Analytic singularities of solutions to a radial p-Laplacian

上智大学・理工学部 内山康一 (Koichi Uchiyama)
Faculty of Science and Technology,
Sophia University

Abstract

Analytic local description of $W^{1,p}(I)$ solutions to a radial p-Laplace equation

$$r(|U_r|^{p-2}U_r)_r + (n-1)|U_r|^{p-2}U_r + r|U|^{q-2}U = 0$$

on $I = [a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$ is given near singular points by a Briot-Bouquet type theorem of two variables, where $1 < p, q < \infty$.

1 Introduction

An *n*-dimensional *p*-elliptic PDE for u(x) is

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u(x)) + |u|^{q-2}u = 0, \tag{1}$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $1 < p, q < \infty$.

If $x \in \mathbb{R}^1$, the equation reduces to

$$(|u_x|^{p-2}u_x)_x + |u|^{q-2}u = 0. (2)$$

L. Paredes and the present author, making use of a Briot-Bouquet type theorem of one variable, gave analytic expression of solutions to the equation (2) near the singularities ([8]). Our analytic expression readily reproduces differentiability and analyticity obtained by M. Ôtani [6], [7] and by M. Ôtani and T. Idogawa in [4].

If
$$r = |x|, x \in \mathbf{R}^n$$
,

a radial solution U(r) = u(x) satisfies

$$(r^{n-1}|U_r|^{p-2}U_r)_r + r^{n-1}|U|^{q-2}U = 0,$$
 (3)

or

$$r(|U_r|^{p-2}U_r)_r + (n-1)|U_r|^{p-2}U_r + r|U|^{q-2}U = 0.$$
 (4)

The aim of this report is to extend the results for (2) to the radial p Laplacian (3) by a Briot-Bouquet type theorem of two variables.

Remark 0.1. R. Gérard and H. Tahara studied $t\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Phi(t, x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x})$ and generalized it of many variables and of higher order case in [3]. Since our version of a Briot-Bouquet type theorem of two (or several) variables is not covered by theirs, a proof is given, inspired by their work.

2 A Briot-Bouquet type theorem

We recall a classical Briot-Bouquet type theorem of one variable in complex domain. We assume

- $\Phi(t,h)$ is holomorphic near $(0,0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$,
- $\Phi(0,0) = 0$,
- $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial h}(0,0)$ is not any positive integers.

Theorem 1 (Briot-Bouquet).

$$t\frac{dh}{dt} = \Phi(t, h)$$

has a unique holomorphic solution near t = 0, satisfying h(0) = 0. If $\Phi(t, h)$ is real analytic, so is h(t), too.

Proof. Set $a_{\alpha,i} = \frac{1}{\alpha!i!} \frac{\partial^{\alpha+i} \Phi}{\partial t^{\alpha} \partial h^{i}}(0,0)$. Notice we can rewrite the equation by

$$t\frac{dh}{dt} - a_{0,1}h = a_{1,0}t + \sum_{2 < \alpha + i} a_{\alpha,i}t^{\alpha}h^{i}.$$

Moreover, the left hand side satisfies the condition that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|\alpha - a_{0,1}| \geq \delta$$

for all $\alpha \in N^* = \{1, 2, 3, \dots \}.$

Formal solution: Let $\hat{h}(t) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} h_{\alpha} t^{\alpha}$ be a formal solution . Then, we have

$$(1 - a_{0,1})h_1 = a_{1,0},$$

$$(\alpha - a_{0,1})h_{\alpha} = Q_{\alpha}(a_{\alpha',i}, h_{\alpha''}; \alpha' + i \le \alpha, \alpha'' \le \alpha - 1)$$

for all $\alpha \geq 2$, where Q_{α} is a polynomial with nonnegative integer coefficients.

Convergence: Then, we prove convergence of $\hat{h}(t)$ through the inplicit function theorem.

An auxiary equation of H(t) is given by

$$\delta H = |a_{1,0}|t + \sum_{2 \le \alpha + i} |a_{\alpha,i}| t^{\alpha} H^{i}.$$

with H(0) = 0.

