\in$ -chain in  $H_{\theta}^{V[\dot{G}_{\alpha}]}$  and  $\langle \dot{M}_{n} \cap \omega_{1} \mid n < \omega \rangle \in \mathcal{F}^{V[\dot{G}_{\alpha}]}$ . Then there exists  $(q, \langle \dot{m}(n) \mid n < \omega \rangle)$  such that

- $q \in P_{\alpha^*}$  and  $q \leq p$ .
- $q \lceil \alpha = a$ .
- $q \Vdash_{P_{\alpha^*}}$  " $\dot{m}(n)$  are strictly increasing natural numbers and  $\dot{M}_{\dot{m}(n)}[\dot{G}_{\alpha^*}[[\alpha,\alpha^*)] \cap \omega_1 = \dot{M}_{\dot{m}(n)} \cap \omega_1$ ". Notational Remark. Let  $\alpha < \beta$  and  $G_{\beta}$  be  $P_{\beta}$ -generic over V. Then
- $G_{\alpha}$  denotes  $G_{\beta}[\alpha = \{r[\alpha \mid r \in G_{\beta}\} \text{ which is } P_{\alpha}\text{-generic over } V.$
- $H_{\alpha}$  denotes  $\{r(\alpha)[G_{\alpha}] \mid r \in G_{\beta}\}$  which is  $Q_{\alpha} = \dot{Q}_{\alpha}[G_{\alpha}]$ -generic over  $V[G_{\alpha}]$ .

If  $\dot{x}$  is a  $P_{\alpha}$ -name, then we may view  $\dot{x}[G_{\alpha}]$  as a term  $\dot{x}[\dot{G}_{\beta}[\check{\alpha}]$  being interpreted by  $G_{\beta}$  in  $V[G_{\beta}]$ . We simply denote this by x for easier notation. For sequences  $s = \langle \dot{x}_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  of  $P_{\alpha}$ -names, we abbreviate as follows:

•  $x_n = \dot{x}_n[G_{\alpha}]$  (the *n*-th value of the interpretation of a term  $\langle \check{s}(n)[\dot{G}_{\alpha}] \mid n < \check{\omega} \rangle$  by  $G_{\alpha}$  in  $V[G_{\alpha}]$ )  $= \dot{x}_n[G_{\beta}[\alpha]]$  (the *n*-th value of the interpretation of a term  $\langle \check{s}(n)[\dot{G}_{\beta}[\check{\alpha}] \mid n < \check{\omega} \rangle$  by  $G_{\beta}$  in  $V[G_{\beta}]$ ) for each  $n < \omega$ .

*Proof.* By induction on  $\alpha^*$  for all  $(\alpha, a, p, \langle \dot{M}_n \mid n < \omega \rangle)$ .

Case 1. (Successor Steps Essential) Let  $(\alpha, \alpha + 1, a, p, \langle \dot{M}_n \mid n < \omega \rangle)$  be as in the hypothesis. Since  $\Vdash_{P_{\alpha}}$  " $\dot{Q}_{\alpha}$  is  $\mathcal{F}^{V[\dot{G}_{\alpha}]}$ -generic-limsup-semiproper" and  $a \models_{P_{\alpha}}$  " $p(\alpha) \in \dot{Q}_{\alpha} \cap \dot{M}_{0}$ ,  $\langle \dot{M}_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  is an  $\in$ -chain in  $H_{\theta}^{V[\dot{G}_{\alpha}]}$  and  $\langle \dot{M}_n \cap \omega_1 \mid n < \omega \rangle \in \mathcal{F}^{V[\dot{G}_{\alpha}]}$ ", we have  $a \models_{P_{\alpha}}$  "there exists  $\pi \leq p(\alpha)$  in  $\dot{Q}_{\alpha}$  such that  $\pi \models_{\dot{Q}_{\alpha}}^{V[\dot{G}_{\alpha}]}$ "  $\{n < \omega \mid M_n[H_{\alpha}] \cap \omega_1 = M_n \cap \omega_1\}$  is infinite"". Let  $q \in P_{\alpha+1}$  such that  $q[\alpha = a \text{ and } a \models_{P_{\alpha}}$  " $q(\alpha) = \pi$ ". Then  $q \leq p$  in  $P_{\alpha+1}$  and  $q \models_{P_{\alpha+1}}$  " $\dot{M}_n[G_{\alpha\alpha+1}] \cap \omega_1 = \dot{M}_n[H_{\alpha}] \cap \omega_1 = \dot{M}_n \cap \omega_1$  for infinitely many  $n < \omega$ ".