There exists a unique convergent series function $H(t) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} H_{\alpha} t^{\alpha}$ by the implicit function theorem. Since $\delta H_{\alpha} = Q_{\alpha}(|a_{\alpha',i}|, H_{\alpha''}; \alpha' + i \leq \alpha, \alpha'' \leq \alpha - 1)$,

$$|h_1| = |a_{1,0}|/|1 - a_{0,1}|$$

$$\leq |a_{1,0}|/\delta = H_1,$$

$$|h_{\alpha}| = |Q_{\alpha}(a_{\alpha'}, h_{\alpha''})/(\alpha - a_{0,1})|$$

$$\leq Q_{\alpha}(|a_{\alpha'}|, H_{\alpha''})/\delta = H_{\alpha}$$
for $\alpha \geq 2$ by induction.

We will make use of a Briot-Bouquet type theorem of two variables for our main results. We state it in a slightly more general form for convenience.

Let $N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. $B = \{\beta\}$ is a fixed finite subset of N^d , where $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$ is a d-dimensional multi-index with $|\beta| \ge 1$. Let $(t, h, \rho_B) = (t_1, \dots, t_d, h, \{\rho_\beta; \beta \in B\}) \in \mathbb{C}^{d+1+|B|}$ be local variables near the origin, where |B| is the number of the elements in B. Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$ be global variables. $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d), \beta$ and γ denote d-dimensional power indices in N^d .

Theorem 2. We assume that a holomorphic function $\phi(t, h, \rho_B)$ and a polynomial

$$L(\xi) = \sum_{0 < |\gamma| < r} l_{\gamma} \xi^{\gamma}$$

satisfy

(i) $\phi(t, \rho_B)$ has a power series expansion near (0,0) without linear

parts:

$$\begin{split} \phi(t,\rho_B) &= \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| + |i_B|} a_{\alpha,i_B} t^{\alpha} \rho_B^{i_B} \\ &= \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| + |i_B|} a_{\alpha,i_B} t^{\alpha} \prod_{\beta \in B} \rho_{\beta}^{i_{\beta}}, \end{split}$$

where $|i_B| = \sum_{\beta \in B} i_\beta$ for a multi-index $i_B = (i_\beta)_{\beta \in B}$ and (ii) there exists a positive constant δ such that for all d-dimensional multi indices α with $|\alpha| \geq 1$,

$$\left| \sum_{0 < |\gamma| < r} l_{\gamma} \alpha^{\gamma} \right| \ge \delta \max\{1, \alpha^{\beta}; \beta \in B\}, \tag{5}$$

where α^{β} denotes the coefficient of $\left(t\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{\beta}t^{\alpha}$. Then, a nonlinear equation

$$\sum_{0 \le |\gamma| \le r} l_{\gamma} \left(t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{\gamma} h(t) = a \cdot t +$$

$$+ \sum_{2 \le |\alpha| + |i_{B}|} a_{\alpha, i_{B}} t^{\alpha}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{\beta \in B} \left(\left(t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^{\beta} h(t) \right)^{i_{\beta}}$$

$$(6)$$

has a unique holomorphic solution h(t) near the origin with h(0) = 0.

Proof. We will follow the previous proof.

Construction of $\hat{h}(t)$: We set

$$\hat{h}(t) = \sum_{|\alpha| > 1} h_{\alpha} t^{\alpha}. \tag{7}$$

Substituting (7) into (6), we have

$$L(\alpha)h_{\alpha}=a_{\alpha}$$
 when $|\alpha|=1$,

and

$$L(\alpha)h_{\alpha} = Q_{\alpha}(a_{\alpha',i_B}, h_{\alpha''}, (\alpha_{\beta})^{\beta}h_{\alpha_{\beta}}; \beta \in B$$
the indices at least satisfy
$$|\alpha'| + |i_B| \le |\alpha|, |\alpha''| \le |\alpha| - 1$$

$$|\alpha_{\beta}| \le |\alpha| - 1),$$

where α' , α'' , α_{β} are copies of α . Thus, h_{α} are determined successively.

Convergence of \hat{h} : An auxiliary analytic equation (cf. Gérard-Tahara [3])

$$\delta H = |a_1|t_1 + |a_2|t_2 + \sum_{2 \le |\alpha| + |i_B|} |a_{\alpha, i_B}| t^{\alpha} (H(t))^{|i_B|}.$$

Solving this equation of H by the implicit function theorem, we have a unique holomorphic solution near the origin t = 0 with H(0) = 0. We claim

$$H(t) = \sum_{\alpha} H_{\alpha} t^{\alpha} >> \hat{h}(t).$$

More strongly we claim,

$$H_{\alpha} \ge \max\{|h_{\alpha}|, |\alpha^{\beta}h_{\alpha}|; \beta \in B\}.$$

We notice

$$H_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\delta} Q_{\alpha}(|a_{\alpha',i_B}|, H_{\alpha''}, H_{\alpha_{\beta}}; \text{ the indices satisfy}$$
at least $|\alpha'| + |i_B| \le |\alpha|, |\alpha''| \le |\alpha| - 1,$

$$|\alpha_{\beta}| \le |\alpha| - 1, \beta \in B).$$
(8)

We start with $|\alpha| = 1$:

$$|h_{\alpha}| = |a_{\alpha}/L(\alpha)| \le |a_{\alpha}|/\delta = H_{\alpha}.$$

Then, by induction, we have

$$\max\{1, \alpha^{\beta}; \beta \in B\} \cdot |h_{\alpha}| \leq \frac{1}{\delta} Q_{\alpha}(|a_{\alpha', i_{B}}|, |h_{\alpha''}|, |(\alpha_{\beta})^{\beta} h_{\alpha_{\beta}}|;$$
indices satisfy at least
$$|\alpha'| + |i_{B}| \leq |\alpha|, |\alpha''| \leq |\alpha| - 1,$$

$$|\alpha_{\beta}| \leq |\alpha| - 1)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\delta} Q_{\alpha}(|a_{\alpha',i,i_B}|, H_{\alpha'}, H_{\alpha_{\beta}};$$
the indices satisfy at least
$$|\alpha'| + |i_B| \leq |\alpha|, |\alpha''| \leq |\alpha| - 1,$$

$$|\alpha_{\beta}| \leq |\alpha| - 1)$$

Therefore, we have obtained $\max\{|h_{\alpha}|, |\alpha^{\beta}h_{\alpha}|\} \leq H_{\alpha}$.

We need this Briot-Bouquet type theorem in case where d=2, r=2, $\max\{|\beta|; \beta \in B\}=1$.

3 Local uniqueness

To assure that weak solutions in $W^{1,p}(I)$ are filled locally by our analytical method, we need local uniqueness of solutions to the Cauchy problem to (3). We adapt proofs of uniqueness in J. Benedikt [1] and P. Drábek and M. Ôtani [2] for forth order p-elliptic equations, completing them with an energy inequality.

Let I = [a, b] be a compact interval in $(0, \infty)$.

Proposition 1 (Local uniqueness). Let r_0 be an arbitrary positive constant in I. Local solutions on I are uniquely determined near r_0 by initial data $U(r_0)$ and $U_r(r_0)$.

Proof. Set $V(r) = r^{n-1}|U_r(r)|^{p-2}U_r(r)$, p' = p/(p-1) and $f_p(X) = |X|^{p-2}X$. Notice $f_{p,X}(X) = (p-1)|X|^{p-2}$. Then, we have from the equation,

$$\begin{cases} V_r(r) &= -r^{n-1}|U(r)|^{q-2}U(r) = -r^{n-1}f_q(U(r)), \\ U_r(r) &= r^{\frac{1-n}{p-1}}|V(r)|^{p'-2}V(r) = f_{p'}(r^{1-n}V(r)). \end{cases}$$
(9)

Suppose

$$V_1(r_0) = V_2(r_0), \quad U_1(r_0) = U_2(r_0)$$

 $|U_0| + |V_0| > 0.$

We will show that there exists a positive constant ϵ such that $U_1(r) = U_2(r)$ on $J(\epsilon) = [r_0 - \epsilon, r_0 + \epsilon]$, as proved in Benedikt [1] in 4th order p elliptic ordinary differential equation.

Case (i): $1 and <math>2 \le q$.

$$\begin{split} V_1(r) - V_2(r) &= r^{n-1} \{ f_p(U_{1,r}(r)) - f_p(U_{2,r}(r)) \} \\ &= r^{n-1} \int_{U_{2,r}(r)}^{U_{1,r}(r)} f_{p,X}(\tau) d\tau \end{split}$$