Case 2. (Successor Steps General) Let  $(\alpha, \beta + 1, a, p, \langle \dot{M}_n \mid n < \omega \rangle)$  be as in the hypothesis. We may assume  $\alpha < \beta$ . Apply the hypothesis of induction to  $(\alpha, \beta, a, p \lceil \beta, \langle \dot{M}_n \mid n < \omega \rangle)$ . We have  $(q', \langle \dot{m}(n) \mid n < \omega \rangle)$  such that

- $q' \in P_{\beta}$ ,  $a = q' \lceil \alpha \text{ and } q' \leq p \lceil \beta$ .
- $q' \Vdash_{P_{\beta}}$  " $\dot{m}(n)$  are strictly increasing natural numbers and  $M_{\dot{m}(n)}[G_{\alpha\beta}] \cap \omega_1 = M_{\dot{m}(n)} \cap \omega_1$  for all  $n < \omega$ ". Hence  $q' \Vdash_{P_{\beta}}$  " $\langle M_{\dot{m}(n)}[G_{\alpha\beta}] \mid n < \omega \rangle$  is an  $\in$ -chain in  $H_{\theta}^{V[\dot{G}_{\beta}]}$  such that  $N \cup \{\dot{G}_{\beta}, p\} \subseteq M_{\dot{m}(0)}[G_{\alpha\beta}]$  and  $\langle M_{\dot{m}(n)}[G_{\alpha\beta}] \cap \omega_1 \mid n < \omega \rangle \in \mathcal{F}^{V[\dot{G}_{\beta}]}$ ". Now we are in case 1 with  $(\beta, \beta + 1, q', p, \langle M_{\dot{m}(n)}[G_{\alpha\beta}] \mid n < \omega \rangle$ . Hence we have  $q \in P_{\beta+1}$  such that  $q\lceil \beta = q', q \leq p$  and  $q \Vdash_{P_{\beta+1}}$  " $M_{\dot{m}(n)}[G_{\alpha\beta}][G_{\beta\beta+1}] \cap \omega_1 = M_{\dot{m}(n)}[G_{\alpha\beta}] \cap \omega_1$  for infinitely many  $n < \omega$ ". And so  $q\lceil \alpha = a$  and  $q \Vdash_{P_{\beta+1}}$  " $M_m[G_{\alpha\beta+1}] \cap \omega_1 = M_m \cap \omega_1$  for infinitely many  $m < \omega$ ".

Case 3. (Limit) Let  $(\alpha, \alpha^*, a, p, \langle \dot{M}_n \mid n < \omega \rangle)$  be as in the hypothesis. We assume  $\alpha^*$  is limit. Construct a tree representation T and a map  $\langle \sigma \mapsto (\alpha^{\sigma}, a^{\sigma}, p^{\sigma}, \langle \dot{\delta}_n^{\sigma} \mid n < \omega \rangle, \langle \dot{M}_n^{\sigma} \mid n < \omega \rangle) \mid \sigma \in T \rangle$  such that

For  $\langle \rangle = \emptyset \in T_0$ , we set

(0)  $\alpha^{\langle \rangle} = \alpha, a^{\langle \rangle} = a, p^{\langle \rangle} = p, \ \langle \dot{\delta}_k^{\langle \rangle} \mid k < \omega \rangle$  be any stages for p such that  $\dot{\delta}_0^{\langle \rangle} = \check{\alpha}$  and that  $a \Vdash_{P_\alpha} (\dot{\delta}_k^{\langle \rangle} \mid k < \omega) \in \dot{M}_0$  and  $\dot{M}_n^{\langle \rangle} \mid n < \omega \rangle = \langle \dot{M}_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$ .

In general, for  $\sigma = \langle i_0, \dots, i_{k-1} \rangle \in T_k$ , we demand

- (1)  $\alpha \leq \alpha^{\sigma} < \alpha^*$ .
- (2)  $a^{\sigma} \in P_{\alpha^{\sigma}}$  and  $a^{\sigma} \lceil \alpha \leq a$ .
- (3)  $p^{\sigma} \in P_{\alpha^*}$  and  $p^{\sigma} \leq p$ .
- (4)  $a^{\sigma} \leq p^{\sigma} \lceil \alpha^{\sigma}$ .
- (5)  $\langle \dot{\delta}_n^{\sigma} \mid n < \omega \rangle$  are stages for  $p^{\sigma}$ .
- (6)  $p^{\sigma} \lceil \alpha^{\sigma} \rceil \rceil \Vdash_{P_{\alpha^*}} "\dot{\delta}_0^{\sigma} = \alpha^{\sigma}"$  (0th-stage self-decisive condition).