We set $K_1 = \max\{|U_{i,r}(r)|; r \in I, i = 1, 2\}$. Noticing $f_{p,X}(X)$ is positive decreasing on $(0, \infty)$, when 1 , we have

$$|r^{n-1}\{f_p(U_{1,r}(r)) - f_p(U_{2,r}(r))\}|$$

$$\geq (r_0 - \epsilon)^{n-1}(p-1)K_1^{p-2}|U_{1,r}(r) - U_{2,r}(r)|.$$

We set $K_0 = \max\{|U_i(r)|; r \in I, i = 1, 2\}.$

$$V_1(r) - V_2(r) = -\int_{r_0}^r \tau^{n-1} \{ f_q(U_1(\tau)) - f_q(U_2(\tau)) \} d\tau.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$|f_{q}(U_{1}(\tau)) - f_{q}(U_{2}(\tau))| = \left| \int_{U_{2}(\tau)}^{U_{1}(\tau)} f_{q,X}(\sigma) d\sigma \right|$$

$$\leq (q-1)K_{2}^{q-2}|U_{1}(\tau) - U_{2}(\tau)|$$

$$\leq (q-1)K_{2}^{q-2} \left| \int_{r_{0}}^{\tau} \{U_{1,r}(\sigma) - U_{2,r}(\sigma)\} d\sigma \right|$$

$$\leq (q-1)K_{2}^{q-2} \epsilon ||U_{1,r} - U_{2,r}||_{J(\epsilon)},$$

where $||U||_{J(\epsilon)} = \max_{|r-r_0| \le \epsilon} |U(r)|$.

Choosing ϵ sufficiently small, we conclude

$$||U_{1,r} - U_{2,r}||_{J(\epsilon)} = 0.$$

Hence, $V_1 = V_2$ on $J(\epsilon)$, therefore, $V_{1,r} = V_{2,r}$, which gives $U_1(r) = U_2(r)$ on $J(\epsilon)$

Since we can proceed the rest as in [1], we show only classification of cases.

Case (ii): 1 and <math>1 < q < 2

Subcase (ii-1): We assume also $U_0 \neq 0$.

Subcase (ii-2): $U_0 = 0, V_0 \neq 0.$

Case (iii): 2 < p, and $2 \le q$.

Subcase (iii-1): $V_1(r_0) = V_2(r_0) \neq 0$.

Subcase (iii-2): $V_1(r_0) = V_2(r_0) = 0$ and $U_1(r_0) = U_2(r_0) \neq 0$.

Case (iv): 2 < p and 1 < q < 2.

Subcase (iv-1): $U_0 = 0$, and $V_0 \neq 0$.

Subcase (iv-2): $U_0 \neq 0$, and $V_0 = 0$.

We need different arguments, when $U_0 = V_0 = 0$. We assume $U_0 = V_0 = 0$.

To complete the proof, we use an energy inequality.

Proposition 2. (i) Every nonzero $W^{1,p}(I)$ solution U on I has $C^1(\overline{I})$ regularity.

(ii) Then, it satisfies the energy equality

$$\frac{p-1}{p}|U_{r}(r)|^{p} + \frac{1}{q}|U(r)|^{q}
= \frac{p-1}{p}|U_{r}(c)|^{p} + \frac{1}{q}|U(c)|^{q}
- (n-1)\int_{c}^{r} \frac{1}{\sigma}|U_{r}(\sigma)|^{p}d\sigma$$
(10)

for all $r, c \in I$.

(iii) If $U(r_0) = U_r(r_0) = 0$ for some $r_0 \in I$, then, U(r) = 0 on I.

Remark 2.1. (i) is due to M. Ôtani [6].

When n = 1, (ii) is the energy equality.

When n = 1, (iii) is trivial (for any p, q > 1) in virtue of the energy equality. When n > 1, this completes the proof of local uniqueness.

Proof of (iii). U(r) = 0 for all $r \in [r_0, b]$ in virtue of the energy inequality.

For all $r \in [a, r_0]$ we have

$$\frac{p-1}{p}|U_r(r)|^p + \frac{1}{q}|U(r)|^q = (n-1)\int_r^{r_0} \frac{1}{\sigma}|U_r(\sigma)|^p d\sigma,$$

therefore,

$$\frac{p-1}{p}|U_r(r)|^p \le (n-1)\int_r^{r_0} \frac{1}{\sigma}|U_r(\sigma)|^p d\sigma.$$

By Gronwall's lemma, we have $U_r(r) = 0$ on I. Since $U(r_0) = 0$, it implies U(r) = 0 on I.