(7)  $\langle \dot{M}_{n}^{\sigma} \mid n < \omega \rangle$  is a sequence of  $P_{\alpha^{\sigma}}$ -names such that  $a^{\sigma} \models_{P_{\alpha^{\sigma}}} N \cup \{\dot{G}_{\alpha^{\sigma}}, p^{\sigma}, \langle \dot{\delta}_{k}^{\sigma} \mid k < \omega \rangle\} \subseteq \dot{M}_{0}^{\sigma}, \langle \dot{M}_{n}^{\sigma} \mid n < \omega \rangle$  is an  $\in$ -chain in  $H_{\theta}^{V[\dot{G}_{\alpha^{\sigma}}]}$  and  $\langle \dot{M}_{n}^{\sigma} \cap \omega_{1} \mid n < \omega \rangle \in \mathcal{F}^{V[\dot{G}_{\alpha^{\sigma}}]}$ ".

For  $\tau = \sigma^{\smallfrown} \langle i \rangle = \langle i_0, \dots, i_{k-1}, i_k \rangle \in T_{k+1}$ , there exists a sequence  $\langle \dot{m}(\tau, n) \mid n < \omega \rangle$  of  $P_{\alpha^{\tau}}$ -names and we demand

- (8)  $\alpha^{\sigma} \leq \alpha^{\tau}$ .
- (9)  $a^{\tau} [\alpha^{\sigma} \leq a^{\sigma}]$
- $(10) p^{\tau} \leq p^{\sigma}.$
- (11)  $a^{\tau} [\alpha^{\sigma} \Vdash_{P_{\alpha}\sigma} p^{\tau}, \langle \dot{\delta}_{n}^{\tau} \mid n < \omega \rangle \in \dot{M}_{1}^{\sigma} \text{ and } p^{\tau} [\alpha^{\sigma}, \alpha^{*}] \text{ is } (P_{\alpha^{\sigma}\alpha^{*}}, \dot{M}_{0}^{\sigma})\text{-semi-generic}$ .
- (12) For all  $n < \omega$ ,  $\|-P_{\alpha} \cdot \mathring{\delta}_{n+1}^{\sigma} \le \mathring{\delta}_{n}^{\tau}$  (a step ahead).
- (13)  $p^{\tau} [\alpha^{\tau}] \Vdash_{P_{\alpha}} "\dot{\delta}_0^{\tau} = \alpha^{\tau}"$  (0th-stage self-decisive condition).
- (14)  $a^{\tau} \Vdash_{P_{\alpha^{\tau}}}$  " $\langle \dot{m}(\tau, n) \mid n < \omega \rangle$  is a sequence of strictly increasing natural numbers and for all  $n < \omega$ ,  $\dot{m}(\tau, n) \geq 1$ ,  $\dot{M}_{\dot{m}(\tau, n)}^{\sigma}[G_{\alpha^{\sigma}\alpha^{\tau}}] = \dot{M}_{n}^{\tau}$  and  $\dot{M}_{\dot{m}(\tau, n)}^{\sigma} \cap \omega_{1} = \dot{M}_{n}^{\tau} \cap \omega_{1}$ ".

The contruction is by recursion on  $k < \omega$ . For k = 0, we set  $T_0 = \{\emptyset\}$  and set  $\alpha^{\emptyset}$ ,  $a^{\emptyset}$ ,  $p^{\emptyset}$ ,  $\langle \dot{\delta}_n^{\emptyset} \mid n < \omega \rangle$  and  $\langle \dot{M}_n^{\emptyset} \mid n < \omega \rangle$  as specified. This is possible as  $a \models_{P_{\alpha}} N \cup \{\dot{G}_{\alpha}, p\} \subseteq \dot{M}_0$ . Then it is easy to see that all the assumptions (1) through (7) for  $\sigma = \emptyset$  are satisfied.

Suppose we have constructed  $T_k$  and  $\alpha^{\sigma}$ ,  $a^{\sigma}$ ,  $p^{\sigma}$ ,  $\langle \delta_n^{\sigma} \mid n < \omega \rangle$  and  $\langle \dot{M}_n^{\sigma} \mid n < \omega \rangle$  for each  $\sigma \in T_k$  such that (1) through (7) are satisfied. Let  $\gamma = \alpha^{\sigma}$ ,  $w = a^{\sigma}$ ,  $x = p^{\sigma}$ ,  $\langle \delta_n \mid n < \omega \rangle = \langle \dot{\delta}_n^{\sigma} \mid n < \omega \rangle$  and  $\langle \dot{N}_n \mid n < \omega \rangle = \langle \dot{M}_n^{\sigma} \mid n < \omega \rangle$  for shorter notation. Then  $w \in P_{\gamma}$  forces that

•  $N \cup \{\dot{G}_{\gamma}, x, \langle \dot{\delta}_n \mid n < \omega \rangle\} \subseteq \dot{N}_0$  and  $x[\gamma \in \dot{G}_{\gamma}]$ .