Remark 2.2. We note a different proof, when $q \geq p$ as in [2]. We note

$$r^{n-1}f_p(U_r(r)) = V(r) = \int_{r_0}^r V_r(\tau)d\tau = -\int_{r_0}^r \tau^{n-1}f_q(U(\tau))d\tau.$$

We have $r_0^{n-1} \parallel U_r \parallel_{J(\epsilon)}^{p-1} \leq \epsilon \parallel V_r \parallel_{J(\epsilon)} \leq \epsilon (r_0 + \epsilon)^{n-1} \parallel U \parallel^{q-1} \leq \epsilon^q (r_0 + \epsilon)^{n-1} \parallel U_r \parallel_{J(\epsilon)}^{q-1}$. If we assume $\parallel U \parallel_{J(\epsilon)} > 0$, we have $r_0^{n-1} \leq \epsilon^q \parallel U_r \parallel_{J(\epsilon)}^{q-p}$ for any small positive ϵ . This gives contradiction, hence $\parallel U \parallel_{J(\epsilon)} = 0$.

4 Analytic singularities

We shall now describe local analytic singularities of the solution U(r) to (4).

When n = 1, a classical Briot-Bouquet type theorem of one variable was sufficient to obtain the unique existence of analytic solution to the nonlinear ordinary differential equation ([8]).

When $U(r_0) \neq 0$ and $U_r(r_0) \neq 0$, r_0 is an analytic point of solution U(r). Hence, we consider two types of singularities:

- $r_0 = \sigma$ where $U(\sigma) = 0$ and $U_r(\sigma) = A \neq 0$,
- $r_0 = \tau$ where $U(\tau) = A \neq 0$ and $U_r(\tau) = 0$.

CASE 1. σ where $U(\sigma) = 0$ and $U_r(\sigma) = A \neq 0$. We assume A > 0 without loss of generality. We treat the case where $\sigma > 0$.

Theorem 3. For $1 < p, q < \infty$, there exists a unique analytic function F(t,s) in a neighborhood of the origin such that we have $near r = \sigma$

$$U(r) = (r - \sigma)F(r - \sigma, |r - \sigma|^q). \tag{11}$$

F(t,s) is a holomorphic solution to

$$(p-1)(\sigma+t)\{F(t,s) + tF_t(r,s) + qsF_s(t,s)\}^{p-2}$$

$$\{tF_t(t,s) + qsF_s(t,s) + t(tF_t(t,s))_t + 2qtsF_{t,s}(t,s) + q^2s(sF_s(t,s))_s\}$$

$$+ (\sigma+t)s(F(t,s))^{q-1}$$
 (continued) (12)

$$+ (n-1)t\{F(t,s) + tF_t(t,s) + qsF_s(t,s)\}^{p-1}$$

= 0 (13)

with

$$F(0,0) = A. (14)$$

Consequently, we can compute the expansion of U(r) at $r = \sigma$:

$$U(r) = (r - \sigma) \left\{ A - \frac{n - 1}{2\sigma(p - 1)} A(r - \sigma) - \frac{A^{q - p + 1}}{(p - 1)q(q + 1)} |r - \sigma|^q + \cdots \right\}.$$
(15)

Proof. We reduce equation (13) by change of the unknown function

$$F(t,s) = A + h(t,s)$$

into

$$L\left(t\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, s\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right) h(t,s) = -\frac{1}{(p-1)(\sigma+t)}$$

$$\times \left\{A + h(t,s) + th_t(t,s) + qsh_s(t,s)\right\}^{2-p}$$

$$\times \left[(\sigma+t)s(A+h(t,s))^{q-1} + (n-1)t\right]$$

$$\left\{A + h(t,s) + th_t(t,s) + qsh_s(t,s)\right\}^{p-1}$$
(16)

$$= a_{1,0}t + a_{0,1}s$$

$$+ \sum_{2 \le p+q+i+j+k} a_{p,q,i,j,k}t^{p}s^{q}$$

$$\times (h(t,s))^{i} \left(t\frac{\partial h}{\partial t}(t,s)\right)^{j} \left(s\frac{\partial h}{\partial s}(t,s)\right)^{k},$$
(17)

where

$$a_{1,0} = -\frac{(n-1)A}{(p-1)\sigma}$$

$$a_{0,1} = -\frac{1}{p-1}A^{q-p+1}.$$
(18)

We have $L(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha + q\beta + \alpha^2 + 2q\alpha\beta + q^2\beta^2$.

Since L(1,0) = 2 and L(0,1) = q(q+1), $F_t(0,0)$ and $F_s(0,0)$ are determined and so on. Thus, the unique existence of the solution is obtained by the B-B type theorem of two variables.

Next, $(r-\sigma)F(r-\sigma,|r-\sigma|^q)$ is a C^2 function near σ . It satisfies (1) with the prescribed Cauchy data. By Proposition 1, it is equal to the unique solution U(r) with the same Cauchy data.

Corollary 1 ([4], [7],[8]). (i) When q is an even integer more than 1, the solution U(r) is real analytic near σ .

(ii) When q is not an even integer, the solution U(r) is of class $C^{\leq q}$ at σ , where $\leq x > is$ the least integer greater than or equal to x.

CASE 2. τ where $U(\tau) = A$ and $U_r(\tau) = 0$.

As in the case 1, we can assume without loss of generality that A > 0.

Theorem 4. For any p and q satisfying $1 < p, q < \infty$, there exists a unique analytic function G(t,s) in a neighborhood of the origin such that we have near $r = \tau$

$$U(r) = A + |r - \tau|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} G\left(r - \tau, |r - \tau|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right), \tag{19}$$

where G(t,s) is a holomorphic solution to the nonlinear equation:

$$(p-1)(t+\tau)\left\{-\left(\frac{p}{p-1}G(t,s)\right) + tG_{t}(t,s) + \frac{p}{p-1}sG_{s}(t,s)\right\}^{p-2}$$

$$\times \left\{\frac{p}{(p-1)^{2}}G(t,s) + \frac{p+1}{p-1}tG_{t}(t,s) + \frac{p(p+1)}{(p-1)^{2}}sG_{s}(t,s) + t(tG_{t})_{t}(t,s) + \frac{2p}{p-1}tsG_{t,s}(t,s) + \frac{p^{2}}{(p-1)^{2}}s(sG_{s})_{s}(t,s)\right\}$$

$$+ (\tau+t)(A+sG(t,s))^{q-1}$$

$$-(n-1)t\left\{-\left(\frac{p}{p-1}G(t,s)\right) + tG_{t}(t,s) + \frac{p}{p-1}sG_{s}(t,s)\right\}^{p-1} = 0$$

$$(20)$$

with

$$G(0,0) = -\frac{p-1}{p} A^{\frac{q-1}{p-1}}.$$

Consequently, we have a convergent expansion near $r = \tau$:

$$U(r) = A + B|r - \tau|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + C(r - \tau)|r - \tau|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + D|r - \tau|^{\frac{2p}{p-1}} + \cdots,$$
(22)

where

$$B = -\frac{p-1}{p} A^{\frac{q-1}{p-1}} \ and \tag{23}$$

$$C = \frac{(n-1)}{2(2p-1)} A^{\frac{q-1}{p-1}} \tag{24}$$

$$D = \frac{q-1}{2(2p-1)} \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^2 A^{1+\frac{2(q-p)}{p-1}}.$$
 (25)

Proof. We show, at first, unique existence of the solution G(t, s). We reduce the equation by change of unknown function by

$$G(t,s) = B + h(t,s),$$

into

$$\frac{p}{(p-1)^2}h(t,s) + \frac{p+1}{p-1}th_t(t,s) + \frac{p(p+1)}{(p-1)^2}sh_s(t,s)
+ t(th_t)_t(t,s) + \frac{2p}{p-1}tsh_{t,s}(t,s)
+ \frac{p^2}{(p-1)^2}s(sh_s)_s(t,s)$$
(26)

$$= -\frac{p}{(p-1)^2}B - \frac{1}{(p-1)(t+\tau)}$$

$$\times \left\{ -\frac{pB}{p-1} - \frac{p}{p-1}h(t,s) - \frac{p}{p-1}sh_s(t,s) \right\}^{2-p}$$

$$\times \left\{ (\tau+t)(A+sB+sh(t,s))^{q-1} \right\}$$

$$-(n-1)t\left(-\frac{p}{p-1}B - \frac{p}{p-1}h(t,s) - th_{t}(t,s) - \frac{p}{p-1}sh_{s}(t,s)\right)^{p-1}\right\}.$$
(28)