Hence by the iteration lemma for semiproperness and lemmas on stages (please see [M] for an account), there exists  $(\beta, y, \langle \dot{\delta}_n^y \mid n < \omega \rangle)$  in  $V[\dot{G}_{\gamma}]$  such that

- $\gamma < \beta < \alpha^*$ .
- $y \le x$  in  $P_{\alpha}$ .
- $\langle \dot{\delta}_n^y \mid n < \omega \rangle$  are stages for y.
- $y[\beta^{-}1] \vdash_{P_{n+}} "\dot{\delta}_{0}^{y} = \beta$ ".
- For all  $n < \omega$ ,  $\Vdash_{P_{\alpha}}$ , " $\dot{\delta}_{n+1} \leq \dot{\delta}_n^y$ " (a step ahead).
- $y[\gamma \in G_{\gamma}]$ .
- $y[[\gamma, \alpha^*]]$  is  $(P_{\gamma\alpha^*}, \dot{N}_0)$ -semi-generic
- $(\beta, y, \langle \dot{\delta}_n^y \mid n < \omega \rangle) \in \dot{N}_1$ .

Then for any  $d \leq w$  in  $P_{\gamma}$  such that d decides the values of  $\beta, y$  and  $\langle \dot{\delta}_n^y \mid n < \omega \rangle$ , we may consider  $(\gamma, \beta, d, y \mid \beta, \langle \dot{N}_n \mid 1 \leq n < \omega \rangle)$  satisfying

- $\gamma < \beta < \alpha^*$ .
- $d \in P_{\gamma}$ ,  $y \lceil \beta \in P_{\beta}$  and  $d \leq (y \lceil \beta) \lceil \gamma$ .
- $d \models_{P_{\gamma}} "N \cup \{\dot{G}_{\gamma}, y \lceil \beta\} \subseteq \dot{N}_1 \text{ and } \langle \dot{N}_n \mid 1 \leq n < \omega \rangle \text{ is an } \in \text{-chain in } H^{V[\dot{G}_{\gamma}]}_{\theta} \text{ and } \langle \dot{N}_n \cap \omega_1 \mid 1 \leq n < \omega \rangle \in \mathcal{F}^{V[\dot{G}_{\gamma}]}$ ".

Now we apply the hypothesis of induction at  $\beta$ . Hence there exists  $(b, \langle \dot{m}(n) \mid n < \omega \rangle)$  such that

- $b \in P_{\beta}$ .  $b \lceil \gamma = d \text{ and } b \leq y \lceil \beta$ .
- $b \Vdash_{P_{\beta}} "\langle \dot{m}(n) \mid n < \omega \rangle$  is a sequence of strictly increasing natural numbers such that  $1 \leq \dot{m}(n)$  and  $\dot{N}_{\dot{m}(n)}[G_{\gamma\beta}] \cap \omega_1 = \dot{N}_{\dot{m}(n)} \cap \omega_1$ ".

And so

•  $b \Vdash_{P_{\beta}} "N \cup \{\dot{G}_{\beta}, y, \langle \dot{\delta}_{n}^{y} \mid n < \omega \rangle\} \subseteq \dot{N}_{\dot{m}(0)}[G_{\gamma\beta}], \langle \dot{N}_{\dot{m}(n)}[G_{\gamma\beta}] \mid n < \omega \rangle \text{ is an } \in \text{-chain in } H_{\theta}^{V[\dot{G}_{\beta}]} \text{ and } \langle \dot{N}_{\dot{m}(n)}[G_{\gamma\beta}] \cap \omega_{1} \mid n < \omega \rangle \in \mathcal{F}^{V[\dot{G}_{\beta}]}".$ 

Since there exists d as above predense many below w, we may construct  $T_{k+1}$  and

$$\langle \tau \mapsto \left(\alpha^{\tau}, a^{\tau}, p^{\tau}, \langle \dot{\delta}_{n}^{\tau} \mid n < \omega \rangle, \langle \dot{M}_{n}^{\tau} \mid n < \omega \rangle, \langle \dot{m}(\tau, n) \mid n < \omega \rangle \right) \mid \tau \in T_{k+1} \rangle,$$

where the correspondences are  $\alpha^{\tau} = \beta, a^{\tau} = b, p^{\tau} = y, \langle \dot{\delta}_{n}^{\tau} \mid n < \omega \rangle = \langle \dot{\delta}_{n}^{y} \mid n < \omega \rangle, \langle \dot{m}(\tau, n) \mid n < \omega \rangle = \langle \dot{m}(n) \mid n < \omega \rangle$  and  $\langle \dot{M}_{n}^{\tau} \mid n < \omega \rangle = \langle \dot{N}_{\dot{m}(n)}[G_{\gamma\beta}] \mid n < \omega \rangle$ . This completes the construction.