Developing the right hand side with respect of (t, s, h, ρ, θ) , where $\rho = sh_s$ and $\theta = sh_s$, we, at first, obtain

$$B = -\frac{p-1}{p} A^{\frac{q-1}{p-1}}$$

by the condition that the constant term vanishes:

$$-\frac{p}{(p-1)^2}B - \frac{1}{p-1}\left\{-\frac{p}{p-1}B\right\}^{2-p}A^{q-1} = 0.$$

Then, we have the development of the R.H.S. is

$$R.H.S. = a_{1,0,0,0,0}t + a_{0,1,0,0,0}s + \frac{2p - p^2}{(p-1)^2}h(t,s) + \frac{2 - p}{p-1}th_t(t,s)$$
(29)

$$+ \frac{2p - p^{2}}{(p - 1)^{2}} sh_{s}(t, s)$$

$$+ \sum_{2 \leq \alpha + \beta + i + j + k} a_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,k} t^{\alpha} s^{\beta}$$

$$+ (\partial h))^{j} (\partial h))^{k}$$

$$(30)$$

$$\times (h(t,s))^{i} \left(t \frac{\partial h}{\partial t}(t,s)\right)^{j} \left(s \frac{\partial h}{\partial s}(t,s)\right)^{k}.$$

Set

$$l_{0,0} = \frac{p}{(p-1)^2} - \frac{2p - p^2}{(p-1)^2} = \frac{p}{p-1},$$

$$l_{1,0} = \frac{p+1}{p-1} - \frac{2-p}{p-1} = \frac{2p-1}{p-1},$$

$$l_{0,1} = \frac{p^2 + p}{(p-1)^2} - \frac{2p - p^2}{(p-1)^2} = \frac{p(2p-1)}{(p-1)^2},$$

$$l_{2,0} = 1, l_{1,1} = \frac{2p}{p-1}, l_{0,2} = \frac{p^2}{(p-1)^2}.$$

Thus, we have the reduced equation

$$L\left(t\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, s\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right) h(t, s)$$

$$= a_{1,0,0,0,0}t + a_{0,1,0,0,0}s$$

$$+ \sum_{2 < \alpha + \beta + i + j + k} a_{\alpha,\beta,i,j,k}t^{\alpha}s^{\beta}$$
(31)

$$\times (h(t,s))^i \left(t \frac{\partial h}{\partial t}(t,s)\right)^j \left(s \frac{\partial h}{\partial s}(t,s)\right)^k.$$
 (32)

Since L satisfies the condition (5), the unique existence of the solution to (21) is obtained by our Briot-Bouquet type theorem.

Corollary 2 ([4], [7],[8]). (i) If p/(p-1) is an even integer, i.e. p = (2m+2)/(2m+1) $(m=0,1,2,\cdots)$, u(x) is real analytic at τ . (ii) If p/(p-1) is not an even integer, the solution U(r) is of class $C^{(\frac{2-p}{p-1})+1}$ at τ , where < x > is the least integer greater than or equal to x. Especially, when 1 , <math>U(r) is of class C^2 at τ . When 2 < p, U(r) is not of class C^2 at τ .

References

- [1] J. BENEDIKT, Uniqueness theorem for p-biharmonic equations, Electronic J. Diff. Eqns., vol. 2002 (2002), No.53. 1-17
- [2] P. DRÁBEK and M. ÔTANI, Global bifurcation result for the pbiharmonic operator, Electronic J. Diff. Eqns., Vol.2001 (2001), No.48 1-19
- [3] R. GÉRARD and H. TAHARA, Singular Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, Vieweg, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden, 1996
- [4] T. IDOGAWA and M. ÔTANI, Analyticity and the best possible constants for Sobolev Poincaré inequalities, Advances in Math. Sci. and Appl., 4 (1994), 71-78
- [5] P. LINDQVIST, Note on a Nonlinear Eigenvalue Problem, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, 23 (1993), 281-288

- [6] M. ÔTANI, A Remark on Certain Nonlinear Elliptic Equations, Proc. Fac. Sci. Tokai University, 19 (1984), 23-28
- [7] M. ÔTANI, On certain second order ordinary differential equations associated with Sobolev-Poincaré-type inequalities, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory and Applications, 8 (1984), 1255-1270
- [8] L. I. PAREDES and K. UCHIYAMA, Analytic Singularities of Solutions to Certain Nonlinear Ordinary Differential Equations Associated with p-Laplacian, Tokyo J. Math. 26 (2003) 229-240