Let q be a fusion of the tree representation T. Let  $G_{\alpha}^{*}$  be  $P_{\alpha^{*}}$ -generic over V with  $q \in G_{\alpha^{*}}$ . Let us calculate  $\langle i_{n} \mid n < \omega \rangle$  from the generic cofinal path through T so that for all  $k < \omega$ ,  $\langle i_{n} \mid n < k \rangle \in T_{k}$  and  $a^{\langle i_{n} \mid n < k \rangle} \in G_{\alpha^{\langle i_{n} \mid n < k \rangle}}$ .

Let

$$M_{n} = \dot{M}_{n}[G_{\alpha}],$$

$$\alpha^{k} = \alpha^{\langle i_{n} \mid n < k \rangle}, \ \alpha^{k} = \alpha^{\langle i_{n} \mid n < k \rangle}, \ p^{k} = p^{\langle i_{n} \mid n < k \rangle},$$

$$\dot{\delta}_{m}^{k} = \dot{\delta}_{m}^{\langle i_{n} \mid n < k \rangle}, \ \dot{M}_{m}^{k} = \dot{M}_{m}^{\langle i_{n} \mid n < k \rangle}, \ M_{m}^{k} = \dot{M}_{m}^{k}[G_{\alpha^{k}}], \ m(k, n) = \dot{m}(\langle i_{0}, \dots, i_{k} \rangle, n)[G_{\alpha^{k+1}}].$$

Then

$$a^{k} \in G_{\alpha^{k}}, \ p^{k} \in G_{\alpha^{*}}.$$

$$M_{0} =_{\omega_{1}} M_{0}[G_{\alpha\alpha^{*}}],$$

$$M_{m(0,m(1,\cdots,m(k,0)\cdots))}[G_{\alpha^{0}\alpha^{1}}] \cdots [G_{\alpha^{k}\alpha^{k+1}}] = M_{0}^{k+1},$$

$$M_{m(0,m(1,\cdots,m(k,0)\cdots))}[G_{\alpha^{0}\alpha^{1}}] \cdots [G_{\alpha^{k}\alpha^{k+1}}][G_{\alpha^{k+1}\alpha^{*}}] = M_{0}^{k+1}[G_{\alpha^{k+1}\alpha^{*}}],$$

$$M_{m(0,m(1,\cdots,m(k,0)\cdots))}[G_{\alpha^{0}\alpha^{*}}] \subseteq M_{m(0,m(1,\cdots,m(k,0)\cdots))}[G_{\alpha^{0}\alpha^{1}}] \cdots [G_{\alpha^{k}\alpha^{k+1}}][G_{\alpha^{k+1}\alpha^{*}}].$$

Hence

$$M_{m(0,m(1,\cdots,m(k,0)\cdots))}[G_{\alpha^0\alpha^*}] \subseteq M_0^{k+1}[G_{\alpha^{k+1}\alpha^*}] \supseteq_{\omega_1} M_0^{k+1} \supseteq_{\omega_1} M_{m(0,m(1,\cdots,m(k,0)\cdots))}.$$

So

$$M_{m(0,m(1,\cdots,m(k,0)\cdots))}[G_{\alpha^0\alpha^*}]\cap\omega_1\leq M_0^{k+1}[G_{\alpha^{k+1}\alpha^*}]\cap\omega_1=M_0^{k+1}\cap\omega_1=M_{m(0,m(1,\cdots,m(k,0)\cdots))}\cap\omega_1.$$

So

$$M_{m(0,m(1,\cdots,m(k,0)\cdots))}\subseteq \omega_1 M_{m(0,m(1,\cdots,m(k,0)\cdots))}[G_{\alpha^0\alpha^*}].$$

Note that  $m(0, m(1, \dots, m(k, 0) \dots))$  strictly increase.

## References

[M] T. Miyamoto, A weak reflection compatible with tail club guessing via semiproper iteration, RIMS, Kyoto University, vol. 1530, pp. 49-81, Feb 2007.

miyamoto@nanzan-u.ac.jp Division of Mathematics Nanzan University 27 Seirei-cho, Seto, Aichi 489-0863 JAPAN